CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

U.S. Assistance to Vietnam

Updated December 3, 2004

Mark E. Manyin Specialist in Asian Affairs Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

U.S. Assistance to Vietnam

Summary

U.S.-Vietnam diplomatic and economic relations were non-existent for more than fifteen years following communist North Vietnam's victory in 1975 over U.S. ally South Vietnam. Normalization of relations, particularly in the economic sphere, between the United States and unified Vietnam began in earnest in the 1990s. As the normalization process has proceeded, the U.S. has eliminated most of the Cold Warera restrictions on U.S. aid to Vietnam, and U.S. assistance has increased markedly from around \$1 million when assistance was resumed in 1991 to nearly \$50 million in FY2004.

In recent years, many Members of Congress have become interested in linking U.S. aid to the human rights situation in Vietnam. One initiative, H.R. 1587, proposes capping existing non-humanitarian U.S. assistance programs to the Vietnamese government at FY2004 levels if the President does not certify that Vietnam is making "substantial progress" in human rights, including religious freedom. In the near term, if enacted, the substantive impact of H.R. 1587 on U.S. aid would likely be negligible because at present, no U.S. non-humanitarian assistance is given directly to the government of Vietnam. Thus, if enacted, the bill's principal impact is likely to be symbolic. Proponents of the measure argue that it would pressure the Vietnamese government to improve the country's human rights situation. Critics argue that the bill could chill the warming of bilateral political and security ties that has been taking place slowly over the past several months. On July 19, 2004, the House passed H.R. 1587. Attempts to include stripped-down versions of the measure in the FY2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act (H.R. 4818) did not succeed.

U.S. bilateral assistance programs are likely to come under additional scrutiny in the future. At some point in the coming year or two, Congress is expected to consider granting permanent normal trade relations (PNTR) status to Vietnam as part of Vietnam's bid to join the World Trade Organization (WTO). Hanoi has set a goal of attaining WTO membership by the end of 2005.

This report quantifies and briefly describes U.S. assistance programs to Vietnam. It includes an appendix detailing funding levels for U.S. aid programs. The report will be updated periodically.

Contents

| Overview of the U.S. Aid Program in Vietnam1 |
|--|
| Vietnam and the Millennium Challenge Account |
| U.S. Aid to Vietnam in Comparative Perspective |
| Future Areas for Possible Expansion |
| IMET (International Military Education and Training) |
| Peace Corps |
| Recent Attempts to Restrict Aid |
| The Vietnam Human Rights Act (H.R. 1587)5 |
| A Review of the U.SVietnam Normalization Process and the Restoration |
| of U.S. Aid to Vietnam6 |
| Cold War Restrictions on Aid |
| The Normalization Process since the Early 1990s7 |
| Appendix |

List of Tables

| Table 1. Overview of U.S. Assistance to Vietnam 2 |
|---|
| Table 2. Detailed Breakdown of U.S. Assistance Programs to Vietnam, |
| FY2000-FY2005 |

U.S. Assistance to Vietnam

In recent years, many Members of Congress have become interested in linking U.S. aid to the human rights situation in Vietnam. One initiative in the 108th Congress, the Vietnam Human Rights Act (H.R. 1587), would tie increases in some non-humanitarian aid to the Vietnamese government's performance with respect to human rights. Congressional consideration of H.R. 1587 has prompted increased scrutiny over the composition of U.S. assistance programs to Vietnam. The bill was passed by the House in July 2004, and received no floor action in the Senate. Attempts to include stripped-down versions of H.R. 1587 in the FY2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act (H.R. 4818) did not succeed.

Overview of the U.S. Aid Program in Vietnam

U.S.-Vietnam diplomatic and economic relations were non-existent for more than fifteen years following communist North Vietnam's victory in 1975 over U.S. ally South Vietnam. During that time, the United States maintained restrictions on foreign assistance to unified Vietnam. Normalization of relations — particularly in the economic sphere — began hesitantly in the early 1990s, progressed incrementally through the mid- and late 1990s, and then accelerated markedly following the signing of a Bilateral Trade Agreement (BTA) in 2000.¹ One measure of the pace of the normalization of bilateral economic relations is the increase in trade flows, which rose from about \$200 million in 1994 to over \$1 billion in 2000, to nearly \$4.5 billion in 2003. The United States is now Vietnam's largest trading partner.

