CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Postal Reform Bills: A Side-by-Side Comparison of H.R. 22 and S. 662

May 5, 2005

Kevin R. Kosar Analyst in American National Government Government and Finance Division

Postal Reform Bills: A Side-by-Side Comparison of H.R. 22 and S. 662

Summary

The 109th Congress is considering two bipartisan bills that would reform the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) — H.R. 22 and S. 662. H.R. 22 was introduced and referred to the House Government Reform Committee on the first day of the 109th Congress (January 4, 2005). On April 14, the Government Reform Committee marked up H.R. 22 and approved it by a vote of 39-0. S. 662 was introduced into the Senate on March 17, 2005, and referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 22 and S. 662 are similar to two bills from the 108th Congress — H.R. 4341 and S. 2468 — which cleared committee by unanimous votes but were not brought to the floor. Like these previous bills, H.R. 22 and S. 662 would attempt to make the Postal Service focus on its core mission (universal delivery of the mail) by defining the term "postal services." The bills would define the categories of postal services and products as "competitive" or "market-dominant" and prohibit the Postal Service from subsidizing competitive products with revenues from market-dominant products.

H.R. 22 and S. 662 are more alike than H.R. 4341 and S. 2468. For example, previously the House and Senate differed over USPS's right to invest excess monies from the Competitive Products Fund in private sector securities and obligations. H.R. 22 and S. 662 both propose limiting USPS purchases to U.S. Treasury investments. The bills have also reconciled formerly divergent approaches to limits on "worksharing" discounts for barcoding and mail sorting.

That said, H.R. 22 and S. 662 possess significant differences. These include:

- the definition of "market-dominant" postal products and services;
- the components and goals of the new ratemaking system for market-dominant products and services;
- disability payments and retirement;
- retiree health benefits funding;
- the establishment of "modern service standards";
- the expansion of USPS contracting authority in transportation of mail; and
- the governance of the Postal Service.

This report will be updated to reflect significant legislative developments.

Contents

Overview	1
Side-by-Side Comparison of H.R. 22 and S. 662	4
Title I — Definitions, Postal Services	5
Title II — Modern Rate Regulation	б
Title III — Provisions Relating to Fair Competition	8
Title IV — General Provisions	3
Title V — Enhanced Regulatory Commission	8
Title VI — Inspectors General	9
Title VII — Evaluations	0
Title VIII — Miscellaneous, Technical and Conforming Amendments 30	б
Title IX — Postal Pension Funding Reform Amendments	8

Postal Reform Bills: A Side-by-Side Comparison of H.R. 22 and S. 662

Overview

The 109th Congress is considering two bipartisan bills that would reform the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) — H.R. 22 and S. 662. H.R. 22 was introduced and referred to the House Government Reform Committee on the first day of the 109th Congress (January 4, 2005). On April 14, the Government Reform Committee marked up H.R. 22 and approved it by a vote of 39-0. S. 662 was introduced into the Senate on March 17, 2005, and referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. Both bills were sponsored by the chairpersons and ranking minority members of the committees of jurisdiction.

H.R. 22 and S. 662 share many features — more so than the postal reform bills of the 108th Congress.¹ To take three prominent examples, both bills would require increased financial transparency at USPS by requiring USPS to prepare quarterly and annual reports that contain the same information that publicly traded corporations file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. H.R. 22 and S. 662 also now share identical language regulating USPS discretion to enter into work-sharing agreements with the private sector, a matter of concern to large mailers and postal employee unions. Finally, the bills share identical language on the operation of the proposed Postal Service Competitive Products Fund. Previously, the House and Senate differed over USPS's right to invest excess funds in private sector securities and obligations. Now, H.R. 22 and S. 662 agree that any excess funds may be invested only in U.S. Treasury investments, a concession to the Treasury Department.

Both bills propose changes that would have significant effects on the financial condition of the Postal Service. H.R. 22 and S. 662 would transfer back to the Treasury the responsibility to fund pension benefits arising from former military service of postal workers. This would reverse a provision of the Postal Civil Service Retirement System Reform Act of 2003 (P.L. 108-18) that could cost USPS (and its customers) \$27 billion. H.R. 22 and S. 662 would abolish the escrow account provided for in P.L. 108-18.²

H.R. 22 and S. 662 also propose incremental reforms that would attempt to make the Postal Service operate more openly and predictably. Both bills would require USPS to focus on its core mission (the delivery of mail) by defining the term

¹ For a similar comparison of postal reform legislation in the 108th Congress, see CRS Report RL32402, *Postal Reform Bills: A Side-by-Side Comparison of H.R. 4341 and S. 2468*, by Kevin R. Kosar.

² On pension and escrow issues, see CRS Report RL32346, *Pension Issues Cloud Postal Reform Debate*, by Nye Stevens.

"postal services." H.R. 22 and S. 662 also would define various postal products and services as "competitive" or "market-dominant" and prohibit USPS from subsidizing competitive products with revenues from market-dominant products. Additionally, the bills would transform the Postal Rate Commission into a broader regulatory body with subpoena powers (the new Postal Regulatory Commission, hereafter PRC). H.R. 22 and S. 662 would also replace the present adversarial postage ratemaking process, which typically takes 10 to 14 months, with a rate-cap system that would permit USPS to raise postage rates on market-dominant products at the rate of inflation. The Board of Governors (BOG) of USPS would establish rates and classes for competitive products.

While very similar, H.R. 22 and S. 662 do possess substantive differences, which are described below.

The Definition of Market-Dominant Postal Products and Services. H.R. 22 and S. 662 differ over the definition of market-dominant products. Both bills would include first class, library, and media mail, as well as postcards, in their definitions. However, H.R. 22, Section 202, would include periodicals, standard mail, and bound printed matter in this category. S. 662, Section 202, omits these and includes singlepiece parcel post and single-piece international mail. The inclusion or non-inclusion of a product in this classification is of concern to mailers and competitors of the Postal Service (such as UPS and FedEx) because of the possible effects on product prices. Critics have long complained that USPS keeps the prices for some products (such as parcels) artificially low, thereby garnering a larger market share. USPS is accused of doing this by failing to attribute the full cost of providing such a product; these products are said to be "cross-subsidized" by the large earnings USPS earns on first-class mail. Under both H.R. 22 and S. 662, products that are not defined as market-dominant would fall into the "competitive products" category and would have to fully attribute the costs of providing them. Some believe that including, for example, parcels in the competitive products category would lead to increased prices for parcel delivery service (which competitors would likely favor and large mailers would likely disfavor).

The Components and Goals of the New Ratemaking System for Market-Dominant Products and Services. While H.R. 22, Section 201, and S. 662, Section 201, are similar on the components and goals of the new ratemaking system, significant differences remain. Both would require USPS to cap the prices of marketdominant products at the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, but S. 662 would require the new ratemaking system to permit USPS to exceed the rate cap only under "extraordinary" circumstances. H.R. 22 would allow USPS to exceed the rate cap if PRC held a public hearing and determined that breaking the rate cap "is reasonable and equitable and necessary." (The Postal Service would like to have this rate-cap escape clause because it believes that staying below the CPI will be "extremely challenging" due to falling revenues, the growing number of delivery points, and USPS's limited control over its costs.)³ H.R. 22 would prohibit USPS

³ Testimony of John E. Potter, Postmaster General/CEO, United States Postal Service, before U.S. Senate, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, U.S. *Postal Service: What is Needed to Ensure its Future Viability?*, hearings, 109th Cong., 1st (continued...)

from raising the postage rate of any subclass of mail more than the CPI with permission from PRC. S. 662 would apply this restriction at the class level — a provision the Postal Service prefers because it gives USPS greater flexibility to adjust rates. Finally, H.R. 22 would require the new ratemaking system to establish a "fair and equitable" schedule for rates and the classification system; S. 662 would not, and instead would require that the new system "allocate the total institutional costs of the Postal Service equitably between market-dominant and competitive products."

Disability Payments and Retirement. S. 662, Section 902, would encourage injured workers of retirement age to retire rather than go on disability leave. Under current law, an employee suffering total disability from a workplace injury is entitled to compensation of 66²/₃% of monthly pay. S. 662 would reduce this to 50%. H.R. 22 does not carry this provision, and is favored by USPS unions.

