

CRS Issue Brief for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Fishery, Aquaculture, and Marine Mammal Legislation in the 108th Congress

Updated October 9, 2003

Eugene H. Buck
Resources, Science, and Industry Division

CONTENTS

SUMMARY

MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Commercial and Sport Fisheries: Background and Issues

- Magnuson-Stevens Act Reauthorization

- Pacific Salmon

- Miscellaneous Issues

Aquaculture: Background and Issues

- Miscellaneous Issues

Marine Mammals: Background and Issues

- Marine Mammal Protection Act Reauthorization

- Miscellaneous Issues

NOAA Fisheries Appropriations

LEGISLATION

Fishery, Aquaculture, and Marine Mammal Legislation

SUMMARY

Fish and marine mammals are important resources in open ocean and nearshore coastal areas. Many laws and regulations guide the management of these resources by federal agencies.

Reauthorization of major legislation — the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA) and the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) — will likely be on the agenda of the 108th Congress, since the authorization of appropriations for both laws expired at the end of FY1999. In the 107th Congress, reauthorization bills were introduced in the House and oversight hearings were held in both Chambers. One House bill was reported and another was marked up, but neither was enacted.

Commercial and sport fishing are jointly managed by the federal government and individual states. States have jurisdiction generally within 3 miles of the coast. Beyond state jurisdiction and out to 200 miles, the federal government manages fisheries under the MSFCMA through eight regional fishery management councils. Beyond 200 miles, the United States participates in international agreements relating to specific areas or species.

In the 108th Congress, P.L. 108-7 created a West Coast Groundfish Fishing Capacity Reduction Program, directed NOAA Fisheries to establish a Regional Office for the Pacific Area, required increased legal and fiscal

accountability for Pacific salmon recovery, and provided \$100 million in fishery disaster funding. Section 2105 of P.L. 108-11 directed the Secretary of Agriculture to promulgate regulations allowing wild seafood to be certified or labeled as organic. Thus far, S. 482 is the only bill introduced to reauthorize and comprehensively amend the MSFCMA.

Aquaculture — the farming of fish, shellfish, and other aquatic animals and plants in a controlled environment — is expanding rapidly, both in the United States and abroad. In the United States, important species cultured include catfish, salmon, shellfish, and trout. Early in the 108th Congress, a prominent issue is extending certain bankruptcy protection to aquaculture operations (H.R. 343 and H.R. 975).

Marine mammals are protected under the MMPA. This Act authorizes restricted use (“take”) of marine mammals. It addresses specific situations of concern, such as dolphin mortality, which is primarily associated with the eastern tropical Pacific tuna fishery. In the 108th Congress, P.L. 108-7 included language directing the Department of Commerce to evaluate and document foreign compliance with the International Dolphin Conservation Program. Early in the 108th Congress, a prominent issue is how the MMPA might affect military readiness (S. 747, S. 927, H.R. 1588, and H.R. 1835). Thus far, H.R. 2693 is the only bill introduced to reauthorize and comprehensively amend the MMPA.

MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On October 7, 2003, the House Committee on Resources reported H.R. 2048 (amended), in which §2 would extend the reimbursement period under the Fishermen's Protective Act through FY2008 and §3 would reauthorize the Yukon River Salmon Act through FY2008. On October 1, 2003, the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources reported S.J.Res. 16 (amended), proposing to permit duty-free export of specified amounts of canned tuna to the United States from the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands. On September 30, 2003, President Bush signed P.L. 108-87, in which §8118 makes Department of Defense Buy American requirements inapplicable to the procurement of fish, shellfish, or seafood products during FY2004; and P.L. 108-88, in which §9 extends Sport Fish Restoration funding through February 29, 2004. On September 25, 2003, the House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans marked up H.R. 2693, to reauthorize and amend the MMPA. On September 24, 2003, the House Committee on Resources ordered reported H.Con.Res. 268, expressing the sense of Congress regarding the imposition of sanctions on nations that undermine the effectiveness of conservation and management of Atlantic highly migratory species. On September 24, 2003, the House passed H.R. 2557, in which §1006 would direct the Army Corps of Engineers to study several projects to address invasive aquatic plant situations and §4021 would require a feasibility study of fish passage improvements in Oregon. On September 23, 2003, the Senate passed H.R. 2691 after adopting a floor amendment that would modify §104(c)(5)(D) of the MMPA, applicable to the import of polar bear trophies from Canada. On September 23, 2003, the House passed H.Res. 362, recognizing the importance and contribution of fishing and other outdoor sporting activities to society. On September 16, 2003, the Senate passed H.R. 2754 (FY2004 energy and water development appropriations), after amending it to fund the Army Corps of Engineers' preparation of an environmental impact statement for introducing non-native oysters into Chesapeake Bay, with authorization for a scientific advisory body to assist the review. On September 16, 2003, the House Committee on Resources reported H.R. 1945 (amended), proposing to authorize financial assistance for salmon habitat restoration projects. On September 15, 2003, the House Committee on Resources and House Committee on the Judiciary reported H.J.Res. 63, of which §242 would permit duty-free export of specified amounts of canned tuna to the United States from the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands. On September 11, 2003, the House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on H.Con.Res. 268. (Members and staff may request e-mail notification of new CRS reports in the areas of marine and freshwater fisheries, aquaculture, and marine mammal issues by contacting Gene Buck at gbuck@crs.loc.gov and requesting to be added to his notification list.)

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Commercial and Sport Fisheries: Background and Issues

Historically, coastal states managed marine sport and commercial fisheries in nearshore waters, where most seafood was caught. However, as fishing techniques improved,

fishermen ventured farther offshore. Before the 1950s, the federal government assumed limited responsibility for marine fisheries, responding primarily to international fishery concerns and treaties (by enacting implementing legislation for treaties; *e.g.*, the Northern Pacific Halibut Act in 1937) as well as to interstate fishery conflicts (by consenting to interstate fishery compacts; *e.g.*, the Pacific Marine Fisheries Compact in 1947). In the late 1940s and early 1950s, several Latin American nations proclaimed marine jurisdictions extending 200 miles offshore. This action was denounced by those within the United States and other distant-water fishing nations who sought to preserve access for far-ranging fishing vessels. Beginning in the 1950s (Atlantic) and 1960s (Pacific), increasing numbers of foreign fishing vessels steamed into U.S. offshore waters to catch the substantially unexploited seafood resources. Since the United States then claimed only a 3-mile jurisdiction (in 1964, P.L. 88-308 prohibited fishing by foreign-flag vessels within 3 miles of the coast; in 1966, P.L. 89-658 proclaimed an expanded 12-mile exclusive U.S. fishery jurisdiction), foreign vessels could fish many of the same stocks caught by U.S. fishermen. U.S. fishermen deplored this “foreign encroachment” and alleged that overfishing was causing stress on, or outright depletion of, fish stocks. The unsuccessful Law of the Sea Treaty negotiations in the 1970s provided impetus for unilateral U.S. action.

