

# CRS Issue Brief for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

## **The Vietnam-U.S. Normalization Process**

**Updated October 18, 2005**

Mark E. Manyin  
Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

# CONTENTS

## SUMMARY

## MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

## BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

### U.S.-Vietnam Relations, 1975-1998

- Policy Initiatives During the Carter Administration
- Developments During the Reagan and Bush Administrations
- Developments During the Clinton Administration

### Recent U.S.-Vietnam Relations

- Prime Minister's Trip to the United States
- Economic Ties — The Bilateral Trade Agreement
  - Implementation of the BTA
  - U.S.-Vietnam Trade Flows
  - Imports of Vietnamese Clothing
  - Vietnam's Bid to Join the World Trade Organization (WTO)
  - Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)
  - U.S. Bilateral Economic Assistance to Vietnam
  - Bird Flu
- Human Rights and Religious Freedom
- Political and Security Ties
  - Agent Orange
  - Human Trafficking
  - Vietnam War Resettlement Programs
- POW/MIA Issues

### Vietnam's Situation

- Economic Developments
- Political Trends
  - The Ninth and Tenth Party Congresses
  - Unrest in the Central Highlands Region
  - Refugees in Cambodia
- Foreign and Defense Policy

## LEGISLATION

## The Vietnam-U.S. Normalization Process

### SUMMARY

U.S.-Vietnam diplomatic and economic relations remained essentially frozen for more than a decade after the 1975 communist victory in South Vietnam. Relations took major steps forward in the mid-1990s, particularly in 1995, when the two sides opened embassies in each other's capitals. Since then, the normalization process has accelerated and bilateral ties have expanded. Congress has played a significant role in the normalization process.

The most important step toward normalization since 1995 was the signing of a sweeping bilateral trade agreement (BTA), which was approved by Congress and signed by President Bush in 2001. Under the BTA, the U.S. extended conditional normal trade relations (NTR) to Vietnam. In return, Hanoi agreed to a range of trade liberalization measures and market-oriented reforms. Trade — primarily imports from Vietnam — has surged since the BTA was signed. The United States is now Vietnam's largest trading partner.

Until recently, each step in improving bilateral ties has brought controversy, albeit at diminishing levels. U.S. opponents in Congress and elsewhere have argued that Vietnam maintains a poor record on human, religious, and labor rights. Opposition has also come from groups arguing that Vietnam has not done enough to account for U.S. Prisoners of War/Missing in Action (POW/MIAs) from the Vietnam War, though this argument has diminished markedly in recent years.

Forces favoring normalization have included those in Congress and elsewhere reflecting a strong U.S. business interest in Vietnam's reforming economy and American strategic interests in working with U.S. friends and allies to promote stability and development by integrating Vietnam more fully into

the existing East Asian order. The next, and final, step toward full normalization would be granting permanent normal trade relations status to Vietnam. This step, which would require congressional approval, almost certainly will be considered in the context of negotiating Vietnam's accession to the World Trade Organization (WTO). Vietnam hopes to join the WTO in 2005, though some analysts believe this is an overly optimistic time frame.

Recently, clashes over Vietnam's human rights record and trade friction over shrimp, catfish, and textiles have soured relations somewhat since the heady days after the BTA was signed. At the same time, some U.S. analysts have suggested that the Bush Administration seek to expand the as yet embryonic security relations between Hanoi and Washington, arguing that Vietnam and the United States share suspicions of China's expanding influence in Southeast Asia. Other observers, however, have argued there is little evidence that Hanoi seeks to balance Beijing's rising power. Regardless of motivation, since 2003 the two sides slowly have expanded military-to-military ties, albeit primarily in symbolic rather than substantive ways.

Vietnam and the United States gradually have been expanding their political and security ties, symbolized by the Vietnamese Prime Minister's visit to the United States in June 2005, the first such trip by a Vietnamese head of state. President Bush spoke of his desire to move bilateral relations to "a higher plane," backed Vietnam's bid to enter the WTO, and the two countries signed an international military education training (IMET) agreement. The two countries share a strategic interest in offsetting China's increased economic, political, and cultural influence in Southeast Asia.

## MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Health and Human Services Secretary Michael Leavitt visited Vietnam in mid-October as part of his tour of Southeast Asian countries that have experienced outbreaks of the H5N1 avian influenza. During his visit, the two countries signed a bilateral health cooperation agreement. Vietnam also agreed with a number of U.N. agencies to conduct a joint prevention program. Since late December 2003, there have been over 90 confirmed cases — including over 40 deaths — of avian influenza in Vietnam.

## BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

### **U.S.-Vietnam Relations, 1975-1998**

U.S.-Vietnam diplomatic and economic relations remained essentially frozen for over a decade after the 1975 communist victory in South Vietnam, despite a few U.S. overtures during the Carter Administration that were controversial domestically and were ultimately thwarted by Vietnamese actions.

#### **Policy Initiatives During the Carter Administration**

In March 1977, President Carter sent a commission to Vietnam. The United States no longer vetoed Vietnam's application for U.N. membership, paving the way for the July 20, 1977 U.N. Security Council recommendation — undertaken by consensus, without formal vote — that Vietnam be admitted to the United Nations. The United States proposed that diplomatic relations quickly be established between the United States and Vietnam, after which the United States would lift export and asset controls on Vietnam. The Vietnamese responded that they would neither agree to establish relations nor furnish information on U.S. POW/MIAs until the United States pledged to provide several billion dollars in postwar reconstruction aid. Subsequently, they modified this position and provided some limited information on MIAs, even though the United States provided no aid. The U.S. Congress responded unfavorably to the Carter Administration initiatives and the Vietnamese reaction. In 1977, both houses of Congress went on record as strongly opposing U.S. aid to Vietnam.