Retiree Health Benefits Funding. Both H.R. 22, Secs. 901-904, and S. 662, Secs. 802-804, would return the obligation for postal worker benefits attributable to military service to the Treasury and establish a Postal Service Retiree Health Benefits Fund for the purpose of pre-funding retiree health benefits. The two bills, however, have different approaches to the unfunded retiree health benefits liability. H.R. 22 would require USPS to make annual payments into the fund consisting of a contribution to cover predicted retirement health care costs of current postal workers and interest on the contribution owed for both current and future annuitants. The House bill also would require that two-thirds of the annual escrow "savings" be devoted to the fund. S. 662 would also require an annual contribution to the fund, but it would consist of a contribution to cover predicted retirement health care costs of current postal workers and an installment payment on an amortization schedule to reduce the unfunded liability. The Postal Service has expressed a preference for S. 662 because it does not include the two-thirds provision (which limits USPS discretion). USPS also has said that having a predictable annual amortization payment would make operating under a rate cap easier.

The Establishment of Modern Service Standards. S. 662, Title III, would require PRC to establish service standards designed to achieve four objectives (provided they are consistent with USPS's universal service obligation):

- Enhance the value of the Postal Service to both senders and recipients;
- Preserve regular and effective access to postal services in all communities, including those in rural areas or where post offices are not self-sustaining;
- Reasonably assure USPS customers delivery reliability, speed, and frequency consistent with reasonable rates and best business practices; and
- Provide a system of objective external performance measurements for each market-dominant product as a basis for measurement of USPS performance.

 $^{^{3}}$ (...continued)

sess., Apr. 14, 2005, available at [http://hsgac.senate.gov/_files/PMGTESTIMONY FINAL412.pdf], pp. 15-17.

The Postal Service would be required to prepare a report each year that details its progress in achieving objectives. The USPS Inspector General would be required to examine this report and issue an assessment of its own on USPS compliance with the law. Both reports would be submitted to Congress. H.R. 22 excludes this title altogether. Instead, Section 204 of H.R. 22 would require USPS to develop its own service standards and PRC to monitor its achievement of them.

The Expansion of USPS Contracting Authority in Transportation of Mail. S. 662, Section 1002, would remove present protections in the law that favor airlines based in the United States. Section 1002 would permit the Postal Service to "contract with any air carrier or foreign air carrier for the transportation of mail ... either through negotiations or competitive bidding." S. 662 would also require that, six years after the date of enactment, "every contract that the Postal Service awards to a foreign air carrier ... shall be subject to the continuing requirement that air carriers shall be afforded the same opportunity to carry the mail of the country to and from which the mail is transported." H.R. 22, as introduced, carried a similar provision at Section 805. This provision was struck during markup by the House Government Reform Committee on April 14. The Postal Service would like increased freedom to solicit bids for foreign air carriers and their employee unions would prefer that current restrictions remain in place.

Governance of the U.S. Postal Service. Both bills would amend present law so that members of the Board of Governors would be chosen "solely on the basis of their demonstrated ability in managing organizations or corporations (in either the public or private sector) of substantial size." H.R. 22 would define the term "substantial size" to mean 50,000; S. 662 would not define this term. H.R. 22 would require that an early vacancy on the Board of Governors be filled by a person nominated with the concurrence of labor unions; S. 662 would not.

Side-by-Side Comparison of H.R. 22 and S. 662

This side-by-side comparison uses H.R. 22 from the 109th Congress as reported by the House Government Reform Committee on April 14, 2005, as its base. The left-hand column provides digests of the sections of H.R. 22; the right-hand column holds digests of the sections of S. 662 from the 109th Congress. As the reader will see, the titles and sections of the two bills are not always the same. For example, S. 662 carries a title on "Modern Service Standards;" H.R. 22 does not. H.R. 22 carries a section (601) that would create an Inspector General of the Postal Regulatory Commission; S. 662 does not. In some cases, the bills carry similar provisions but place them in different titles. For example, Section 407 of H.R. 22 proposes reforms to the structure of the collective bargaining process; so does S. 662, but at Section 505. In such cases, the columns cross-reference one another, directing the reader to the comparable provisions of the bills.

⁴ For further information, see U.S. Government Accountability Office, *International Mail Air Transportation*, GAO-05-529R (Washington: GAO, 2005).

Side-by-Side Comparison of House and Senate Postal Reform Bills (109th Congress)

H.R. 22	S. 662
Title I — Definitions, Postal Services	Title I — Definitions, Postal Services
Sec. 101. Definitions.	Sec. 101. Definitions.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 102 to define the following terms: product, rates, market-dominant product, competitive product, consumer price index, and year.	Identical.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 10 to define "postal service" as "the carriage of letters, printed matter, or mailable packages, including acceptance, processing, delivery, or other services supportive or ancillary thereto."	Would define "postal service" as "the physical delivery of letters, printed matter, or packages weighing up to 70 pounds, including physical acceptance, collection, sorting, transportation, or other functions ancillary thereto."
Would define "consumer price index" to mean the "monthly Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers."	Equivalent provision at Sec. 201 (see below).
Sec. 102. Postal Services.	Sec. 102. Postal Services.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 404 to include the following provision: "nothing in this title shall be considered to permit or require that the Postal Service provide any special nonpostal or similar services, except that nothing in this subsection shall prevent the Postal Service from providing any special postal or similar services provided by the Postal Service as of May 12, 2004."	Identical.
Not included.	Would also include a conforming amendment to 39 U.S.C. 2003(b)(1) by striking "and nonpostal," a provision that USPS has used to justify entering businesses outside its core mission.

CRS-6

H.R. 22	S. 662
Sec. 103. Financial Transparency.	Sec. 103. Financial Transparency.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 101 and 39 U.S.C. 5001 to require the USPS to "be subject to a high degree of financial transparency, including its finances and operations, to ensure fair treatment of customers of the Postal Service's market- dominant products and companies competing with the Postal Service's competitive products."	Equivalent provision included at Sec. 605.
Identical provision at Sec. 204 (see below).	Would provide for significant reforms in USPS financial reporting. Would require USPS to file with the PRC reports containing the same information as the quarterly Form 10-Q, annual Form 10-K, and periodic Form 8-K reports that publicly-traded corporations must file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Form 10-Q type reports required would have to include information on the USPS's financial obligations to retirees. USPS would be required to obtain the opinion of an independent auditor on the information on its reporting on these obligations. Would also require USPS to comply with the financial reporting rules "prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission implementing section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 7262; P.L. 107-204) beginning with fiscal year 2007 and in each fiscal year thereafter."
Title II — Modern Rate Regulation	Title II — Modern Rate Regulation
Sec. 201. Provisions Relating to Market-Dominant Products.	Sec. 201. Provisions Relating to Market-Dominant Products.
 H.R. 22 would define market-dominant products to include: single piece first-class letters (both domestic and international); all first-class mail; single piece first-class cards; media mail; library mail; special services; 	 S. 662 would define market-dominant products as: first-class letters (both domestic and international); single piece international mail; Not included. first-class cards; Identical. Identical. Identical.

CRS-7

H.R. 22	S. 662
 H.R. 22 periodicals; standard mail; bound printed matter. Not included. Would amend 39 U.S.C. 3621 and 3622 to require the new Postal Regulatory Commission (see Sec. 501 below) to establish a "modern system for regulating rates and classes for market-dominant products within 24 months." The proposed "modern rate regulation system" would be required to: Reduce the administrative burden of the ratemaking process; Create predictability and stability in rates; Maximize incentives to reduce costs and increase efficiency, Allow USPS flexibility in pricing, assure adequate revenues (including retained earnings) Maintain financial stability; and maintain high service standards; The establishment and maintenance of a fair and equitable schedule for rates and the classification system; Not included. 	 Not included. Not included. Not included. Not included. single-piece parcel post; Identical except that PRC would be required to establish the new rate regulation system within 12 months of enactment. Similar provision but would require new rate regulation system to: Reduce the administrative burden and increase the transparency of the ratemaking process while affording reasonable opportunities for interested parties to participate in that process; Identical; Identical; Allow the Postal Service pricing flexibility, including the ability to use pricing to promote intelligent mail and encourage increased mail volume during nonpeak periods; Assure adequate revenues, including retained earnings, to maintain financial stability and meet the service standards established (see below); Would include this provision as a factor not a goal of the rate system (see below at p. 8). Enhance mail security and deter terrorism by promoting secure, sender-identified mail; Allocate the total institutional costs of USPS equitably
• Not included.	 Allocate the total institutional costs of USPS equitably between market-dominant and competitive products.