The enactment of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act (FCMA) in 1976 (later renamed the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act and more recently the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA) [<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/magact/>]) ushered in a new era of federal marine fishery management. The FCMA was signed into law on April 13, 1976, after several years of debate. On March 1, 1977, marine fishery resources within 200 miles of all U.S. coasts, but outside state jurisdiction, came under federal jurisdiction, and an entirely new multifaceted regional management system began allocating fishing rights, with priority given to domestic enterprise.

Today, individual states manage marine fisheries in inshore and coastal waters (generally within 3 miles of the coast). Interstate coordination occurs through three regional (Atlantic, Gulf, and Pacific) interstate marine fishery commissions, created by congressionally-approved compacts. Beyond state waters, out to 200 miles, the federal government manages fish and shellfish resources for which fishery management plans (FMPs) have been developed under the MSFCMA. Individual states manage fishermen operating state-registered vessels under state regulations consistent with any existing federal FMP when fishing in inshore state waters and, in the absence of a federal FMP, wherever they fish.

Primary federal management authority was vested in NOAA Fisheries (formerly the National Marine Fisheries Service [<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/>]) within the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) of the Department of Commerce. The 200-mile fishery conservation zone was superseded by an Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), proclaimed by President Reagan on March 10, 1983 (Presidential Proclamation 5030).

Eight Regional Fishery Management Councils were created by the FCMA [<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/councils/>]. Council members are appointed by the Secretary of Commerce from lists of candidates knowledgeable of fishery resources, provided by coastal state Governors. The Councils prepare FMPs for those fisheries that they determine require active federal management. After public hearings, revised FMPs are submitted to the

Secretary of Commerce for approval. Approved plans are implemented through regulations published in the *Federal Register*. Together these Councils have implemented 39 FMPs for various fish and shellfish resources, with 7 additional plans in various stages of development. Some plans are created for an individual species or a few related ones (e.g., FMPs for red drum by the South Atlantic Council, for northern anchovy by the Pacific Council, and for shrimp by the Gulf of Mexico Council). Others are developed for larger species assemblages inhabiting similar habitats (e.g., FMPs for Gulf of Alaska groundfish by the North Pacific Council and for reef fish by the Gulf of Mexico Council). Many of the implemented plans have been amended (one more than 30 times), and three have been developed and implemented jointly by two or more Councils. The MSFCMA was last reauthorized in 1996 by P.L. 104-297, the Sustainable Fisheries Act [<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/sfaguide/>]. This authorization of appropriations expired in FY1999.

Under initial FCMA authority, a substantial portion of the fish catch from federal offshore waters was allocated to foreign fishing fleets. However, the 1980 American Fisheries Promotion Act (Title II of P.L. 96-561) and other FCMA amendments orchestrated a decrease in foreign catch allocations as domestic fishing and processing industries expanded. Foreign catch from the U.S. EEZ declined from about 3.8 billion pounds in 1977 to zero since 1992. Commensurate with the decline of foreign catch, domestic offshore catch in federal waters increased dramatically, from about 1.6 billion pounds (1977) to more than 6.3 billion pounds (1993). Total (U.S. and foreign) offshore fishery landings from the U.S. EEZ increased about 24% between 1977 and 1986-1988 to a peak of 6.65 billion pounds, but declined slightly to stabilize over the next decade.

In 2001, U.S. commercial fishermen landed about 7.3 billion pounds of edible, unprocessed fish and shellfish [<http://www.st.nmfs.gov/commercial/index.html>] from combined state, federal, and international waters, worth almost \$ 3.1 billion at the dock. Imports of mostly processed products supplied another 4.1 billion pounds, worth about \$9.9 billion. U.S. consumers spent an estimated \$55.3 billion on edible seafood in 2001, with about \$38 billion of that amount spent in restaurants and other food service establishments. In addition, marine recreational anglers caught an estimated 440 million fish in 2001, of which the retained catch was about 262 million pounds [<http://www.st.nmfs.gov/st1/recreational/queries/index.html>]. In 2001, a nationwide survey [<http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fishing.html>] estimated that marine and freshwater recreational anglers spent almost \$36 billion each year pursuing their sport.

Magnuson-Stevens Act Reauthorization

Background. The MSFCMA was last reauthorized in 1996 by P.L. 104-297, the Sustainable Fisheries Act; authorization for appropriations expired on September 30, 1999. The 1996 amendments established fish conservation initiatives directing NOAA Fisheries and regional councils to protect essential fish habitat, minimize incidental fish bycatch, and restore overfished stocks. In addition, a host of modifications to regional council management procedures and federal management policy were enacted. While NOAA Fisheries contends that implementation of the 1996 amendments has met many of the Act's objectives [http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/SFA-Report-FINAL7_1.pdf], fishing industry and environmental groups have criticized NOAA Fisheries and regional council implementation efforts. While environmental groups have expressed concerns that NOAA Fisheries and regional councils have not been as responsive as needed on conservation measures, fishing

industry representatives are concerned that too stringent an application of conservation measures may cripple commercial fishing and bankrupt many fishermen. A key issue in any reauthorization debate in the 108th Congress may be seeking a balance between conserving fish and maintaining a viable commercial fishing industry.