The resumption of U.S. aid to Vietnam has closely tracked the normalization of bilateral relations. U.S. assistance began as a trickle in 1991, when around \$1 million was spent for prosthetics for Vietnamese war victims, and increased to nearly \$50 million in fiscal year (FY) 2004 covering a broad range of programs. Moreover, the level of assistance has more than doubled since FY2000. (See **Table 1**) For FY2005, the Bush Administration has requested just over \$18 million, a figure that does not include the \$10 million - \$20 million unofficially projected for Vietnam under the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR). It also does not include funding for food assistance, much of which generally is demand driven. Thus, given projections and past levels of food assistance levels, it is likely that assistance in FY2005 will equal or exceed FY2004 amounts.

¹ For more on U.S.-Vietnam relations, see CRS Issue Brief IB98033, *The Vietnam-U.S. Normalization Process*.

A note about terminology: Assistance programs discussed in this report include traditional foreign aid programs and activities funded outside the foreign policy budget, specifically HIV-AIDS programs run by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and labor cooperation programs run by the U.S. Department of Labor. Educational exchanges such as the Fulbright program, which provide benefits to both the United States and Vietnam, are not considered as foreign aid.

By far the two largest components of the U.S. bilateral aid program are food assistance and health-related assistance, which together comprised about 60% of the nearly \$200 million in aid the United States has provided to Vietnam since U.S. assistance began to increase substantially in FY1999. Spending on HIV/AIDS treatment and prevention in Vietnam has risen, especially since President Bush's June 2004 designation of Vietnam as a "focus country" eligible to receive increased funding to combat HIV-AIDS under PEPFAR. The United States provided \$10 million in PEPFAR funds in FY2004, and officials expect that figure to rise significantly, perhaps doubling, in FY2005.

| | FY00 | FY01 | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 Est. | Total FY00-04 | FY05 Request |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| GRAND TOTAL | 21.18 | 32.62 | 27.21 | 41.96 | 48.45 | 171.41 | 18.26 |
| Counternarcotics | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.93 | 0.27 |
| Demining | 4.60 | 2.90 | 4.12 | 2.53 | 2.16 | 16.31 | 2.88 |
| Disaster Assistance | 1.64 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 5.31 | 0.98 |
| Economic Growth and Market Reforms | 1.25 | 2.99 | 5.45 | 5.60 | 2.96 | 18.25 | 4.50 |
| Environment | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.35 | 5.12 | 0.92 |
| Food Assistance | 6.10 | 9.18 | 4.67 | 19.91 | 20.10 | 59.97 | n.a. |
| Health-Related Programs | 6.19 | 10.62 | 10.40 | 9.56 | 20.22 | 56.98 | 8.56 |
| HIV-AIDS Total | 1.99 | 6.60 | 5.74 | 6.79 | 17.39 | 38.51 | 7.36 |
| Human Trafficking | | 0.23 | 0.57 | 0.24 | — | 1.03 | n.a. |
| IMET | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| Labor Cooperation | 0.38 | 4.17 | 1.04 | 1.83 | 0.10 | 7.51 | 0.10 |

Table 1. Overview of U.S. Assistance to Vietnam (\$ millions)

Sources: Compiled by CRS from USAID, State Department, USDA, CDC, and Labor Department data.