CRS-8

H.R. 22	S. 662
In crafting this system, PRC would be required to take into account:	In crafting this system, PRC would be required to take into account:
 "The value of the mail service actually provided each class or type of mail service to both the sender and the recipient, including, but not limited to the collection, mode of transportation, and priority of delivery; "The direct and indirect postal costs attributable to each class or type of mail service plus that portion of all other costs of the Postal Service reasonably assignable to such class or type; 	 Identical; "The requirement that each class of mail or type of mail service bear the direct and indirect postal costs attributable to each class or type of mail service plus that portion of all other costs of the Postal Service reasonably assignable to such class or type;"
• "The effect of rate increases upon the general public, business mail users, and enterprises in the private sector of the economy engaged in the delivery of mail matter other than letters;	• Identical;
 "The available alternative means of sending and receiving letters and other mail matter at reasonable costs; 	• Identical;
 "The degree of preparation of mail for delivery into the postal system performed by the mailer and its effect upon reducing costs to the Postal Service; 	• Identical;
 "Simplicity of structure for the entire schedule and simple, identifiable relationships between the rates or fees charged the various classes of mail for postal services; 	• Identical;
• "The relative value to the people of the kinds of mail matter entered into the postal system and the desirability and	• Identical;
 justification for special classifications and services of mail; "The importance of providing classifications with extremely high degrees of reliability and speed of delivery and of providing those that do not require high degrees of reliability and speed of delivery; 	• Identical;
• "The desirability of special classifications from the point of	• Identical;

CRS-9

H.R. 22	S. 662
 view of both the user and of the Postal Service; "The educational, cultural, scientific, and informational value to the recipient of mail matter; and "The policies of this title as well as such other factors as the Commission deems appropriate." Not Included. Not included. 	 Identical; Identical; The need for the Postal Service to increase its efficiency and reduce its costs to help maintain high quality, affordable, universal postal service. The establishment and maintenance of a fair and equitable schedule for rates and classification system.
Would permit the rates and classes regulation system for market-dominant products to include one or more of the following characteristics:	Would require the new rate system to:
 price caps, revenue targets, or other forms of incentive regulation; cost-of-service regulation; such other forms of regulation as the Commission considers appropriate to achieve." 	 "set annual limitations on the percentage changes in rates based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers;" Not included. Not included.
This new system would "not permit the average rate in any subclass of mail to increase at an annual rate greater than the comparable increase in the Consumer Price Index, unless it has, after notice and opportunity for a public hearing and comment, determined that such increase is reasonable and equitable and necessary"	 "establish a schedule whereby rates, when necessary and appropriate, would change at regular intervals by predictable amounts;" and require USPS to provide 45 days public notice before enacting changes and empower PRC to review proposed rate changes.
Not included.	Would require the ratemaking system to include "procedures whereby rates may be adjusted on an expedited basis due to unexpected and extraordinary circumstances."

CRS-	1	0
------	---	---

H.R. 22	S. 662
See Sec. 206 below on workshare discounts.	Would define and establish rules on workshare discounts (identical to H.R. 22, Sec. 206).
Sec. 202. Provisions Relating to Competitive Products.	Sec. 202. Provisions Related to Competitive Products.
 Would amend 39 U.S.C. 3631, 3632, and 3633 to list the following as competitive products: priority mail; expedited mail; mailgrams; international mail; and parcel post. 	 Similar provision but would define "competitive products" as: Identical; Identical; Identical; bulk international mail; and bulk parcel post.
The Board of Governors (BOG) of USPS would establish rates and classes for these products. BOG would be required to publish these decisions in the <i>Federal Register</i> at least 30 days before the effective date of any new rates or classes.	Similar provision but would require "the written concurrence of a majority of all of the Governors then holding office" to establish rates and classes in the competitive category.
These rates would be in accordance with PRC regulations that "prohibit the subsidization of competitive products by market-dominant products and ensure that each competitive product overs its costs attributable and ensure that all competitive products collectively make a reasonable contribution to the institutional costs of the Postal Service."	Similar provision.
Differentiates between changes to rates and classes of "general applicability" in the nation as a whole or a substantial region thereof and changes not of general applicability. For the former, BOG would need to provide 30 days notice in the <i>Federal Register</i> before the effective date of such changes; for the latter, BOG would be required to file records of their decision with PRC at least 15 days before the effective date.	Would require 30 days public notice of any changes to rates or classes and review by PRC to ascertain whether the proposed changes are in compliance with PRC regulations prohibiting cross-subsidization and cost attribution.
Not included.	Would also require PRC to conduct a review to determine whether the

CRS-11	
--------	--

H.R. 22	S. 662
	institutional costs contribution requirement for competitive products should be retained in its current form, modified, or eliminated. The first review would have to be five years after enactment of this bill, with periodic reviews coming every five years thereafter.
Sec. 203. Provisions Relating to Experimental and New Products.	Sec. 203. Provisions Relating to Experimental and New Products.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 3641, which provides for temporary changes in postal rates and classes, to create a process for testing new postal products.	Identical.
In order to test a new product, USPS would be required to file a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission and publish a notice in the <i>Federal Register</i> . PRC would have the power to approve or disapprove of any new product. A product would qualify for testing only if it was a "significantly different product" and would not create a market disruption or unfair competitive advantage for the USPS. Applications for competitive products would be required to include costs and revenues attributable (PRC, though, would retain ultimate power to determine whether a new product should be classified as a market dominant or competitive product).	Identical.
Would prohibit market tests for new products from exceeding 24 months; although upon written request of USPS, PRC could extend the trial period 12 months for the sake of determining the feasibility or desirability of a product being tested.	Nearly identical provision, except that S. 662 would require"[a]ny test that solely affects products currently classified as competitive, or which provides services ancillary to only competitive products, shall be presumed to be in the competitive product category without regard to whether a similar ancillary product exists for market-dominant products."
Would permit a product to be tested only if the total revenue anticipated or received does not exceed \$10 million per year nationwide.	Nearly identical, though Senate bill does not include the term "nationwide."
Would empower PRC to "limit the amount of revenues the Postal Service may obtain from any particular geographic market as necessary to prevent market disruption." PRC may waive the requirement that a tested product's revenues	S. 662 would not empower PRC to "limit the amount of revenues the Postal Service may obtain from any particular geographic market as necessary to prevent market disruption."

CRS-	12
------	----

H.R. 22	S. 662
not exceed \$10 million if: (1) Total anticipated or actual revenues do not exceed \$50 million per year; (2) The PRC determines that the product is likely to benefit the public and meet an expected demand; the product is likely to contribute to the financial stability of the Postal Service; and the product is not likely to result in unfair competition.	
Sec. 204. Reporting Requirements and Related Provisions.	Sec. 204. Reporting Requirements and Related Provisions.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 36 to require the PRC to provide to Congress and the President an annual report "concerning the operations of the Commission under this title, including the extent to which regulations are achieving the objectives of the regulation of the prices of market-dominant products.	Identical.
Would also require an estimate of the costs incurred by the Postal Service in providing — (1) postal services to areas of the nation where the Postal Service either would not provide services at all or would not provide such services in accordance with the requirements of this title if it were not required to do so; (2) free or reduced rates for postal services as required by this title; and (3) other public services or activities which, in the judgment of PRC, would not otherwise have been provided by USPS but for the requirements of law.	Not included here but a universal service study would be required at Sec. 702.
Would require USPS to prepare and submit to PRC a report including analysis of the costs, revenues, rates, service quality, timeliness, and reliability of competitive products no later than 90 days after the end of each year. Would require the analysis in the report to conform to methodological specifications prescribed by PRC. Would require the USPS Inspector General to audit "the data collection systems and procedures utilized in collecting information and preparing [this] report" and submit the findings to PRC.	Similar provision except that S. 662 would not empower PRC to prescribe the methodology and S. 662 would have the USPS Inspector General audit the report itself — not the data collection systems and procedures employed to produce it.