Congressional Action. At issue for the 108th Congress will be the terms and conditions of provisions designed to reauthorize and amend the MSFCMA to address the concerns of various interest groups. Thus far, only one bill, S. 482, has been introduced to comprehensively amend and reauthorize the MSFCMA. The remaining measures deal with single or several related issues. H.R. 1024 would establish a pelagic longline highly migratory species bycatch and mortality reduction research program within NOAA Fisheries. H.R. 1286 would prohibit the commercial harvesting of Atlantic striped bass in U.S. coastal waters and the exclusive economic zone. H.R. 1690 would prohibit certain bottom trawl gear to protect habitat and provide financial assistance to fishermen for transition to different gear. S. 781 would modify membership of the Gulf of Mexico Regional Council. H.R. 2679/S. 1463 would modify membership of the New England Regional Council. S. 1624 would modify membership of the Mid-Atlantic Regional Council. H.R. 2889 would direct the Secretary of Commerce to study fishery observer data to map migratory patterns and delineate wintering and feeding areas of Atlantic striped bass. H.R. 2890 would restrict the ability of the federal government to close areas to recreational fishing under the MSFCMA. Section 3 of S. 910 would require annual performance evaluations by the Coast Guard on fisheries law enforcement and marine safety activities; this measure was reported (amended) by the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs on July 29, 2003 (S.Rept. 108-115). S. 1106 and H.R. 2621 would establish national standards for fishing quota systems. Section 201 of H.R. 958 would reauthorize the Fisheries Survey Vessel Authorization Act of 2000 through FY2006; the House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on this measure on March 27, 2003. On May 20, 2003, the Senate Commerce Committee's Subcommittee on Oceans, Fisheries, and Coast Guard held a hearing on the North Pacific Fishery Management Council's crab rationalization program. Section 901 of S. 1585, as reported, would direct the Secretary of Commerce to approve the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands crab rationalization program (S.Rept. 108-144); §902 would prohibit essential fish habitat designation in Alaska waters prior to MSFCMA reauthorization; §903 would establish a Gulf of Alaska rockfish demonstration program; and §904 would reopen an Aleutian Islands pollock fishery. For additional information on reauthorization issues likely to be discussed in the 108th Congress, see CRS Report RL30215, *The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act: Reauthorization Issues for the 107th Congress*. For a side-by-side comparison of the three bills introduced in the 106th Congress to reauthorize the MSFCMA, see CRS Report RS20788, *Legislation in the 106th Congress to Amend and Reauthorize the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act*. A copy of a congressional memorandum, dated September 12, 2002, comparing House and Senate proposals for amending the MSFCMA in the 107th Congress may be requested by contacting Gene Buck directly at gbuck@crs.loc.gov.

Pacific Salmon

Background. Five species of salmon spawn in Pacific coastal rivers and lakes, after which juveniles migrate to North Pacific ocean waters where they mature. Since these fish may cross several state and national boundaries during their life spans, management is complicated. Threats to salmon include hydropower dams blocking rivers and creating

reservoirs, sport and commercial harvest, habitat modification by competing resource industries and human development, and hatcheries seeking to supplement natural production but sometimes unintentionally causing genetic or developmental concerns. In response to declining salmon populations in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and California, discrete population units have been listed as endangered or threatened species under the Endangered Species Act.

To address some of these concerns, the United States and Canada negotiated a bilateral agreement on Pacific salmon in 1985. However, by the mid-1990s, controversy stalled renegotiations to adjust cooperative management of these fish, and U.S.-Canada relations [<http://radio.cbc.ca/news/fish/>] became more antagonistic, including the blockade of an Alaska state ferry by British Columbia fishermen in Prince Rupert, BC, in July 1997. This deadlock was resolved in June 1999 when a new accord was concluded. For additional information on the Pacific Salmon Treaty and new agreement, see CRS Report RL30234, *The Pacific Salmon Treaty: The 1999 Agreement in Historical Perspective*.

Congressional Action. In the 108th Congress, H.R. 1097 would direct the Secretary of Commerce to seek scientific analysis of federal efforts to restore Columbia River Basin salmon and steelhead listed under the ESA. H.R. 1604 would increase the funding authorized for temperature control devices at Folsom Dam, California. H.R. 1760 would establish water conservation and habitat restoration programs in the Klamath River basin and provide emergency disaster assistance to those who suffered economic harm from the Klamath River basin fish kill of 2002. H.R. 1753/S. 1438 would compensate the Spokane Tribe for the loss of salmon fisheries related to the construction of Grand Coulee Dam. S. 1308 would authorize the Bureau of Reclamation and NOAA Fisheries to implement a recent court decision relating to the Savage Rapids Dam, Oregon. Section 4021 of H.R. 2557 would require a feasibility study of fish passage improvements in Oregon; this measure was reported (amended, with the fish passage language in §4024) by the Committee on Transportation on September 5, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-265), and passed by the House (amended) on September 24, 2003. Section 103 of S. 1555 would designate “salmon restoration areas” in northern California. H.R. 1945 would authorize the Secretary of Commerce to provide financial assistance for salmon habitat restoration projects; the House Committee on Resources reported this bill (amended) on September 16, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-272). Section 3 of H.R. 2048 would reauthorize the Yukon River Salmon Act through FY2008. The House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on H.R. 2048 on May 22, 2003, and the full committee reported this measure (amended) on October 7, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-300). On June 4, 2003, the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs held a hearing on the impacts on tribal fish and wildlife management programs in the Pacific Northwest. On June 24, 2003, the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works held a hearing to examine implementation of NOAA Fisheries’ 2000 Biological Opinion for listed anadromous fish with regard to operation of the Federal Columbia River Power System. For background on this issue, see CRS Report 98-666 ENR, *Pacific Salmon and Anadromous Trout: Management Under the Endangered Species Act* and CRS Report RL31546, *The Endangered Species Act and Science: The Case of Pacific Salmon*.

Miscellaneous Issues

Seafood Labeling. Section 2105 of P.L. 108-11 amends the Organic Foods Production Act of 1990 to direct the Secretary of Agriculture to promulgate regulations

allowing wild seafood to be certified or labeled as organic. H.R. 3083 would modify the country of origin labeling requirements for wild and farm-raised fish.

Trade. Section 8118 of P.L. 108-87 makes Department of Defense Buy American requirements inapplicable to the procurement of fish, shellfish, or seafood products during FY2004. H.R. 155/H.R. 2406 proposes to modify U.S. subsidies beneficial to certain foreign competitors with the domestic shrimp industry. Section 3 of S. 1110/S. 1299/H.R. 2308 would authorize a program for trade adjustment assistance to commercial fishermen and fish processors.