Other sizeable assistance items include demining activities and programs assisting Vietnam's economic reform efforts. This latter group of programs has been designed to help the Vietnamese government implement the economic liberalization reforms it committed to in the 2000 U.S.-Vietnam Bilateral Trade Agreement and will likely be required to undertake as part of its desire to join the World Trade

Organization (WTO).² Hanoi has set a goal of attaining WTO membership by the end of 2005. The U.S. also funds educational exchanges with Vietnam, principally the Vietnam Fulbright program, which receives more funding (typically \$4 million annually) than any other Fulbright program in the world. These programs are not included in Table 1 because they are not funded through the foreign policy budget and confer benefits to both the U.S. and Vietnam. Additionally, the United States administers the Vietnam Education Foundation, which was established by Congress in 2000 to provide \$5 million annually for scholarships and educational exchanges.³ Funds for the foundation are recycled from the Vietnamese government's repayments of the wartime debts South Vietnam owed the United States. Total annual funding for educational exchanges, including the Fulbright and Vietnam Education Foundation programs, has been in the \$9-\$11 million range since FY2003.

Vietnam and the Millennium Challenge Account

In May 2004, Vietnam was not selected as one of the first 16 countries eligible for the Millennium Challenge Account (MCA). Vietnam was deemed ineligible, despite meeting the technical requirements for MCA eligibility, because it scored very low on some of the indicators used to measure political freedom. Vietnam again was included in the list of candidate countries for FY2005, from which eligible countries will be selected in late 2004.⁴ In September 2004, Vietnam again received low scores on the indicators of political and civil liberties maintained used by the Millennium Challenge Corporation to determine eligibility for the MCA.⁵

U.S. Aid to Vietnam in Comparative Perspective

The estimated \$53 million the United States provided Vietnam in FY2004 places it among Vietnam's top five aid donors, but far below the amount pledged for calendar year 2004 by Japan (\$870 million), the World Bank (\$621 million), and the Asian Development Bank (\$197 million).⁶

Relative to other countries in Southeast Asia, Vietnam receives far less than Indonesia and the Philippines, front-line states in the war on terrorism that received an estimated \$150 million and \$110 million in FY2004, respectively. Assistance to Vietnam is roughly on a par with the next two largest Southeast Asian recipients of

² For more on the BTA, see CRS Report RL30416, *The Vietnam-U.S. Bilateral Trade Agreement*, by Mark Manyin.

³ The Vietnam Education Foundation Act (S. 3241 and H.R. 5581) was incorporated into the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2001 (P.L.106-554).

⁴ For more on the MCA, see CRS Report RL32427, *Millennium Challenge Account: Implementation of a New U.S. Foreign Aid Initiative*, by Larry Nowels.

⁵ Freedom House, *Freedom in the World 2004: The Annual Survey of Political Rights and Civil Liberties* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc., 2004).

⁶ September 27, 2004 e-mail from Pham Thi Le Dung, Development Program Specialist, USAID/Vietnam Office.

U.S. aid, Cambodia (\$50 million) and East Timor (\$30 million).⁷ Relative to the rest of the world, Southeast Asia is not a target for large U.S. aid programs, in part because many countries in the region have "graduated" from economic aid.

Future Areas for Possible Expansion

If U.S.-Vietnam relations continue to deepen, particularly in the political and military spheres, it is possible to foresee a continued expansion of the U.S. aid program in Vietnam. Possible areas for new or expanded programs include strengthening the rule of law (particularly judicial capacity building), counternarcotics, anti-corruption, education management, and the preservation of cultural and historical sites. On top of these items, two new programs currently are being considered for Vietnam:

IMET (International Military Education and Training). Vietnam and the United States gradually have been expanding their embryonic security ties, which have lagged far behind the economic aspect of the relationship. Some in the United States see Vietnamese and U.S. security interests as mutually reinforcing, particularly with regard to China, and hope to develop military-to-military relations. These efforts culminated in November 2003, when Vietnamese Defense Minister Pham Van Tra visited Washington. Later that month, the guided missile frigate USS Vandergrift and its 200 crew members made a four-day call at the port of Saigon. Both events were firsts since the end of the Vietnam War and were followed up by additional visits in 2004. One option for expanding military-to-military relations would be establishing a bilateral IMET program. Since FY2002, the Bush Administration has requested funds for Expanded International Military Education and Training (E-IMET) courses to enhance English language proficiency among Vietnamese military officers.⁸ The program is designed to "facilitate [the officers'] attendance at conferences and confidence building meetings hosted by Pacific Command Headquarters." No funds have been disbursed, however, because Vietnam and the United States have not yet signed an IMET agreement. Funding for IMET programs would be affected by the restrictions in the proposed Vietnam Human Rights Act.