Vietnamese actions in 1978 in particular had a long-term negative effect on U.S.-Vietnamese relations. Vietnam expelled hundreds of thousands of its citizens (many of Chinese origin) who then became refugees throughout Southeast Asia; aligned itself economically and militarily with the USSR; and invaded Cambodia, deposing the pro-Chinese Khmer Rouge regime and imposing a puppet Cambodian government backed by 200,000 Vietnamese troops. China conducted a one month military incursion along Vietnam's northern border in 1979 and kept strong military pressure on the North until 1990. In the face of these developments, the Carter Administration halted consideration of improved relations with Vietnam. It worked closely with the members of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN — then made up of Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand) to condemn and contain the Vietnamese expansion and to cope with the influx of refugees from Indochina.

## Developments During the Reagan and Bush Administrations

The Reagan Administration opposed normal relations with Hanoi until there was a verified withdrawal of Vietnamese forces from Cambodia, a position amended in 1985 to include a verified withdrawal in the context of a comprehensive settlement. Administration officials also noted that progress toward normal relations depended on Vietnam fully cooperating in obtaining the fullest possible accounting for U.S. personnel listed as prisoners of war/missing in action (POW/MIAs).

As Vietnam withdrew forces from Cambodia in 1989 and sought a compromise peace settlement there, the Bush Administration decided on July 18, 1990, to seek contacts with Hanoi in order to assist international efforts to reach a peace agreement in Cambodia. Regarding the issue of the POW/MIAs, following a visit to Hanoi by a U.S. presidential delegation in 1987, Vietnam returned hundreds of sets of remains said to be those of U.S. MIAs. Some, but not most, were confirmed as American. Altogether, from 1974 to 1992, Vietnam returned the remains of over 300 Americans. Virtually all U.S. analysts agree that the Vietnamese “warehoused” several hundred remains and tactically released them in increments. The number of such remains and whether any are still held, is not known with any certainty. (For details, see CRS Issue Brief IB92101, *POWs and MIAs: Status and Accounting Issues*.)

In April 1991, the United States laid out a detailed “road map” for normalization with Vietnam, welcomed Vietnam’s willingness to host a U.S. office in Hanoi to handle POW/MIA affairs, and pledged \$1 million for humanitarian aid (mainly prosthetics) to Vietnam. The U.S. office began operation in mid-1991, and the aid was transferred by the end of FY1991. Also in 1991, the United States eased travel restrictions on Vietnamese diplomats stationed at the United Nations in New York and on U.S. organized travel to Vietnam.

In 1992, Vietnamese cooperation on POW/MIA matters improved, especially in the area of allowing U.S. investigators access to pursue “live sightings” reports. Important developments encouraged U.S. officials, armed with evidence (including photographs of extensive Vietnamese archival information on U.S. POW/MIAs) to request greater access to such data. Vietnamese representatives agreed. The United States pledged, and subsequently paid out, \$3 million of humanitarian aid (mainly prosthetics and aid to abandoned or orphaned children) for Vietnam; agreed to restore direct telecommunications with Vietnam; agreed to allow U.S. commercial sales to meet basic human needs in Vietnam; and lifted restrictions on projects in Vietnam by U.S. nongovernmental organizations. The United States pledged and provided a disaster assistance grant to Vietnamese flood victims and provided additional aid to help Vietnam with malaria problems. In November 1992, the United States lifted restrictions on U.S. telephone service to Vietnam, allowing direct service between the two countries. In December, the United States eased some restrictions on U.S. companies doing business in Vietnam.

Coinciding with these developments, the Senate Select Committee on POW/MIA affairs conducted what many consider the most extensive independent investigation of the POW/MIA issue undertaken. The committee, chaired by John Kerry and vice-chaired by Bob Smith, operated from August 1991 to December 1992. In early 1993, the committee issued its report, which concluded that there was “no compelling evidence” that POWs were

alive after the U.S. withdrawal from Vietnam, and that although there was no “conspiracy” in Washington to cover up live POWs, the U.S. government had seriously neglected and mismanaged the issue, particularly in the 1970s. The committee’s televised hearings played a major role in defusing much of the passion that had surrounded the POW issue.

Apart from Cambodia and the POW/MIA matter, the Reagan and Bush Administrations concerned themselves with a third problem — humanitarian issues. Major progress in negotiations with Vietnam resulted in plans to (1) facilitate emigration from Vietnam of relatives of Vietnamese-Americans or permanent Vietnamese residents of the United States; (2) regulate the flow of Vietnamese immigrants to the United States and other countries under the so-called Orderly Departure Program managed by the U.N. High Commissioner for Refugees; (3) resolve the issue of the estimated several thousand Amerasians (whose fathers are Americans and whose mothers are Vietnamese) who reportedly wish to emigrate from Vietnam to the United States; and (4) obtain release from Vietnamese prison camps and the opportunity to immigrate to the United States of thousands of Vietnamese who worked for the United States in South Vietnam or were otherwise associated with the U.S. war effort. Meanwhile, U.S. officials in Congress and the Administration expressed repeatedly their concern about the large numbers of political prisoners said to be in Vietnam.

## **Developments During the Clinton Administration**

Early moves to improve relations during the Clinton Administration included the President’s announcement on July 2, 1993, that the United States would no longer oppose arrangements supported by France, Japan, and others allowing for resumed international financial institution aid to Vietnam; however, he said the U.S. economic embargo on Vietnam would remain in effect. A high-level U.S. delegation visited Hanoi in mid-July to press for progress on POW/MIAs; the delegation gave the Vietnamese leaders U.S. documentary evidence that would help settle Vietnamese MIA cases; the delegation also disclosed that U.S. consular officials would henceforth be stationed in Hanoi. Individual Members of Congress played an important behind-the-scenes role in encouraging the Clinton Administration to take many of these, and subsequent, steps.

