# CRS Report for Congress

# Workload and Activity Report: United States Senate, 1946-1992

Robert Moon Consultant and Carol Hardy Vincent Analyst in American National Government Government Division

August 30, 1993



Congressional Research Service • The Library of Congress

#### WORKLOAD AND ACTIVITY REPORT: UNITED STATES SENATE, 1946-1992

#### SUMMARY

This report is designed to furnish Senators and Senate staff with a single source of statistical information on Senate activity and workload. The report draws upon a variety of sources, including earlier CRS reports.

The report is divided into three sections: (1) floor activity; (2) the committee system, including numbers of committees and assignments; and (3) indicators of committee workload.

Floor Activity. The data indicate long-term stability since 1947 in the number of measures introduced in the Senate; however, there has been a decline in the passage of these measures during the same time period. The figures also indicate increases since 1947 in both the time spent in session and voting frequency; a related trend is the increase in the length of public bills since 1947.

*Committee System.* The figures demonstrate the ability of the Senate to scale back its number of standing, special and select committees and subcommittees as a part of larger reform efforts.

Workload Indicators. The data demonstrate the varying rates of referral and reporting of measures among Senate committees, which is mainly a function of the varying scope of committee jurisdictions.

Within each section, data are presented in a series of tables, augmented in some instances with graphs. Some data are shown by session (year) as well as by Congress (two years). The report attempts, wherever possible, to compile data for the entire 1947-1992 period (80th through 102d Congresses), as the landmark Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 caused the emergence of the "modern" congressional committee system. In some cases data for the 79th Congress (1945-1946) and earlier Congresses are added, in order to provide comparisons before and after the 1946 Act.

#### CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1
PART ONE: FLOOR ACTIVITY
Introduction and Passage of Measures
Time in Session
Voting on the Floor
Number and Length of Enacted Bills 6
Cloture Votes
Quantity and Complexity of Floor Activity
Vetoes
Consideration of Presidential Nominations
Summary of Legislative Activity
PART TWO: THE COMMITTEE SYSTEM
Number of Committees and Subcommittees
Committee Assignments
Effect of Subcommittee Growth on Senators' Assignments
Committee Sizes
PART THREE: COMMITTEE WORKLOADS
Hearings
Measures Referred and Reported
Presidential Nominations
$\mathbf{F}$ residential nominations $\dots \dots \dots$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report is a revision of earlier CRS reports originally prepared by Arthur Stevens, Roger Davidson, and Carol Hardy. The authors of this revision wish to acknowledge the assistance of Richard Beth, Mildred Amer, Rogelio Garcia, John Pontius, and Richard Sachs in preparing this report. In addition, Randall Andrews, Linda Bailey, Daphne Bigger, Mildred Boyle, Joan Dickson, Patricia Grant, Dolores Schofield, and JoAnn Thomas provided valuable production assistance.

# LIST OF TABLES

1-1	U.S. Senate, Legislative Proposals: 1947-1992
1-2	U.S. Senate, Floor Activity: 1945-1992 13
1-3	U.S. Congress, Number and Length of Bills Enacted: 1947-1992 14
1-4	Attempted and Successful Cloture Votes: 1919-1992 15
1-5	Frequency of Cloture Action: 1917-1992 16
1-6	U.S. Congress, Vetoes: 1947-1992 18
1-7	Senate Action on All Presidential Nominations: 1947-1992 19
1-8	U.S. Senate, Legislative Activity: 1947-1992
1-9	U.S. Senate, Legislative Activity: First Sessions, 1947-1992 23
1-10	U.S. Senate, Legislative Activity: Second Session, 1947-1992 24
2-1	U.S. Senate, Number of Committees and Subcommittees: 1945-1992 30
2-2	Senate, Committee Assignments: 1945-1992 31
2-3	Average Size of Senate Standing Committees andTheir Subcommittees:1945-19921945-199233
2-4	Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry
2-5	Committee on Appropriations
2-6	Committee on Armed Services
2-7	Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs
2-8	Committee on the Budget
2-9	Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation
2-10	Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
2-11	Committee on Environment and Public Works
2-12	Committee on Finance
2-13	Committee on Foreign Relations

2-14	Committee on Governmental Affairs
2-15	Committee on the Judiciary 45
2-16	Committee on Labor and Human Resources
2-17	Committee on Rules and Administration 47
2-18	Committee on Small Business 48
2-19	Committee on Veterans' Affairs 49
3-1	U.S. Senate, Number of Printed Hearings, by Committee: 1983-92 52
3-2	U.S. Senate, Number of Measures Referred to Senate Standing, Select and Special Committees: 1983-92
3-3	U.S. Senate, Number of Measures Reported by Senate Standing, Select and Special Committees: 1983-92 55
3-4	Presidential Nominations Received and Reported by Senate Committees: 1985-92

,

ь

# LIST OF FIGURES

-

1-1	U.S. Congress, Total Measures Introduced: 1947-1992 12
1-2	U.S. Senate, Increased Quantity and Complexity of Floor Activity: 1947-1992
1-3	Committee Size, Number of Subcommittees, and Members' Assignments to Them: 1945-1992

## WORKLOAD AND ACTIVITY REPORT: UNITED STATES SENATE, 1946-1992

#### INTRODUCTION

This report provides statistical information on Senate activity and workload. The report draws upon a variety of sources, including an earlier CRS report compiled by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy Vincent.<sup>1</sup> This update adds some new data categories, as well as alters the structure of the document.

A companion study of the House of Representatives documents both floor and committee activity of that chamber in statistical terms.<sup>2</sup> This report on the Senate is similarly organized, and wherever possible we have presented statistics comparable to those compiled for the other body. The intention of both reports is to provide benchmarks for comparison and analysis on the part of Members, staff, and outside observers.

The report is divided into three sections: (1) floor activity; (2) the committee system, including numbers of committees and assignments; and (3) indicators of committee workload. Within each section, data are presented in a series of tables, augmented in some instances with graphs. Some data are shown by session (year) as well as by Congress (two years). We have attempted wherever possible to compile data for the entire 1947-1992 period (80th through 102d Congresses), as the landmark Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 marks the emergence of the "modern" congressional committee system. In some cases data for the 79th Congress (1945-1946) and earlier Congresses are added, in order to provide comparisons before and after the 1946 Act.

Brief explanations and discussions in each section accompany the tables and charts. In many instances, the indicators presented highlight and clarify significant trends in the Senate, as well as in the environment in which it functions. For example, increases in the numbers and sizes of committees reflect the persistent desire of Senators for broad participation in committee activities; however, these increases are often followed by cutbacks as multiple assignments become too burdensome. In this and other cases, studying the statistical trends often provides insights into the operation of the Senate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. "Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload." CRS Report for Congress, 87-492S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. "Indicators of House of Representatives Workload and Activity." CRS Report for Congress, 87-492S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987.

However, anyone who interprets these data should be aware of their limitations. First, defining terms is critical, and is not always simple or selfevident. For example, what is a "meeting" held by a Senate committee? Is it any meeting of the committee members, however informal, or only those officially documented? Clearly, which definition of a "meeting" is used will greatly influences the researcher's indicators of committee workloads.

Second, collecting the data involves decisions on the methodology to be utilized: which sources to use, what dates to use as beginning and end points, and so forth. For example, number and sizes of Senate committees can vary over the course of a session or a Congress. Should a given month be picked as most representative, or should the figures be aggregated over an entire Congress? Even when rational and consistent guidelines are decided upon, errors or anomalies can creep into the figures.

Finally, when figures are interpreted as clear-cut indicators of workloads, caution must be exercised. Sizable shifts in numbers may reflect changes in procedure, for example, rather than changes in political conditions or the nature of political demands. The number of measures introduced is affected not only by the political marketplace but also by rules and practices governing cosponsorship. Increasing the number of permitted cosponsors will probably reduce the number of individual bills introduced. If certain kinds of measures decline over a given period, does that mean that policy demands have abated? Perhaps the Senate has merely transferred the issues to administrative forums. Or perhaps the Senate is "packaging" its legislation differently into longer and more complex forms.

These considerations should be kept in mind in studying this report, and caution should be exercised in drawing conclusions from the data.

#### ASSESSING THE INDICATORS

Each of the indicators for which data are provided point to an aspect of what is broadly understood as congressional workload in the Senate. However, each has its own limitations. Taken individually, none should be regarded as a sufficient indicator of the intensity or quantity of congressional activity as a whole. When considering each of these indicators, it is important to understand the usefulness and limits of the indicators in providing that information. In short, it is necessary to use the appropriate indicator cautiously.

Familiarity with the methods by which the Senate operates will resolve most questions about the appropriateness of given indicators for particular purposes. However, a few caveats remain regarding measures of the workload of the Senate that should be noted. First, generalizing about specific aspects of activity or workload should rest on combined use of all appropriate indicators, rather than on any one indicator thought to be most appropriate. For example, simply relying on the number of bills or resolutions introduced in discussing the workload of the Senate from 1978-1992 could lead one to conclude that productivity has declined. However, trends in floor votes and pages per statute point to a rise in output. This example illustrates how focusing on one or two indicators could lead to faulty and incomplete conclusions. Any realistic assessment of workload must take into account several indicators.

Second, there are few readily available indicators of the qualitative aspects of congressional activity. Such measures would help to provide a fuller, wellrounded picture of the legislative process. Moreover, they could help resolve seeming paradoxes suggested by trends in quantitative indicators. For example, floor sessions have been increasing in recent years, but the number of measures passed has declined. This apparent paradox might be resolved were there an indicator of the nature of passed legislation. Such an indicator, which would document the breadth of legislation considered on the Senate floor, could help clarify the reality underlying the paradox.

Finally, as has already been noted, indicators of activity and workload are especially unsatisfactory at the committee and subcommittee level. The number of printed hearings and the number of measures reported give an incomplete picture of the daily workload of committee Members and staff. Again, this applies especially to oversight and investigations, which are often conducted informally and may or may not result in printed hearings. Other aspects of committee work are also not reflected in these indicators-- for example, meetings with policy experts and other interested citizens.

In conclusion, the eventual development of measurements which categorize these and other committee activities would help to paint a more complete, nuanced picture of the responsibilities and accomplishments of Senate committees, and thus, the Congress in general. The need for more in-depth, qualitative indicators extends beyond the committee and subcommittee level, however. Additional collection of data on more congressional activities will yield further insight into the legislative environment, and thus build on what is known today.

.

•

#### PART ONE: FLOOR ACTIVITY

#### INTRODUCTION AND PASSAGE OF MEASURES

Overall trends in the origin and passage of bills and resolutions are displayed in Table 1-1 and Figure 1-1. As Figure 1-1 demonstrates, the introduction of all measures (including bills and joint, simple, and concurrent resolutions) has varied since 1947, although not by large margins.<sup>3</sup> These variations are illustrated in the next-to-last column in Table 1-1, where the average number of measures introduced per Member experiences minor fluctuations over time. However, the figure has remained quite stable from 1976 to 1992, as it has never varied by more than two for those seven Congresses. This relative consistency contrasts with the figures from 1947 to 1974, when the average number of measures introduced per Member varied from a low of 36.8 in 1947-1948 to a high of 54.7 in 1969-1970.

Regarding categories of legislative proposals, Table 1-1 indicates that the introduction of bills and joint resolutions has fallen somewhat in the past fifteen years from the 1965-1976 peak. The data show that during the 95th-102d Congresses (1977-1992), the introduction of bills and joint resolutions averaged approximately 3500 per Congress, down from an average of 4400 per Congress for the 89th-94th Congresses (1965-1976). This decline amounts to approximately 20 percent.

The introduction of simple and concurrent resolutions displays a similar pattern. After peaking at 798 in the 94th Congress (1975-1976), the number declined to 507 in the 102d.

While the number of bills and joint resolutions introduced each Congress has remained fairly steady, today these measures are less likely to gain passage. The success rate for these measures, as determined by the ratio of measures passed to measures introduced, has fallen rather steadily since 1955. In the immediate postwar years, the Senate passed more than fifty percent of bills and joint resolutions introduced; today, less than thirty percent are approved. In addition, since the mid-1960s, the number of bills and resolutions passed has fallen in absolute terms as well. In sum, although Senators introduce about as many bills as in the past, today they approve fewer of them.

The patterns for passage of simple and concurrent resolutions since 1947

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Conversely, the variations in the figures for the House are quite large. These major fluctuations are largely due to a change in House rules governing co-sponsorship.

differ from those for bills and joint resolutions. As noted above, the total of such resolutions peaked in the 94th Congress, and has decreased since. The number passed by the Senate peaked in the 95th Congress, but since then has decreased at a faster rate than that for the number introduced. Therefore, while the ratio of passed to introduced legislation peaked in the 94th Congress, it has experienced a modest decrease since then.