CRS-13	
--------	--

H.R. 22	S. 662
This report should also include information on market-dominant products for which workshare discounts were in effect during the time covered, including the per-item cost avoided by the Postal Service by virtue of such discount the percentage of such per-item cost avoided that the per-item workshare discount represents the per-item contribution made to institutional costs."	Identical.
H.R. 22 would define "workshare discount" as "presorting, barcoding, dropshipping, and other similar discounts, as further defined under regulations which the Postal Regulatory commission shall prescribe."(See Sec. 206 below for further language on workshare discounts.)	Identical.
Would also empower PRC to require USPS to provide "summary data on the costs, revenues, and quality of service" on experimental competitive products.	Identical provision but also would empower PRC to demand such data on negotiated service agreements.
Would empower PRC to prescribe the form and content of all reports. Would require PRC to take the following into consideration in crafting its reporting prescriptions:	Identical except S. 662 would also require the information to be timely;
 providing the public with adequate information to assess the lawfulness of rates charged; 	Identical.
• avoiding inflicting unnecessary or unwarranted administrative effort and expense on USPS; and	Identical.
• protecting the confidentiality of commercially sensitive information.	Identical.
Would require the Postal Service to submit to PRC its comprehensive statement, performance plan, strategic plan, and program performance reports.	Identical.
Would permit USPS to petition PRC to be permitted to not disclose publicly any information that falls within the exceptions to the Freedom of Information Act as outlined at outlined in 5 U.S.C. 552(b).	Similar provision.

CRS-14

H.R. 22	S. 662
Would require PRC to take comments of the public and interested parties on the various reports, statements, and plans submitted each year and would require PRC to assess USPS compliance with laws and rules regarding rates and whether USPS performance goals and market-dominant product service standards were met. Would also empower PRC to require USPS to correct noncompliant behavior.	Similar provision, but would not require PRC to assess if market-dominant products met service standards. H.R. 22 would have USPS devise its own service standards for market-dominant products; S. 662 would have PRC design them (see Title III below.)
Would provide for significant reforms in USPS financial reporting. Would require USPS to file with the PRC reports containing the same information as the quarterly Form 10-Q, annual Form 10-K, and periodic Form 8-K reports that publicly-traded corporations must file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Form 10-Q type reports required would have to include information on the USPS's financial obligations to retirees. USPS would be required to obtain the opinion of an independent auditor on the information on its reporting on these obligations. Would also require USPS to comply with the financial reporting rules "prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission implementing section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 7262; P.L. 107-204) beginning with fiscal year 2007 and in each fiscal year thereafter."	Identical provision at Sec. 103 (see above).
Sec. 205. Complaints; Appellate Review and Enforcement.	Sec. 205. Complaints; Appellate Review and Enforcement.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 3662 and 3663 to permit "interested persons" to lodge a complaint with the PRC should they believe USPS is not operating in conformance with the requirements of Chapters 1, 4, 6 of 39 U.S.C. 36, regarding rates, classifications, and products. The PRC would have to begin proceedings on or dismiss such cases within 90 days of receipt thereof.	Identical except for clerical differences.
If the complaint is ruled justified, the PRC may require USPS to remedy the effects of noncompliance. To this end, PRC is authorized to delay implementation of rates or classifications and fine USPS. Any person	Identical except for clerical differences.

H.R. 22	S. 662
dissatisfied with a PRC decision (including USPS) may appeal adverse decisions to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.	
Would allow PRC to suspend "implementation of rates or classifications for a limited period of time pending expedited proceedings under this section."	Not included.
Would empower PRC to impose fines for deliberate noncompliance with the requirements of this title.	Identical.
Sec. 206. Workshare Discounts.	Identical provision at Sec. 201.
Would allow PRC to permit USPS to enter workshare agreements that give greater discounts than costs avoided by the USPS under the following conditions:	
"(1) where the discount is associated with a new postal service, a change to an existing product or service, or a new workshare initiative related to an existing postal service;	
"(2) to the extent that a reduction in the discount would lead to a loss of volume in the affected category and reduce the aggregate contribution to institutional	
costs of the Postal Service, from the mail matter subject to the discount, below what it otherwise would have been if the discount had not been reduced to costs	
avoided; would result in a further increase in the rates paid by mailers not able to take advantage of the discount; or would impede the efficient operation of the	
Postal Service;	
"(3) where the amount of the discount above costs avoided is necessary to mitigate rate shocks and will be phased out over time; or	
"(4) where the workshare discount is provided in connection with subclasses of	
mail consisting exclusively of mail matter of educational, cultural, scientific, or informational value."	

CRS-	16
------	----

H.R. 22	S. 662
Would require USPS to provide a report to PRC that explains reasons and analyses supporting USPS decision to enter into any negotiated service agreements.	
Sec. 207. Clerical Amendment.	Sec. 207. Clerical Amendment.
Would amend heading and strike analysis for 39 U.S.C. 36, which presently sets forth the Chapter 36 sections on "Postal Rate, Classes and Service," which Title II proposes to amend.	Identical provision except for clerical differences.
Not included.	Title III — Modern Service Standards
Not included. See Sec. 204 above for the brief H.R. 22 proposal for USPS- crafted service standards.	 Sec. 301. Establishment of Modern Service Standards. Would amend 39 U.S.C. 36 to require PRC to establish modern service standards for market-dominant products within 12 months of enactment of this bill. Would require the modern standards to be designed to achieve the following objectives (provided they are consistent with USPS's universal service obligation): Enhance the value of the postal service to both senders and recipients; Preserve regular and effective access to postal services in all communities, including those in rural areas or where post offices are not self-sustaining; Reasonably assure Postal Service customers delivery reliability, speed, and frequency consistent with reasonable rates and best business practices; and Provide a system of objective external performance

CRS-17

H.R. 22	S. 662
	measurements for each market-dominant product as a basis for measurement of Postal Service performance.
	In establishing the standards to achieve the objectives, PRC is to take eight factors into consideration:
	 the actual service levels that customers receive from USPS; present customer satisfaction with USPS; the needs of customers, including those with physical impairments; mail volume and revenues projected for future years; projected growth in addresses to be served; present and future costs of serving customers; the effect of technological innovation and demographics on the efficient, reliable operation of the postal delivery system; and the policies of this title as well as other factors PRC deems appropriate.
Not included.	Sec 302. Postal Service Plan.
	Would require the Postal Service, within 6 months of PRC's establishment of the above-mentioned service standards, to submit to Congress a plan for achieving these standards. The plan is to include:
	 a description of the Postal Service's long-term vision for rationalizing its infrastructure and workforce and how it intends to implement that vision; information on the possible effects of these actions on the work force; predicted costs and savings of proposed changes;

CRS-18

H.R. 22	S. 662
	 efforts to expand postal retail operations through automation; and a plan for re-employment assistance of displaced postal workers and early retirement benefits. Each year USPS would be required to provide a report "how postal decisions have impacted or will impact rationalization plans." Finally, before being submitted to Congress, this report must be submitted to the Inspector General of the U.S. Postal Service, who would prepare a report detailing USPS compliance with the law and the new service standards. This Inspector General report would be submitted with USPS report to Congress.
Title III — Provisions Relating to Fair Competition	Title IV — Provisions Relating to Fair Competition
Sec. 301. Postal Service Competitive Products Fund.	Sec. 401. Postal Service Competitive Products Fund.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 20 to establish a Postal Service Competitive Products Fund, "which shall be available to the Postal Service for the payment of — (1) costs attributable to competitive products; and (2) all other costs incurred by the Postal Service, to the extent allocable to the competitive products." [This includes any competitive products judgments against USPS.]	Identical.
Deposits to the Competitive Product Fund would include: (1) revenues from competitive products; (2) amounts received from obligations issued by the Postal Service; (3) interest and dividends earned on investments of the Competitive Products Fund; and (4) any other receipts of the Postal Service (including from the sale of assets), to the extent allocable to competitive products.	Identical.

