CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Security and Freedom Ensured Act (SAFE Act)(H.R. 1526) and Security and Freedom Enhancement Act (SAFE Act)(S. 737): Section By Section Analysis

May 9, 2005

(name redacted) Senior Specialist American Law Division

Security and Freedom Ensured Act (SAFE Act)(H.R. 1526) and Security and Freedom Enhancement Act (SAFE Act)(S. 737): Section By Section Analysis

Summary

Two SAFE Acts, S. 737 and H.R. 1526 address some of the issues raised by the USA PATRIOT Act. They amend the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) to require that FISA surveillance orders particularly identify either the target or the facilities or places targeted. They limit delayed notification of sneak and peek searches to cases involving exigent circumstances (injury, flight, destruction of evidence, witness intimidation risks) and cap the extent of permissible delay. Both bills restrict FISA access orders to instances where there are specific and articulable reasons to believe that the records pertain to a foreign power or one or more of its agents.

S. 737 alone provides that the order may be no more sweeping than a grand jury subpoena duces tecum issued in the context of an espionage or international terrorism investigation. It also adds: exceptions and time limits to the nondisclosure feature, a procedure to allow a recipient to quash or modify an order, and use provisions comparable to those that apply to the use of information generated by FISA surveillance and physical search orders. H.R. 1526 exempts libraries from the national security letter (nsl) coverage of 18 U.S.C. 2709, and adds section 505 of the USA PATRIOT Act to the list of provisions that expire on December 31, 2005. S. 737 rewrites each of the four nsl statutes with enhanced standards, time limits, gag order restrictions, scope, and suppression features.

Both bills incorporate the definition of federal crimes of terrorism into the definition of domestic terrorism.

S. 737 expands the safeguards associated with the court approved use of pen registers and trap and trace devices. H.R. 1526 adds four sections to the inventory of expiring USA PATRIOT Act sections (213 (delayed notice of sneak and peek searches), 216 (trap and trace devices and pen registers for law enforcement purpose), 219 (boundless service of terrorism search warrants), and 505 (national security letters)). S. 737 amends FISA to require more extensive public reports concerning its use.

This report appears in abridged form as CRS Report RS22140, *The SAFE Acts of 2005: H.R. 1526 and S. 737 – A Sketch.*

Contents

Introduction
Section 1. Short Title
Section 2. Limitation on Roving Wiretaps Under Foreign Intelligence
Surveillance Act of 1978
Proposed Amendment of 50 U.S.C. 1805(c)
Section 3. Limitations on Delayed Notice Search Warrants
A. In General
B. Reports
Section 4. Privacy Protections for Library, Bookseller, and Other Personal
Records Under Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 19783
A. In General
B. Oversight
C. Amendments Unique to S. 737
Section 5. (H.R. 1526: Privacy Protections for Computer Users at
Libraries Under National Security Authority/ S. 737:
Procedural Protections for National Security Letters)
In General
H.R. 1526
S. 737
Section 6. Modification of Definition of Domestic Terrorism
(H.R. 1526; Section 7 in S. 737)
Section 6. (S. 737 only) Privacy Protections for Pen Registers and
Trap and Trace Devices
A. În General
B. H.R. 1526
C. S. 737
Section 7. (H.R. 1526 only) Extension of Patriot Sunset Provision
A. In General
B. Boundless Terrorist Search Warrants
Section 8. (S. 737 only) Public Reporting of the Foreign
Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978
Appendix

Security and Freedom Ensured Act of 2005 (SAFE Act)(H.R. 1526) and Security and Freedom Enhancement Act of 2005 (SAFE Act)(S. 737): Section By Section Analysis

Introduction

Somewhat different SAFE Acts have been introduced in both the House and Senate: S. 737, the Security and Freedom Enhancement Act of 2005 (introduced by Senator Craig) and H.R. 1526, the Security and Freedom Ensured Act of 2005 (introduced by Representative Otter).¹ Although the Senate bill is more detailed, they address many of the same issues, most of which relate to the USA PATRIOT Act roving Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) wiretaps, delayed notification of "sneak and peek" search warrants, library and similar exemptions from FISA tangible item orders and communications related to national security letters, the definition of "domestic terrorism," and expansion of the sunset provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act.²

Section 1. Short Title.