#### TIME IN SESSION

Some basic measures of floor activity are presented in Table 1-2. Regarding the amount of time Senators have spent in session, year-round sessions have been the norm since the 1960s. Once quite variable in length from one Congress to the next, the length of congressional sessions since the 87th Congress (1961-1962) has been stable. From the 79th to the 87th Congress, there were 6 instances where the total length of a session varied by at least 40 days from the previous Congress. Conversely, this occurred only once from the 87th through the 102d Congress (between the 87th and 88th Congress). The length of sessions in hours, while volatile, has been higher on average since the 87th Congress than in the period from the 80th to 86th Congress. For the first time since the 80th Congress; this figure dropped to 7.6 hours in the 100th, but increased to 8 in both the 101st and 102d Congresses.

#### VOTING ON THE FLOOR

Table 1-2 also illustrates the tremendous rise in voting frequency from the 1940s through the 1970s. Most of the increase occurred in the 1960s and early 1970s, with large gains made from 1967 to 1976. Since 1976, however, the total number of recorded votes has decreased quite dramatically, and at a faster pace than the 1945-1976 increase. In the 102d Congress, Senators were summoned to the floor-- for yea and nay votes or quorum calls-- less than half as many times as in the 94th Congress (1975-1976), the all-time high in floor voting. Nevertheless, today's Senators generally cast more yea-nay votes than did their predecessors of a generation or so ago.

Regarding workload, the responsibility of voting on a wide variety of complex issues places burdens on Senators and their staff, not to mention strains on their informational networks and daily schedules. Indeed, the problem is so pervasive that scheduling votes has become a major concern for Senators and the Senate leadership.

Although roll-call votes are numerous, the number of "live" quorum calls has fallen dramatically since the early 1960s. The 102d Congress' total of eight represents the lowest figure since the 80th Congress, and is a mere fraction of

the totals of the 1940s-1960s. The data count only those quorum calls ("live quorums") in which proceedings are completed and the number of Senators present is actually recorded. No data are available on the number of quorum calls initiated for purposes of constructive delay and terminated by unanimous consent before their conclusion.

#### NUMBER AND LENGTH OF ENACTED BILLS

Table 1-3 highlights trends in the actual legislative products of the Senateaction on public and private bills. However, these figures should be interpreted with care. While the volume of enactments over time is helpful in understanding Congress' work, it is not a flawless indicator of how productive the institution has been. This is due to the fact that the volume of enactments fluctuates not only with policy demands, but also with shifting legislative practices. Two of these shifts bear mentioning, because they illuminate important features of the contemporary legislative workload.

First, Congress increasingly delegates certain classes of decisions to administrative agencies or tribunals. As a result, the legislative agenda has been freed of large quantities of business items, most of which are relatively brief and routine in nature. This effects of this development is seen most dramatically in the long-term decline of private bills—for example, private immigration or claims cases. The trend is also reflected in the numbers of public laws enacted. That is, statutory "production" was numerically high during the later 1940s and peaked in the 84th Congress (1955-1956), a period not noted for significant policy and program innovations. From the high of the mid-1950s, the number of public laws enacted declined through the 1960s. From the late 1960s through the 102d Congress, the number has remained roughly the same, with the exception of the 97th Congress (1981-1982), which enacted a lower number of public laws than any Congress in modern history.

The second shift in legislative practices which affect the legislative production statistics is the increasing complexity of congressional enactments. 1955-1956, when Congress enacted more than a thousand public laws, the average enactment occupied less than two pages in the statute books. In 1991-1992, the average law took up approximately thirteen pages. This reflects not only growing sophistication in drafting, but also a tendency to enact omnibus statutes. This tendency was reinforced by the establishment of the modern congressional budget process in 1975 (94th Congress). As a result, contemporary Congresses tend to package a larger proportion of their policy decisions in a relatively small number of broad-scale vehicles. These vehicles include: authorizations and reauthorizations; omnibus revenue measures; appropriations bills; continuing resolutions; and budget resolutions. Clearly the legislative schedule has not yet fully adapted to this relatively new method of operation, but the tendency toward fewer yet lengthier enactments is quite evident.

#### **CLOTURE VOTES**

A growing area of Senate floor activity is the recourse to cloture under Rule XXII. Until 1917, the Senate had no way of terminating debate on either a bill or an amendment except through unanimous consent. In that year, the first cloture (debate-ending) rule was adopted. In its current form (as amended in 1975 and 1979), the rule calls for the end of debate if three-fifths of the Senate (60 of the 100 members) votes to invoke it.

Table 1-4 presents both the frequency and success rates of cloture votes since 1919 (It should be noted that not all cloture petitions that are filed actually result in floor votes. Some are withdrawn, or vitiated.). As the table demonstrates, cloture votes were rarely taken until the 1970s; since then, however, they are much more frequent. Indeed, in the first 50 years following adoption of Rule XXII, there were only 43 votes on closing debate, with only ten of them successful. Conversely, since the 92d Congress (1971-1972), an average of 26 votes have been taken in each Congress, and an average of ten of them have succeeded. Furthermore, in two of the last three Congresses, the Senate set new records for the number of cloture votes since 1917.

Table 1-5 lists the number of cloture motions filed and actually voted on in its first two columns, but, more intriguing are its last two columns, which document the number of business items that were the focus of cloture action. In this table, "business items" refers to individual measures or matters in relation to which one or more cloture motions were filed and/or voted on. In short, then, these last two columns track the number of "legislative battles" involving cloture motions since 1917. As is clear, many more items of business have been the subject of contentiousness, as measured by cloture activity. Since 1980, 135 separate business items have been subjected to one or more cloture motions; this indicates a more contentious period in the Senate, as only 118 similar items of business were the focus of cloture activity in the preceding 63 years.

The impact of cloture votes on a Senator's workload seems somewhat indirect and difficult to ascertain. Clearly, any time a Senator must go to the floor and cast a vote affects both his and his staff's schedules, as well as places demands on their information networks. But some cloture votes may involve more negotiations than others, and thus impact a Senator's workload more than a more routine cloture vote. Regardless, the recent rise in the frequency of cloture activity must be taken into account when assessing the modern Senator's workload.

#### QUANTITY AND COMPLEXITY OF FLOOR ACTIVITY

Figure 1-2 demonstrates the increase in both the quantity and complexity of floor activity in the Senate since 1947. The graph possesses two Y-axes, each of which has a different range of values. Thus, they are useful for graphing three sets of data that have drastically different minimum and maximum values. Attempting to graph these three sets on the same Y-axis would require a scale of 1 to 2,000; such a graph would not be very illuminating, as the lack of spacing between the points on the Y-axis would result in relatively flat lines. As both the Y-axis labels and the legend indicate, the two lines representing yea and nay and recorded votes are plotted on the primary (left-hand) Y-axis, while the pages per statute are graphed on the secondary Y-axis.

As noted in earlier sections, today's Senators both cast more yea- and nayvotes and attempt more cloture votes than their predecessors. Furthermore, the rise in the average number of pages-per-statute indicates these votes involve more complex legislative issues. Needless to say, these recent trends in the Senate place multiple burdens on Senators, staff, and daily schedules.

#### VETOES

Through the use of his veto power, the Chief Executive is a key player in the legislative process. Table 1-5 illustrates the frequency of vetoes, as well as of congressional attempts to override them. Pocket vetoes do not have as much impact on congressional workload, as Congress cannot formally override them. However, regular vetoes offer the possibility of further congressional action and therefore have the potential to impact the workload. While vetoes in current Congresses have not been as numerous as those of the early 1970s, they are nonetheless part of the overall legislative workload picture.

#### CONSIDERATION OF PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATIONS

The power to make appointments to critical positions in the Federal Government is shared between the President and the Senate. The President selects a nominee, and the Senate must approve or reject the nominations. Presidential appointments are not final until the Senate has confirmed them.

The consideration of Presidential nominations significantly adds to the Senate's workload. Various questionnaires, financial disclosure statements, and background reports must be assembled and perused by Senators. Formal confirmation hearings are held for higher level nominations, and informal meetings are the norm for many others. Thus, the Senate stage of the Presidential nominations process is labor-intensive and relatively time-consuming.<sup>4</sup>

Table 1-6 tracks the quantity of nominations received in the Senate from 1981 through 1992. There are three categories of Presidential nominations: civilian nominations, civilian lists, and the military. "Civilian" nominations are those of single individuals, and only involve *appointments* to Federal positions. The "civilian lists" are used only for certain organizations: the Coast Guard; Foreign Service: the uniformed service of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and the uniformed service of the Public Health Service. The "military" category includes the uniformed armed forces. The lists usually consist of multiple individuals, wherein the Senate votes on the entire list, and are used for both appointments and promotions within the aforementioned organizations. Regarding the workload of the Senate, it is the individual civilian appointments which consume the most time, as they involve appointments to important policy-making positions. Most of the civilian list and military selections involve rather routine appointments and promotions within the given organizations, and thus are routinely confirmed. This difference between the civilian category and the other two is seen in it possessing a lower percentage confirmed (81%) than both civilian list (97.8%) and military (99.1%).<sup>5</sup>

Three other trends stand out in Table 1-6. First, for obvious reasons, the Congresses immediately following a Presidential election experience the highest numbers of Presidential nominations. Second, more nominations are received in the first session of every Congress than in the second sessions. Finally, given the statistics for 1981, it appears that a change in party control of the Presidency spurs a large number of Presidential nominations. From these figures, we can estimate that the first session of the 103d Congress will place many demands on the Senate, as it deals with the nominations of the new President.

#### SUMMARY OF LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITY

A comparison of the Senate's legislative activity by session can be conducted using the final three tables of this section (Tables 1-7 through 1-9). While Table 1-7 essentially restates information found in previous tables, Tables 1-8 and 1-9 demonstrate the effect of the electoral process on the Senate's workload.

<sup>5</sup> *Ibid.* p. 1-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For a more analytical discussion of the process, see Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. "Senate Action on Nominations to Policy Positions in the Executive Branch, 1981-1992." CRS Report No. 93-464 GOV, by Rogelio Garcia. Washington, 1993.

Although the pattern is not completely consistent, first sessions tend to be longer in days. (In election years, perhaps Senators prefer to spend less time in session, thus allowing for more time in their states.) More bills and resolutions are introduced in the first session, but more are reported and passed in the second sessions. Finally, there are generally more floor votes in the first session than in the second.

#### ORS-12

TABLE 1-1.	U.S. Senate,	Legislative	Proposals:	1947-1992
------------	--------------	-------------	------------	-----------

		Bills and Joint Resolutions						Simple an	d Concurren	t Resolutions		Total Measures	
c	ongress	Introd.	Reptd.	Passed	Avg. no. measures per Member	Ratio of measures passed to introd.	Introd.	Reptd.	Passed	Avg. no. measures intro, per Member	Ratio of measures passed to introd.	Avg. no. measures intro per. Member	Ratio of measures passed to introd.
80	(1947-48)	3,186	1,835	1,670	33.2	0.524	343	257	242	3.6	0.706	36.8	0.542
81	(1949-50)	4,486	2,604	2,362	46.7	0.527	489	352	336	5.1	0.687	51.8	0.542
82	(1951-52)	8,665	1,991	1,849	38.2	0.505	444	813	304	4.6	0.685	42.8	0.524
83	(1953-54)	4,077	2,419	2,231	42.5	0.547	444	402	321	4.6	0.723	47.1	0.564
84	(1955-56)	4,518	2,663	2,550	47.1	0.564	417	392	330	4.3	0.791	51.4	0.584
85	(1957-58)	4,532	2,329	2,202	47.2	0.486	514	473	378	5.4	0.735	52.6	0.571
86	(1959-60)	4,149	1,778	1,680	42.3	0.405	509	461	368	5.2	0.723	47.5	0.440
87	(1961-62)	4,048	2,047	1,953	40.5	0.482	418	504	392	4.2	0.938	44.7	0.525
88	(1963-64)	3,457	1,365	1,341	34.6	0.388	480	448	350	4.8	0.729	39.4	0.430
89	(1965-66)	4,129	1,703	1,636	41.3	0.396	438	448	332	4.4	0.758	45.7	0.431
90	(1967-68)	4,400	1,457	1,376	44.0	0.313	506	455	355	5.1	0.702	49.1	0.353
91	(1969-70)	4,867	1,270	1,271	48.7	0.216	599	438	405	6.0	0.676	54.7	0.307
92	(1971-72)	4,408	1,026	1,035	44.1	0.235	488	276	336	4.9	0.689	49.0	0.280
93	(1973-74)	4,524	1,094	1,115	45.2	0.246	603	330	449	6.0	0.745	51.2	0.305
94	(1975-76)	4,114	988	1,038	41.1	0.252	798	387	514	8.0	0.644	49.1	0.816
95	(1977-78)	3,800	1,014	1,070	38.0	0.282	713	464	526	7.1	0.738	45.1	0.354
96	(1979-80)	3,480	862	977	34.8	0.281	714	441	506	7.1	0.709	41.9	0.354
97	(1981-82)	3,396	708	786	34.0	0.232	668	811	424	6.7	0.635	40.7	0.298
98	(1983-84)	3,454	835	936	34.5	0.271	643	303	386	6.4	0.600	41.0	0.323
99	(1985-86)	3,386	881	940	33.9	0.278	694	192	391	6.9	0.563	40.8	0.326
100	(1987-88)	3,325	773	1,002	33.3	0.301	688	136	428	6.9	0.622	40.1	0.356
101	(1989-90)	3,669	803	980	36.7	0.267	515	94	341	5.2	0.662	41.8	0.316
102	(1991-92)	3,738	638	947	37.4	0.253	507	81	330	5.1	0.651	42.5	0.301

Sources: Data for the 80th-99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th-102d Congresses are compiled from the Daily Digest of the Congressional Record.