Recreational Fishing. Section 9 of P.L. 108-88 (H.R. 3087) extends Sport Fish Restoration funding through February 29, 2004. H.Res. 30 would express concern for continued U.S. recreational fishing access to waters near the Revillagigedo Islands of Mexico; the House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on this bill on May 22, 2003, and it was reported on July 9, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-194). Section 1013 of S. 747/H.R. 1588 and §328 of S. 1047 would authorize the transfer of vessels stricken from the Naval Vessel Register for use as artificial reefs; H.R. 1588 was reported (amended) by the House Committee on Armed Services on May 16, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-106), while S. 1047 was reported by the Senate Committee on Armed Services on May 13, 2003, and passed by the Senate on May 22, 2003. H.R. 1588 was passed by the House (amended) on May 22, 2003; and passed the Senate (amended to contain the language of S. 1047) on June 4, 2003. Section 206 of H.R. 878 and §503 of H.R. 1308 would repeal the excise tax on fishing tackle boxes that provides partial funding for the Sport Fish Restoration Program. On March 5, 2003, the House Committee on Ways and Means reported H.R. 878, amended (H.Rept. 108-23). On March 19, 2003, the House passed H.R. 1308; the Senate passed the bill (amended, deleting language to repeal the excise tax on fishing tackle boxes) on June 5, 2003. Section 7501 of H.R. 2088/S. 1072 would extend the current allocation of funding for the Sport Fish Restoration Program through FY2009; §9007 would extend the transfer of small-engine fuel taxes to the Sport Fish Restoration Account through FY2011. The Committee on Environment and Public Works Subcommittee on Transportation and Infrastructure held a hearing on S. 1072 on May 20, 2003; the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation's Subcommittee on Competition, Foreign Commerce, and Infrastructure held a hearing on May 22, 2003. H.R. 2839 would amend the Internal Revenue Code to modify the transfer of motor fuel excise taxes attributable to motorboat and small engine fuels into the Aquatic Resources Trust Fund. S. 1669 would reauthorize the Sport Fish Restoration Program through FY2004. H.R. 2890 would restrict the ability of the federal government to close areas to recreational fishing under the MSFCMA. H.Res. 362 would recognize the importance and contribution of fishing and other outdoor sports to society; the House passed this measure on September 23, 2003.

Invasive Species. Section 6(c) of S. 144 would exclude state funding for noxious aquatic weed control from a noxious weed control program. On February 11, 2003, S. 144 was reported, amended (S.Rept. 108-6); the Senate passed this measure (amended) on March 4, 2003. H.R. 266 and S. 536 propose to authorize the National Invasive Species Council. H.R. 989 would require regulations to assure that vessels entering the Great Lakes had adequate ballast water treatment. H.R. 1080 would reauthorize the Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act through FY2008 and amend this Act to expand programs to address aquatic invasive species concerns. H.R. 1081 would establish marine and freshwater research, development, and demonstration programs to prevent, control, and

eradicate invasive species; the House Committee on Science ordered this bill reported (amended) on June 4, 2003. H.R. 2310 would establish an incentive grant program to control and eradicate invasive species. Section 1006 of H.R. 2557 would direct the Army Corps of Engineers to study several projects to address invasive aquatic plant situations; this measure was reported (amended) by the Committee on Transportation on September 5, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-265), and passed by the House (amended) on September 24, 2003. H.R. 3122 would direct the Coast Guard to promulgate regulations setting certain ballast water treatment standards for vessel entry into the Great Lakes. S. 525 would both amend and reauthorize the Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act through FY2008 and establish marine and freshwater research, development, and demonstration programs; the Senate Environment and Public Works Subcommittee on Fisheries, Wildlife, and Water held a hearing on this measure on June 17, 2003. S. 1398 and H.R. 2720 would authorize funding for invasive species control in the Great Lakes. On April 29, 2003, the House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife and Oceans and Subcommittee on National Parks, Recreation, and Public Lands held a joint oversight hearing on the growing problem of invasive species. Section 3(c)(3)(G)(iv) of H.R. 2641/S. 1097 would specifically authorize FY2004 through FY2007 appropriations for invasive species activities as part of the CalFed Bay-Delta Program. H.Con.Res. 276/S.Con.Res. 69 would require that any agreement signed by the United States not preclude measures to combat invasive species. On September 16, 2003, the Senate passed H.R. 2754, after amending it to fund the Army Corps of Engineers' preparation of an environmental impact statement for introducing non-native oysters into Chesapeake Bay, with authorization for a scientific advisory body to assist the review.

International Fisheries. Section 103(4) of S. 790 and §104(4) of S. 925 would authorize an appropriation of \$20,043,000 for "International Fisheries Commissions" for FY2004. Section 114(4) of H.R. 1950 would authorize \$20,043,000 for these commission for both FY2004 and FY2005; the House Committee on International Relations reported (amended) H.R. 1950 (H.Rept. 108-105, Part I) on May 16, 2003; the Committee on Armed Services (amended) on June 30, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-105, Part III); and the Committee on Energy and Commerce (amended) on July 11, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-105, Part IV). H.R. 1950 passed the House on July 16, 2003. S. 790 would authorize such sums as may be necessary for "International Fisheries Commissions" for FY2005. On April 24, 2003, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations reported S. 925 (S.Rept. 108-39). Section 2 of H.R. 2048 would extend the reimbursement period under the Fishermen's Protective Act through FY2008. The House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on H.R. 2048 on May 22, 2003, and the full committee reported this measure (amended) on October 7, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-300). On June 12, 2003, the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation held a hearing on global overfishing and international fishery management. H.Con.Res. 268 would express the sense of the Congress regarding sanctions on nations that undermine the effectiveness of conservation and management measures for Atlantic highly migratory species, including marlin, adopted by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas. The House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on this measure on September 11, 2003; and the full committee ordered this measure reported on September 24, 2003.

Seafood Safety. S. 366, S. 485, and H.R. 999 would amend the Clean Air Act to direct the EPA Administrator to act to reduce mercury emissions from electricity generating

facilities. On April 8, 2003, the Senate Environment and Public Works Subcommittee on Clean Air, Climate Change, and Nuclear Safety held a hearing on S. 485; additional hearings were held on May 8 and June 5, 2003. Section 12 of S. 484 would amend the Clean Air Act to evaluate the adequacy of public advisories concerning mercury-contaminated fish. Section 5 of S. 506/H.R. 1551 would require daily inspection of seafood commodities covered by NOAA Fisheries inspection programs that are used in Department of Agricultural school food programs. Section 2 of H.R. 1495 would require labels warning of increased risk of illness for certain individuals on for raw or partially cooked fish and shellfish; §3 of this same bill would require labeling identifying fish and shellfish that have been frozen. Section 4(c) of H.R. 1624 would amend §308 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to increase public information requirements for notification of waters where fish or shellfish contamination is a concern. S. 1218 would increase support and coordination of interagency ocean science programs, including U.S. research and monitoring programs related to the role of oceans in human health.