Section 1 of the bills contains their short titles, the "Security and Freedom Ensured Act of 2005 (SAFE) Act" in the case of H.R. 1526; and "Security and Freedom Enhancement Act of 2005" or "SAFE Act" in the case of S. 737.

¹ A discussion of a third SAFE Act, H.R. 1243 (the Secure Access to Firearms Enhancement Act of 2005) (introduced by Representative Hostettler), which deals with concealed firearm possession is beyond the scope of this report, as is a discussion of other bills in the 109th Congress which to a greater or lesser extent contain proposals similar to those found in S. 737 and H.R. 1526, see e.g., S. 316 (Reasonable Notice and Search Act), S. 693 (Electronic Communications Privacy Judicial Review and Improvement Act of 2005), H.R. 1157 (Freedom to Read Protection Act of 2005), H.R. 1502 (Civil Liberties Restoraiton Act of 2005).

² For additional background information see CRS Report RS21743, Analysis of S. 1709, 108th Congress: the Security and Freedom Ensured Act of 2003 (SAFE Act); CRS Report RL30465, The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act: An Overview of the Statutory Framework and Recent Judicial Decisions; CRS Report RL32186, USA PATRIOT Act Sunset: Provisions That Expire on December 31, 2005, (abridged as CRS Report RS21704); CRS Report RL31377, The USA PATRIOT Act: A Legal Analysis, (abridged as CRS Report RS21203); and CRS Report RL31200, Terrorism: Section by Section Analysis of the USA PATRIOT Act.

Section 2. Limitation on Roving Wiretaps Under Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978.

Proposed Amendment of 50 U.S.C. 1805(c). The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) permits federal judges assigned to serve on the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court to issue orders authorizing, for foreign intelligence gathering purposes, electronic surveillance (wiretapping), 50 U.S.C. 1801-1811; physical searches, 50 U.S.C. 1821-1829; the use of trap and trace devices and pen registers (surreptitious caller id devices), 50 U.S.C. 1841-1846; and access to tangible items (particularly business records), 50 U.S.C. 1861-1862.

Subsection 1805(c) describes the specifications and directions for FISA electronic surveillance orders. Before 9/11, FISA electronic surveillance orders specified (1) the identity, *if known*, or the description of the target of the surveillance, 50 U.S.C. 1805(c)(1)(A)(2000 ed.)(emphasis added), (2) the nature and location of the facilities or places at which the surveillance was directed, 50 U.S.C. 1805(c)(1)(B)(2000 ed.) and (3) if requested, the identity of communications providers, landlords and other person whose assistance would facilitate execution of the order, 50 U.S.C. 1805(c)(2)(B)(2000 ed.).

Section 206 of the USA PATRIOT Act temporarily altered this third feature to permit a general command for third party assistance without identifying a specific person, if the target of the order regularly changed telephones or meeting places or took other evasive steps in order to thwart surveillance efforts, 50 U.S.C. 1805(c)(2)(B). The intelligence authorization act for 2002 altered the second feature to require identification of the nature and location of targeted facilities and places only if they were known, 50 U.S.C. 1805(c)(1)(B). Thus, at least temporarily, FISA surveillance orders may be issued that simply describe the target but do not otherwise identify the target, the facilities or places to be targeted, or those communications providers or others whose assistance will be commanded to facilitate execution of the order.

Both the House and Senate bills amend subsection 1805(c) to require that FISA surveillance orders identify either the target or the facilities or places targeted; orders merely describing the target because the target's identity is unknown must identify the facilities or places targeted and orders that do not identify the facilities or places because they are unknown must identify the target. If the facilities or places cannot be identified in the order, both bills limit execution of a FISA surveillance order to times when the target is present at the facility or place under surveillance.