CRS-19	9
--------	---

H.R. 22	S. 662
Should the funds be in excess of current needs, USPS would be permitted to invest those funds in obligations of, or guaranteed by, the U.S. Government or in accordance with regulations issued by the Secretary of the Treasury not more than 18 months after the enactment of this act.	Identical.
USPS may deposit excess funds in a Federal Reserve bank or a depository for public funds with the approval of the Secretary of the Treasury.	Identical.
USPS would be authorized to "borrow money and to issue and sell such obligations as it determines necessary to provide for competitive products and deposit such amounts in the Competitive Products Fund."	Identical.
Would permit the federal government to purchase USPS issued debt and would provide USPS clear discretion over the denomination, time of issuance, maturity dates, prices, and rates of USPS debt issued — the attempt being to clarify to the market that USPS debt is USPS debt alone and not guaranteed by the U.S. government.	Identical.
Obligations would not be exempt from taxation by any state or locality nor would they be obligations of the Government of the United States. Except during the first five years after the enactment of this act, USPS obligations would not be permitted to be purchased, committed to purchase by, sold, or issued to the Federal Financing Bank . H.R. 22 would have this five-year period begin on the date that the Secretary of the Treasury submits his recommendations regarding the accounting practices and principles that should be followed by USPS.	Identical.
Would require USPS to provide an annual report to the Secretary of the Treasury on the operation and condition of the Competitive Products Fund.	Identical.
Would have the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with USPS, an independent accounting firm, and such other advisers as the Secretary deems	

H.R. 22	S. 662
appropriate, develop recommendations regarding:	
"(A) the accounting practices and principles that should be followed by the Postal Service with the objectives of identifying the capital and operating costs incurred by the Postal Service in providing competitive products, and preventing the cross-subsidization of such products by market-dominant products; and "(B) the substantive and procedural rules that should be followed in determining the Postal Service's assumed Federal income tax on competitive products income for any year.	
These proposals would then be submitted to PRC, which would accept comments by USPS, the public, and interested parties, and then issue final accounting rules for USPS.	
Sec. 302. Assumed Federal Income Tax on Competitive Products Income.	Sec. 402. Assumed Federal Income Tax on Competitive Products Income.
Would define "assumed Federal income tax" to mean the net income tax that would be imposed by chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 on the Postal Service's assumed taxable income from competitive products for the year."	Identical.
Would require USPS to compute its assumed federal income tax each year and transfer this amount to the Postal Service Fund.	
Sec. 303. Unfair Competition Prohibited.	Sec. 403. Unfair Competition Prohibited.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 4 by adding a provision that prohibits USPS from establishing "any rule or regulation" or "term of competition" unless USPS demonstrates that the rule, regulation, or term "does not create an unfair competitive advantage for itself or any federally funded entity" or "compel the disclosure, transfer, or licensing of intellectual property to any third party."	Identical.

CRS-21

H.R. 22	S. 662
Would prohibit USPS from "obtain[ing] information from a person that provides (or seeks to provide) any product, and then offer any product or service that uses such information, without the consent of the person providing the information"	S. 662 is worded nearly identically except that it refers to "any postal service" instead of "any product or service."
PRC would prescribe regulations to carry out this section.	Identical.
Sec. 304. Suits By and Against the Postal Service.	Sec. 404. Suits By and Against the Postal Service.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 409 to read "for the purposes of the provisions of law cited in paragraphs (2)(A) and (2)(B), respectively, the Postal Service shall be considered a 'person' and shall not be immune under any other doctrine of sovereign immunity from suit in Federal court by any person for any violation of those provisions of the law by any officer or employee of the Postal Service. Would further amend present law to declare USPS to be a 'person' for purposes of the Clayton Act antitrust provisions and Federal Trade Commission Act provisions on unfair methods of competition.	Identical except that S. 662 also would declare USPS to the "extent that the Postal Service engages in conduct with respect to the provision of competitive products, it shall be considered a person for the purposes of the Federal bankruptcy laws."
Also would require USPS, when building new buildings or altering existent ones to comply with "one of the nationally recognized model building codes" and to do so only after "considering all requirements of zoning laws, land use laws, and applicable environmental laws of a State or subdivision of a State"	Identical but would also require USPS should to "the extent practicable, model building codes should meet the voluntary consensus criteria established for codes and standards as required in the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 as defined in Office of Management and Budget Circular A1190. For purposes of life safety, the Postal Service shall continue to comply with the most current edition of the Life Safety Code of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA 101)."
Present law would be further amended to deny USPS legal representation by the Department of Justice in some instances.	Identical.

CRS-22	
--------	--

H.R. 22	S. 662
Sec. 305. International Postal Arrangements.	Sec. 405. International Postal Arrangements.
Would declare United States policy to be to:	Identical except as below noted.
 "promote and encourage communications between peoples by efficient operation of international postal services and other international delivery services for cultural, social, and economic purposes; "promote and encourage unrestricted and undistorted competition in the provision of international postal services and other international delivery services; "to promote and encourage a clear distinction between governmental and operational responsibilities with respect to the provision of international postal services and other international delivery services by the Government of the United States and by intergovernmental organizations of which the United States is a member; and "to participate in multilateral and bilateral agreements with other countries to accomplish these objectives." 	 Would not include "and other international delivery services by the Government of the United States and by intergovernmental organizations of which the United States is a member." Not included.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 407 to give the Secretary of State responsibility for the "formulation, coordination, and oversight of foreign policy related to international postal services and other international delivery services, and shall have the power to conclude treaties, conventions and amendments related to international postal services and other international delivery services".	Identical except that S. 662 does not empower the Secretary of State with oversight of operations of "other international delivery services."
Would require the Secretary of State to "maintain continuing liaison with the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate."	Would require the Secretary of State to "maintain continuing liaison with the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives."
Would require the Secretary of State to request a PRC decision before concluding any treaty, convention, or amendment affecting international	Identical.

CRS-23

H.R. 22	S. 662
postage rates or classifications.	
Would require the Secretary of State to ensure that each treaty, convention, or amendment is consistent with PRC decisions "except if, or to the extent, the Secretary determines, by written order, that considerations of foreign policy or national security require modification of the Commission's decision."	Similar but would not permit modification of PRC decision by Secretary of State.
Would define a private company as a company that is "substantially owned or controlled by persons who are citizens of the United States."	Not included.
Would require the "Bureau of Customs and Border Protection of the Department of Homeland Security and other appropriate Federal agencies shall apply the customs laws of the United States and all other laws relating to the importation or exportation of such shipments in the same manner to both shipments by the Postal Service and similar shipments by private companies."	Similar provision but refers to "the Customs Service" instead of the "Bureau of Customs and Border Protection of the Department of Homeland Security."
Sec. 306. Redesignation.	Not included.
39 U.S.C. 36 would be amended to include "Subchapter VI — General."	
Title IV — General Provisions	Title V — General Provisions
Sec. 401. Qualification Requirements for Governors.	Sec. 501. Qualification Requirements for Governors.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 202(a) to require that at least four of the Governors of USPS be selected solely on the basis of their demonstrated ability in managing organizations or corporations of "substantial size" (defined as possessing 50,000 or more employees). Governors may "not be representatives of specific interests using the Postal Service" and may be removed only for cause.	Similar provision but would not stipulate that "at least four of the Governors" be selected based on their experience managing entities of a "substantial size." Would not define "substantial size." Would also require that "[e]xperience in the fields of law and accounting shall be considered in making appointments of Governors."
Further amends 39 U.S.C. 202(a) to require the President to consult with the	Identical.

CRS-24

H.R. 22	S. 662
Speaker of the House of Representatives, the minority leader of the House of Representatives, the majority leader of the Senate, and the minority leader of the Senate regarding nominees for open Governor seats.	
Would require that the passage of this act not "affect the appointment or tenure of any person serving as a Governor of the United States Postal Service under an appointment made before the date of enactment of this Act."	Identical.
Would limit governors to three terms.	Identical.
Not included.	Would reduce terms of governors from 9 to 5 years and procedures for replacement of sitting governors with 9-year terms in the event of death or removal for cause.
Would require that an early vacancy on the Board of Governors be filled by a person nominated with the concurrence of the major postal labor unions.	Not included.
Sec. 402. Obligations.	Sec. 502. Obligations.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 2005 to limit USPS new obligations for capital improvements and defraying operating expenses to \$3 billion per annum.	Not included.
Forbids pledging assets related to the provisions of competitive products.	Identical.
Sec. 403. Private Carriage of Letters.	Sec. 503. Private Carriage of Letters.
 Would amend 39 U.S.C. 601 to lessen the restrictions on private carriage of letters if: the amount paid for private carriage is equal to at least 6 times the rate then currently charged for the 1st ounce of a single-piece first class letter; 	Identical.

CRS-25	5
--------	---

H.R. 22	S. 662
 the letter weighs at least 12 ½ ounces; or such carriage is within the scope of services described by regulations of the United States Postal Service (as in effect on July 1, 2004) that purport to permit private carriage by suspension of this section (as then in effect). PRC would be empowered craft any regulations necessary to carry out this section. 	
Sec. 404. Rulemaking Authority.	Sec. 504. Rulemaking Authority.
Would modify USPS's rulemaking authority under 39 U.S.C. 401 to include the power "to adopt, amend, and repeal such rules and regulations, not inconsistent with this title, as may be necessary in the execution of its functions under this title and such other functions as may be assigned to the Postal Service under any provisions of law outside this title."	Identical.
Sec. 405. Noninterference With Collective Bargaining Agreements.	Sec. 405. Noninterference With Collective Bargaining Agreements.
Would declare that present employee and labor organization privileges, rights, and benefits under 39 U.S.C. 12 are not restricted or expanded, except as provided in Sec. 407 below.	Similar declaration made although S. 662 would amend 39 U.S.C. 1207 regarding labor disputes.
Would continue free mailing privileges afforded to postal unions.	Identical provision.
Identical provision at H.R. 22 Sec. 407 (see below).	Would change the collective bargaining arbitration process (details below at H.R. 22 Sec. 407).