Hydropower. Section 102(a)(3)(H) of H.R. 238 and §101(a)(7) of H.R. 1343 would set a goal for Department of Energy hydropower programs to decrease damage to fish and aquatic ecosystems; H.R. 238 was reported (amended) by the House Committee on Science on May 22, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-128, Part 1). H.R. 1013, §13001 of H.R. 6, §3001 of H.R. 1644, and §511 of S. 14/S. 1005 would allow federal hydropower licensees to propose alternatives to any fishways required by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as long as the alternative would result in equal or greater fish passage. On April 8, 2003, the House Committee on Energy and Commerce reported H.R. 1644, amended (H.Rept. 108-65, Part I). On April 11, 2003, the House passed H.R. 6, as amended. On May 6, 2003, the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources reported S. 1005 (S.Rept. 108-43). On July 31, 2003, the Senate passed H.R. 6 (amended) with the fishway language in §301 and language to set a goal for Department of Energy hydropower programs to decrease damage to fish and aquatic ecosystems in §1221(b)(8). S. 1307 would authorize the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Reclamation, to assist in implementing fish passage and screening facilities at non-federal water projects.

Tuna. H.R. 1424 would extend the American Samoa Possession Tax Credit Act through January 1, 2016, beneficial to American Samoan tuna canneries. S. 130 proposes to amend the labeling requirements for “dolphin-safe tuna” in the Dolphin Protection Consumer Information Act. Section 2004(f) of S. 671 would amend the Andean Trade Preference Act to expand the definition of “United States vessel” relative to tuna harvesting; this measure was reported by the Committee on Finance on March 20, 2003 (S.Rept. 108-28). Section 242 of H.J.Res. 63/S.J.Res. 16 would permit duty-free export of specified amounts of canned tuna to the United States from the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands; the House Committee on Resources held a hearing on H.J.Res. 63 on July 10, 2003. This measure was reported amended by the Committee on International Relations (September 4, 2003; H.Rept. 108-262, Part I), the Committee on Resources (September 15, 2003; H.Rept. 108-262, Part II), and the Committee on the Judiciary (September 15, 2003; H.Rept. 108-262, Part III). The Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources held a hearing on S.J.Res. 16 on July 15, 2003, and reported this measure (amended) on October 1, 2003 (S.Rept. 108-159).

Tax Provisions. S. 487/H.R. 2973 would amend the Internal Revenue Code to provide a business credit against income for the purchase of fishing vessel safety equipment.

H.R. 927 and S. 665 would amend the Internal Revenue Code to allow commercial fishermen to establish tax-deferred Farm and Ranch Risk Management Accounts to shelter a portion of fishery income. Section 8 of S. 842 would allow income averaging by commercial fishermen. Section 108 of S. 256/S. 272/S. 476 would amend the Internal Revenue Code to provide tax incentives for participation in the Fish and Wildlife Services' "Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program" [<http://partners.fws.gov/>]. On February 27, 2003, S. 476 was reported amended (S.Rept. 108-11); on April 9, 2003, the Senate passed this measure (amended).

Indian Claims and Fishing Rights. H.R. 2425 and Title III of S. 523 would establish the Quinault Permanent Fisheries Fund and other accounts to manage funds received from the settlement of claims. On May 15, 2003, S. 523 was reported, amended, by the Committee on Indian Affairs (S.Rept. 108-49); this measure was passed by the Senate (amended) on July 30, 2003. Section 201 of H.R. 1661 would modify the treatment of income derived from the exercise of Indian fishing rights (detailed in §7873 of the Internal Revenue Code), for purposes of calculating earned income tax credit. On June 4, 2003, the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs held a hearing on the impacts on tribal fish and wildlife management programs in the Pacific Northwest.

Habitat on Military Lands. H.R. 1497 and §311 of H.R. 1588 would reauthorize Title I of the Sikes Act through FY2008. On April 10, 2003, the House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on H.R. 1497. On May 14, 2003, the House Committee on Resources reported H.R. 1497, as amended (H.Rept. 108-100, Part I). H.R. 1588 was reported (amended) by the House Committee on Armed Services on May 16, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-106), and passed by the House (amended) on May 22, 2003; the Senate did not include the Sikes Act provision when passing H.R. 1588 (amended) on June 4, 2003.

Hypoxia and Harmful Algal Blooms. S. 247, S. 937, and H.R. 1856 would amend and reauthorize the Harmful Algal Bloom and Hypoxia Research and Control Act of 1998 through FY2006. On March 13, 2003, the House Science Subcommittee on the Environment, Technology, and Standards held an oversight hearing on harmful algal bloom and hypoxia research. On July 22, 2003, the House Committee on Science ordered H.R. 1856 reported. On August 26, 2003, the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation reported S. 247, amended (S.Rept. 108-125).

Health Care. Section 2 of H.R. 660 and S. 545 would amend the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to authorize associations within the fishing industry for the purpose of providing health care plans for association members. On March 13, 2003, the House Committee on Education and the Workforce's Subcommittee on Employer-Employee Relations held a hearing on H.R. 660; this measure was reported (amended) on June 16, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-156), and passed by the House (amended) on June 19, 2003.

Great Lakes. H.R. 2500 would authorize the Great Lakes Fishery Commission to investigate effects of migratory birds on the productivity of Great Lakes fish stocks. S. 1398 and H.R. 2720 would provide for coordinated environmental restoration of the Great Lakes. On July 16, 2003, the Senate Governmental Affairs Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management, the Federal Workforce, and the District of Columbia held a hearing on Great Lakes restoration.

Bankruptcy. H.R. 343 and §1007 of H.R. 975 would extend similar protection to family fishermen as currently applies to family farmers under Chapter 12 of bankruptcy laws. The House Judiciary Subcommittee on Commercial and Administrative Law held a hearing on H.R. 975 on March 4, 2003, and reported this measure (amended) on March 18, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-40, Part I). On March 19, 2003, the House passed H.R. 975, as amended.

Assistance. On May 1, 2003, the House Committee on Education and the Workforce reported H.R. 1261 (amended), in which §104(b)(2) would amend the Workforce Investment Act to specifically identify displaced fishermen as individuals that states would serve in relation to employment and training needs (H.Rept. 108-82); this measure was passed (amended) by the House on May 8, 2003.

Renewable Energy. H.R. 1183 would amend the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 to require that consideration be given to concerns for access restrictions affecting commercial and recreational fishing when constructing and operating marine renewable energy projects.

Oil Spill Damage. S. 370 would amend the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 to modify provisions concerning the recovery of damages for injuries to fishermen and aquaculture operations resulting from oil spills.

Capital Construction Fund. H.R. 2360/S. 1193 would provide for qualified withdrawals from Capital Construction Fund accounts for fishermen leaving the industry for rollover into individual retirement plans.

Corals and Coral Reefs. H.R. 1721 would amend the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to provide debt relief to developing nations that protect coral reef habitat.

Irrigation. Section 7 of S. 900/H.R. 2257 would require fish protection devices for the Lower Yellowstone Irrigation Project.