 $^{1}$  These figures include both Senate and House bills and joint resolutions.

.

 $^2$  These figures include both Senate and House simple and concurrent resolutions.

<sup>3</sup> Occasionally measures are taken up on the floor without having been referred to or reported by committees, which partially accounts for the passed sometimes exceeding the number reported.



# FIGURE 1-1. US Congress, Total Measures Introduced: 80th-102d Congresses

Total Sen. Measures Total Hise. Measures

	T	ABLE 1-2. U.S	. Senate, Floor A	ctivity: 1945-19	92	
C	ongress	Days in session	Hours in session	Hours per day in session	No. of quorum calls	No. of yea & nay votes
79	(1945-46)	304	NA	NA	396	227
80	(1947-48)	257	1,462	5.7	577	248
81	(1949-50)	389	2,410	6.2	857	455
82	(1951-52)	287	1,648	5.7	410	331
83	(1953-54)	294	1,962	6.7	464	270
84	(1955-56)	224	1,362	6.1	156	224
85	(1957-58)	271	1,876	6.9	253	313
86	(1959-60)	280	2,199	7.9	187	422
87	(1961-62)	323	2,164	6.7	115	434
88	(1963-64)	375	2,395	6.4	335	541
89	(1965-66)	345	1,814	5.3	117	497
90	(1967-68)	358	1,961	5.5	128 <sup>1</sup>	595 <sup>2</sup>
91	(1969-70)	384	2,352	6.1	69	667
92	(1971-72)	348	2,294	6.6	72	955
93	(1973-74)	334	2,028	6.1	60	1,138
94	(1975-76)	320	2,210	6.9	155	1,311
95	(1977-78)	337	2,510	7.4	90	1,156
96	(1979-80)	333	2,324	7.0	61	1,055
97	(1981-82)	312	2,160	6.9	66	966
98	(1983-84)	281	1,951	6.9	37	673
99	(1985-86)	313	2,531	8.1	36	740
100	(1987-88)	307	2,342	7.6	62	799
101	(1989-90)	274	2,254	8.2	14	638
102	(1991-92)	287	2,291	8.0	8	550

Sources: Data for the 79th-99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th-102d Congresses are compiled from the Daily Digest of the Congressional Record.

NA - These data are not available. <sup>1</sup> This figure does not include 3 quorum calls which did not develop quorums. <sup>2</sup> This figure does not include 1 yea and nay vote which was ruled invalid for lack of a quorum.

CRS-15	•
--------	---

	TAB	LE 1-3. U.S. Co	ongress, Number	and Length of I	Bills Enacted: 19	47-92	
		Public Bills			Private Bills		
c	ongress	No. of bills enacted	Pages of bills enacted	Pages per statute	No. of bills enacted	Pages of bills enacted	Pages per statute
80	(1947-48)	906	2,236	2.5	458	182	0.40
81	(1949-50)	921	2,314	2.5	1,103	417	0.38
82	(1951-52)	594	1,585	2.7	1,023	360	0.35
83	(1953-54)	781	1,899	2.4	1,002	365	0.36
84	(1955-56)	1,028	1,848	1.8	893	364	0.41
85	(1957-58)	936	2,435	2.6	784	349	0.45
86	(1959-60)	800	1,774	2.2	492	201	0.41
87	(1961-62)	885	2,078	2.4	684	255	0.37
88	(1963-64)	666	1,975	3.0	360	144	0.40
89	(1965-66)	810	2,912	3.6	473	188	0.40
90	(1967-68)	640	2,304	3.6	362	128	0.35
91	(1969-70)	695	2,927	4.2	246	104	0.42
92	(1971-72)	607	2,330	3.8	161	67	0.42
93	(1973-74)	649	3,443	5.3	123	48	0.39
94	(1975-76)	588	4,121	7.0	141	75	0.53
95	(1977-78)	633	5,403	8.5	170	75	0.44
96	(1979-80)	613	4,947	8.1	123	66	0.54
97	(1981-82)	473	4,343	9.2	56	25	0.45
98	(1983-84)	623	4,893	7.8	54	26	0.48
<del>99</del>	(1985-86)	664	7,198	10.8	24	NA	NA
100	(1987-88)	713	4,839	6.8	48	NA	NA
101	(1989-90)	650	5,767	8.9	16	NA	NA
102	(1991-92)	590	7,544	12.8	20	NA	NA

Sources: Data for the 80th-97th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of House of Representatives' Workload and Activity. Report No. 87-492 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 98th-102d Congresses are compiled from Congressional Quarterly, Inc.. Vital Statistics on Congress, 1991-1992, by Norman J. Ornstein, Thomas Mann, and Michael J. Malbin. Washington, 1992.

NA - These data are not available.

<b>CRS-16</b>	
---------------	--

	TAI	<u>3LE 1-4. Atte</u>	empted and S	<u>uccessful Clo</u> t I	<u>ure Votes, 19</u>	19-1992		
		lst	session	2nd s	ession	Total		
C	ongress	attempted	successful	attempted	successful	attempted	successful	
66	(1919-20)	1	1	0	0	11	1	
67	(1921-22)	1	0	1	0	2	0	
68	1923-24)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
69	(1925-26)	0	0	2	1	2	1	
70	(1927-28)	5	2	0	0	5	2	
71	(1929-30)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
72	(1931-32)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
73	(1933-34)	1	0	0	0	1	0	
74	(1935-36)	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	
75	(1937-38)	0	0	2	0	2	0	
76	(1939-40)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
77	(1941-42)	0	0	1	1	1	1	
78	(1943-44)	0	0	11	1	1	1	
79	(1945-46)	0	0	4	0	4_	0	
80	(1947-48)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
81	(1949-50)	0	0	2	0	2	0	
	(1951-52)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
83	(1953-54)	0	0	11	0	1	0	
84	(1955-56)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
85	(1957-58)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
86	(1959-60)	0	0	11	0	1	0	
87	(1961-62)	1	0	3	1	4	1	
88	(1963-64)	1	0	2	1	3	1	
89	(1965-66)	2	1	5	0	7	1	
90	(1967-68)	1	0	5	1	6	1	
91	(1969-70)	2	0	4	0	6	0	
92	(1971-72)	10	2	10	2	20	4	
93	(1973-74)	10	2	21	7	31	9	
94	(1975-76)	23	13	4	4	27	17	
95	(1977-78)	5	1	8	2	13	3	
96	(1979-80)	4	1	17	9	21	10	
97	(1981-82)	7	2	20	7	27	9	
98	(1983-84)	7	2	12	9	19	11	
99	(1985-86)	9	1	14	9	23	10	
100	(1987-88)	24	6	20	66	44	12	
101	(1989-90)	9	6	15	5	24		
102	(1991-92)	21	9	28	14	49	23	

Sources: Data for the 66th-99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th-102d Congresses are derived from the SCORPIO bill status system. .

			• of cloture otions	which clo	business on ture motions were
Congre ss	Years	Filed	Voted on	Filed	Voted on
66-85	1917- 1958 <sup>1</sup>	32	22	30	20
86-91	1959- 1970 <sup>2</sup>	29	27	18	18
92	1971- 1972	24	20	9	8
93	1973- 1974	45	31	17	14
94	1975- 1976 <sup>3</sup>	39	27	18	15
95	1977- 1978	25	13	12	6
96	1979- 1980	33	21	14	10
97	1981- 1982	33	27	9	9
98	1983- 1984	41	19	17	14
99	1985- 1986	41	23	20	13
100	1987- 1988	54	44	23	20
101	1989- 1990	37	24	24	18
102	1991- 1992	62	49	42	32

TABLE 1-5. Frequency of Cloture Action, 1917-1992

Sources: Congressional Record, Daily Digest, SCORPIO, and LEGIS, and Senate Journal. Compiled by Richard Beth, Specialist in American National Government. Congressional Research Service.

<sup>1</sup> Period during which cloture could not be moved on motions to proceed to consider. Includes periods during which cloture required (1) two thirds of Senators present and voting or (2) two thirds of the full Senate.

 $^2$  Beginning of period during which cloture required two thirds of Senators present and voting, except that rules changes still required two thirds of the full Senate, but cloture could be moved on motions to proceed to consider.

<sup>3</sup> Beginning of period during which cloture requires three fifths of the full Senate, except that rules changes require two thirds of Senators present and voting.



FIG. 1-2. Senate Floor Activity Increasing Quantity & Complexity

			TABLI	E 1-6. U.S. Congre	ss, Vetoes: 1	947-1992		
					Vetoes	Overridden		
c	ongress	No. of regular vetoes	No. of pocket vetoes	Total no. of presidential vetoes	No.	% of regular vetoes	House attempts to override vetoes	Senate attempts to override vetoes
80	(1947-48)	42	33	75	6	14.3	8	8
81	(1949-50)	70	9	79	3	4.3	δ	5
82	(1951-52)	14	8	22	8	21.4	4	4
83	(1953-54)	21	31	52	0	-	0	0
84	(1955-56)	12	22	34	0	-	1	1
85	(1957-58)	18	33	51	0	-	1	1
86	(1959-60)	22	22	44	2	9.1	5	6
87	(1961-62)	11	9	20	0	-	0	0
88	(1963-64)	5	4	9	0	-	0	0
89	(1965-66)	10	4	14	0	-	0	0
<b>9</b> 0	(1967-68)	2	6	8	0	-	0	0
91	(1969-70)	7	4	11	2	28.6	4	4
92	(1971-72)	6	14	20	2	33.3	8	4
93	(1973-74)	27	12	89	5	18.5	12	10
94	(1975-76)	82	5	37	8	25.0	17	15
95	(1977-78)	6	13	19	0	-	2	0
96	(1979-80)	7 .	5	12	2	28.6	2	2
97	(1981-82)	9	6	15	2	22.2	4	3
98	(1988-84)	9	15	24	2	22.2	2	2
99	(1985-86)	13	7	20	2	10.0	S	3
100	(1987-88)	8	11	19	3	37.5	5	5
101	(1989-90)	16	5	21	0	0.0	9	5
102	(1991-92)	15	10	25	1	6.6	8	8

Sources: Data for the 80th-99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of House of Representatives' Workload and Activity. Report No. 87-492 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th Congress were collected from U.S. Congress. Secretary of the Senate. Presidential Vetoes, 1789-1988. Washington, U.S. G.P.O., 1992. Data for the 101st and 102d Congresses are compiled from Congressional Quarterly, Inc.. "President Bush's Vetoes". CQ Weekly Report. Washington, December 19, 1992. p. 3925.