Peace Corps. The Vietnamese government in early 2004 invited the U.S. Peace Corps to Vietnam to begin discussion of opening a country program. Over 20 countries, including Cambodia, have made similar invitations. The Peace Corps has welcomed the invitation and in the near future intends to conduct a country assessment. Generally, if a country assessment team makes a positive report, it takes 12 to 18 months for a program to be established. New programs typically cost on the order of \$1 million - \$2 million annually. In its report (S.Rept. 108-346)

⁷ Department of State/Congressional Budget Justifications, Foreign Operations, FY2004/2005 ("All Spigots" Tables). Note that sanctions proscribe many forms of aid to Cambodia.

⁸ \$50,000 was requested in FY2005 for two students. \$100,000 was requested in both FY2004 and FY2003 to train four students. \$50,000 was requested in FY2002 to train two students. See the State Department's *Congressional Budget Justification for Foreign Operations*, various fiscal years.

accompanying the FY2005 Foreign Operations bill (S. 2812), the Senate Appropriations Committee expressed its support for opening a new program in Vietnam.⁹ The Vietnam Human Rights Act's restrictions would not directly affect the Peace Corps.

Recent Attempts to Restrict Aid

In recent years, Congress has devoted considerable attention to Vietnam's human rights record. Vietnam is a one-party, authoritarian state. Since at least the late 1990s, the ruling Vietnamese Communist Party (VCP) appears to have followed a strategy of relaxing most restrictions on most forms of personal and religious expression while selectively repressing individuals and organizations that it deems a threat to the party's monopoly on political power. Most prominently, the government has cracked down harshly on protests against various government policies by certain ethnic minority groups, particularly the Montagnards in the country's Central Highlands and the Hmong in the Northwest Highlands. The government also has stepped up repression of so-called cyber dissidents who have criticized the government over the Internet. On September 15, 2004, the State Department, under the International Religious Freedom Act (P.L.105-292), for the first time designated Vietnam as a "country of particular concern," principally because of reports of worsening harassment of certain groups of ethnic minority Protestants and Buddhists. By law, within 90 days (extendable for another 90-day period), the President must decide on a course of action, including sanctions, with regard to Vietnam's religious rights situation.

The Vietnam Human Rights Act (H.R. 1587). In large measure due to Vietnam's crackdowns in the Central Highlands, attempts have been made in the 107th and 108th Congresses to link U.S. aid to the human rights situation in Vietnam. The most prominent example, the Vietnam Human Rights Act (H.R. 1587 in the 108th Congress, H.R. 2833 in the 107th), proposes capping existing non-humanitarian U.S. assistance programs to the Vietnamese government at FY2004 levels if the President does not certify that Vietnam is making "substantial progress" in human rights, including religious freedom. The act also would authorize funds to promote democracy in Vietnam and to overcome the jamming of Radio Free Asia. On July 19, 2004, by a vote of 323-45, the House passed H.R. 1587. Proponents of the measure argue that it would pressure the Vietnamese government to improve the country's human rights situation. Attempts to include stripped-down versions of H.R. 1587 in the FY2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act (H.R. 4818) did not succeed.

If enacted, the immediate substantive impact of H.R. 1587 on U.S. assistance would likely be negligible because the bill applies only to non-humanitarian aid that is provided to the Vietnamese government. At present, no U.S. non-humanitarian assistance is given directly to the government of Vietnam, and only \$50,000 of such assistance is contemplated for the future, for Expanded International Military Education and Training (E-IMET) English language courses for Vietnamese military officers. Also, the bill would grant the President a national interest waiver that would

⁹ S.Rept. 108-346 accompanying S. 2812, the FY2005 Foreign Operations bill.

allow him to exempt any programs that are deemed to promote the goals of the act and/or to be in the national interests of the United States. Furthermore, most of the certification and reporting requirements required in H.R. 1587 are already mandated — albeit in a more general fashion — by provisions of other laws, such as the International Religious Freedom Act. Thus, if enacted, the principal impact is likely to be symbolic. Critics argue that the bill could chill the warming of bilateral political and security ties that has been taking place slowly over the past several months. In practical terms, H.R. 1587 would act as an obstacle to initiating new military-to-military assistance cooperation, such as IMET. The Vietnamese government has strongly condemned the bill as an interference in its internal affairs.