Seafood Processing. Section 203(a)(1) of H.R. 3139 would require the Secretary of Labor to promulgate regulations prohibiting minors from employment in seafood processing.

Aquaculture: Background and Issues

Aquaculture is broadly defined as the farming or husbandry of fish, shellfish, and other aquatic animals and plants, usually in a controlled or selected environment. The diversity of aquaculture is typified by such activities as: fish farming, usually applied to freshwater commercial aquaculture operations (e.g., catfish and trout farms, [<http://www.usda.gov/nass/pubs/stathigh/2002/livestock02.pdf>]); shellfish and seaweed culture; net-pen culture, used by the salmon industry, wherein fish remain captive throughout their lives in marine pens built from nets; and ocean ranching, used by the Pacific Coast salmon industry which cultures juveniles, releases them to mature in the open ocean, and catches them when they return as adults to spawn. Fish hatcheries are government and commercial aquaculture facilities that raise fish from recreational and commercial stocking as well as for mitigation of aquatic resource and habitat damage. Despite considerable growth, the domestic aquaculture industry faced strong competition in 2002 from imports of foreign aquacultural

products as well as competition from the domestic poultry and livestock industries [<http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/reports/erssor/livestock/ldp-aqs/2002/aqs16.pdf>]. With growth however, aquaculture operations are facing increasing scrutiny for habitat destruction, pollution, and other concerns. The major statute affecting U.S. aquaculture is the National Aquaculture Act of 1980, as amended (16 U.S.C. 2801 *et seq.*). FY2004 appropriations for aquaculture in H.R. 2673 (agriculture) were passed by the House on July 14, 2003; in the Senate, these programs are being considered in S. 1427 (S.Rept. 108-107).

Miscellaneous Issues

Bankruptcy. H.R. 343 and §1007 of H.R. 975 would extend similar protection to family fishermen (including aquaculture operations) as currently applies to family farmers under Chapter 12 of bankruptcy laws. The House Judiciary Subcommittee on Commercial and Administrative Law held a hearing on H.R. 975 on March 4, 2003, and reported this measure (amended) on March 18, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-40, Part I). On March 19, 2003, the House passed H.R. 975, as amended.

Oil Spill Damage. S. 370 would amend the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 to modify provisions concerning the recovery of damages for injuries to fishermen and aquaculture operations resulting from oil spills.

Discharge Penalties. Section 2 of H.R. 1184 would amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to increase criminal penalties for negligent and knowing violations of regulations applicable to aquacultural discharges.

National Policy. S.Res. 160/H.Res. 301/H.Res. 308 would express the sense of the Congress that the federal government should actively pursue a unified approach to strengthen and promote the national policy on aquaculture.

Decommissioned OCS Platforms. H.R. 2654 would amend the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act to direct the Secretary of the Interior to issue regulations authorizing the use of decommissioned offshore oil and gas platforms for culture of marine organisms.

Chesapeake Bay. Section 6 of S. 831 would authorize grants to support education and development of Chesapeake Bay aquaculture sciences and technologies.

Tax Provisions. Section 2(e) of S. 106 would specifically exclude small businesses that raise fish from certain provisions modifying income tax on capital gains.

Crop Loss. S. 1309/H.R. 2684 would authorize emergency financial assistance for fisheries crop loss attributable to a disaster.

Small Business Assistance. H.R. 2802 would amend the Small Business Act to specifically identify aquaculture operations as qualified small business concerns.

Labeling. H.R. 3083 would modify the country of origin labeling requirements for wild and farm-raised fish.

Marine Mammals: Background and Issues

Due in part to the high level of dolphin mortality (estimated at more than 400,000 animals per year) in the eastern tropical Pacific tuna purse-seine fishery, Congress enacted the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) in 1972. While some critics assert that the MMPA is scientifically irrational because it identifies one group of organisms for special protection unrelated to their abundance or ecological role, this Act has accomplished much by way of promoting research and increased understanding of marine life as well as encouraging attention to incidental bycatch mortalities of marine life by the commercial fishing and other maritime industries.

The Act established a moratorium on the “taking” of marine mammals in U.S. waters and by U.S. nationals on the high seas. The Act also established a moratorium on importing marine mammals and marine mammal products into the United States. This Act protected marine mammals from “clubbing, mutilation, poisoning, capture in nets, and other human actions that lead to extinction.” It also expressly authorized the Secretary of Commerce and the Secretary of the Interior to issue permits for the “taking” of marine mammals for certain purposes, such as scientific research and public display.

Under the Act, the Secretary of Commerce, acting through NOAA Fisheries, is responsible for the conservation and management of whales, dolphins, porpoises, seals, and sea lions. The Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), is responsible for walruses, sea and marine otters, polar bears, manatees, and dugongs. (FY2004 appropriations for FWS marine mammal programs are being considered in H.R. 2691 and S. 1391.) This division of authority derives from agency responsibilities as they existed when the MMPA was enacted. Title II of the Act established an independent Marine Mammal Commission (MMC) and its Committee of Scientific Advisors on Marine Mammals to oversee and recommend actions necessary to meet the requirements of the Act.

Prior to passage of the MMPA, states were responsible for marine mammal management on lands and in waters under their jurisdiction. The MMPA shifted marine mammal management authority to the federal government. It provides, however, that management authority, on a species-by-species basis, could be returned to states that adopt conservation and management programs consistent with the purposes and policies of the Act. It also provides that the moratorium on taking can be waived for specific purposes, if the taking will not disadvantage the affected species or population. Permits may be issued to take or import any marine mammal species, including depleted species, for scientific research or to enhance the survival or recovery of the species or stock. The MMPA allows U.S. citizens to apply for and obtain authorization for the take of small numbers of mammals incidental to activities other than commercial fishing (*e.g.*, offshore oil and gas exploration and development) if the taking would have only a negligible impact on any marine mammal species or stock, provided that monitoring requirements and other conditions are met.

The Act’s moratorium on taking does not apply to any Indian, Aleut, or Eskimo who resides in Alaska and who dwells near the coast of the North Pacific or Arctic Ocean, if such taking is for subsistence purposes or for creating and selling authentic Native articles of handicrafts and clothing, and is not done wastefully. The Act also authorizes the taking of marine mammals incidental to commercial fishing operations. In 1988, most U.S. commercial fish harvesters were exempted from otherwise applicable rulemaking and permit

requirements for a 5-year period, pending development of an improved system to govern the incidental taking of marine mammals in the course of commercial fishing operations. This exemption expired at the end of FY1993, and was extended several times until new provisions were enacted by P.L. 103-238, which reauthorized the MMPA through FY1999. The eastern tropical Pacific tuna fishery was excluded from the incidental take regimes enacted in 1988 and 1994. Instead, the taking of marine mammals incidental to that fishery is governed by separate provisions of the MMPA, and was substantially amended by P.L. 105-42, the International Dolphin Conservation Program Act.