Section 3. Limitations on Delayed Notice Search Warrants.

A. In General. The Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits unreasonable searches and seizures, U.S.Const. Amend. IV. The Amendment does not apply when there is no reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to the item seized, such as information or other property in the possession of a third party for example, *United States v. Miller*, 425 U.S. 435 (1976)(a customer has no expectation of privacy with respect to the records of his financial transactions

subpoenaed from the customer's bank). Yet where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists and subject to certain exigent circumstances, the Fourth Amendment requires officers executing a search warrant to knock and announce their purpose before entering to execute the warrant, *Richards v. Wisconsin*, 520 U.S. 385 (1997); *Wilson v. Arkansas*, 514 U.S. 927 (1995). Prior to the USA PATRIOT Act, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure which implement the Amendment, instructed officers to leave a notice of the search and an inventory of any items seized at the location where the search occurred, F.R.Crim.P. 41(d)(2000 ed.). The lower federal appellate courts were divided over whether failure to provide notification should be treated as a violation of the Rule or as a constitutional violation; and they likewise could not agree on how long notification, *United States v. Freitas*, 800 F.2d 1451 (9th Cir. 1986); *United States v. Pangburn*, 983 F.2d 449 (2d Cir. 1993); *United States v. Simmons*, 206 F.3d 392 (4th Cir. 2000).

Federal law permits delayed notification to customers of government access to their communications stored with service providers, 18 U.S.C. 2705, 2703(b) — a situation under which there may well be no judicially recognized reasonable expectation of privacy. The law limits delays to instances where contemporaneous disclosure would have adverse consequences. The list of adverse consequences includes factors traditionally recognized as exigent circumstances for Fourth Amendment purposes (risk of injury or flight, of the destruction of evidence, or of the intimidation of a potential witness) and two factors which probably would not be thought to constitute exigent circumstances (seriously jeopardize an investigation or unduly delay a trial), 18 U.S.C. 2705(a)(2), (b).

Section 213 of the USA PATRIOT Act amends 18 U.S.C. 3103a to permit delayed notification of the execution of a search warrant or court order for a reasonable time in presence of any of the adverse conditions listed in 18 U.S.C. 2705, 18 U.S.C. 3103a(b).

The two bills amend subsection 3103a(b) first to limit the grounds justifying delay to those that can be considered exigent circumstances (injury, flight, destruction of evidence, witness intimidation risks) and second to confine the period of delay to no more than 7 days (with the possibility of 21-day extensions).

B. Reports. The bills direct the Attorney General to issue a public report every six months documenting the number of notify postponements and extensions requested and granted or denied, proposed 18 U.S.C. 3103a(c). The Senate bill also insists that the report identify the nature of the crimes that have given rise to subsection 3103a(b) requests, proposed 18 U.S.C. 3103a(c)(2)(C).

Section 4. Privacy Protections for Library, Bookseller, and Other Personal Records Under Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978.

A. In General. Before the USA PATRIOT Act, FISA authorized judges and magistrates of the FISA court to issue orders affording the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) access to certain business records during the course of gathering

foreign intelligence information or investigating international terrorism, 50 U.S.C. 1861-1863 (2000 ed.). Applications were to include "specific and articulable facts" for a belief that the subject of the business records was a foreign power or the agent of a foreign power, 50 U.S.C. 1862(b)(2)(B)(2000 ed.). The orders could be addressed to any transportation common carrier, public accommodation provider, storage facility or vehicle rental business, 50 U.S.C. 1862(d)(1)(2000 ed.), and recipients could not disclose them except to the extent necessary to provide access to the records, 50 U.S.C. 1862(d)(2)(2000 ed.).