CRS-26

H.R. 22	S. 662
Sec. 406. Bonus and Compensation Authority.	Sec. 506. Bonus Authority.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 36 to permit USPS to create one or more programs to provide employee bonuses or other rewards.	Identical.
Would limit annual executive compensation with bonuses to no more than the "total annual compensation" of the Vice President of the United States (\$208,100 in 2005).	Identical.
Would require any bonus program to be approved by the USPS Board of Governors, which also would be empowered to revoke or suspend the Postal Service's bonus-granting authority under any program if should find that the bonus program is fails to based on relative performances among employees.	Identical.
Would require USPS to report any bonuses or rewards given in its annual comprehensive statement, including the names of persons receiving a bonus, the amount of these bonuses, and the amount by which these bonuses exceeded employees' permissible compensation.	Not included.
Would permit the exemption of 12 employees from compensation limits described above. Instead, these 12 may receive annual compensation up to 120% of the annual compensation of the Vice President of the United States (\$249,720 in 2005).	Not included.
Sec. 407. Mediation in Collective-Bargaining Disputes.	Identical provision found at Sec. 405.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 1207(b) to require that in the event of a labor dispute the Director of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service "shall within 10 days appoint a mediator of nationwide reputation and professional standing who is also a member of the National Academy of Arbitrators" instead of a factfinding panel.	

H.R. 22	S. 662
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 1207(c) to reduce from 90 to 60 days the period after the expiration of a bargaining agreement that may pass before the parties would be required to go through arbitration.	
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 1207(d) to require the appointment of a mediator instead of a fact-finding panel when a bargaining units is without an agreement with USPS.	
Thus, the labor dispute resolution process would include the following steps:	
1. A bargaining unit with an agreement with the USPS that desires modification or termination of an agreement must serve notice to that effect to the other party no less than 90 days before the expiration of the agreement;	
2. Within 45 days of providing notice, the party serving notice must notify the Director of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service (FMCS);	
3. If parties fail to resolve their differences (or provide for a process for resolving their differences) before the expiration of the agreement, then the Director of FMCS must appoint a mediator within 10 days. Both parties must work with the mediator and negotiate in good faith;	
4. If no resolution has been reached 60 days after the appointment of the mediator, then a 3-person arbitration board must be appointed. Each disputant chooses one member and the two members chosen choose the third member. The arbitration board is to give both sides a full and fair hearing and render a decision within 45 days of its appointment. If either party fails to select a member or the two parties disagree on the third member, then a list of nine arbitrators will be provided and the parties must agree to select from it. It is unclear what would happen if the parties failed to do this. Under current law, the Director would have the power to select members.	

H.R. 22	S. 662
A similar procedure is created for bargaining units whose recognized bargaining representative does not have an agreement with the USPS.	
Title V — Enhanced Regulatory Commission	Title VI — Enhanced Regulatory Commission
Sec. 501. Reorganization and Modification of Certain Provisions Relating to the Postal Regulatory Commission.	Sec. 601. Reorganization and Modification of Certain Provisions Relating to the Postal Regulatory Commission.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. to include a chapter 5 which replaces the Postal Rate Commission with the new Postal Regulatory Commission (PRC). PRC would have five commissioners, appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. Commissioners are to be chosen solely on the basis of their "technical qualifications, professional standing, and demonstrated expertise in economics, accounting, law, or public administration, and may be removed by the President only for cause." Not more than three members may be adherents of the same political party; commissioners are to serve six year terms.	Similar provisions but also would require that "[n]o Commissioner shall be financially interested in any enterprise in the private sector of the economy engaged in the delivery of mail matter."
Identical provision at H.R. 22 Sec. 505.	S. 662 also would require PRC to "designate an officer of the Postal Regulatory Commission in all public proceedings who shall represent the interests of the general public."
Sec. 502. Authority for Postal Regulatory Commission to Issue Subpoenas.	Sec. 602. Authority for Postal Regulatory Commission to Issue Subpoenas.
Would empower "the Chairman of the Commission, any Commissioner designated by the Chairman, and any administrative law judge appointed by the Commission" to issue subpoenas (provided a majority of PRC concurs). Any person failing to obey a subpoena may be punished for contempt of court by the district court of the U.S. in the district in which the person subpoenaed resides or is served. In cases involving documents exempt from public disclosure,	Similar provision but would require PRC to "balance the nature and extent of the likely commercial injury to the Postal Service against the public interest in maintaining the financial transparency of a government establishment competing in commercial markets" in making its decisions regarding public nondisclosure of USPS documents

H.R. 22	S. 662
USPS may respond to the subpoena with written notification that explains the reasons for withholding documents. PRC would be empowered to render final decision over the public disclosure or nondisclosure of such documents.	
Sec. 503. Appropriations for the Postal Regulatory Commission.	Sec. 603. Appropriations for the Postal Regulatory Commission.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 504(d) to authorize appropriations for PRC out of the Postal Service Fund. Each fiscal year, PRC would be required to submit a budget of expenses to Congress in order to receive an appropriation.	Identical.
Sec. 504. Redesignation of the Postal Rate Commission.	Sec. 604. Redesignation of the Postal Rate Commission.
Would replace the words "Postal Rate Commission" with "Postal Regulatory Commission" in Titles 39, 5, and 44 of U.S.C.	Identical.
Sec. 505. Officer of the Postal Regulatory Commission Representing the General Public.	Identical provision at Sec. 601 above.
Would require PRC to designate "an officer of the Postal Regulatory Commission in all public proceedings (such as developing rules, regulations, and procedures) who shall represent the interests of the general public."	
Title VI — Inspectors General	Not included
Sec. 601. Inspector General of the Postal Regulatory Commission.	Not included.
Would amend the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. Appendix) and 39 U.S.C. 504 to establish the Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the Postal	

CRS-30

H.R. 22	S. 662
Regulatory Commission. The first PRC Inspector General is to be appointed no later than 180 days from enactment of this act. H.R. 22 would not enumerate additional duties of the PRC IG beyond those carried in present law.	
Sec. 602. Inspector General of the United States Postal Service to be Appointed by the President.	Not included.
Would amend the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. Appendix) to require presidential appointment of the Inspector General of the U.S. Postal Service. Sec. 602 would also make it the responsibility of the USPS IG to audit or contract to audit the financial statements of USPS. Appropriations for the Office of the Inspector General would be available beginning October 1, 2005.	
	Sec. 605. Financial Transparency.
	Equivalent provision at H.R. 22, Sec. 103.
Title VII — Evaluations	Title VII — Evaluations
Sec. 701. Universal Postal Service Study.	Sec. 702. Universal Postal Service Study and the Postal Monopoly.
Would require the Postal Service to submit to Congress, the President, and PRC, a written report on universal postal service (within 12 months of enactment of this act). The report must include a history of universal service and how it has evolved, USPS recommendations on universal service, along with descriptions of the scope and standards of universal service under present law; any geographic areas, populations, communities, organizations, or other groups not covered by universal service at present; and the scope and standards of universal service likely to be required in the future. Would further require PRC to prepare an analysis of the USPS report on universal service, including estimates of the costs of providing universal service under present and prior	Similar provision but PRC would be charged with preparing the report and would also need to provide an assessment of the postal monopoly and provide any proposed changes to either universal service or the monopoly.