Marine Mammal Protection Act Reauthorization

Background. The MMPA was reauthorized in 1994 by P.L. 103-238, the MMPA Amendments of 1994; the authorization for appropriations expired on September 30, 1999. The 1994 amendments indefinitely authorized the taking of marine mammals incidental to commercial fishing operations and provided for assessment of marine mammal stocks in U.S. waters, for the development and implementation of take reduction plans for stocks that may be reduced or are being maintained below their optimum sustainable population levels due to interactions with commercial fisheries, and for studies of pinniped-fishery interactions (see [<http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/publications/techmemos/tm28/areas.htm>]).

Congressional Action. At issue for the 108th Congress are the terms and conditions of provisions designed to reauthorize and amend the MMPA to address the concerns of various interest groups. On July 16, 2003, the Senate Commerce Subcommittee on Oceans, Fisheries, and Coast Guard held a hearing on MMPA reauthorization issues. H.R. 2693 would amend and reauthorize the MMPA through FY2008. The House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on this bill on July 24, 2003, and marked up this bill on September 25, 2003. H.R. 2142 would amend the MMPA to repeal the goal for reducing the incidental mortality and serious injury of marine mammals in commercial fishing operations to zero and to modify the goal of take reduction plans for reducing such takings. On August 19, 2003, the House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held an oversight field hearing in San Diego, California, on the increasing frequency of interactions between marine mammals and humans. For additional information on potential reauthorization issues in the 108th Congress, see CRS Report RL30120, *Marine Mammal Protection Act: Reauthorization Issues for the 107th Congress*.

Miscellaneous Issues

Military Readiness. On March 13, 2003, the House Armed Services Subcommittee on Readiness held a hearing on potential amendments to the MMPA to address military readiness concerns. Section 316(b) of S. 747, §301(b) of S. 927, §318 of H.R. 1588, and §§3-5 of H.R. 1835 would amend the MMPA to modify the definition of harassment and provisions relating to taking and importing of marine mammals as they relate to military readiness activities. On May 6, 2003, the House Committee on Resources held a hearing on H.R. 1835; on May 14, 2003, this Committee reported (amended) H.R. 1835 (H.Rept. 108-99, Part 1). On May 16, 2003, the House Committee on Armed Services reported H.R. 1588 (amended) after adding marine mammal provisions in Committee markup (H.Rept. 108-106). H.R. 1588 was passed by the House (amended) on May 22, 2003; the Senate did not include the MMPA provision when passing H.R. 1588 (amended) on June 4, 2003.

International Whaling. H.Con.Res. 216/S.Con.Res. 55 would express the sense of the Congress regarding U.S. policy at the 55th Annual Meeting of the International Whaling Commission. Section 434 of H.R. 1950 and §4 of H.R. 1590 would require the Secretary of State of annually send a high-level delegation to consult with key foreign governments in every region to promote the U.S. agenda at the International Whaling Commission. H.R. 1950 was reported (amended) on May 16, 2003, by the Committee on International Relations (H.Rept. 108-105, Part I); on June 30, 2003, by the Committee on Armed Services (H.Rept. 108-105, Part III); and on July 11, 2003, by the Committee on Energy and Commerce (H.Rept. 108-105, Part IV). H.R. 1950 passed the House on July 16, 2003.

Renewable Energy. H.R. 1183 would amend the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 to require that consideration be given to concerns for marine mammals and their critical habitat when constructing and operating marine renewable energy projects.

Ocean Health. S. 1218 would increase support and coordination of interagency ocean science programs, including U.S. research and monitoring programs related to the role of oceans in human health.

Subsistence Whaling. S. 293/H.R. 952 would amend the Internal Revenue Code to provide a charitable deduction for certain whaling expenses incurred in support of Native Alaskan subsistence bowhead whaling activities.

Polar Bears. On June 17, 2003, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations held a hearing on the 2001 Agreement between the United States and Russia on the conservation and management of the Alaska-Chukotka polar bear population. On September 23, 2003, the Senate passed H.R. 2691 after adopting a floor amendment that would modify §104(c)(5)(D) of the MMPA, applicable to the import of polar bear trophies from Canada.

Tuna-Dolphin. S. 130 proposes to amend the labeling requirements for “dolphin-safe tuna” in the Dolphin Protection Consumer Information Act.

NOAA Fisheries Appropriations

On February 3, 2003, the Bush Administration released its request for FY2004 funding for various federal agencies and programs. Major increases in the requested \$732 million for NOAA Fisheries include: \$3 million to expand fishery observer coverage in the Northeast; \$3.1 million for implementing the 2000 Federal Columbia River Power System Biological Opinion and Basin-wide Recovery Strategy; \$3 million to continue modernization and expansion of fishery stock assessments; \$2.8 million to support efforts to reduce bycatch; \$2 million to fund consultations under §7 of the Endangered Species Act; and \$2 million to improve the understanding and prediction of climate change on major U.S. marine and coastal ecosystems in the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska. The major decrease is elimination of the funding provided in FY2003 under the bilateral Pacific Salmon Treaty for capitalization of two enhancement and restoration funds.

On March 19, 2003, the House Resources Subcommittee on Fisheries Conservation, Wildlife, and Oceans held a hearing on the FY2004 NOAA request. On March 12, 2003, the Senate Commerce Subcommittee on Oceans, Fisheries, and Coast Guard held a hearing on the FY2004 NOAA request. In the House, H.R. 2799, proposing FY2004 appropriations of

\$643.4 million for NOAA Fisheries, was reported on July 21, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-221) and passed by the House on July 23, 2004. The amount proposed for NOAA Fisheries in the House-passed H.R. 2799 is \$88.7 million (more than 12%) less than the Administration's request. In contrast, the Senate, in S. 1585, proposed FY2004 appropriations of \$861.9 million for NOAA Fisheries (more than 17% higher than the Administration's request), as was reported on September 4, 2003 (S.Rept. 108-144). In addition, §105 of S. 1401 would authorize NOAA Fisheries appropriations from FY2004 through FY2008.