A Review of the U.S.-Vietnam Normalization Process and the Restoration of U.S. Aid to Vietnam

Congress played a key role in both the cessation of aid to Vietnam in the 1970s, and its restoration in the 1990s.

Cold War Restrictions on Aid

For much of the Cold War, aid to North Vietnam and most other communist countries was prohibited.¹⁰ The United States provided significant military and economic assistance to its ally, South Vietnam, particularly after the U.S. became overtly involved in inter-Vietnamese hostilities in 1965. In 1973, following the conclusion of a Paris Peace Agreement that brought an end to U.S. military involvement in Vietnam, Congress began cutting Nixon Administration requests for military and economic assistance to South Vietnam. President Richard Nixon's pledge to provide reconstruction aid to North Vietnam also proved unpopular in Congress, particularly after the North-South cease-fire negotiated in Paris collapsed.¹¹

After the victory of communist North Vietnam over South Vietnam in April 1975, the United States ended virtually all bilateral economic interchange, including foreign assistance, with unified Vietnam.¹² The restrictions included a halt to bilateral humanitarian aid, opposition to financial aid from international financial institutions (such as the World Bank), a ban on U.S. travel to Vietnam, and an embargo on bilateral trade. President Gerald Ford linked the provision of economic

¹⁰ Aid was prohibited under section 620(f) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, among other statutes.

¹¹ New York Times, June 12, 1973. Vietnamese officials claimed that President Richard Nixon secretly had promised North Vietnamese Prime Minister Pham Van Dong \$4.7 billion in economic assistance as part of the Paris Peace Agreement, signed in January 1973, which led to the withdrawal of U.S. troops from South Vietnam. New York Times, February 2, 1973; Nayan Chanda, Brother Enemy (New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1986), p. 143.

¹² Prohibitions on assistance to Vietnam were included in P.L. 94-41, a continuing appropriations resolution signed into law by President Gerald Ford in the summer of 1975.

assistance to Hanoi's cooperation in returning and accounting for POWs and MIAs.¹³ In the FY1977 foreign aid appropriations bill Congress prohibited the use of any funds to provide assistance to Vietnam, a provision that was repeated annually until its removal in 1994.¹⁴

In the early months of his administration, President Jimmy Carter (1977-1981) and the communist regime in Hanoi attempted to negotiate the outlines of a normalization agreement that would include U.S. assistance. The negotiations stalled, however, when the Vietnamese responded that they would neither agree to establish relations nor furnish information on U.S. POW/MIAs until the United States pledged to provide several billion dollars in postwar reconstruction aid. Simultaneously, Congress objected to Carter's moves by reinforcing existing prohibitions on aid to Vietnam.¹⁵ Normalization efforts ultimately were thwarted in 1978 by Vietnam's decision to align with the Soviet Union, its invasion of Cambodia, and its expulsion of nearly half a million ethnic Chinese who then became refugees in Southeast Asia.

The Normalization Process since the Early 1990s

Washington and Hanoi gradually began to normalize relations in the early 1990s, following Vietnam's withdrawal from Cambodia and improvements in Hanoi's cooperation on the issue of American prisoners of war (POWs) and missing-in-action (MIA) personnel in Vietnam.¹⁶ Economic assistance was resumed in 1991, when the administration of George H.W. Bush announced plans to send \$1.3 million to fit disabled Vietnamese with artificial limbs.¹⁷ The announcement came days after Washington and Hanoi agreed to open an office in Vietnam to resolve outstanding MIA cases. In subsequent years, annual aid flows were generally small and limited to disaster assistance and humanitarian programs — such as prosthetics and aid to orphans — to ameliorate the effects of the war.