Section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act temporarily rewrote this portion of FISA, 50 U.S.C. 1861-1862. In the form scheduled to sunset on December 31, 2005, FISA court orders are available for FBI access to "any tangible things (including books, records, papers, documents, and other items)" without regard to whether they pertain to a foreign power or any of its agents as long as access is sought to obtain foreign intelligence information (not concerning a United States person) or to protect against international terrorism or clandestine intelligence activities, 50 U.S.C. 1861(a)(1). Section 215 kept the nondisclosure feature and granted recipients protection from civil liability for compliance with a FISA order, 50 U.S.C. 1861(d),(e).

Both bills restrict the temporary FISA tangible things access orders to instances where there are specific and articulable reasons to believe that the records pertain to a foreign power or one or more of its agents, proposed 50 U.S.C. 1861(b),(c). The Senate bill alone provides that the order may be no more sweeping than a grand jury subpoena duces tecum issued in the context of an espionage or international terrorism investigation (*i.e.*, it must yield to federally recognized privileges and may not be unreasonable or oppressive), proposed 50 U.S.C. 1861(c)(3). The Senate bill also calls for the order to include notice of the recipient rights that the bill provides, proposed 50 U.S.C. 1861(c)(4).

B. Oversight. Both bills add the House and Senate Judiciary Committees to the Congressional authorities that the Attorney General must keep apprised of the extent of the FBI's use of the FISA access order authority, 50 U.S.C. 1862.

C. Amendments Unique to S. 737. The Senate bill adds: (1) exceptions and 180 day time limits to the nondisclosure feature (with the possibility of 180 day extensions), (2) a procedure to allow a recipient to quash or modify an order, and (3) use provisions comparable to those that apply to the use of information generated by FISA surveillance and physical search orders, proposed 50 U.S.C. 1861(d), (f), (g). H.R. 1526 has no comparable provisions.

Section 5. (H.R. 1526: Privacy Protections for Computer Users at Libraries Under National Security Authority/ S. 737: Procedural Protections for National Security Letters).

In General. Four statutes vest federal intelligence officials with the power to demand production of certain information directly rather than invoke the power of a FISA court judge to secure access. These national security letter statutes are:

18 U.S.C. 2709

(FBI request to wire or electronic communications service providers for customer name, address, length of service, and local and long distance toll billing records)

12 U.S.C. 3414

(FBI request to financial institutions for records relating to customer transactions)

15 U.S.C. 1681u

(FBI request to consumer reporting agencies for consumer name, address, former address, places of employment, former places of employment, and names and addresses of financial institutions with whom a customer has an account)

15 U.S.C. 1681v

(government agency request to consumer reporting agencies for consumer and all other information in the agency's files)

Although they vary somewhat, these statutes generally have no explicit enforcement mechanism nor any means for a recipient to have a request modified or set aside, bar disclosure by recipients of the requests, and have no uniform provisions concerning use of the information provided in response to the request.

Section 505 of the USA PATRIOT Act amended three of the four provisions (18 U.S.C. 2709, 12 U.S.C. 3414, 15 U.S.C. 1681u) and section 358 created the fourth (18 U.S.C. 1681v). Each of the amendments (1) made it clear that the request did not have to come from FBI headquarters but could be issued by any of the agents in charge of the various FBI field offices; (2) substituted a relevancy issuance standard for the earlier reason to believe standard; (3) dropped the requirement that the records sought pertain to a foreign power or its agents; and (4) asserted that access could not be sought in connection with an investigation based solely on an American's exercise of his First Amendment rights.

In a case now on appeal, one federal district court found that the manner of exercising the national security letter authority under 18 U.S.C. 2709 violated both the Fourth and the First Amendments, the Fourth because of the want of judicial supervision or review and the First because of the facially all encompassing, permanent form of the gag order, *Doe v. Ashcroft*, 334 F.Supp.2d 471 (S.D.N.Y. 2004).