President Clinton’s September 13, 1993, renewal of his authority to maintain trade embargoes included an eased version of the one on Vietnam that allowed U.S. companies to bid on development projects funded by international financial institutions in Vietnam. Also in September, 1993, the Administration approved \$3.5 million in U.S. aid to extend two humanitarian programs (prostheses and orphans) in Vietnam. On February 3, 1994, President Clinton ordered an end to the U.S. trade embargo on Vietnam. The action came after many months of high-level U.S. interaction with Vietnam in resolving POW/MIA cases, and a January 27, 1994 vote in the Senate urging that the embargo be lifted, language that was attached to broad authorizing legislation (H.R. 2333). The language was controversial in the House, but H.R. 2333 passed Congress; it was signed into law (P.L. 103-236) on April 30, 1994.

On January 25, 1995, the United States and Vietnam settled bilateral diplomatic and property claims and opened liaison offices in Washington and Hanoi on February 1, and February 3, 1995, respectively. The Treasury Department announced on March 9, 1995, that it was unblocking accounts in which Vietnam or its nationals had an interest. On July 11, 1995, President Clinton announced that he would establish ambassadorial-level relations

with Vietnam. The FY1996 State Department Appropriations bill (H.R. 2076) included language barring funding for full diplomatic relations with Vietnam until more progress was made on POW/MIA issues. President Clinton vetoed H.R. 2076 on December 19, 1995. On August 6, 1995, Secretary of State Christopher opened the U.S. Embassy in Hanoi, and Vietnam's embassy in Washington opened on August 5, 1995. An attempt in the Senate to restrict trade ties with Vietnam failed on September 20, 1995.

Controversy continued in 1995 and 1996 over provisions in legislation (H.R. 1561) that would place conditions on upgrading U.S. relations with Vietnam, and that would admit additional boat people from camps in Hong Kong and elsewhere to the United States. H.R. 1561 passed Congress in March 1996, but was vetoed by the President, and the veto was sustained on April 30, 1996. A modified version of the Vietnam provisions in H.R. 2076 was signed by President Clinton on April 26, 1996, as part of H.R. 3019, the Omnibus Appropriations bill (P.L. 104-134). To comply with the provisions, President Clinton issued Presidential Determination 96-28 on May 30, 1996, saying that Vietnam is cooperating in full faith with the United States on POW/MIA issues. On April 10, 1997, the Senate approved former Vietnam War POW and Member of Congress Pete Peterson as U.S. Ambassador to Vietnam.

Economic relations steadily improved over the next several years, culminating in the signing of a bilateral trade agreement in 2000 (see below). While in Vietnam in late June 1997, Secretary of State Albright urged greater economic reform and better human rights; she signed a bilateral agreement on copyrights and said that the U.S. Trade and Development Program would conduct business in Vietnam. On December 18, 1997, National Security Adviser Sandy Berger said the Administration was consulting with Congress on granting Vietnam a waiver from the Jackson-Vanik amendment that would smooth the way for Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC) and Export-Import Bank to support U.S. business activities in Vietnam. On March 11, 1998, President Clinton granted the waiver, and a formal agreement on OPIC was signed eight days later. In November 1999, OPIC signed its first financing agreement — a \$2.3 million loan to Caterpillar Inc.'s authorized dealership in Vietnam — for American business in Vietnam since the end of the Vietnam War. The U.S. Export-Import Bank announced on April 10, 1998 that it was ready to finance sales to Vietnam. On December 9, 1999, the Ex-Im Bank signed two framework agreements with the State Bank of Vietnam to facilitate project financing cooperation between the two agencies.

## **Recent U.S.-Vietnam Relations**

### **Prime Minister's Trip to the United States**

Vietnamese Prime Minister Phan Van Khai traveled to the United States in June 2005, the first such visit to the United States by a Vietnamese prime minister since the end of the Vietnam War. Following their meeting, President Bush and the Prime Minister issued a joint statement expressing their "intention to bring bilateral relations to a higher plane." The President expressed "strong support" for Vietnam's accession to the WTO and welcomed Vietnam's efforts on human rights and religious freedom issues, about which the two leaders agreed to continue "an open and candid dialogue." Khai also signed an agreement on implementing a bilateral International Military Education Training (IMET) program to send

two Vietnamese officers to the United States for training, reportedly in English or medical procedures. The two sides also announced an agreement to resume U.S. adoptions of Vietnamese children, which Hanoi halted in 2002. Protesters criticizing the Vietnamese government appeared at every stop on his trip.

## Economic Ties — The Bilateral Trade Agreement

On December 10, 2001, a sweeping bilateral trade agreement (BTA) between the United States and Vietnam entered into force. (See CRS Report RL30416, *The Vietnam-U.S. Bilateral Trade Agreement*.) Under the BTA, which by law required congressional approval, the U.S. extended conditional normal trade relations (NTR) status to Vietnam, a move that significantly reduced U.S. tariffs on most imports from Vietnam. In return, Hanoi agreed to undertake a wide range of market-liberalization measures, including extending NTR treatment to U.S. exports, reducing tariffs on goods, easing barriers to U.S. services (such as banking and telecommunications), committing to protect certain intellectual property rights, and providing additional inducements and protections for foreign direct investment.

The agreement paved the way for President Bush to extend *conditional* NTR treatment to Vietnam. NTR treatment is conditional because Vietnam's trade status is still subject to annual Congressional review under the U.S. Trade Act of 1974's Jackson-Vanik provisions, which govern trade with non-market economies. On June 1, 2005, President Bush renewed Vietnam's year-long waiver of Jackson-Vanik amendment restrictions on bilateral economic activities. Vietnam has received a presidential waiver every year since 1998. From 1998 to 2002, resolutions disapproving the waivers failed in the House. Disapproval resolutions were not introduced in 2003 and 2004. In addition to granting Vietnam conditional NTR treatment, the Jackson-Vanik waiver also allows the U.S. Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC) and the U.S. Export-Import Bank to support U.S. businesses exporting to and/or operating in Vietnam.