H.R. 22	S. 662
law, and send it to the President within 12 months. In preparing these reports, both USPS and PRC are obliged to consult with governmental and nongovernmental stakeholders.	
Sec. 702. Assessments of Ratemaking, Classification, and Other Provisions.	Sec. 701. Assessments of Ratemaking, Classification, and Other Provisions.
Would require PRC to provide, at least every 5 years, a report to the President and Congress concerning the operation of this act's amendments to the law and any recommendations to improve the efficiency of the postal laws. USPS, after considering this report, would be required to submit its comments, which would be attached to this report. The report would be required to include specific information on "cost-coverage relating to competitive products collectively" and the operations of the Competitive Products Fund and the assumed federal income tax thereon.	Similar provision but would not include the requirement that the report provide information on cost coverage on competitive products or on the Competitive Products Fund. (Note: H.R. 22, Sec. 301 and S. 662, Sec. 401 both would require USPS to prepare annual studies of the Competitive Products Fund for submission to the Secretary of the Treasury.)
Sec. 703. Study on Equal Application of the Laws to Competitive Products.	Sec. 703. Study on Equal Application of the Laws to Competitive Products.
Within one year of the enactment of this act, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) would be required to prepare and submit to the President, Congress, and PRC a report that "identifying Federal and State laws that apply differently to the United States Postal Service with respect to the competitive category of mail and private companies providing similar products."	Similar provision but would require a study "identifying Federal and State laws that apply differently to the United States Postal Service with respect to the competitive category of mail and similar products provided by private companies."
The study should include appropriate recommendations for bringing "such legal differences" to an end.	Similar provisions but uses the phrase "such legal discrimination."
In preparing the report, the FTC shall consult with governmental and nongovernmental stakeholders.	Identical.
PRC is to take into account the recommendations of the study and "subsequent events that affect the continuing validity of the estimate of the net economic	Would not require PRC to take into account "subsequent events that affect the continuing validity of the estimate of the net economic effect."

CRS-32

H.R. 22	S. 662
effect in promulgating or revising the regulations" required by 39 U.S.C. 3633.	
Sec. 704. Greater Diversity in Postal Service Executive and Administrative Schedule Management Positions.	Not included.
Would require the BOG to "study and, within 1 year after the date of the enactment of this act, submit to the President and Congress a report concerning the extent to which women and minorities are represented in supervisory and management positions within the United States Postal Service." Would require data included in the report to be "presented in the aggregate and by pay level." Also would require USPS to "take such measures as may be necessary to ensure that, for purposes of conducting performance appraisals of supervisory or managerial employees, appropriate consideration shall be given to meeting affirmative action goals, achieving equal employment opportunity requirements, and implementation of plans designed to achieve greater diversity in the workforce."	
Sec. 705. Plan for Assisting Displaced Workers.	Included at Sec. 302 above.
Would require USPS within one year to prepare and submit to Congress and the Board of Governors a report and a plan on assisting workers displaced as a result of automation or privatization of postal functions.	
Sec. 706. Contracts with Women, Minorities, and Small Businesses.	Not included.
Would require BOG to "study and, within 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, submit to the President and Congress a report concerning the number and value of contracts and subcontracts the Postal Service has entered into with women, minorities, and small businesses."	

H.R. 22	S. 662
Sec. 707. Rates for Periodicals.	Not included.
USPS and PRC would be required to collaborate on a study concerning "the quality, accuracy, and completeness of the information used by the Postal Service in determining the direct and indirect postal costs attributable to periodicals and any opportunities that might exist for improving" efficiencies in the collection, handling, transportation, or delivery of periodicals by the Postal Service: including any pricing incentives for mailers that might be appropriate. Would require copies of the study to be submitted to the President and Congress.	
Sec. 708. Assessment of Certain Rate Deficiencies.	Not included.
Would direct the OIG of USPS, within 12 months of enactment of this act, to submit to the President, Congress, and USPS a study concerning the administration of 39 U.S.C. 3626(k), often referred to as the "cooperative mailing provision." This section of the law, and 39 U.S.C. 3626 generally, permit certain types of mail materials sent by nonprofit groups and organizations to qualify for reduced postal rates. The study is to specifically address "the adequacy and fairness of the process by which assessments under 39 U.S.C. 3626(k) are determined and appealable" and to consider whether PRC or some other body ought to be assigned a role in this administrative process. The study should further consider "whether a statute of limitations should be established for the commencement of proceedings by the Postal Service thereunder." For further language on nonprofit mailing rates, see Sec.808 below.	
Sec. 709. Network Optimization. Would require USPS to submit to PRC, Congress, and the Board of Governors a written report on the postal processing and distribution network. The report should provide account of efforts taken to improve mail processing,	Not included. Sec. 302 above, which describes "modern service standards," would require USPS to develop a plan that includes a description of "the long-term vision of the Postal Service for rationalizing its infrastructure and workforce."

CRS-34

H.R. 22	S. 662
transportation, and distribution network and actions taken to identify excess capacity. The report should also identify any statutory or regulatory obstacles to facility realignment or consolidation. USPS would be required to treat optimization as a Government Performance and Results Act (31 U.S.C. 1115 note) performance goal.	
Sec. 710. Assessment of Future Business Model of the Postal Service.	Not included.
Would empower Comptroller General to appoint an independent research organization to prepare a report assessing the best business model for promoting 'an efficient, reliable, innovative, and viable Postal Service." Would require the report to consider the costs, benefits, and feasible options associated with maintaining USPS in its current form and transforming it into a corporation owned by the government. Would require the report to be submitted to Congress and the President within 27 months of enactment of this act.	
Sec. 711. Study on Certain Proposed Amendments. Would require the Government Accountability Office to prepare a report on the costs and benefits of increasing USPS's discretion to permit foreign air carriers to transport mail.	Not included. However, Sec. 1002 would permit USPS greater flexibility to contract with foreign air carriers than present law permits (see below).
Sec. 712. Definition.	Not included.
Would clarify that for purposes of this title, the term "Board of Governors" has the meaning given such term by 29 U.S.C. 102.	

CRS-35

H.R. 22	S. 662
Not included.	Sec. 704. Report on Postal Workplace Safety and Workplace-Related Injuries
	 Would require the IG of USPS to submit a report to Congress and the Postal Service — no later than six months after enactment of this bill — that would: discuss any injury reduction goals established by the Postal Service; describe the actions that the Postal Service has taken to improve workplace safety and reduce workplace-related injuries; assess how successful the Postal Service has been in meeting its injury reduction goal and, identify opportunities for making further progress in meeting these goals. Would require USPS to submit a report to Congress — not later than 6 months after receiving the USPS IG report — that details how USPS plans to improve workplace safety and reduce workplace-related injuries nationwide, including goals and metrics. These plans should be "developed in consultation with the Inspector General and employee representatives, including representatives of each postal labor union and management association"
Not included.	Sec. 705. Study on Recycled Paper.
	Would require the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to study and submit to the Congress, the Board of Governors of the Postal Service, and to the Postal Regulatory Commission a report concerning —
	 the economic and environmental efficacy of establishing rate incentives for mailers linked to the use of recycled paper; a description of the accomplishments of the Postal Service in each of the preceding five years involving recycling activities; and additional opportunities that may be available for the United

CRS-36

H.R. 22	S. 662
	States Postal Service to engage in recycling initiatives and the projected costs and revenues of undertaking such opportunities.
	Would also require the report to include recommendations for any administrative or legislative actions that may be appropriate.
Title VIII — Miscellaneous, Technical and Conforming Amendments	Somewhat similar title at Title X (see below)
Sec. 801. Employment of Postal Police Officers.	Similar provision at Sec. 1001 with exception noted here.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 404 to permit USPS to "employ police officers for duty in connection with the protection of property owned or occupied by the Postal Service or under the charge and control of the Postal Service." Sec. 801 also details the powers and functions of the police officers.	Would amend 39 U.S.C. 404 to permit USPS to "employ guards for all buildings and areas owned or occupied by the Postal Service or under the charge and control of the Postal Service, and may give such guards, with respect to such property, any of the powers of special policemen" provided under 40 U.S.C. 1315.
Sec. 802. Date of Postmark to be Treated as the Date of Appeal in Connection with the Closing or Consolidation of Post Offices.	Not included.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 404(b) so that any appeals to the closure of post offices mailed or otherwise delivered would be considered received based upon the postmark date or, if delivered by other means, by paperwork indicating the date contracted for delivery. This would be effective 3 months after enactment of this act.	
Sec. 803. Provisions Relating to Benefits Under Chapter 81 of title 5, United States Code, for Officers and Employees of the Former Post Office Department.	Not included.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 1001 note so that USPS would have the same	

CRS-37

H.R. 22	S. 662
"authorities and responsibilities" with respect to any individual receiving benefits under the former Post Office Department as it has to any officer or employee of USPS receiving such benefits.	
Sec. 804. Obsolete Provisions.	Not included.
Would repeal 39 U.S.C. 52 on the transportation of mail by a surface carrier.	
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 5005(b)(1), 5402(d), and 5605 to eliminate restrictions on lengths of contracts.	
Sec. 805. Investments.	Not included.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 2003(c) to prohibit USPS from investing monies from the Postal Fund in any obligations or securities of a commercial entity.	
Sec. 806. Reduced Rates.	Not included.
Would require USPS to permit a publication with a total paid circulation of less than 5000 to be treated, for the purpose of postal rates, as in-county mail even when issues of said publication are mailed to locations outside of the county in which it is produced.	
Sec. 807. Hazardous Matter.	Not included.
Would amend 36 U.S.C. 3001 to empower the Secretary of Transportation to define hazardous materials and to enumerate the prohibitions against the mailing of hazardous materials and provide criminal and civil penalties for violation of these prohibitions.	