Table 1. NOAA Fisheries Appropriations
(In thousands of dollars)

	FY2003 Request	FY2003 Enacted	FY2004 Request	FY2004 House- passed	FY2004 Senate- reported
Fisheries	339,234	349,504	363,008	333,287	396,616
Protected Resources	153,145	145,642	160,740	129,855	148,531
Habitat Conservation	45,527	62,261	46,512	47,590	63,871
Enforcement Surveillance	50,034	22,659	50,698	34,340	63,434
SUBTOTAL	587,940	580,066	620,958	545,072	672,452
Procurement, Acquisition, and Construction	17,000	7,000	14,000	8,100	17,492
Fleet Replacement	0	50,874	0	0	53,000
Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery	90,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	90,000
Pacific Salmon Treaty	20,000	40,000	0	0	0
Saltonstall-Kennedy obligations	4,127	11,325	218	218	27,000*
Environmental Improvement & Restoration Fund	1,362	0	5,509	0	0
Other Accounts	1,088	2	1,434	0	2,000
TOTAL	721,517	779,267	732,139	643,390	861,944

*Funding identified in S. 1585 (as reported), General Provisions-Department of Commerce, §207.

Sources: Budget Justifications, House and Senate Committee Reports, and floor debate.

LEGISLATION

Fisheries

P.L. 108-7 (H.J.Res. 2); P.L. 108-11 (H.R. 1559); P.L. 108-87 (H.R. 2658); and P.L. 108-88 (H.R. 3087).

H.Res. 30 (Cunningham); H.Res. 362 (Walsh); H.Con.Res. 268 (Saxton); H.Con.Res. 276 (Brown of Ohio); H.J.Res. 63 (Leach); H.R. 6 (Tauzin); H.R. 155 (Paul); H.R. 238 (Boehlert); H.R. 266 (Ehlers); H.R. 343 (Baldwin); H.R. 660 (Fletcher); H.R. 878 (Thomas); H.R. 927 (Hulshof); H.R. 958 (Young of Alaska); H.R. 975 (Sensenbrenner); H.R. 989 (Hoekstra); H.R. 999 (Barton); H.R. 1013 (Radanovich); H.R. 1024 (Saxton); H.R. 1080 (Gilchrest); H.R. 1081 (Ehlers); H.R. 1183 (Delahunt); H.R. 1261 (McKeon); H.R. 1286 (Pallone); H.R. 1308 (Thomas); H.R. 1343 (Woolsey); H.R. 1424 (Faleomavaega); H.R. 1495 (Pallone); H.R. 1497 (Pombo); H.R. 1551 (Schakowsky); H.R. 1588 (Hunter); H.R. 1604 (Doolittle); H.R. 1624 (Pallone); H.R. 1644 (Barton); H.R. 1661 (Rangel); H.R. 1690 (Hefley); H.R. 1721 (Kirk); H.R. 1753 (Nethercutt); H.R. 1760 (Thompson of California); H.R. 1856 (Ehlers); H.R. 1945 (Thompson of California); H.R. 1950 (Hyde); H.R. 2048 (Gilchrest); H.R. 2088 (Young of Alaska); H.R. 2257 (Rehberg); H.R. 2308 (Levin); H.R. 2310 (Rahall); H.R. 2360 (Capps); H.R. 2406 (Paul); H.R. 2425 (Dicks); H.R. 2500 (Stupak); H.R. 2557 (Young of Alaska); H.R. 2621 (Allen); H.R. 2641 (George Miller); H.R. 2679 (Israel); H.R. 2691 (Taylor of North Carolina); H.R. 2720 (Emanuel); H.R. 2754 (Hobson); H.R. 2799 (Wolf); H.R. 2839 (Shaw); H.R. 2889 (Saxton); H.R. 2890 (Saxton); H.R. 2973 (Simmons); H.R. 3083 (Peterson of Minnesota); H.R. 3122 (Miller of Michigan); H.R. 3139 (Lantos); S.J.Res. 16 (Domenici); S.Con.Res. 69 (Feingold); S. 14 (Domenici); S. 130 (Boxer); S. 144 (Craig); S. 247 (Snowe); S. 256 (Grassley); S. 272 (Santorum); S. 366 (Jeffords); S. 370 (Smith); S. 476 (Grassley); S. 482 (Collins); S. 484 (Leahy); S. 485 (Inhofe); S. 487 (Collins); S. 506 (Durbin); S. 523 (Campbell); S. 525 (Levin); S. 545 (Snowe); S. 665 (Grassley); S. 671 (Grassley); S. 747 (Warner); S. 762 (Stevens); S. 781 (Lott); S. 790 (Lugar); S. 842 (Kerry); S. 900 (Burns); S. 910 (Akaka); S. 925 (Lugar); S. 937 (Voinovich); S. 1005 (Domenici); S. 1047 (Warner); S. 1072 (Inhofe); S. 1097 (Feinstein); S. 1106 (Snowe); S. 1110 (Bingaman); S. 1193 (Wyden); S. 1218 (Hollings); S. 1299 (Snowe); S. 1307 (Smith of Oregon); S. 1308 (Wyden); S. 1382 (Stevens); S. 1391 (Burns); S. 1398 (DeWine); S. 1401 (McCain); S. 1438 (Cantwell); S. 1463 (Clinton); S. 1555 (Boxer); S. 1624 (Reed); S. 1640 (Inhofe); and S. 1669 (Inhofe).

Aquaculture

P.L. 108-7 (H.J.Res. 2).

H.Res. 301 (Abercrombie); H.Res. 308 (McIntyre); H.R. 343 (Baldwin); H.R. 975 (Sensenbrenner); H.R. 1184 (Dingell); H.R. 2654 (Vitter); H.R. 2673 (Bonilla); H.R. 2684 (Ross); H.R. 2802 (Manzullo); H.R. 3083 (Peterson of Minnesota); S.Res. 160 (Akaka); S. 106 (Collins); S. 370 (Smith); S. 831 (Sarbanes); S. 1309 (Lincoln); and S. 1427 (Bennett).

Marine Mammals

P.L. 108-7 (H.J.Res. 2).

H.Con.Res. 216 (Delahunt); H.R. 952 (Young of Alaska); H.R. 1183 (Delahunt); H.R. 1588 (Hunter); H.R. 1590 (Lantos); H.R. 1835 (Gallegly); H.R. 1950 (Hyde); H.R. 2142 (Young of Alaska); H.R. 2691 (Taylor of North Carolina); H.R. 2693 (Gilchrest); H.R. 2799 (Wolf); S.Con.Res. 55 (Snowe); S. 130 (Boxer); S. 293 (Murkowski); S. 747 (Warner); S. 927 (Warner); S. 1218 (Hollings); S. 1391 (Burns); and S. 1401 (McCain).