**Table 1. U.S.-Vietnam Trade**  
(millions of dollars)

	U.S. Imports from Vietnam	U.S. Exports to Vietnam	Total Trade	Trade Balance
1994	50.5	172.2	222.7	121.7
2000	827.4	330.5	1,157.9	-496.9
2001	1,026.4	393.8	1,420.2	-632.6
2002	2,391.7	551.9	2,943.6	-1,839.8
2003	4,472.0	1,291.1 <sup>a</sup>	5,763.1	-3,180.9
2004	5,161.1	1121.9	6,283.0	-4,039.2
Jan - Aug 2004	3,368.1	624.1	3,992.2	(2,744.0)
Jan - Aug 2005	4,111.3	717.9	4,829.2	(3,393.4)
Major Imports from Vietnam	clothing, footwear, wooden furniture, frozen shrimp, petroleum products, cashew nuts, coffee			
Major Exports to Vietnam	aircraft, mining equipment, electronic machinery, steel wire, raw cotton, plastics			

**Source:** U.S. International Trade Commission. Data are for merchandise trade on a customs basis.

a. U.S. exports from 2003 include Vietnam Airlines' \$700 million purchase of several Boeing 777s. U.S. aircraft exports totaled over \$360 million in 2004 and nearly \$170 million in the first half of 2005.

**Implementation of the BTA.** In the first three years after the BTA was signed, Hanoi apparently implemented most of its initial commitments. Some observers have expressed concern that the government has not implemented in law and/or in practice many of the concessions that were due to be phased in by December 2004, particularly in the services sector, though many critics tend to accept the argument that the slippages often are due more to weak capacity rather than to protectionist intentions. This belief may also explain why the Bush Administration appears not to have harshly criticized Vietnam in those areas where implementation has been poor or incomplete, with the exception of intellectual property rights (see below).

**U.S.-Vietnam Trade Flows.** The BTA led to a sharp rise in U.S.-Vietnam trade, which in 2004 was worth over \$6.2 billion, more than four times the level in 2001 (see **Table 1**), and nearly thirty times the level when relations were normalized in 1994. The United States is now Vietnam's largest trading partner.

**Imports of Vietnamese Clothing.** Most of the increase in U.S.-Vietnam trade since 2001 has come from a sharp rise in clothing imports from Vietnam, which were over \$2.6 billion in 2004, up from the \$45 million-\$50 million range that Vietnam recorded in 2000 and 2001. By dollar value, clothing is now the largest item the United States imports from Vietnam. In 2003 and 2004, Vietnam has provided about 3.5% of total U.S. clothing imports (up from 1.4% in 2002 and 0.1% in 2001, before the BTA went into effect). The BTA contains no restrictions on Vietnamese textile exports to the United States, but a safeguard provision would allow the U.S. to impose quotas on textile imports in the event of a surge of imports. During the congressional debate over the BTA, many Members urged the Bush Administration to negotiate a bilateral textile agreement soon after the BTA came into effect. On April 25, 2003, the United States and Vietnam completed nearly three weeks of intense negotiations by signing a bilateral textile agreement that places quotas on 38 categories of Vietnam's clothing exports, including cotton pants and cotton knit shirts/blouses, the two most important items. The deal was reached after the U.S. side threatened to unilaterally impose more restrictive quotas if the Vietnamese did not agree to U.S. demands.

**Vietnam's Bid to Join the World Trade Organization (WTO).** The final step toward full legal normalization between the United States and Vietnam would be granting permanent NTR (PNTR) status to Vietnam. This step, which would require congressional approval, is likely to be considered in the context of negotiating Vietnam's accession to the World Trade Organization (WTO), a goal the United States has supported. January 1, 2005 saw the expiration of the global system of import quotas on textile and apparel products for WTO members. Because Vietnam is not a WTO member, its clothing industry generally is a severe disadvantage compared with its competitors that operate under quota-free trade in textiles and apparel.<sup>1</sup> For this reason, after the BTA was signed, Vietnamese leaders set a goal of joining the WTO by the beginning of 2005. Steadily, Vietnamese leaders have moved the time frame backward, to the end of 2005 and now to mid-2006. Vietnam has concluded bilateral accession agreements with several WTO members, including the European Union, Japan, and China, and currently is negotiating agreements with a number of countries, including the United States. To avoid a repeat of the experience with China, which allegedly has not passed the legal instruments to implement many parts of its WTO

---

<sup>1</sup> For the first half of 2005, imports of Vietnamese clothing were up around 2% over the same period a year earlier, despite Vietnam's exclusion from the global phase-out of quotas on the textile trade.

accession agreements, the United States and other trading partners are insisting that Vietnam show progress on and present drafts of implementing legislation. Vietnam's National Assembly is scheduled in 2005 to consider 30 laws, codes, and ordinances affecting nearly every aspect of commercial life. The full Assembly holds two month-long legislative sessions, in May and October. Vietnam's accession to the WTO almost certainly would require Congress to decide whether or not to extend permanent normal trade relations (PNTR) status to Vietnam.

**Intellectual Property Rights (IPR).** Since 2002, the Bush Administration has placed Vietnam on its "Special 301 watch list" for allegedly poor protection of intellectual property rights, particularly in the areas of music recordings and trademark protection.<sup>2</sup> The BTA requires Vietnam to make its IPR regime WTO-consistent in 2003. Although it has made numerous regulatory and legal changes to this end, the Vietnamese government's IPR enforcement has been widely faulted.