Coinciding with these developments, in 1991 and 1992 the Senate Select Committee on POW/MIA affairs — chaired by John Kerry and vice-chaired by Bob

¹³ For more on the POW/MIA issue, see CRS Issue Brief IB92101, *POWs and MIAs: Status and Accounting Issues*, by Robert Goldich.

¹⁴ The original prohibition was included in the Foreign Assistance and Related Programs Appropriations Act for FY1977 (P.L. 94-441). See CRS Report 92-631F, *Economic Sanctions Imposed by the United States against Specific Countries: 1979 through 1992*, available from the author. The provision was dropped in the Foreign Operations Appropriations Act, 1995 (P.L. 103-306). See CRS Report 95-820, *Vietnam: Procedural and Jurisdictional Questions Regarding Normalization of U.S. Diplomatic and Economic Relations*, 1995, available from the author.

¹⁵ The major acts containing the prohibitions on aid were P.L. 95-88 (the International Development and Food Assistance Act) and P.L. 95-105 (the Foreign Relations Authorization Act).

¹⁶ For a more detailed account of the history of U.S.-Vietnam normalization, see CRS Issue Brief IB98033, *The Vietnam-U.S. Normalization Process*, by Mark Manyin.

¹⁷ Title II of the FY1991 Foreign Operations bill (P.L. 101-513) provided these funds, notwithstanding other legal provisions, including the ban on bilateral aid to Vietnam.

Smith — conducted what many consider the most extensive independent investigation of the POW/MIA issue undertaken. In early 1993, the committee issued its report, which concluded that there was "no compelling evidence" that POWs were alive after the U.S. withdrawal from Vietnam, and that although there was no "conspiracy" in Washington to cover up live POWs, the U.S. government had seriously neglected and mismanaged the issue, particularly in the 1970s. The committee's televised hearings played a major role in defusing much of the passion that had surrounded the POW issue.

The U.S. aid program in Vietnam expanded gradually through the 1990s, in step with the acceleration of the normalization process. In 1993, President Bill Clinton announced that the United States would no longer oppose international financial institution aid to Vietnam. The following year, President Clinton ordered an end to the U.S. trade embargo on Vietnam, a move that followed shortly after a vote in the Senate urging the embargo to be lifted.¹⁸ Ambassadors were exchanged in 1997. In 1998, President Clinton granted Vietnam its first waiver from the requirements of the so-called Jackson-Vanik amendment (contained in the Trade Act of 1974, Title IV, section 402), which prohibit the President from normalizing commercial relations with selected socialist and formerly socialist countries if they do not meet certain requirements regarding freedom of emigration. A Congressional resolution disapproving the waiver was defeated, as have such resolutions disapproving the presidential waivers issued every year since.

All of these steps provoked considerable controversy in Congress, though opposition to normalization decreased incrementally throughout the 1990s. Following the signing of the BTA in 2000, Congress overwhelmingly approved the agreement, which paved the way for the two countries to extend normal trade relations (NTR) status on a nonpermanent basis to one another. The signing of the BTA marked the end to legal restrictions on virtually all commercial transactions and most forms of economic assistance to Vietnam. Also in 2000, the Clinton Administration, in an undated unpublished determination, on national interest grounds, exempted Vietnam from the prohibition on most forms of assistance to communist countries contained in section 620(f) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.¹⁹

Vietnam and the United States gradually have been expanding their political and security ties, although these have lagged far behind the economic aspect of the relationship. In 2003 and 2004, however, Vietnam's leadership appears to have decided to expand their country's ties to the United States, as indicated by the aforementioned military-to-military meetings and ship visits. It is still unclear how far, how fast, and in what form any new security relationship will develop.

¹⁸ The January 1994 vote in the Senate was attached to authorizing legislation (H.R. 2333). Although the language was controversial in the House, H.R. 2333 passed Congress and was signed into law (P.L. 103-236).