				TABLE	1-7. Senate	Action On A	ll Presidenti	al Nominatic	ons, 1981 - 19	92				
	102nd C	ongress	101st C	ongress	100th C	ongress	99th Co	ongress	98th Co	ingress	97th Congress			
Nominations	1st Sess 1991	2nd Sess 1992 <sup>1</sup>	lst Sess 1989	2nd Sess 1990 <sup>1</sup>	lst Sess 1987	2nd Sess 1988 <sup>1</sup>	lst Sess 1985	2nd Sess 1986 <sup>1</sup>	lst Sess 1983	2nd Sess 1984	lst Sess 1981	2nd Sess 1982	Total	Percent <sup>2</sup>
All Civilian														
Received Confirmed Withdrawn Returned Rejected	3,376 8,256 9 10 0	2,661 2,541 4 193 0	3,564 3,399 34 51 1	2,364 2,145 11 287 0	4,718 4,569 10 16 1	2,670 2,357 11 424 0	3,719 3,603 7 40 0	2,046 2,037 8 70 0	3,454 2,978 2 474 0	4,127 4,001 2 107 0	5,037 4,325 33 8 0	2,759 3,843 21 54 0	40,495 38,554 152 1,784 2	95.2% .4% 4.3% .0%
Civilian														
Received Confirmed Withdrawn Returned Rejected	536 420 8 10 0	408 289 4 189 0	586 422 34 50 1	435 430 11 73 0	470 831 10 16 1	404 335 11 170 0	606 491 7 40 0	420 411 8 69 0	na na na na na	na na na na na	na na na na na	na na ma na	3,865 3,129 93 617 2	81.0% 2.4% 16.0% .0%
<u>Civilian Lists</u> <sup>3</sup>														
Received Confirmed Withdrawn Returned Rejected	2,840 2,836 1 0 0	2,253 2,252 0 4 0	2,978 2,977 0 1 0	1,929 1,715 0 214 0	4,248 4,238 0 0 0	2,266 2,022 0 254 0	8,113 3,112 0 0 0	1,626 1,626 0 1 0	na na na na	na na na na na	na na na na na	na na na na	21,253 20,778 1 474 0	97.8% .0% 2.2% .0%
Military <sup>4</sup>														
Received Confirmed Withdrawn Returned Rejected	41,993 41,924 3 0 0	28,416 28,078 8 396 0	44,870 42,186 0 1 0	42,570 40,348 4 4,902 0	47,211 41,835 0 4 0	34,594 39,960 2 4 0	55,924 52,415 1 0 0	34,248 37,856 0 0 0	52,587 52,558 0 3 0	37,699 37,725 0 0 0	101,583 100,959 0 0 0	75,694 76,217 1 0 0	597,289 592,061 18 5,310 0	99.1% .0% .9% .0%
Summary <sup>5</sup>														
Received Confirmed Withdrawn Returned Rejected	45,369 45,180 12 10 0	31,077 30,619 12 589 0	48,434 45,585 34 52 1	44,934 42,493 14 5,189 0	51,929 46,404 10 20 1	37,264 42,317 13 428 0	59,643 55,918 8 40 0	36,294 39,893 8 70 0	56,041 55,536 2 477 0	41,826 41,726 2 107 0	106,620 105,284 33 8 0	78,363 79,560 22 54 0	637,794 630,515 170 7,041 2	98.9% .0% 1.1% .0%

Source: Compiled from Journal of the Executive Proceedings of the Senate for 1985-1991; and Congressional Record (daily edition), Jan. 5, 1993, p. D2. Rogelio Garcia, Analyst in American National Government, Congressional Research Service.

na Not available

 $^{1}$  Some nominations were carried over from the First Session.

 $^2$  May not add up to 100% because of rounding.

<sup>3</sup> Includes civilian nominations for appointments to, and promotions in, the Coast Guard, Foreign Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and Public Health Service.

<sup>4</sup> Includes nominations to and promotions in the military services (Air Force, Army, Navy, and Marine Corps).

<sup>5</sup> The statistics provided by the Journal of the Executive Proceedings of the Senate are incomplete. The number of nominations confirmed, withdrawn, returned, and rejected, do not add up to the number of nominations received for the various Congresses. It is assumed that the difference is made up of nominations that were not confirmed but that were not accounted for at the end of the Second Session of a Congress. In Table 2, nominations that were listed as "unconfirmed" at the end of the Second Session of a Congress are listed under the "returned" category.

Congress		Time in session		Number of hills <sup>1</sup>			Num	ber of resol	utions <sup>2</sup>	No. of	No. of	Attempts to
		Days Hours		Introd. Repdt. <sup>3</sup> Passed		Passed <sup>3</sup>	Introd. Repdt. <sup>3</sup> ]		Passed <sup>3</sup>	quorum calls	yea & nay votes	override vetoes
80	(1947-48)	257	1,462	3, 186	1,835	1,670	343	257	242	677	248	8
81	(1949-50)	389	2,410	4,486	2,604	2,362	489	352	336	857	455	5
82	(1951-52)	287	1,648	3,665	1,991	1,849	444	313	304	410	331	4
83	(1953-54)	294	1,962	4,077	2,419	2,231	444	402	321	464	270	0
84	(1955-56)	224	1,362	4,518	2,663	2,550	417	392	330	156	224	1
85	(1957-58)	271	1,876	4,532	2,329	2,202	514	473	378	253	313	1
86	(1959-60)	280	2,199	4,149	1,778	1,680	509	461	368	187	422	6
87	(1961-62)	323	2,164	4,048	2,047	1,953	418	504	392	115	434	0
88	(1963-64)	375	2,395	3,457	1,365	1,341	480	448	350	335	541	0
89	(1965-66)	845	1,814	4,129	1,703	1,636	438	448	332	117	491	0
90	(1967-68)	358	1,961	4,400	1,457	1,376	506	455	355	1284	595 <sup>5</sup>	0
91	(1969-70)	384	2,352	4,867	1,270	1,271	599	438	405	69	667	4
92	(1971-72)	348	2,294	4,408	1,026	1,035	488	276	336	72	955	4
93	(1973-74)	334	2,028	4,524	1,094	1,115	603	330	449	60	1,138	10
94	(1975-76)	320	2,210	4,114	988	1,038	798	387	514	155	1,811	15
95	(1977-78)	337	2,510	3,800	1,014	1,070	713	464	526	90	1,156	0
96	(1979-80)	333	2,324	3,480	862	977	714	441	506	61	1,055	2
97	(1981-82)	312	2,160	3,396	708	786	668	311	424	66	966	3
98	(1983-84)	281	1,951	3,454	835	936	643	303	380	37	673	2
99	(1985-86)	313	2,531	3,386	831	940	694	192	391	36	740	3
100	(1987-88)	307	2,842	3,325	778	1,002	688	136	428	62	799	5
101	(1989-90)	274	2,254	8,669	803	980	515	94	341	14	638	5
102	(1991-92)	287	2,291	3,738	638	947	507	81	330	8	550	8

.

.

.

TABLE 1-8. U.S. Senate, Legislative Activity: 1947-1992

#### UKS-25

Sources: Data for the 80th-99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th-102d Congresses are compiled from the Daily Digest of the Congressional Record.

 $^{1}$  These figures include both Senate and House bills and joint resolutions.

 $^{2}$  These figures include both Senate and House concurrent and simple resolutions.

<sup>3</sup> Occasionally measures are taken up on the floor without having been referred to or reported by committees, which partially accounts for the number passed sometimes exceeding the number reported.

 $^4$  This figure does not include 3 quorum calls which did not develop a quorum.

 $^5$  This figure does not include one yea and nay vote which was ruled invalid due to a lack of a quorum.

#### **CKS-24**

Congress		Time in session		Number of bills <sup>1</sup>			Num	ber of resolu	tions <sup>2</sup>	No. of	No. of	Attempts to
		Days Hours		Introd. Repdt. <sup>3</sup> Pa		Passed <sup>3</sup>	Introd. Repdt. <sup>3</sup>		Passed <sup>3</sup> quorum calls		yea & nay votes	override vetoes
80	(1947-48)	143	808	2,094	842	723	218	181	118	332	138	2
81	(1949-50)	186	1,145	2,902	1,160	1,035	258	170	166	413	226	1
82	(1951752)	172	997	2,460	952	883	283	180	177	289	202	2
83	(1953-54)	125	764	2,712	789	705	219	180	146	188	89	0
84	(1955-56)	105	560	2,863	1,244	1,182	207	182	143	62	88	1
85	(1957-58)	133	861	3,043	1,098	1,034	254	221	176	139	111	0
86	(1959-60)	140	1,010	2,881	916	862	280	231	190	54	215	4
87	(1961-62)	146	1,005	2,789	987	944	282	252	189	88	207	0
88	(1963-64)	189	1,045	2,558	661	673	314	229	188	55	229	0
89	(1965-66)	177	961	2,853	817	797	231	218	170	45	259	0
90	(1967-68)	200	1,091	2,953	819	783	254	233	182	80	315	0
91	(1969-70)	176	927	3,472	500	483	854	245	201	29	245	0
92	(1971-72)	186	1,157	3,218	449	442	275	136	162	33	423	2
93	(1973-74)	166	960	3,044	521	524	290	155	202	26	594	6
94	(1975-76)	178	1,177	2,997	414	434	427	173	248	92	611	5
95	(1977-78)	178	1,144	2,489	395	413	407	243	292	65	636	0
96	(1979-80)	167	1,159	2,320	396	391	392	245	261	19	509	0
97	(1981-82)	165	1,080	2,142	277	268	336	155	220	11	497	0
98	(1983-84)	150	1,007	2,407	410	390	388	160	200	18	381	1
99	(1985-86)	170	1,253	2,255	361	373	396	116	211	20	381	1
100	(1987-88)	170	1,215	2,237	320	384	448	78	232	36	420	3
101	(1989-90)	136	1,008	2,234	332	405	314	56	200	11	312	2
102	(1991-92)	158	1,200	2,374	293	432	327	58	194	3	280	1

TABLE 1-9. U.S. Senate, Legislative Activity: First Sessions, 1947-1992

Sources: Data for the 80th-99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th-102d Congresses are compiled from the Daily Digest of the Congressional Record.

<sup>1</sup> These figures include both Senate and House bills and joint resolutions.

.

.

 $^{2}$  These figures include both Senate and House concurrent and simple resolutions.

<sup>3</sup> Occasionally measures are taken up on the floor without having been referred to or reported by committees, which partially accounts for the number passed sometimes exceeding the number reported.

#### 010-20

Congress		Time in session		Number of bills <sup>1</sup>			Num	ber of resolu	tions <sup>2</sup>	No. of	No. of	Altempts
		Days Hours		Introd. Repdt. <sup>3</sup> Pas		Passed <sup>3</sup>	Introd. Repdt. <sup>3</sup> Passed <sup>3</sup>			quorum calls	yea & nay votes	to override vetoes
80	(1947-48)	114	654	1,092	993	947	125	126	124	245	110	6
81	(1949-50)	203	1,265	1,584	1,444	1,327	231	182	170	444	229	4
82	(1951-52)	115	651	1,205	1,039	966	161	133	127	121	129	2
83	(1953-54)	169	1,198	1,365	1,630	1,526	225	222	175	276	181	0
84	(1955-56)	119	802	1,655	1,419	1,368	210	210	187	94	136	0
85	(1957-58)	138	1,015	1,489	1,231	1,168	260	252	202	114	202	1
86	(1959-60)	140	1,189	1,268	862	818	229	230	178	133	207	2
87	(1961-62)	177	1,159	1,259	1,060	1,009	136	252	203	82	227	0
88	(1963-64)	186	1,350	899	704	668	166	219	162	280	312	0
89	(1965-66)	168	853	1,276	886	839	207	230	162	72	238	0
90	(1967-68)	158	870	1,447	638	593	252	222	173	48 <sup>4</sup>	$280^{5}$	0
91	(1969-70)	208	1,425	1,895	770	788	245	193	204	40	422	4
92	(1971-72)	162	1,137	1,190	577	593	213	140	174	39	532	2
93	(1973-74)	168	1,068	1,480	573	591	313	175	247	34	544	4
94	(1975-76)	142	1,033	1,117	574	604	371	214	266	63	700	10
95	(1977-78)	159	1,365	1,311	619	657	306	221	234	25	520	0
96	(1979-80)	166	1, 165	1,160	466	586	322	196	245	42	546	2
97	(1981-82)	147	1,080	1,254	431	518	332	156	204	55	469	3
98	(1983-84)	131	940	1,047	425	544	255	143	182	19	292	1
99	(1985-86)	143	1,278	1,131	470	567	298	76	180	16	359	2
100	(1987-88)	137	1,127	1,088	453	618	240	58	196	26	379	2
101	(1989-90)	138	1,250	1,435	471	575	201	38	141	3	326	3
102	(1991-92)	129	1,091	1,364	345	515	180	23	196	5	270	7

TABLE 1-10. U.S. Senate, Legislative Activity: Second Sessions, 1947-1992

#### U110-20

Sources: Data for the 80th-99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th-102d Congresses are compiled from the Daily Digest of the Congressional Record.

 $^{1}$  These figures include both Senate and House bills and joint resolutions.

 $^2$  These figures include both Senate and House concurrent and simple resolutions.

<sup>3</sup> Occasionally measures are taken up on the floor without having been referred to or reported by committees, which partially accounts for the number passed sometimes exceeding the number reported.

 $^{4}$  This figure does not include 3 quorum calls which did not develop a quorum.

.

 $^{5}$  This figure does not include 1 yea and nay vote which was ruled invalid for lack of a quorum.