**U.S. Bilateral Economic Assistance to Vietnam.** (For more on U.S. aid to Vietnam, see CRS Report RL32636, *U.S. Assistance to Vietnam*, by Mark Manyin) As the normalization process has proceeded, the U.S. has eliminated most of the Cold War-era restrictions on U.S. aid to Vietnam, and U.S. assistance has increased markedly from around \$1 million when assistance was resumed in 1991 to over \$55 million anticipated in FY2005. The level of assistance has more than doubled since FY2000. By far the two largest components of the U.S. bilateral aid program are food assistance and health-related assistance, which together comprised about 60% of the nearly \$200 million in aid the United States has provided to Vietnam since U.S. assistance began to increase substantially in FY1999. Spending on HIV/AIDS treatment and prevention in Vietnam has risen, especially since President Bush's June 2004 designation of Vietnam as a "focus country" eligible to receive increased funding to combat HIV-AIDS under the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR).<sup>3</sup> The United States provided \$10 million in PEPFAR funds in FY2004, and over \$27 million for FY2005 through the end of April. Other sizeable assistance items include de-mining activities, educational exchanges, and programs assisting Vietnam's economic reform efforts. In recent years, some Members of Congress have attempted to link increases in non-humanitarian aid to progress in Vietnam's human rights record. (See the "Human Rights and Religious Freedom" section.)

---

<sup>2</sup> "Special 301" refers to Section 182 of the Trade Act of 1974. Since the start of the Special 301 provision in 1989, the USTR has issued annually a three-tier list of countries judged to have inadequate regimes for IPR protection, or to deny access: (1) *priority foreign countries* are deemed to be the worst violators, and are subject to special investigations and possible trade sanctions; (2) *priority watch list countries* are considered to have major deficiencies in their IPR regime, but do not currently warrant a Section 301 investigation; and (3) *watch list countries*, which maintain IPR practices that are of particular concern, but do not yet warrant higher-level designations. See CRS Report 98-454, *Section 301 of the Trade Act of 1974, As Amended: Its Operation and Issues Involving Its Use by the United States*, by Wayne Morrison.

<sup>3</sup> Vietnam qualified for the designation in part because of its demonstrated commitment to fighting the epidemic on its own and because of the competency of its medical institutions. Vietnam is estimated to have about 100,000 people living with the HIV-AIDS virus, a number that is projected to grow significantly.

The Vietnamese government has asked for increased U.S. aid, and in 2004 invited the U.S. Peace Corps to visit Vietnam to discuss opening programs in Vietnam. In May 2004, Vietnam was not selected as one of the first 16 countries eligible for the Millennium Challenge Account (MCA), President Bush's major new foreign aid initiative. Vietnam was deemed ineligible despite meeting the technical requirements for MCA eligibility because it scored very low on some of the indicators used to measure political freedom. In September 2004, Vietnam again received low scores on the indicators of political and civil liberties maintained by the Millennium Challenge Corporation to determine eligibility for the MCA.

**Bird Flu.** Since late December 2003, there have been over 90 confirmed cases — including over 40 deaths — of avian influenza in Vietnam. According to USAID, the H5N1 virus is believed to be endemic in Vietnam's waterfowl population, which is estimated to be around 250 million birds, including 20 million to 60 million ducks and geese. In 2005, the Vietnamese government began intensifying its response to the disease, including expanded cooperation with international health agencies and foreign aid donors. Vietnam has begun a mass poultry vaccination program to try to halt the disease's spread. The wartime and tsunami supplemental, H.R. 1268, which was passed by the House on May 5 and the Senate on May 10, 2005, includes \$25 million to help combat the disease, including approximately \$7 million to be used in Vietnam.

## Human Rights and Religious Freedom

In recent years, tensions between the United States and Vietnam over human rights issues have increased. The United States and Vietnam did not hold their annual human rights dialogue in 2003 and 2004 “due to insufficient progress” on key human rights issues. In his first major speech after assuming his post in 2005, U.S. Ambassador Michael Marine said that there must be “tangible progress from Vietnam in the areas of human rights and religious freedom...if relations between our two countries are to continue to blossom.”

It is difficult to make country-wide generalizations about the state of human rights in Vietnam, a one-party, authoritarian state ruled by the Vietnamese Communist Party (VCP). For the past several years, the VCP appears to have followed a strategy of permitting most forms of personal and religious expression while selectively repressing individuals and organizations that it deems a threat to the party's monopoly. On the one hand, the gradual loosening of restrictions since Vietnam's *doi moi* (“renovation) economic reforms were launched in 1986 has opened the door for Vietnamese to engage in private enterprise. It also has permitted most Vietnamese to observe the religion of their choice and has allowed a moderately vibrant press to sprout, so long as it keeps criticism of the government to “safe” issues like corruption, economic policy, nature conservation and environmental pollution, and the trafficking of women and children.

On the other hand, the government in recent years reportedly has cracked down harshly on anti-government protests by various ethnic minority groups, most prominently the Montagnards in the country's Central Highlands and the Hmong in the Northwest Highlands, sending hundreds fleeing across the border into Cambodia. In his briefing to accompany the State Department's 2004 religious freedom report, Ambassador-at-Large for International Religious Freedom John Hanford placed particular emphasis on the “widespread,” “systematic,” “government-sponsored” closing of churches and forced renunciations of faith in these regions. Reportedly, the minority groups' complaints mainly have been against local

government corruption and encroachment of ancestral lands by ethnic Vietnamese settlers. Furthermore, in its effort to control the Internet, the central government has stepped up repression of so-called cyber dissidents for alleged offenses such as criticizing the signing of land-border agreements with China and calling for greater political accountability and political competition. During his meeting with Prime Minister Khai in June 2005, President Bush reportedly raised human-rights concerns, particularly Hanoi's controls over religious groups and repression of ethnic groups in the Central Highlands.