¹⁹ The relevant prohibition is contained in Section 620(f) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, which authorizes such aid programs as development assistance, the economic support fund, and the international military education and training (IMET) program.

CRS-9

Appendix

Table 2. Detailed Breakdown of U.S. Assistance Programs to Vietnam, FY2000-FY2005 (\$ millions)

| Program/Funding Agency | FY2000 | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 Estimate | Total FY2000-2004 | FY2005 Request |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| GRAND TOTAL | 21.18 | 32.62 | 27.20 | 41.95 | 48.45 | 171.41 | 18.26 |
| Counternarcotics | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.93 | 0.27 |
| Counternarcotics Training (State) | 0.10 | — | — | — | 0.28 | 0.38 | — |
| Cocoa Development Project (USAID) | | — | | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.27 |
| Demining | 4.6 | 2.9 | 4.12 | 2.53 | 2.16 | 16.31 | 2.88 |
| NADR (State) | 4.15 | 1.65 | 4.00 | 2.53 | 2.16 | 14.49 | 2.88 |
| Equipment Transfer | 1.75 | — | 1.50 | — | — | 3.25 | n.a. |
| Demining Survey | 1.40 | — | 1.00 | — | — | 2.40 | n.a. |
| Export Control and Border Security (EXBS) | — | — | — | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.28 |
| Humanitarian Demining (HD) | 1.00 | 1.65 | 1.50 | 2.43 | 2.08 | 8.66 | 2.6 |
| DoD Demining Management Software | — | 0.70 | — | — | — | 0.70 | n.a. |
| DoD OHDACA | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.12 | — | — | 0.67 | n.a. |
| MSTI database of ordnance deployed in V.War | | 0.45 | | — | — | 0.45 | n.a. |
| Disaster Assistance | 1.64 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 5.31 | 0.98 |
| Early Storm Warning System (USAID) | — | 0.96 | n.a. | 0.48 | 0.48 | 1.92 | 0.48 |
| Early Flood Warning System (USAID) | 0.94 | — | n.a. | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1.44 | 0.25 |
| Emergency Disaster Assistance - Floods (USAID) | 0.70 | 0.47 | n.a. | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1.67 | 0.25 |

| CRS-10 | |
|--------|--|
|--------|--|

| Program/Funding Agency | FY2000 | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 Estimate | Total FY2000-2004 | FY2005 Request |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Avian Flu In-Kind Assistance (USAID) | — | — | — | — | 0.03 | 0.03 | n.a. |
| Urban Disaster Mitigation Program (USAID) | _ | 0.25 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 0.25 | n.a. |
| Economic Growth and Market Reforms | 1.25 | 2.99 | 5.45 | 5.6 | 2.96 | 18.25 | 4.5 |
| Environment | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.35 | 5.12 | 0.92 |
| US-Asia Environmental Partnership (USAID) | 0.64 | 0.85 | 0.56 | 1 | 1.09 | 4.14 | 0.92 |
| East Asia & Pacific Environmental Initiative (State) | — | — | 0.40 | — | 0.17 | 0.57 | n.a. |
| Other | 0.28 | — | — | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.41 | n.a. |
| Food Assistance (\$) | 6.1 | 9.18 | 4.67 | 19.91 | 20.1 | 59.97 | n.a. |
| Metric Tons (MT) | 25,000 | 43,300 | 17,300 | 88,400 | 67700 | 241700 | |
| Section 416(b) (USDA) | 6.10 | 9.18 | 3.68 | — | 6.94 | 25.91 | n.a. |
| MT | 25,000 | 43,300 | 16,800 | | 3500 | 88600 | |
| Food for Education (USDA) | — | — | — | 4.79 | — | 4.79 | n.a. |
| MT | | | | 18,400 | | 18400 | |
| Food for Progress (USDA) | — | — | 0.99 | 15.12 | 13.16 | 29.27 | n.a. |
| МТ | | | 500 | 70,000 | 64200 | 134700 | |
| Health-Related Programs | 6.19 | 10.62 | 10.4 | 9.56 | 20.22 | 56.98 | 8.56 |
| HIV-AIDS Total | 1.99 | 6.6 | 5.74 | 6.79 | 17.39 | 38.51 | 7.36 |
| PEPFAR Total | — | — | — | — | 10.00 | 10.00 | n.a. |
| HIV/AIDS (USAID - CSH) | 1.50 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 14.25 | 4.5 |