#### THE COMMITTEE SYSTEM

### NUMBERS OF COMMITTEES AND SUBCOMMITTEES

Table 2-1 documents the evolution of the Senate's committee system from 1945 through 1992. As the data indicate, the numbers of committees and subcommittees have tended to climb slowly until the Senate scales them back as part of a reorganization effort. This pattern of a steady increase followed by a sharp cutback has occurred twice since 1945.

The Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 reduced the number of standing committees in the Senate from 33 to 15, and the number of select and special committees from 7 to 3. From the time of this reduction to 1976, the number of standing committees increased only from 15 to 18, but their subcommittees doubled from 61 to 122. In 1976, the Senate possessed a total of 180 panels: 18 standing committees; 6 special and select committees; 7 joint committees; and 149 subcommittees.

In order to rectify the situation, a Temporary Select Committee was established; its mission was to recommend changes in the committee system. These recommendations resulted in S. Res. 4, which was passed in 1977. The resolution eliminated three standing committees (District of Columbia, Post Office and Civil Service, and Aeronautical and Space Sciences), and reduced subcommittees by approximately one-third.

Between 1977 and 1984, the total number of panels remained relatively unchanged. However, in the 97th Congress, the Select Committee on Small Business was converted into a standing committee. Also during this time, the Select Committee on Indian Affairs was created, and made permanent in 1984.

In 1984, concern over the effects of the number of committees and subcommittees on Senators' assignments and thus their daily schedules led to the creation of a second Temporary Select Committee to Study the Senate Committee System. The panel concluded that "if Senators will agree to reduce their committee assignments, our committees will be better able to perform their duties and the Senate as a whole will be taken more seriously as a reliable and informed national policymaker."<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, the Select Committee's recommendations emphasized assignment limits, recommended membership levels, and set numbers of subcommittees. Specifically, the committee proposed: (1) eliminating exceptions to the assignment limits that had been granted to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> U.S. Senate. Temporary Select Committee to Study the Senate Committee System. Report Together with Proposed Resolutions. S. Rept. 98-254 (98th Congress, 2nd session), p. 5.

Senators; (2) reducing the number of seats on "A" and "B" committees; (3) limiting to five the number of subcommittees that could be created by any standing committee (with the exception of Appropriations, which would retain its 13 subcommittees); and (4) adopting a "nine-unit rule," limiting Senators to a total of nine assignments on committees and subcommittees.

In response to the Temporary Select Committee's work, in the 99th Congress the Senate reduced its number of standing subcommittees by 14. In the 100th Congress, the number was further reduced by 3. From there, however, the number climbed to 87 in the 102d Congress. The Temporary Select Committee's work also resulted in an elimination of subcommittees of select and special committees, although one was created in the 101st Congress. While the number of full committees-- standing, special and select, and joint-- has remained steady since 1984, the number of joint subcommittees has increased modestly. Regardless, since 1984, the total number of Senate panels has been lower than at any time in the past 30 years.

#### **COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS**

Table 2-2 lists the total number of assignments to seats on standing and special and select committees, as well as their subcommittees, since 1945. It also provides the average number of assignments to each of these types of panels for the period. These data follow a pattern similar to that of Table 2-1, which tracks the total number of committees and subcommittees since 1945. That is, after the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 scaled down the numbers of committees and subcommittees, the period from 1955 through 1976 saw the total number of assignments to *all* committees and subcommittees slowly climb from 874 to 1,557 (data are not available for 1947-1954). The figure is then reduced sharply, due to the effects of the 1976 Reorganization (S. Res. 4); however, it begins to rise again, until it is reduced due to the 1984 Reorganization. The figure has resumed its rise since 1985, and stood at 1,145 at the end of the 102d Congress.

The number of assignments (i.e., seats) to standing and special and select committees, as well as to their subcommittees, registered most of its increases from the mid-1960s through 1976. In 1976, when the Stevenson-Brock Committee studied the committee system, there were 1,557 seats available to Senators. The average Senator possessed 15.6 assignments: 2-3 on standing committees; nearly 10 on subcommittees; and 3-4 places on special, select or joint committees (and their subcommittees). Even more remarkable is the fact that these figures do not include Senators' seats on other official bodies-- party committees, panels, study groups, and boards and commissions. Including such seats, there were 1,999 positions to be filled by the 100 Senators in 1976-- an average of nearly 20 assignments per Senator.<sup>7</sup>

This unmanageable number of assignments provided the impetus for the

<sup>7</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 6.

scaling down of the Senate's committee structure in 1977. The total number of committee and subcommittee seats were cut by approximately one-third. Hit the hardest were seats on subcommittees of both standing and special and select committees, which were reduced by 32 percent and 70 percent, respectively. These changes resulted in a reduction of about five assignments for the average Senator. However, between 1977 and 1984, the total number of all committee and subcommittee seats again edged upward; this was fueled mainly by an increase in standing committee and subcommittee seats.

When the Temporary Select Committee to Study the Senate Committee System was formed in 1984, Senators held an average of nearly 12 assignments to both standing and select and special committees, as well as their subcommittees. The Committee discovered that 50 Senators-- half the chamber's membership-- had been granted a total of 55 exceptions from the assignment limits that had been adopted in 1977.<sup>8</sup> Thus, the panel recommended, among other things: banning all exceptions to the assignment limits; enforcing the 1977 rules strictly; and cutting back on the number of committee and subcommittee slots. As a result, 14 standing subcommittees and a total of 120 assignments were eliminated; this resulted in the average Senator losing one assignment. Despite these efforts, the total number of assignments to standing committees, has swelled from 1,075 in 1985 to 1,145 in 1992. As was the case for the 1977-1984 increase, this growth was mainly propelled by increases in the number of standing committee and subcommittee seats.

#### EFFECTS OF SUBCOMMITTEE GROWTH ON SENATORS' ASSIGNMENTS

Figure 1-3 graphically illustrates the impact of the growth of subcommittees on Senators' total assignments. The graph possesses two Y-axes, each of which has a different range of values. Thus, they are useful for graphing three sets of data that have drastically different minimum and maximum values. Attempting to graph these three sets on the same Y-axis would require a scale of 1 to 200; such a graph would not be very illuminating, as the lack of spacing between the points on the Y-axis would result in relatively flat lines. As both the Y-axis labels and the legend indicate, the bars representing both average number of subcommittee and committee assignments are plotted on the primary (left-hand) Y-axis, while the total number of subcommittees are graphed on the secondary Y-axis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> U.S. Senate. Temporary Select Committee to Study the Senate Committee System. Report Together with Proposed Resolutions. S. Rept. 98-254 (98th Congress, 2nd session), p. 5.

Above it was noted that Senators' total number of assignments to all committees and subcommittees has steadily risen since 1947, interrupted only by sharp cutbacks in 1977 and 1985. It was also noted that, while they rose slightly, standing committees were not as "responsible" for this growth as were their subcommittees. This is supported by Figure 1-3, where both the line representing the total number of subcommittees and the bar representing Senators' average number of subcommittee assignments rise from 1947-1977, followed by a sharp drop, which is subsequently followed by another rise until the restructuring of 1985. Indeed, during the time periods of subcommittee growth, the line representing total subcommittees "pulls" the bar representing average number of subcommittee assignments with it. Meanwhile, the bar representing the average number of committee assignments is not as volatile, climbing only from 2 to 3 since 1947.

#### **COMMITTEE SIZES**

Table 2-3 presents data that document the average size of standing committees and their subcommittees in the Senate since 1945. While Table 2-1 indicates that the overall *number* of Senate standing committees has remained relatively stable in these years, this table demonstrates that the *size* of these committees has grown over the years. When the 1946 Reorganization Act was implemented in 1947, the average committee had slightly more than 13 members; in 1992, the average committee had more than 18 members. During this same time period, subcommittees have grown from an average of about five members to eight. Although they are not large, these changes are significant because Senate committees are relatively small to begin with. Furthermore, in specific cases, the changes are striking. For example, in 1947, Senate standing committees were fixed at 13 members, except for the 21-member Appropriations Committee. Today, Appropriations has 29 members, Budget (created in 1974) has 21, and five others have 20.

Some of this increase can be attributed to changes made by the Senate in the committee system. For example, when the Senate eliminated several of its committees in 1977, the size of the average committee rose by two members. Further, the 100th Congress maintained the same number of standing committees and reduced their total subcommittees by three, but increased both units' average size. Since the 100th Congress, the average size of subcommittees has increased from 8.1 to 8.5 Senators, while that of standing committees has remained steady at approximately 18.5.

Tables 2-4 through 2-19 provide a committee-by-committee listing of size, number of subcommittees, and number of assignments for all standing committees of the Senate. The figures cover the period from 1947 through 1992; for committees created after 1947, the data encompass the entire life span.
		Standi	Standing Committees		Select and Special Committees		t Committees	Total Panels
	Congress	No.	No. of Subc.	No.	No. of Subc.	No.	No. of Subc.	]
79	(1945-46)	33	57	7	10	6	NA	NA
80	(1947-48)	15	61	3	NA	6	NA	NA
81	1949-50)	15	63	2	NA	10	NA	NA
82	1951-52)	15	65	3	NA	9	NA	NA
83	1958-54)	15	66	1	NA	10	NA	NA
84	1955-56)	15	87	5	NA	11	11	NA
85	1957-58)	16	85	4	4	9	12	130
86	1959-60)	16	87	5	0	12	8	128
87	1961-62)	16	88	2	6	11	6	129
88	1963-64)	16	85	3	6	11	13	134
89	1965-66)	16	92	8	6	11	14	142
90	1967-68)	16	98	5	12	11	15	157
91	1969-70)	16	101	5	12	10	15	159
92	1971-72)	17	115	5	13	8	15	173
93	1978-74)	18	127	7	13	9	16	190
94	1975-76)	18	122	6	13	7	14	180
95	(1977-78)	15	96	6	$12^{I}$	4	5	138
96	(1979-80)	15	90	5	10	4	5	129
97	(1981-82)	16	101	4	4	4	6	135
98	(1983-84)	16	102	5	4	4	6	137
99	(1985-86)	16	88	4	0	4	6	118
100	(1987-88)	16	85	5	0	4	8	118
101	(1989-90)	16	86	4	1	4	8	119
102	(1991-92)	16	87 <sup>2</sup>	4	0	4	8	119

TABLE 2-1. U.S. Senate, Number of Committees and Their Subcommittees: 1945-1992

Sources: Data for the 79th-100th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carl Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 101st and 102d Congresses are compiled from Monitor Publishing Co., Congressional Yellow Book.

NA - This information is not readily available.

 ${}^{I}$  This figure includes one Ad Hoc Working Group of the Select Committee on Intelligence.

 $^2$  This figure excludes one task force of a standing committee.

			Tota	l no. of assignn	ients			Mean no.	of committee ass	ignmente	
С	ongress	Standing comm.	Subc. of Standing comm.	Select, Special, & Joint Comm.	Subc. of Select, Special, & Joint Comm.	Total	Standing comm.	Sube, of Standing comm.	Select, special, & joint comm.	Subc. of Select, special, & joint comm.	Total Panels
79	(1945-46)	489	437	98	NA	NA	5.09	4.55	1.02	NA	NA
80	(1947-48)	201	326	62	NA	NA	2.09	3.40	0.65	NA	NA
81	(1949-50)	203	313	62	NA	NA	2.12	3.26	0.62	NA	NA
82	(1951-52)	203	332	67	NA	NA	2.12	3.46	0.70	NA	NA
83	(1953-54)	211	373	63	NA	NA	2.20	3.89	0.66	NA	NA
84	(1955-56)	212	514	100	48	874	2.21	5.35	1.04	0.50	9.10
85	(1957-58)	228	530	98	36	892	2.38	5.52	1.02	0.38	9.29
86	(1959-60)	250	631	116	66	1,063	2.50	6.31	1.16	0.66	10.63
87	(1961-62)	240	636	95	59	1,030	2.40	6.36	0.95	0.59	10.30
88	(1963-64)	256	660	101	86	1,103	2.56	6.60	1.01	0.86	11.03
99	(1965-66)	250	727	101	154	1,232	2.50	7.27	1.01	1.54	12.32
90	(1967-68)	252	752	120	165	1,289	2.52	7.52	1.20	1.65	12.89
91	(1969-70)	245	797	110	184	1,336	2.45	7.97	1.10	1.84	13.36
92	(1971-72)	247	895	124	197	1,463	2.47	8.95	1.24	1.97	14.63
93	(1973-74)	258	946	148	217	1,569	2.58	9.46	1.48	2.17	15.69
94	(1975-76)	256	969	120	228	1,557	2.40	9.69	1.20	2.28	15.57
95	(1977-78)	243	658	84	69	1,054	2.43	6.58	0.84	0.69	10.54
96	(1979-80)	252	668	78	76	1,074	2.52	6.68	0.78	0.76	10.74
97	(1981-82)	282	698	76	68	1,119	2.82	6.93	0.76	0.68	11.19
98	(1983-84)	295	771	80	49	1,195	2.95	7.71	0.80	0.49	11.95
99	(1985-86)	282	672	74	47	1,075	2.82	6.72	0.74	0.47	10.75
100	(1987-88)	296	688	84	30	1,098	2.96	6,88	0.84	0.30	10.98
101	(1989-90)	296	713	75	33	1,117	2.96	7.18	0.75	0.33	11.17
102	(1991-92)	294	738	83	30	1,145	2.94	7.38	0.83	0.80	11.45

TABLE 2-2. U.S. Senate, Committee Assignments: 1945-1992

Sources: Data for the 79th-100th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 101st and 102d Congresses are compiled from Monitor Publishing Co., Congressional Yellow Book.