**“Country of Particular Concern” Designation.** In September 2004, for the first time Vietnam was designated as a “country of particular concern” (CPC) in the State Department’s International Religious Freedom Report. On May 5, 2005, the State Department announced it had reached an agreement on religious freedom with Vietnam. The agreement, which has not been released, enabled Vietnam to avoid punitive consequences, such as sanctions, associated with its CPC designation. According to a public briefing by Ambassador Hanford, under the agreement, the Vietnamese government committed to fully implement new legislation on religious freedom and render previous contradictory regulations obsolete, instruct local authorities to strictly and completely adhere to the new legislation and ensure their compliance, facilitate the process by which religious congregations are able to open houses of worship, and give special consideration to prisoners and cases of concern raised by the United States during the granting of prisoner amnesties.

The State Department’s announcement noted a number of steps recently taken by the Vietnamese government, notably the release of several prominent religious prisoners, the issuance of a new law streamlining the application process for religious groups registering with the government, and the issuance of prime ministerial directives prohibiting forced renunciations of faith and allowing Protestant “house churches” in ethnic minority provinces to operate if they renounce connections to certain expatriate groups, particularly the Montagnard Foundation, which is based in the United States. According to information provided by the State Department, the agreement does not appear to carve out new ground but instead focuses on committing Vietnam to fully implementing its new laws and regulations and to ensuring the compliance of local officials, a goal that often has proved elusive in the past. Vietnam will remain a CPC, at least until the next religious freedom report is released in the fall of 2005. The agreement has been faulted by human rights groups on a number of grounds, including the following: the Vietnamese government still retains for itself the right to decide which religious organizations and activities are legal; the government’s recent announcements do not list punishments for violators of religious freedoms; the agreement does not deal with issues concerning non-Christian groups that claim to have been repressed by the government; and religious persecution continues in the Central Highlands despite the agreement.

**The Vietnam Human Rights Act.** In large measure due to Vietnam’s crackdowns in the Central Highlands, attempts have been made in the 107<sup>th</sup> and 108<sup>th</sup> Congresses to link U.S. aid to the human rights situation in Vietnam. The most prominent example, the Vietnam Human Rights Act (H.R. 1587/S. 2784 in the 108<sup>th</sup> Congress, H.R. 3190 in the 109<sup>th</sup> Congress), proposes capping existing non-humanitarian U.S. assistance programs to the Vietnamese government at FY2005 levels (FY2004 for H.R. 1587) if the President does not certify that Vietnam is making “substantial progress” in human rights, including religious freedom. At present, the newly signed bilateral IMET agreement is the only current aid program that would be affected, because it is the only U.S. non-humanitarian assistance that

is given directly to the government of Vietnam. The act also would require the executive branch to produce annual reports on Vietnam's human rights situation and would authorize funds to promote democracy in Vietnam and to overcome the jamming of Radio Free Asia. Critics argue that the bill could chill the warming of bilateral political and security ties that has been taking place slowly over the past several months. The Vietnamese government has strongly condemned the bill as an interference in its internal affairs. Proponents of the measure argued that it would have pressured the Vietnamese government to improve the country's human rights situation. On July 19, 2004, by a vote of 323-45, the House passed H.R. 1587. Attempts to include stripped-down versions of H.R. 1587 in the FY2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act (H.R. 4818) did not succeed. In the 109<sup>th</sup> Congress, H.R. 3190 has not yet received committee action. Another stripped-down version of the act was included in the House-passed version of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act of FY2006 and 2007 (H.R. 2601), which has yet to receive action in the Senate. Unlike previous versions of the Vietnam human rights act, the language in H.R. 2601 does not contain provisions for a Presidential waiver. The act also authorizes \$2 million to assist organizations that promote democracy and human rights in Vietnam.

## Political and Security Ties

Vietnam and the United States gradually have been expanding their political and security ties, though these have lagged far behind the economic aspect of the relationship. In the past two years, however, Vietnam's leadership appears to have decided to expand their country's ties to the United States, as shown by a number of indicators:

- since late 2004 the Vietnamese government has responded positively to many U.S. human rights demands;
- In August 2004, drug enforcement officials from various U.S. agencies held a week-long training session in Vietnam, the first such joint counternarcotics training session;
- three U.S. naval vessels have made calls in Vietnamese ports since November 2003;
- there have been several meetings between senior military officials, including Vietnamese Defense Minister Pham Van Tra's visit to Washington in November 2003;
- Vietnamese military officers increasingly participate in U.S.-led conferences and academic programs; and
- during Prime Minister Khai's visit to the United States, the two countries announced a bilateral agreement to implement an International Military Education and Training (IMET) program will be announced during his visit. Since FY2002, the Bush Administration has requested funds for Expanded International Military Education and Training (E-IMET) courses to enhance English language proficiency among Vietnamese military officers. No funds have been disbursed, however, because Vietnam and the United States have not yet signed an IMET agreement, reportedly due to the Vietnamese Ministry of Defense's concerns about outside scrutiny of its officers for human rights abuses.

Two congressional caucuses on Vietnam have been formed in recent years, one calling for more attention to be paid to the human rights situation in Vietnam and the other working to promote bilateral relations.

There are a number of possible reasons Vietnam seeks to upgrade its relationship with the United States. Vietnam has an interest in facilitating its application to join the World Trade Organization (WTO), a move that would require U.S. (and congressional) approval and could occur in 2005 or 2006. At the strategic level, Vietnam may be seeking to offset China's increased economic, political, and cultural influence in Southeast Asia. Additionally, the Vietnamese hope to smooth the way for President Bush's expected trip to Hanoi in 2006, when Vietnam hosts the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum summit.