CRS-38

H.R. 22	S. 662
Sec. 808. Provisions Relating to Cooperative Mailings.	Not included.
Requires USPS to examine section E670.5.3 of the Domestic Mail Manual to determine whether it contains adequate safeguards against the abuse of rates for nonprofit mail and the deception of customers.	
Sec. 809. Technical and Conforming Amendments.	Not included.
Would amend 39 U.S.C. 3681 so that USPS may "establish size and weight limitations for mail matter in the market-dominant category of mail consistent with regulations the Postal Regulatory Commission may prescribe under section 3622. The Postal Service may establish size and weight limitations for mail matter in the competitive category of mail consistent with its authority under section 3632."	
In addition to conforming amendments regarding revenue forgone and appropriations and reporting requirements, the act would amend 39 U.S.C. 404 to allow the Board of Governors to establish "reasonable and equitable" classes of mail and rates of postage. The act also would require USPS to maintain one or more classes of mail for transmission of letters that would be sealed against inspection.	
Title IX — Postal Pension Funding Reform Amendments	Title VIII — Postal Service Retirement and Health Benefit Funding
Sec. 901. Civil Service Retirement System.	Identical provision at Sec. 802 except for clerical differences.
Would amend 5 U.S.C. 83 to alter USPS's contributions to the Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS).	
Would shift responsibility for pension payments related to employee military service to the Department of the Treasury.	

H.R. 22	S. 662
Would require an annual determination of USPS pension payment surplus or supplemental liability by the Office of Personnel Management. Any USPS surplus would be transferred into the Postal Service Retiree Health Benefits Fund, which would pre-fund retiree health benefits. H.R. 22 would require the creation of amortization schedule should a supplemental liability be found. Would permit the cancellation of any existent supplemental liability amortization schedule "to the extent of any amounts first coming due after the close of the fiscal year to which such determination relates" if OPM should find no CSRS liability. Would require that any determination or redetermination made by OPM under this section shall, upon request of the United States Postal Service, be subject to review by PRC, which shall submit a report containing the results of its review to USPS, OPM, and Congress. OPM then would reconsider its determination or redetermination in light of such report, and make any appropriate adjustments.	Not included.
Sec. 902. Health Insurance.	Similar provision at Sec. 803 except for differences noted here.
Would establish the Postal Service Retiree Health Benefits Fund, which would be administered by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM).	Identical.
Would require USPS to begin paying into the fund by September 30, 2006.	Identical.
Each year, USPS would be required to pay into the Retiree Health Benefits Fund:	Each year, USPS would be required to pay into the Retiree Health Benefits Fund:
(A) the portion of the net present value for current and future USPS annuitants that is attributable to the current year's service of Postal Service employees;	(A) the net present value of the future payments that is attributable to the current year's service of Postal Service employees; and
(B) interest on the net present value for that fiscal year at the interest rate used to compute that net present value.	(B) an annual installment computed as the difference between the net present value of the excess of future payments for current and future Postal Service annuitants; and the value of the assets of the Postal Service Retiree Health Benefits Fund and the net present value of the future payments that is attributable

CRS-40

H.R. 22	S. 662
	to the current year's service of Postal Service employees (This amount is to be amortized.)
Would allow the USPS contribution to the Postal Service Retiree Health Benefits Fund in 2006 to be reduced by any USPS contributions attributable to FY2006.	Identical.
Would require that any computation or regulation by OPM "under this subsection shall, upon request of the Postal Service, be subject to review by the Postal Regulatory Commission. The Commission shall submit a report containing the results of any such review to the Postal Service, the Office of Personnel Management, and the Congress Upon receiving the report of the Postal Regulatory Commission, the Office of Personnel Management shall reconsider its computation or other determination in light of such report, and shall make any appropriate adjustments. The Office shall submit a report containing the results of its reconsideration to the Commission, the Postal Service, and the Congress."	Not included.
Sec. 903. Repealed.	Identical provision at Sec. 804.
Would repeal Sec. 3 of P.L. 108-18, which required that savings resulting from the Postal Civil Service Retirement System Funding Reform Act of 2003 be used to reduce the debt of the Postal Service (in 2003 and 2004) and thereafter placed in escrow.	
Sec. 904. Ensuring Appropriate Use of Escrow and Military Savings.	Not included.
Would define the "total savings" from Sec. 901's transferral of responsibility for pension payments related to miliary service by postal employees. Sec. 904 would require OPM to calculate the per annum total savings each year (2006- 2015). If the amount USPS has paid into the Postal Service Retiree Health Benefits Fund that year is equal to or greater than two-thirds of the total savings	

CRS-41

H.R. 22	S. 662
for that year, USPS need take no further action; if the payments are less than two-thirds, USPS must pay into the Postal Service Retiree Health Benefits Fund an amount equal to the difference. The Postal Service could avoid paying the difference only in the instance of a fiscal year underpayment being negated by an aggregate overpayment over previous fiscal years (beginning 2006). OPM would be required to report its calculations of total savings to USPS, PRC, and Congress.	
Sec. 905. Effective Dates.	Identical provision at Sec. 805.
Makes the changes of this title effective October 1, 2005 and requires changes to government contributions to the civil service retirement system begin on the first day of the first pay period of October 1, 2005.	
Not included	Title IX — Compensation for Work Injuries
Not included.	Sec. 901. Temporary Disability; Continuation of Pay . Senate bill would also amend 5 U.S.C. 8117 so that a USPS employee would not be entitled to compensation or continuation of pay for the first three days of temporary disability. During this time, a USPS employee would be permitted to use annual leave, sick leave, or leave without pay.
Not included.	Sec. 902. Disability Retirement for Postal Employees. In order to reduce Postal Service expenses, this provision would encourage Postal Service employees of retirement age who are injured on the job to retire rather than to draw disability compensation. To this end, it would amend 5 U.S.C. 8105 and 8106 to reduce compensation for work injuries for a postal worker whose injuries occur after enactment of S. 662. If enacted —

CRS-42

H.R. 22	S. 662
	An employee suffering total disability from a workplace injury would be entitled to compensation of 50 percent (currently 66 2/3 percent) of his monthly pay on the later date of: (1) the date on which the injured worker reaches retirement; or (2) one year after the employee begins receiving compensation. An employee suffering partial disability would be entitled to 50 percent (currently 66 2/3 percent) of the difference between his monthly pay and his monthly wage earning capacity after the beginning of his partial disability on the later date of: (1) the date on which the injured employee reaches retirement age; or (2) one year after the employee begins receiving compensation.
Not included	Title X — Miscellaneous
See Sec. 801 above.	Sec. 1001. Employment of Postal Police Officers.
	Similar provision in H.R. 22 Sec. 801.
See Sec. 711 above.	Sec. 1002. Expanded Contracting Authority. Would permit USPS to "contract with any air carrier for the transportation of mail by aircraft in interstate air transportation, including the rates for that transportation, either through negotiations or competitive bidding."
	Would further provide that "[six] years after the date of enactment of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act, every contract that the Postal Service awards to a foreign air carrier under this paragraph shall be subject to the continuing requirement that air carriers shall be afforded the same opportunity to carry the mail of the country to and from which the mail is transported and the flag country of the foreign air carrier, if different, as the Postal Service has afforded the foreign air carrier."

CRS-43	
--------	--

H.R. 22	S. 662
Not included.	Sec. 1003. Report on the United States Postal Inspection Service and the Office of the Inspector General of the United States Postal Service.
	Would require the GAO to "review the functions, responsibilities, and areas of possible duplication of the United States Postal Inspection Service and the Office of the Inspector General of the United States Postal Service and submit a report on the review to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate." The report should include legislative recommendations.
Not included.	Sec. 1004. Sense of Congress Regarding Postal Service Purchasing Reform.
	Would declare that "the Postal Service should —
	"(1) ensure the fair and consistent treatment of suppliers and contractors in its current purchasing policies; and
	"(2) implement commercial best practices in Postal Service purchasing policies to achieve greater efficiency and cost savings as recommended in July 2003 by the President's Commission on the United States Postal Service."