.

NA - This data is not readily available.

7



FIG 1-3. No. of Subcomm. and Senators' Assignments to Them: 1945-1992

.

TABLE 2-3. Aver	age Size of Senate Sta 19	nding Committees and 15-1992	Their Subcommittees
Cor	igress	Standing committees	Subc. of standing committees
79	(1945-46)	14.9	7.7
80	(1947-48)	13.4	5.3
81	(1949-50)	18.5	5.0
82	(1951-52)	13.5	5.1
83	(1953-54)	14.1	5.7
84	(1955-56)	14.1	5.9
85	(1957-58)	14.3	6.2
86	(1959-60)	15.6	7.3
87	(1961-62)	15.0	7.2
88	(1968-64)	16.0	7.8
89	(1965-66)	15.6	7.9
90	(1967-68)	15.8	7.7
91	(1969-70)	15.3	7.9
92	(1971-72)	14.5	7.8
98	(1973-74)	14.3	7.5
94	(1975-76)	14.2	7.9
95	(1977-78)	16.2	6.9
96	(1979-80)	16.8	7.4
97	(1981-82)	17.6	6.9
98	(1983-84)	18.4	7.6
99	(1985-86)	17.6	7.6
100	(1987-88)	18.5	8.1
101	(1989-90)	18.5	8.3
102	(1991-92)	18.4	8.5

Source: U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987.

CRS-35	)
--------	---

		TABLE 2-4. (	Committee on Agricul	ture <sup>I</sup>	
c	ongress	Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available
80	(1947-48)	13	0	0	13
81	(1949-50)	13	0	0	13
82	(1951-52)	13	0	0	13
83	(1953-54)	15	0	0	15
84	(1955-56)	15	5	23	38
85	(1957-58)	15	5	28	43
86	(1959-60)	17	5	41	58
87	(1961-62)	17	5	38	55
88	(1963-64)	17	5	40	57
89	(1965-66)	15	5	38	53
. 90	(1967-68)	15	5	38	58
91	(1969-70)	13	5	36	49
92	(1971-72)	14	6	49	63
93	(1978-74)	13	6	42	55
94	(1975-76)	14	6	48	62
95	(1977-78)	18	7	52	70
96	(1979-80)	18	7	54	72
97	(1981-82)	18	8	55	73
98	(1983-84)	18	7	55	73
99	(1985-86)	17	6	49	66
100 <sup>2</sup>	(1987-88)	19	7	51	70
101	(1989-90)	19	7	51	70
102	(1991-92)	18	7	50	68

Sources: Data for all committees for the 80th-100th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 101st and 102d Congresses are compiled from annual volumes of Brownson, Congressional Staff Directory.

 $^{I}$  Named the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry, 80th-94th Congresses.

 $^2$  Figures for the 100th Congress reflect reorganizations and ratio changes following the death of one Senator and the addition of two others.

CRS-36	\$

		TABLE 2-5. Co	ommittee on Appropri	ations	
	Congress		Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available
80	(1947-48)	21	12	126	147
81	(1949-50)	21	10	100	121
82	(1951-52)	21	10	85	106
83	(1953-54)	21	10	105	126
84	(1955-56)	23	12 <sup>1</sup>	160	183
85	(1957-58)	23	$12^{I}$	165	188
86	(1959-60)	27	12 <sup>1</sup>	198	220
87	(1961-62)	27 .	13 <sup>1</sup>	193	220
88	(1963-64)	27	13 <sup>1</sup>	207	284
89	(1965-66)	27	13 <sup>I</sup>	207	234
90	(1967-68)	26	14 <sup>1</sup>	204	230
91	(1969-70)	24	14	160	184
92	(1971-72)	24	18	150	174
98	(1973-74)	26	13	158	184
94	(1975-76)	26	13	164	190
95	(1977-78)	25	18	125	150
96	(1979-80)	28	13	157	185
97	(1981-82)	29	18	151	180
98	(1983-84)	29	13	135	164
99	(1985-86)	29	13	135	164
100	(1987-88)	29	13	138	167
101	(1989-90)	29	18	138	167
102	(1991-92)	29	13	138	167

 $^{1}$  During these Congresses, the Committee organized subunits of subcommittees to specialize in certain areas. These sub-panels are not included in the calculations.

.

	TABLE 2-6. Committee on Armed Services <sup>1</sup>								
c	ongress	Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available				
80	(1947-48)	13	0	0	13				
81	(1949-50)	13	22	168	181				
82	(1951-52)	15	13	39	54				
83	(1953-54)	15	13	89	54				
84	(1955-56)	15	16	53	68				
85	(1957-58)	15	11	38	53				
86	(1959-60)	17	19	83	100				
87	(1961-62)	17	14	62	79				
88	(1963-64)	17	18	61	78				
89	(1965-66)	17	11	48	65				
90	(1967-68)	18	9	42	60				
91	(1969-70)	18	18	98	116				
92	(1971-72)	16	16	97	113				
93	(1973-74)	15	14	83	98				
94	(1975-76)	16	11	69	85				
95	(1977-78)	18	8	54	72				
96	(1979-80)	17	6	49	66				
97	(1981-82)	18	6	44	62				
98	(1983-84)	18	6	54	72				
99	(1985-86)	19	6	54	73				
100	(1987-88)	20	6	54	74				
101	(1989-90)	20	6	54	74				
102	(1991-92)	20	6	54	74				

 ${}^{I}$  Appointments to the Boards of Visitors to the Academies are not included.

	TABI	LE 2-7. Committee or	Banking, Housing ar	ıd Urban Affairs <sup>1</sup>	
Co	ngress	Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available
80	(1947-48)	13	12	58	71
- 81	(1949-50)	18	8	55	68
82	(1951-52)	13	12	79	92
83	(1953-54)	15	8	54	69
84	(1955-56)	15	8	56	71
85	(1957-58)	15	8	64	79
86	(1959-60)	15	7	63	78
87	(1961-62)	15	5	50	65
88	(1963-64)	15	6	54	69
89	(1965-66)	14	6	60	74
90	(1967-68)	14	6	56	70
91	(1969-70)	15	6	65	80
92	(1971-72)	15	6	70	85
93	(1973-74)	15	7	52	67
94	(1975-76)	13	7	51	64
95	(1977-78)	15	8	45	60
96	(1979-80)	16	8	48	64
97	(1981-82)	17	7	52	69
98	(1983-84)	18	9	60	78
99	(1985-86)	15	5	47	62
100	(1987-88)	20	4	36	56
101	(1989-90)	21	4	40	61
102	(1991-92)	21	4	40	61

.

 ${}^{I}$  Named the Committee on Banking and Currency, 80th-91st Congresses.

		TABLE 2-8. (	committee on the Bu	dget	
с	ongress	Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available
80	(1947-48)				
81	(1949-50)				
82	(1951-52)				
83	(1953-54)				
84	(1955-56)				
85	(1957-58)				
86	(1959-60)				
87	(1961-62)				
88	(1963-64)				
89	(1965-66)				
90	(1967-68)				
91	(1969-70)				
92	(1971-72)				
93 <sup>1</sup>	(1978-74)	15	0	0	15
94	(1975-76)	16	0	0	16
95	(1977-78)	16	0	0	16
96	(1979-80)	20	0	0	20
97	(1981-82)	22	0	0	22
98	(1988-84)	22	0	0	22
99	(1985-86)	22	0	0	22
100	(1987-88)	24	0	0	24
101	(1989-90)	23	0	0	23
102	(1991-92)	21	0	0	21

<sup>1</sup> The Budget Committee was established on July 12, 1974, pursuant to the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-344).

	TABL	E 2-9. Committee on	Commerce, Science	and Transportation	
a	ongress	Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available
80 <sup>I</sup>	(1947-48)	13	5	18	31
81	(1949-50)	13	7	32	45
82	(1951-52)	18	7	32	45
83	(1953-54)	15	9	42	57
84	(1955-56)	15	8	81	46
85	(1957-58)	15	10	47	62
86	(1959-60)	17	10	82	99
87 <sup>2</sup>	(1961-62)	17	9	77	94
88	(1968-64)	17	10	54	71
89	(1965-66)	18	8	55	73
90	(1967-68)	19	8	60	79
91	(1969-70)	19	11	105	124
92	(1971-72)	18	10	111	129
93	(1978-74)	18	14	149	167
94	(1975-76)	20	14	144	164
95 <sup>3</sup>	(1977-78)	17	6	49	66
96	(1979-80)	17	7	57	74
97	(1981-82)	17	8	52	69
98	(1983-84)	17	8	52	69
99	(1985-86)	17	6	36	53
100	(1987-88)	20	8	58	78
101	(1989-90)	20	$7^4$	63	83
102	(1991-92)	20	7 <sup>4</sup>	62	82

 $^{I}$  Named the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 80th-86th Congresses.

 $^2$  The Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce was renamed the Committee on Commerce pursuant to S. Res. 177 of the 87th Congress.

<sup>3</sup> The committee's jurisdiction was expanded and its name changed to the Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation on February 4, 1977, pursuant to S. Res. 4 of the 95th Congress.

 $^4$  In addition the full committee had one task force.

URS-41
--------

TABLE 2-10. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources							
Ç	Congress		Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available		
80 <sup>1</sup>	(1947-48)	18	6	30	43		
81	(1949-50)	13	0	0	13		
82	(1951-52)	13	0	0	13		
83	(1953-54)	15	5	32	47		
84	(1955-56)	15	5	81	46		
85	(1957-58)	15	5	31	46		
86	(1959-60)	17	δ	39	56		
87	(1961-62)	17	δ	43	60		
88	(1968-64)	17	5	42	59		
89	(1965-66)	16	7	57	73		
90	(1967-68)	17	7	57	74		
91	(1969-70)	17	8	68	85		
92	(1971-72)	16	7	59	75		
93	(1973-74)	15	8	56	71		
94	(1975-76)	14	8	74	88		
95 <sup>2</sup>	(1977-78)	19	5	58	72		
96	(1979-80)	18	5	52	70		
97	(1981-82)	20	6	54	74		
98	(1988-84)	21	6	57	78		
99	(1985-86)	18	5	48	66		
100	(1987-88)	19	5	49	68		
101	(1989-90)	19	5	47	66		
102	(1991-92)	20	5	54	74		

 $^{\rm I}$  Named the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, 80th-94th Congresses.

 $^2$  The committee's jurisdiction was expanded and its name changed to the Committee on Energy and Natural resources on February 4, 1977 pursuant to S. Res. 4 of the 95th Congress.

CRS-42
--------

TABLE 2-11. Committee on Environment and Public Works							
Congress		Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available		
80 <sup>I</sup>	(1947-48)	13	ទ	13	26		
81	(1949-50)	13	5	23	86		
82	(1951-52)	13	3	19	32		
88	(1953-54)	14	3	30	44		
84	(1955-56)	18	3	27	40		
85	(1957-58)	13	3	27	40		
86	(1959-60)	17	8	37	54		
87	(1961-62)	17	3	36	53		
88	(1963-64)	18	3	38	56		
89	(1965-66)	17	4	47	64		
90	(1967-68)	16	5	50	66		
91	(1969-70)	15	6	55	70		
92	(1971-72)	16	6	55	71		
93	(1978-74)	14	8	60	74		
94	(1975-76)	14	8	59	73		
95 <sup>2</sup>	(1977-78)	15	6	36	51		
96	(1979-80)	14	6	42	56		
97	(1981-82)	16	6	44	. 60		
98	(1983-84)	18	6	44	62		
99	(1985-86)	15	6	38	53		
100	(1987-88)	16	5	45	61		
101	(1989-90)	16	õ	43	59		
102	(1991-92)	17	5	45	62		

 $^{I}$  Named the Committee on Public Works, 80th-94th Congresses.