**Agent Orange.** Vietnamese leaders have pressed the U.S. for compensation for Agent Orange victims, and for assistance locating the remains of Vietnam's soldiers who are still missing from fighting with the United States. During President Bill Clinton's five-day trip to Vietnam in 2000, the United States took some small steps toward meeting these demands, including agreeing to set up a joint research study on the effects of dioxin/Agent Orange and the provision of materials to help locate the estimated 300,000 Vietnamese troops missing from the Vietnam War. Over three million Vietnamese suffering from the alleged effects of Agent Orange were part of a class action suit filed in U.S. Federal District Court in Brooklyn against the chemical companies that manufactured the defoliant. The case was dismissed in March 2005, in a ruling that was widely publicized in Vietnam. In April 2005, the Bush Administration discontinued funding of a grant to conduct research in Vietnam on the possible relationship between Agent Orange and birth defects. The justification for the decision was that the Vietnamese Ministry of Health had not given its approval for the study.

**Human Trafficking.** On June 3, 2005, the State Department issued its fifth annual report on human trafficking, Trafficking in Persons Report. Vietnam was listed as a "Tier 2" country that "does not fully comply with the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking;" however, it was removed from its 2004 listing as a "Tier 2 Watch-list" country because "it is making significant efforts" to combat trafficking. The State Department issues interim reports on the countries included on the watch list.

**Vietnam War Resettlement Programs.** In 2005, the United States and Vietnam plan to announce the reopening of certain categories of the Orderly Departure Program, under which over 550,000 Vietnamese were resettled in the United States between 1979 and 1999. During this time, another 300,000 Vietnamese came to the United States through other resettlement programs.

## **POW/MIA Issues**

In recent years, the United States and Vietnam have devoted increased resources to POW/MIA research and analysis. By 1998 a substantial permanent staff in Vietnam was deeply involved in frequent searches of aircraft crash sites and discussions with local Vietnamese witnesses throughout the country. The Vietnamese authorities also had allowed U.S. analysts access to numerous POW/MIA-related archives and records. The U.S. Defense Department has reciprocated by allowing Vietnamese officials access to U.S. records and maps to assist their search for Vietnamese MIAs. The increased efforts have led to substantial understanding about the fate of several hundred of the over 2,000 Americans still unaccounted for in Indochina. On September 21, 1998, U.S. Ambassador to Vietnam Peterson told the media in reference to Americans still listed as missing from the Vietnam War that "it is very, very, very unlikely that you would expect to see any live Americans

discovered in Vietnam, Cambodia, or Laos.” Official U.S. policy, however, does not remove a name from the rolls of those unaccounted for unless remains are identified. (For more on the POW/MIA issue, see CRS Issue Brief IB92101, *POWs and MIAs: Status and Accounting Issues*.)

## Vietnam's Situation

Ever since communist North Vietnamese forces defeated U.S.-backed South Vietnam in 1975, reunified Vietnam has been struggling with how to maintain a balance between two often contradictory goals — maintaining ideological purity and promoting economic development. For the first decade after reunification, the emphasis was on the former. By the mid-1980s, disastrous economic conditions led the country to adopt a more pragmatic line, enshrined in the *doi moi* (renovation) economic reforms of 1986. Under *doi moi*, the government gave farmers greater control over what they produce, abandoned central state planning, cut subsidies to state enterprises, reformed the price system, and opened the country to foreign direct investment.

### Economic Developments

For the first decade after the *doi moi* reforms were launched, Vietnam became one of the world's fastest-growing countries, averaging around 8% annual GDP growth from 1990 to 1997. Agricultural production doubled, transforming Vietnam from a net food importer into the world's second-largest exporter of rice and third-largest producer of coffee. The move away from a command economy also helped reduce poverty levels from 58% of the population in 1992 to less than 30% in 2002. A substantial portion of the country's growth was driven by foreign investment, primarily from Southeast Asian sources, most of which the government channeled into the country's state-owned sector.

By the mid-1990s, however, the economic reform movement had stalled, as disagreement between reformers and conservatives paralyzed economic decision-making. The economy staggered after the 1997 Asian financial crisis, as real GDP growth fell to 5.8% in 1998, and 4.8% in 1999. Foreign direct investment (FDI) plummeted to \$600 million in 1999, the lowest level since 1992.

Vietnam's economic situation has improved since the financial crisis. In 2000, GDP growth rebounded to the 7% level — one of the highest in Asia — and has remained there since. FDI commitments have increased, to around 8% of GDP in 2003. However, Vietnam remains a poor country; about one-third of Vietnamese children under five years of age suffer from malnutrition. Per capita gross domestic product (GDP) is estimated at just over \$410, equivalent to \$2,300 when measured on a purchasing power parity basis. Growth continues to be impeded by Vietnam's failure to tackle its remaining structural economic problems — including unprofitable state-owned enterprises, a weak banking sector, massive red tape, and bureaucratic corruption — as major impediments to continued growth. In recent months, there have been signs that the government has redoubled its commitment to economic reforms.

Rapid growth has transformed Vietnam's economy, which has come to be loosely divided into three sectors: the state-owned, the foreign-invested, and the privately owned,

which make up roughly 50%, 30%, and 20% of industrial output, respectively. For much of the 1990s, Vietnam's foreign-invested enterprises (FIEs) were among the country's most dynamic. Since the 1997 Asian financial crisis, the private sector has also made impressive gains, to the point where privately owned firms employ nearly a quarter of the workforce. Most of the giant state-owned enterprises (SOEs), meanwhile, are functionally bankrupt, and require significant government subsidies and assistance to continue operating. In 1990, 2.5 million people were employed by state firms. In 2001, this figure was down to 1.6 million.