H.R. 1526. The bills treat the national security letter statutes differently. H.R. 1526 simply exempts libraries from the coverage of 18 U.S.C. 2709 ("a library shall not be treated as a wire or electronic communication service provider for purposes of this section"), proposed 18 U.S.C. 2709(a)(2). Later in the bill, it adds section 505 of the USA PATRIOT Act with its amendments to the national security letter statutes (18 U.S.C. 2709, 12 U.S.C. 3414, and 15 U.S.C. 1681u) to the list of USA PATRIOT Act provisions that expire on December 31, 2005.

S. 737. The Senate makes no such addition to the list of terminating sections nor does it exempt libraries per se from any of national security letter statutes. Instead it rewrites each of the statutes in much the same way it rewrites the FISA access order provisions. Within each of the national security letter statutes, it:

- reestablishes the demand that the information sought be based on specific and articulable facts that suggest that the information sought pertains to a foreign power or one or more of its agents;

- sets a 90 day time limit for the gag orders based on exigent circumstances (with the possibility of 180 day extensions available from the court on the same basis);

- permits recipients to challenge both the request and gag orders in court;

- holds the letters to same standards that apply to grand jury subpoenas duces tecum issued in espionage or international terrorism cases (*i.e.*, they must yield to federally recognized privileges and may not be unreasonable or oppressive);

- explicitly permits disclosure to those necessary to comply with the request and to the recipient's attorney; and

- establishes a procedure for use and suppression of evidence generated by the letters.

Section 6. Modification of Definition of Domestic Terrorism (H.R. 1526; Section 7 in S. 737).

Federal law employs two terrorism-related definitions fairly extensively. The first defines "federal crimes of terrorism" by listing a series of specific federal offenses likely to be committed for terrorist purposes, 18 U.S.C. 2332b(g)(5)(text is appended). The second defines "domestic terrorism" generically rather than by reference to any specific federal crime, 18 U.S.C. 2331(5):

As used in this chapter . . . (5) the term "domestic terrorism" means activities that — (A) involve acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States or of any State; (B) appear to be intended — (i) to intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii) to influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or (iii) to affect the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination, or kidnapping; and (C) occur primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States.

Neither domestic terrorism or a federal crime of terrorism is a separate crime. They are used for purposes of cross reference. Thus for example, a federal crime of terrorism as defined in 18 U.S.C. 2332b(g)(5)(B) that involves the risk of serious injury to another person is not subject to the usual statute of limitations and may be prosecuted at any time, 18 U.S.C. 3286(b). Similarly, property derived from and used to facilitate international or domestic terrorism as defined by 18 U.S.C. 2331 is subject to confiscations, 18 U.S.C. 982(a)(1)(G).

Both bills amend 18 U.S.C. 2331(5) so as to merge the two definitions, "As used in this chapter . . . (5) the term 'domestic terrorism' means activities that — (A) involve acts dangerous to human life that constitute a Federal crime of terrorism (as that term is defined in section 2332b(g)(5) of this title); and (B) occur primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States."

H.R. 1526 contains an additional provision that emphasizes that nothing in section 2331 should be construed to preclude enforcement of state terrorism laws; S. 737 has no comparable provision.

Section 6. (S. 737 only) Privacy Protections for Pen Registers and Trap and Trace Devices.

A. In General. Trap and trace devices and pen registers are essentially surreptitious "caller id" devices that track the source and destination of incoming and outgoing telephone calls to and from a particular telephone. The Supreme Court has held that their use does not offend any Fourth Amendment protected expectation of privacy, *Smith v. Maryland*, 442 U.S. 735 (1979). Federal law contains procedures under which authorities may secure judicial approval for the installation and use of trap and trace devices and pen registers in both a law enforcement and an intelligence gathering (FISA) context, 18 U.S.C. 3121-3127; 50 U.S.C. 1841-1846.