 $^2$  The committee's jurisdiction was expanded and its name changed to the Committee on Environment and Public Works on February 4, 1977 pursuant to S. Res. 4 of the 95th Congress.

TABLE 2-12. Committee on Finance							
Congress		Full Number Committee of Members Subc.		Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available		
80	(1947-48)	13	0	0	13		
81	(1949-50)	18	0	0	13		
82	(1951-52)	13	0	0	13		
83	(1953-54)	15	0	0	15		
84	(1955-56)	15	0	0	15		
85	(1957-58)	15	0	0	15		
86	(1959-60)	17	0	0	17		
87	(1961-62)	17	0	0	. 17		
88	(1963-64)	17	0	0	17		
89	(1965-66)	17	0	0	17		
90	(1967-68)	17	0	0	17		
91	(1969-70)	17	1	7	24		
92	(1971-72)	16	0	0	16		
93	(1973-74)	17	8	50	67		
94	(1975-76)	18	11	70	. 88		
95	(1977-78)	18	10	54	72		
96	(1979-80)	20	11	60	80		
97	(1981-82)	20	9	60	80		
98	(1983-84)	20	9	60	80		
99	(1985-86)	20	8	59	79		
100	(1987-88)	20	7	59	79		
101	(1989-90)	20	8	<b>5</b> 9	79		
102	(1991-92)	20	8	60	80		

.

		TABLE 2-13. Cor	nmittee on Foreign F	lelations	
c	ongress	Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available
80	(1947-48)	13	0	0	13
81	(1949-50)	18	0	0	13
82	(1951-52)	13	7	27	40
83	(1953-54)	15	12	60 <sup>1</sup>	75
84	(1955-56)	15	11	78 <sup>2</sup>	93
85	(1957-58)	15	11	72 <sup>3</sup>	87
86	(1959-60)	17	Ĩ	76	93
87	(1961-62)	17	10	72	89
88	(1963-64)	17	10	66	83
89	(1965-66)	21	15	87	108
90	(1967-68)	19	16	98	117
91	(1969-70)	15	10	65	80
92	(1971-72)	16	11	78	89
98	(1973-74)	17	12	79	96
94	(1975-76)	16	10	61	77
95	(1977-78)	16	9	45	61
96	(1979-80)	15	7	39	54
97	(1981-82)	17	7	47	64
98	(1983-84)	18	7	47	65
99	(1985-86)	17	6	48	65
1004	(1987-88)	19	7	47	66
101	(1989-90)	19	7	48	67
102	(1991-92)	19	7	54	78

<sup>1</sup> Includes six appointments of non-committee Members made by either the President of the Senate or the Vice President.

 $^2$  Includes ten appointments of non-committee Members made by the Vice President.

<sup>3</sup> Includes eight appointments of non-committee Members made by the Vice President.

<sup>4</sup> The number of both full committee members and subcommittee assignments reflect the reduction of each by one due to the death of one Senator.

CRS-45
--------

TABLE 2-14. Committee on Governmental Affairs							
Congress		Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc Assignments Available		
801	(1947-48)	13	4	18	31		
81	(1949-50)	13	4	20	38		
82 <sup>2</sup>	(1951-52)	13	2	14	27		
83	(1958-54)	13	5	28	41		
84	(1955-56)	13	2	14	27		
85	(1957-58)	18	2	14	27		
86	(1959-60)	9	3	17	26		
87	(1961-62)	9	4	22	31		
88	(1963-64)	15	4	86	51		
89	(1965-66)	14	6	50	64		
90	(1967-68)	15	6	50	65		
91	(1969-70)	15	4	36	51		
92	(1971-72)	18	4	36	54		
93	(1973-74)	15	8	59	74		
94	(1975-76)	14	5	47	61		
95 <sup>3</sup>	(1977-78)	16	6	43	59		
96	(1979-80)	17	7	48	60		
97	(1981-82)	18	8	42	60		
98	(1988-84)	18	7	47	65		
99	(1985-86)	13	6	32	45		
100	(1987-88)	14	5	38	52		
101	(1989-90)	14	5	38	52		
102	(1991-92)	18	5	39	52		

<sup>1</sup> Named the Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments, 80th-81st Congresses.

 $^2$  The Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments was renamed the Committee on Government Operations pursuant to S. Res. 280 of the 82d Congress.

 $^3$  The committee's jurisdiction was expanded and its name changed to the Committee on Governmental Affairs on pFebruary 4, 1977 pursuant to S. Res. 4 of the 95th Congress.

48	94	L	₽Į	(26-1661)	201
L₽	33	9	μI	(06-6861)	TOT
2₽	88	9	₽I	(88-7801)	100
99	LÞ	8	81	(99-9961)	66
89	20	6	81	(\$8-2861)	86
29	6Þ	6	81	(28-1861)	26
99	8Þ	L	LI	(08-6261)	96
89	19	01	٤I	(82-2261)	96
120	105	ΙQ	9 I	(92-9261)	<b>†</b> 6
961	120	2 I	91	(\$7-8761)	86
120	104	ΙQ	91	(27-1791)	26
122	102	9I	LI	(02-6961)	16
121	104	91	LI	(89-2961)	06
211	TOT	91	91	(99-9961)	68
¥01	68	14	JQ	(†9-8961)	88
⊅0ĭ	68	19	ŞI	(29-1961)	28
100	<u>85</u>	ΙQ	91	(09-6961)	98
06	92	₽I	91	(89-2961)	68
<u>68</u>	02	φĮ	ğI	(99-9961)	Þ8
28	L9	14	91	(Þ9-896I)	88
83	9¥	21	81	(29-1961)	82
07	LZ	6	81	(09-6761)	18
56	13	9	81	(84-7461)	08
oduZ & IluT stnemngiesA eldslisvA	Subc. etnemnajæÅ eldsligvÅ	Bube. of Number	fuff SettimmoD Rembers	2597 <u>2</u>	noJ
	t. I	ommittee on the Jud	TABLE 2-16. C	1	

•

<b>CRS-47</b>
---------------

		Full	Number	Subc.	Full & Subc.	
Congress		Committee Members	of Subc.	Assignments Available	Assignments Available	
80 <sup>1</sup>	(1947-48)	13	4	24	37	
81	(1949-50)	13	2	14	27	
82	(1951-52)	13	3	21	34	
83	(1953-54)	13	8	41	54	
84	(1955-56)	13	8	36	49	
85	(1957-58)	13	7	41	54	
86	(1959-60)	15	9	55	70	
87	(1961-62)	15	7	46	61	
88	(1963-64)	15	8	62	77	
89	(1965-66)	16	18	89	105	
90	(1967-68)	16	14	96	112	
91	(1969-70)	17	15	127	144	
92	(1971-72)	17	15	137	154	
98	(1973-74)	16	12	119	135	
94	(1975-76)	16	11	122	138	
$95^{2}$	(1977-78)	15	8	51	66	
96	(1979-80)	15	7	45	60	
97	(1981-82)	16	7	54	70	
98	(1988-84)	18	7	65	83	
99	(1985-86)	. 16	6	46	62	
100	(1987-88)	16	6	46	62	
101	(1989-90)	16	6	52	68	
102	(1991-92)	17	6	61	78	

 $^{1}$  Named the Committee on Labor and Public Welfare, 80th-94th Congresses.

 $^2$  The committee's jurisdiction was expanded and its name changed to the Committee on Labor and Human Resources on February 4, 1977 pursuant to S. Res. 4 of the 95th Congress.

	TABLE 2-17. Committee on Rules and Administration									
C	ongress	Full Committee Members	Number of Subc.	Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available					
80	(1947-48)	13	5	15	28					
81	(1949-50)	18	5	15	28					
82	(1951-52)	13	5	17	30					
88	(1953-54)	9	7	19	28					
84	(1955-56)	9	6	18	27					
85	(1957-58)	9	7	20	29					
86	(1959-60)	9	7	20	29					
87	(1961-62)	9	6	18	27					
88	(1963-64)	9	6	18	27					
89	(1965-66)	9	6	18	27					
90	(1967-68)	9	6	18	27					
91	(1969-70)	9	7	21	30					
92	(1971-72)	9	7	21	30					
93	(1973-74)	9	7	21	30					
94	(1975-76)	9	7	21	80					
95	(1977-78)	9	0	0	9					
96	(1979-80)	10	0	0	10					
97	(1981-82)	12	0	0	12					
98	(1983-84)	12	0	0	12					
99	(1985-86)	15	0	0	15					
100	(1987-88)	16	0	0	16					
101	(1989-90)	16	0	0	16					
102	(1991-92)	16	0	0	16					

<b>CRS-49</b>	
---------------	--

	TABLE 2-18. Committee on Small Business									
c	ongress	Full Committee Members		Subc. Assignments Available	Full & Subc. Assignments Available					
80	(1947-48)									
81	(1949-50)	-								
82	(1951-52)									
83	(1958-54)									
84	(1955-56)									
85	(1957-58)									
86	(1959-60)									
87	(1961-62)									
88	(1963-64)									
89	(1965-66)									
90	(1967-68)									
91	(1969-70)									
92	(1971-72)									
98	(1973-74)									
94	(1975-76)									
95	(1977-78)									
96	(1979-80)									
97 <sup>1</sup>	(1981-82)	17	8	28	45					
98	(1983-84)	19	9	31	50					
99	(1985-86)	19	7	38	52					
100	(1987-88)	19	6	34	53					
101	(1989-90)	19	6	38	57					
102	(1991-92)	19	6	40	59					

 $^{1}$  The Committee on Small Business was created on March 25, 1981 pursuant to S. Res. 101 of the 97th Congress.

	TABLE 2-19. Committee on Veterans' Affairs									
Ca	Congress		Full Number Committee of Members Subc.		Full & Subc. Assignments Available					
80	(1947-48)									
81	(1949-50)									
82	(1951-52)									
88	(1958-54)									
84	(1955-56)									
85	(1957-58)									
86	(1959-60)									
87	(1961-62)									
88	(1963-64)									
89	(1965-66)									
90	(1967-68)									
91	(1969-70)									
$92^{I}$	(1971-72)	9	4	20	29					
93	(1973-74)	9	4	25	34					
94	(1975-76)	9	4	25	84					
95	(1977-78)	9	3	18	27					
96	(1979-80)	10	0	0	10					
97	(1981-82)	12	0	0	12					
98	(1983-84)	12	0	0	12					
99	(1985-86)	12	0	0	12					
100	(1987-88)	11	0	0	11					
101	(1989-90)	11	0	0	11					
102	(1991-92)	12	0	0	12					

<sup>1</sup> The Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs was created pursuant to the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-510, 84 Stat. 1163), which was signed into law near the end of the 91st Congress. Members were appointed to the Committee at the beginning of the 92d Congress (1971-1973).

## PART THREE: COMMITTEE WORKLOADS

### HEARINGS

Table 3-1 documents the number of hearings printed by each Senate standing, special and select committee for the 98th-102d Congresses. While solid indicators of a given committee's workload, these should be interpreted with care for two reasons. First, some committees may be more inclined to order printed virtually every hearing, regardless of its length and impact on public policy. Second, a single printed "hearing" may actually contain the transcripts of multiple days of hearings. Finally, the nature of a committee's business may preclude the need to print; for example, since it deals mainly with internal issues of the Senate, the Committee on Rules and Administration does not have many printed hearings. The same can be said for the Select Committee on Intelligence, in that much of its business is classified.

Regardless, some general trends can be extracted from these data. First, committee hearings seem to parallel the activity level for the full Senate in that more hearings are generally printed in the first session of a Congress than the second. Again, we see the impact of the electoral process. Second, it is clear that committees with a wider policy jurisdiction conduct more hearings than those whose jurisdiction is more narrow. For example, the Committees on Appropriations, Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, Commerce, Science and Transportation, and Labor and Human Resources print many more hearings than the Committee on Small Business.

In general, these data are quite useful on a committee-by-committee basis. That is, rather than using them for comparison across committees, they should be used to track an individual committee's workload over time, and examine changes in it in light of jurisdictional and political changes.

## MEASURES REFERRED AND REPORTED

Tables 3-2 and 3-3 list the numbers of measures both referred to and reported by Senate standing, special and select committees from the 98th through the 102d Congress.

Several points emerge from examining the figures in Table 3-2. First, the numbers of measures referred vary considerably from committee to committee. This is mainly a function of the committee's jurisdiction: the wider the jurisdiction, the more measures will likely be referred to it. For example, Finance receives many bills because tax policy attracts so many legislative proposals. Second, one can track the figures for a given committee over time to determine the effects of bursts of activity in its jurisdiction on its workload. Thus, the impact of social, economic, and political changes can be seen on the committees of the Senate.