| CRS-11 |
|--------|
|--------|

| Program/Funding Agency | FY2000 | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 Estimate | Total FY2000-2004 | FY2005 Request |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Global AIDS Program (CDC) | 0.20 | 4.35 | 2.39 | 2.79 | 2.86 | 12.59 | 2.86 |
| Tech Assistance Collaboration with USAID & VN Nat'l TB Program (USAID/CDC) | 0.03 | — | — | — | 0.04 | 0.07 | n.a. |
| HIV/AIDS (NIH) | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | — | 0.26 | n.a. |
| HIV/AIDS (DOL) | — | — | 1.35 | — | — | 1.35 | — |
| Leahy War Victims (USAID) | 2.06 | 1.39 | 1.13 | 1.76 | 0.23 | 6.57 | 1.2 |
| Displaced Children & Orphans (USAID) | 1.92 | 1.28 | 2.24 | 0.51 | 1.31 | 7.25 | n.a. |
| Other Vulnerable Children (USAID) | — | — | 0.50 | 0.28 | 1.30 | 2.08 | n.a. |
| Maternal-Child Health (USAID) | — | — | 0.50 | n.a. | n.a. | 0.50 | n.a. |
| Safe Vietnam initiative (USAID) | — | 0.25 | — | — | n.a. | 0.25 | n.a. |
| Agent Orange Study (CDC) | — | 0.85 | — | — | — | 0.85 | — |
| Injury/Disability Prevention Program (CDC) | — | 0.04 | 0.05 | — | — | 0.08 | — |
| Information Technology Assistance (CDC) | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | — | — | 0.05 | — |
| NCID Infectious Disease Surveillance, Monitoring & Research (CDC) | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.22 | — | 0.84 | — |
| Human Trafficking | _ | 0.23 | 0.57 | 0.24 | — | 1.03 | n.a. |
| IMET | _ | _ | | | | | 0.05 |
| | | | | | | | 0.00 |
| Labor Cooperation | 0.38 | 4.17 | 1.04 | 1.83 | 0.1 | 7.51 | 0.1 |
| Social Insurance (Labor Dept) | — | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.50 | — | 2.00 | — |
| Employment Service Centers (Labor Dept) | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.85 | — | 1.93 | — |

| CRS-12 |
|--------|
|--------|

| Program/Funding Agency | FY2000 | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 Estimate | Total FY2000-2004 | FY2005 Request |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Industrial Relations Project (Labor Dept) | — | 1.667 | — | — | — | 1.67 | — |
| Local Management Development Program (Factory Improvement) (Labor Dept) | — | 0.19 | — | — | — | 0.19 | — |
| People with Disabilities (Labor Dept) | — | 0.65 | — | — | — | 0.65 | — |
| Child Labor (Labor Dept) | — | 0.50 | — | — | — | 0.50 | — |
| Corporate Social Responsibility Project (SA8000) (State Department) | — | n.a. | n.a. | 0.38 | — | 0.38 | — |
| CDC/NIOSH program w/WHO to reduce needle injury | — | — | — | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 0.1 |

Source: Compiled by CRS from USAID, State Dept., USDA FAS, CDC, Labor Dept. data.

Acronyms:

- CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- CSH = USAID's Child Survival & Health Fund
- DoD = Department of Defense
- IMET = International Military Education and Training
- NIH = National Institutes of Health
- NADR = Non-proliferation, Demining, Anti-Terrorism & Related Programs
- NCID = National Center for Infectious Disease
- NIOSH = National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health
- PEPFAR = President's Emergency Plan for HIV/AIDS Relief
- USAID = United States Agency for International Development
- USDA = United States Department of Agriculture