Section 216 and 214 of the USA PATRIOT Act amended the law enforcement and FISA procedures to apply to e-mail and other forms of Internet and electronic communications, 18 U.S.C. 3121(c), 3123(a), (b), 3127; 50 U.S.C. 1842, 1843. The law enforcement amendment requires a report to the issuing court on the specifics on the use of the devices in connection with an Internet communication, 18 U.S.C. 3123(a)(3). The FISA amendment precludes use of the authority in connection with an investigation predicated solely on the exercise of an American target's First Amendment rights, 50 U.S.C. 1842, 1843. The FISA amendment expires on December 31, 2005; the law enforcement amendment does not, §224, P.L. 107-56, 115 Stat. 295 (2001).

B. H.R. 1526. Section 7 of the House bill adds section 216, the law enforcement amendment, to the list of USA PATRIOT Act sections that expire on December 31, 2005.

C. S. 737. The Senate bill amends both the FISA and the law enforcement procedure to require applicants to include a statement of the specific and articulable facts that give rise to their belief that installation and use of the devices will produce evidence relevant to a criminal investigation, proposed 50 U.S.C. 1842(c); proposed 18 U.S.C. 3122(b)(2). It expands the annual law enforcement reporting requirement to include more specific information concerning the use of the devices and directs that report be made publicly, proposed 18 U.S.C. 3126. And it establishes a new notice provision in the law enforcement procedure for the benefit of those whose interests are implicated by use of the device, proposed 18 U.S.C. 3123(e).

Section 7. (H.R. 1526 only) Extension of Patriot Sunset Provision.

A. In General. Section 224 of the USA PATRIOT Act creates an expiration date (December 31, 2005) for the sections found in Title II of the Act, but exempts from termination several including sections 213 (delayed notification of the execution of search warrant (sneak and peek warrants)), 216 (use of trap and trace devices and pen registers for law enforcement purpose), 219 (nation-wide service of terrorism search warrants). H.R. 1526 removes the exemption for these three sections so that they too expire on December 31, 2005. It also marks section 505 (national security letter amendments) for expiration at that time.

Although it has no similar provision, S. 737 addresses many of the same concerns, as noted earlier, in section 3 (relating to section 213 and limiting the justifications of delayed notification to exigent circumstances and establishing 7 day and 21 day caps for the initial period of delay and any subsequent extensions); in section 6 (relating to section 216 and providing for participant notice in law enforcement trap and trace device and pen register cases; public reports on use of the authority; and presentation of the facts giving specific and articulable justification for the orders); and in section 5 (relating to section 505 and providing for general adjustments in the national security letter statutes).

B. Boundless Terrorist Search Warrants. In most instances federal judges may only issue search warrants to be executed in their own judicial districts, F.R.Crim.P. 41, but in cases of international or domestic terrorism³ section 219 of the USA PATRIOT Act allows judges in any district where related activities have occurred to issue search warrants that may be executed "within or outside the district," F.R.Crim.P. 41(a)(3). Under H.R. 1526, section 219 sunsets on December 31, 2005.

³ As amended by section 6 of H.R. 1526 and section 7 of S. 737, international and domestic terrorism are defined in 18 U.S.C. 2331 as follows: "(1) the term 'international terrorism' means activities that — (A) involve violent acts or acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States or of any State, or that would be a criminal violation if committed within the jurisdiction of the United States or of any State; (B) appear to be intended — (i) to intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii) to influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or (iii) to affect the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination, or kidnapping; and (C) occur primarily outside the territorial jurisdiction of the United States, or transcend national boundaries in terms of the means by which they are accomplished, the persons they appear intended to intimidate or coerce, or the locale in which their perpetrators operate or seek asylum . . . (5) the term 'domestic terrorism' means activities that — (A) involve acts dangerous to human life that constitute a Federal crime of terrorism (as that term is defined in section 2332b(g)(5) of this title); and (B) occur primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States." This text of 18 U.S.C. 2332b(g)(5) is appended.

Section 8. (S. 737 only) Public Reporting of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978.