As Table 3-3 demonstrates, the rates of reporting bills also vary significantly from committee to committee. Like figures for referrals to committees, these variations probably reflect different jurisdictional characteristics, as well as intensified activity in different jurisdictions over time. A second point should also be noted. That is, some committees' percentage of the total reported is much lower than their percentage of the total referred. For instance, in the 102d Congress the Finance Committee reported 3.9 percent of all measures reported by Senate committees, but received 21.4 percent of all measures referred to Senate committees. Rather than a low workrate, this pattern mainly reflects the Committee's role of screening large numbers of discrete revenue and tariff measures. Conversely, some committees account for a higher proportion of the Senate's reported measures than those referred. For example, in the 102d Congress the Judiciary Committee reported about 20 percent of all measures reported by Senate committees, but received about 16 percent of all measures referred to Senate committees. A large reason for these levels is the committee's jurisdiction over commemoratives; thus many of these reported measures were joint resolutions honoring certain days or occasions.

## PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATIONS

Table 3-4 documents the number of Presidential nominations both received and reported by relevant Senate committees. While the full Senate must ultimately approve all nominations, much of the actual consideration is done at the committee level. That is, it is mainly the committee of jurisdiction which must expend its resources in compiling reports, analyzing information, and holding hearings in order to provide the rest of the Senate with guidelines for action.

Due to the fact that each committee's defined jurisdiction clearly states which nominations it has jurisdiction over (if any), the figures for nominations received and reported over time are relatively stable. For example, certain committees, such as Armed Services and Judiciary, will consistently process a relatively high number of nominations due to the nature of their jurisdiction.

	Table 3-1. L		98th - 102d			Journal 19965					
	Congresses										
	10:	2d <sup>1</sup>	10	lst	1	00th	9	9th	98th		
	1992	1991	1990	1989	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984	1983	
Standing Committees											
Agriculture, Nutrition, & Forestry	4	27	27	40	26	33	28	18	32	38	
Appropriations	19	41	50	43	38	47	46	51	56	46	
Armed Services	11	17	18	23	27	18	10	43	34	41	
Banking, Housing, & Urban Affairs	27	46	60	58	29	43	30	39	20	48	
Budget	8	8	5	10	7	13	2	11	7	6	
Commerce, Science, & Transportation	16	66	78	85	59	68	41	64	62	57	
Energy & Natural Resources	30	70	67	68	58	88	55	52	53	54	
Environment & Public Works	20	47	42	52	38	61	25	44	26	46	
Finance	23	57	51	54	39	68	52	87	73	81	
Foreign Relations	28	45	82	24	18	21	16	28	85	40	
Governmental Affairs	23	54	65	66	50	64	39	50	41	66	
Judiciary	8	51	53	54	51	61	61	85	71	93	
Labor & Human Resources	18	60	46	69	30	71	48	68	62	77	
Rules & Administration	0	1	0	3	0	7	2	2	1	2	
Small Business	5	22	16	15	12	19	13	12	15	29	
Veterans' Affairs	5	17	36	48	35	45	29	48	31	48	
Select and Special Committees											
Aging	4	16	15	17	12	19	15	12	21	15	
Ethics	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Indian Affairs	13	81	30	44	24	28	18	14	14	22	
Intelligence	0	1	2	8	3	3	1	0	0	2	

Source: Data are compiled from the CIS database.

1. Figures for the 102d Congress are incomplete, as the database only registers those hearings which have actually been printed. As of this writing, not all hearings for the 102d Congress that are to be printed had been printed.

	102d Congress (1991-92)		101st Congress (1989-90)		100th Congress (1987-88)		99th Congress (1985-86)		98th Congress (1983-84)	
	n	% of total	n	% of total	n	% of total	n	% of total	n	% of total
Standing Committees										
Agriculture Nutrition & Forestry <sup>1</sup>	128	2.8	199	4.5	201	4.7	202	4.6	200	4.4
Appropriations	152	3.3	46	1.0	57	1.3	63	1.4	60	1.3
Armed Services	151	3.3	130	2.9	104	2.4	133	3.0	104	2.3
Banking Housing & Urban Affairs	189	4.1	172	3.9	138	3.2	188	4.3	180	4.0
Budget	141	8.0	48	1.1	47	1.1	65	1.5	97	2.1
Commerce Science & Transportation <sup>2</sup>	256	5.5	306	6.9	268	6.2	241	5.5	251	5.5
Energy & Natural Resources <sup>3</sup>	873	8.0	367	8.3	391	9.0	306	7.0	333	7.3
Environment & Public Works <sup>4</sup>	248	5.3	265	6.0	263	6.1	235	5.4	280	6.2
Finance	990	21.4	820	18.6	704	16.3	727	16.6	761	16.8
Foreign Relations	327	7.1	274	6.2	289	6.7	277	6.3	246	5.4
Governmental Affairs <sup>5</sup>	231	5.0	237	5.4	223	5.2	253	5.8	252	5.5
Judiciary	732	15.8	814	18.5	864	20.0	1,038	23.8	1,071	23.6
Labor & Human Resources $^{6}$	359	7.7	374	8.5	306	7.1	293	6.7	325	7.2
Rules & Administration	123	2.7	144	3.3	226	5.2	<sup>7</sup> 177	4.1	174	3.8
Small Business <sup>7</sup>	23	0.5	12	0.3	20	0.5	15	0.3	32	0.7
Veterans' Affairs	130	2.8	120	2.7	120	2.8	92	2.1	88	1.9
Select and Special Committees										
Aging	1	0.0	1	0.0	2	0.0	1	0.0	2	0.0
Ethics	0	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0	-	0.0	-	0.0
Indian Affairs	71	1.5	71	1.6	85	2.0	59	1.4	72	1.6
Intelligence	12	0.3	9	0.2	13	0.3	5	0.1	13	0.3
TOTALS <sup>8</sup>	4,637	100.0	4,410	100.0	4,321	100.0	4,370	100.0	4,541	100.0

TABLE 3-2. U.S. Senate, Number of Measures Referred to Senate Standing, Select and Special Committees:	98th 102d Congression
standing, ovoor and optoar commerces.	John John Congresses

-----

-----

4

••

#### UKS-99

Sources: Data for the 98th and 99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th and 101st Congresses were gathered by Carol Hardy Vincent, Analyst in American National Government, Congressional Research Service. Data for the 102d Congress are compiled from U.S. Congress. Joint Committee on the Organization of Congress. Committee System Background Materials. Washington, 1993.

<sup>I</sup> Figures for the 94th Congress are those of the Committee on Agriculture.

 $^2$  Figures for the 94th Congress are the combined totals of the Committees on Commerce and Aeronautical and Space Sciences.

 $^3$  Figures for the 94th Congress are those of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

 $^{4}$  Figures for the 94th Congress are those of the Committee on Public Works.

<sup>5</sup> Figures for the 94th Congress are the combined totals of the Committees on the District of Columbia, Government Operations, and Post Office and Civil Service.

 $^{6}$  Figures for the 94th Congress are those of the Committee on Labor and Public Welfare.

<sup>7</sup> The Committee on Small Business was converted from a select to a standing committee at the beginning of the 97th Congress.

<sup>8</sup> Totals may not add to 100.0% due to rounding.

	102d Congress (1991-92)			101st Congress (1989-90)		100th Congress (1987-88)		99th Congress (1985-86)		Congress 83-84)
	n	% of total	n	% of total	n	% of total	n	% of total	n	% of total
Standing Committees										
Agriculture Nutrition & Forestry <sup>1</sup>	8	1.1	8	0.9	26	2,8	18	1.8	37	3.2
Appropriations	35	4.8	31	3.5	33	3.5	38	3.7	41	3.5
Armed Services	40	5.5	36	4.0	17	1.8	25	2.4	17	1.5
Banking Housing & Urban Affairs	12	1.7	21	2.3	23	2.5	35	3.4	27	2.3
Budget	6	0.8	16	1.8	11	1.2	33	3.2	69	5.9
Commerce Science & Transportation <sup>2</sup>	61	8.4	99	11.1	67	7.2	73	7.1	102	8.8
Energy & Natural Resources <sup>3</sup>	120	16.6	128	14.3	148	15.9	93	9.1	99	8.5
Environment & Public Works <sup>4</sup>	20	2.8	54	6.0	53	5.7	50	4.9	83	7.1
Finance	28	3.9	13	1.5	20	2.1	22	2.1	30	2.6
Foreign Relations	62	8.6	54	6.0	54	5.8	56	5.5	83	7.1
Governmental Affairs <sup>5</sup>	36	5.0	24	2.7	36	8.9	47	4.6	42	3.6
Judiciary	150	20.7	233	26.1	248	26.6	354	34.5	333	28.7
Labor & Human Resources $^{6}$	45	6.2	77	8.6	59	6.3	56	5.5	47	4.0
Rules & Administration	31	4.3	52	5.8	65	7.0	74	7.2	75	6.5
Small Business <sup>7</sup>	3	0.4	2	0.2	8	0.9	6	0,6	13	1.1
Veterans' Affairs	20	2.8	7	0.8	13	1.4	12	1.2	10	0.9
Select and Special Committees										
Aging	1	0.1	1	0.1	2	0.2	1	0,1	2	0.2
Ethics	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Indian Affairs	41	5.7	84	3.8	44	4.7	29	2.8	46	4.0
Intelligence	4	0.6	3	0.3	6	0.6	5	0.5	6	0.5
TOTALS <sup>8</sup>	723	100.0	894	100.0	933	100.0	1,027	100.0	1,162	100.0

~

٠

ŧ,

TABLE 3-3. U.S. Senate, Number of Measure	Reported by Senate Standing, Select and S	Special Committees:	98th-102d Congresses
---	---	---------------------	----------------------

Sources: Data for the 98th and 99th Congresses are compiled from U.S. Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service. Indicators of Senate Activity and Workload. Report No. 87-497 S, by Roger H. Davidson and Carol Hardy. Washington, June 8, 1987. Figures for the 100th and 101st Congresses were gathered by Carol Hardy Vincent, Analyst in American National Government, Congressional Research Service. Data for the 102d Congress are compiled from U.S. Congress. Joint Committee on the Organization of Congress. Committee System Background Materials. Washington, 1993.

<sup>1</sup> Figures for the 94th Congress are those of the Committee on Agriculture.

 $^2$  Figures for the 94th Congress are the combined totals of the Committees on Commerce and Aeronautical and Space Sciences.

 $^3$  Figures for the 94th Congress are those of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

 $^4$  Figures for the 94th Congress are those of the Committee on Public Works.

<sup>5</sup> Figures for the 94th Congress are the combined totals of the Committees on the District of Columbia, Government Operations, and Post Office and Civil Service.

 $^{-6}$  Figures for the 94th Congress are those of the Committee on Labor and Public Welfare.

<sup>7</sup> The Committee on Small Business was converted from a select to a standing committee at the beginning of the 97th Congress.

 $^{8}$  Totals may not add to 100.0% due to rounding.

	1979 Selection Contraction Contraction Contraction Contraction	102nd (1991-1992		101st (1989-1990)		100th (1987-1989		9th 5-1986)
Standing Committees	Revd	Rptd	Revd	Rptd	Revd	Rptd	Revd	Rptd
Agriculture, Nutrition, & Forestry	16	9	32	26	17	13	34	27
Armed Services	700	644	672	649	670	646	607	599
Banking, Housing, & Urban Affairs	51	31	57	44	52	39	58	46
Commerce, Science & Transportation	82	41	107	91	86	68	88	73
Energy & Natural Resources	13	11	38	31	25	17	40	31
Environment & Public Works	16	9	25	22	14	11	17	17
Finance	21	20	45	41	26	22	34	33
Foreign Relations	254	196	315	239	245	183	232	205
Governmental Affairs	35	19	56	43	36	27	43	33
Judiciary	264	176	174	162	195	166	281	261
Labor & Human Resources	197	114	195	107	193	117	203	155
Rules & Administration	2	0	3	3	3	3	4	3
Small Business	4	4	2	1	2	2	1	0
Veterans Affairs	6	5	25	22	1	0	3	3
Select and Special Committees		•			•		I	L
Indian Affairs	5	5	3	3	4	4	1	1
Intelligence	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
Total	1,668	1,286	1,751	1,486	1,571	1,319	1,642	1,488

Source: LEGIS Nominations Files for the 99th through 102nd Congresses. Compiled by Rogelio Garcia, Analyst in American National Government. Congressional Research Service.

.....

*t*5

<sup>1</sup> A single "nomination" in this table represents any separate transmittal to the Senate, either a single name or a list of names.

**K**1

.....