The intelligence reform legislation passed at the end of the 108th Congress, amended FISA directing the Attorney General to report — in a manner consistent with the protection of national security — to the Congressional Judiciary and Intelligence Committees semi-annually on the extent of FISA use, interpretation of the Act by the FISA court, and copies of the FISA court opinions, 50 U.S.C. 1871.

S. 737 amends section 1871 to require that the reports be made public, confines the instruction that the report be made in a manner consistent with the protection of national security to information relating to FISA court opinions, but permits the Attorney General to redact for the protection of national security portions of the publicly released opinions. H.R. 1526 has no comparable provisions.

Appendix

18 U.S.C. 2332b

(g) Definitions. — As used in this section . . .

(5) the term "Federal crime of terrorism" means an offense that — (A) is calculated to influence or affect the conduct of government by intimidation or coercion, or to retaliate against government conduct; and

(B) is a violation of — (i) section 32 (relating to destruction of aircraft or aircraft facilities), 37 (relating to violence at international airports), 81 (relating to arson within special maritime and territorial jurisdiction), 175 or 175b (relating to biological weapons), 175c (relating to variola virus), 229 (relating to chemical weapons), subsection (a), (b), (c), or (d) of section 351 (relating to congressional, cabinet, and Supreme Court assassination and kidnaping), 831 (relating to nuclear materials), 842(m) or (n) (relating to plastic explosives), 844(f)(2) or (3) (relating to arson and bombing of Government property risking or causing death), 844(i) (relating to arson and bombing of property used in interstate commerce), 930(c) (relating to killing or attempted killing during an attack on a Federal facility with a dangerous weapon), 956(a)(1) (relating to conspiracy to murder, kidnap, or maim persons abroad), 1030(a)(1) (relating to protection of computers), 1030(a)(5)(A)(i) resulting in damage as defined in 1030(a)(5)(B)(ii) through (v) (relating to protection of computers), 1114 (relating to killing or attempted killing of officers and employees of the United States), 1116 (relating to murder or manslaughter of foreign officials, official guests, or internationally protected persons), 1203 (relating to hostage taking), 1361 (relating to government property or contracts), 1362 (relating to destruction of communication lines, stations, or systems), 1363 (relating to injury to buildings or property within special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States), 1366(a)(relating to destruction of an energy facility), 1751(a), (b), (c), or (d) (relating to Presidential and Presidential staff assassination and kidnaping), 1992 (relating to wrecking trains), 1993 (relating to terrorist attacks and other acts of violence against mass transportation systems), 2155 (relating to destruction of national defense materials, premises, or utilities), 2156 (relating to national defense material, premises, or utilities), 2280 (relating to violence against maritime navigation), 2281 (relating to violence against maritime fixed platforms), 2332 (relating to certain homicides and other violence against United States nationals occurring outside of the United States), 2332a (relating to use of weapons of mass destruction), 2332b (relating to acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries), 2332f (relating to bombing of public places and facilities), 2332g (relating to missile systems designed to destroy aircraft), 2332h (relating to radiological dispersal devices, 2339 (relating to harboring terrorists), 2339A (relating to providing material support to terrorists), 2339B (relating to providing material support to terrorist organizations), 2339C (relating to financing of terrorism, or 2340A (relating to torture) of this title:

(ii) sections 92 (relating to prohibitions governing atomic weapons) or 236 (relating to sabotage of nuclear facilities or fuel) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2122 or 2284); or

(iii) section 46502 (relating to aircraft piracy), the second sentence of section 46504 (relating to assault on a flight crew with a dangerous weapon), section 46505(b)(3) or (c) (relating to explosive or incendiary devices, or endangerment of

CRS-11

human life by means of weapons, on aircraft), section 46506 if homicide or attempted homicide is involved (relating to application of certain criminal laws to acts on aircraft), or section 60123 (b) (relating to destruction of interstate gas or hazardous liquid pipeline facility) of title 49.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.