## Political Trends

Vietnam's experiments with political reform have lagged behind its economic changes. A new constitution promulgated in 1992, for instance, reaffirmed the central role of the Communist Party in politics and society, and Vietnam remains a one-party state. Although personal freedoms have increased dramatically, Hanoi still does not tolerate signs of organized political dissent. In subtle ways, however, the decision to prioritize economic development above ideological orthodoxy has led the Party to slowly loosen its former stranglehold on political power. Recognizing that Party cadres often were ill-suited to administering its own policy directives, for instance, the Party created a more powerful and professionalized executive branch in the 1992 constitution. The new constitution also gave more influence to the legislative branch, the National Assembly, in part because the Party realized it needed to make the organs of government more responsive at the grass-roots level. Over the past decade, the Assembly has slowly and subtly increased its influence. In December 2001, constitutional amendments were passed allowing the Assembly to hold no-confidence votes against the government, and to dismiss the president and prime minister (though not the general secretary of the Party).

Rapid economic growth, increased integration with the global economy, and weak domestic institutions have caused a rise in corruption and a decline in the Vietnamese Communist Party's (VCP) authority, alarming many Party hard-liners. As a result, Vietnamese policy-making in the late 1990s was virtually paralyzed, as reformist and conservative elements within the Party battled to a stalemate over how to deal with the major economic and demographic forces transforming the country. The former group called for a steady roll-out of new reforms and increased integration into the global economy. The latter feared that economic reform will lead to the loss of government control over the economic means of production and financial and monetary levers; they also fear the possible infiltration of heterodox outside ideas. Vietnam's consensus-based decision-making style, combined with the absence of any paramount leader, has meant that these divisions produced only piecemeal economic reforms, though implementing the BTA may force more significant changes.

**The Ninth and Tenth Party Congresses.** The decision to sign the BTA appears to have broken the policymaking logjam by fashioning a new consensus in favor of a reformist push that was effectively endorsed by the leadership changes at Ninth Party Congress in April 2001. Vietnamese Communist Party Congresses, which are held every five years, often are the occasions for major leadership realignments and set the direction for Vietnam's economic, diplomatic, and social policies. At its Ninth Party Congress, Vietnam's Communist Party selected **Nong Duc Manh** as its Secretary General, the Party's top post. Manh (b. September 11, 1940) is generally considered to be more moderate than his predecessor, **Le Kha Phieu** (b. December 27, 1931), a conservative who was ousted after a heated struggle. Significantly, Manh's selection was made possible when the Party's Central Committee rejected — an unprecedented move — the Politburo's decision to endorse Phieu

for another term. Manh, the former speaker of Vietnam's National Assembly, is an ethnic Tay, making him the first member of an ethnic minority to head Vietnam's Communist Party. In other significant moves, the Ninth Party Congress reduced the size of the Politburo (from 19 to 15 members), retired seven Politburo members, and shrunk the Central Committee from 170 to 150 members.

Looking ahead to the 10<sup>th</sup> Party Congress in 2006, Vietnam's leadership is trying to confront the problem of how to reverse the Communist Party's declining legitimacy. Attracting new recruits into the Party has become increasingly difficult, particularly among young Vietnamese — a major problem since more than half of the population is under the age of 25. Some prominent retired military leaders, including war hero General Vo Nguyen Giap, have publicly called for the Party to become more democratic. A key issue for the VCP leadership is combating official corruption. Vietnam regularly is ranked near the bottom of surveys of foreign executives on corruption in various countries.

**Unrest in the Central Highlands Region.** Additionally, over the past several years, there have been reports of protests and riots by peasants in the Central Highlands provinces against local government corruption and by ethnic minorities against encroachment on their ancestral lands by recent settlers, many of whom moved under government-sponsored resettlement programs. In February 2001, thousands of minorities, primarily from Montagnard groups, protested in the Central Highlands, the largest-scale social unrest in years. The Vietnamese government dispatched military troops and local police to quell the unrest, and in the spring of 2001 launched a crackdown against the protesters. There are reports that the government has continued its crackdown, and in April 2004, thousands of protesting Montagnards reportedly clashed violently with police and local authorities. Speaking before Vietnam's National Assembly, a deputy prime minister accused the Montagnard Foundation, a U.S.-based group of Montagnard exiles, for organizing the demonstrations, but acknowledged that the government's "inefficiency and weaknesses" have contributed to the continued protests in the Central Highlands. In August 2004, the government reportedly decided it temporarily will stop sending people to resettle in new economic zones in the Central Highlands. There have been reports — denied by Hanoi officials — that the Vietnamese government arrested scores of Montagnard Christians in the Central Highlands in December 2004, at the same time that Cambodia reportedly closed its border to asylum seekers from Vietnam. In February 2005, the government declared it would allow outlawed "house churches" to operate provided they have no connection to FULRO, the United Front for the Liberation of Oppressed Races, the Montagnard guerrilla group that fought alongside the United States during the Vietnam War. A former FULRO leader, Kok Ksor, is the president and founder of the Montagnard Foundation.

**Refugees in Cambodia.** Since 2001, hundreds of Montagnards have crossed into Cambodia, to escape continuing unrest in the Central Highlands region. In 2002, Cambodia accepted an offer from the United States to resettle the more than 900 Montagnards who remained following the 2001 protests and crackdown. Over seven hundred Montagnards have fled to Cambodia since then, particularly after a wave of unrest in April 2004. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) has found the majority of the border-crossers to be refugees and therefore entitled to asylum. While most of these are being resettled in the United States, Canada, or Finland, over thirty have returned to Vietnam following a January 25, 2005 agreement between UNHCR, Cambodia, and Vietnam in which Hanoi agreed that those returning to Vietnam would not be punished, discriminated against,

or prosecuted for fleeing to Cambodia. Vietnam also agreed to drop its refusal to allow UNHCR to monitor the returnees' well-being, though some human rights groups have criticized UNHCR's monitoring visits, as well as its process for screening border crossers in Cambodia. Over 200 individuals, including many who have been recognized as refugees by UNHCR, have refused offers to be resettled in third countries outside Southeast Asia. In the past, Cambodia has been accused of abiding by Vietnamese requests to close its borders and repatriate individuals forcibly.

## Foreign and Defense Policy

For many years, a major impediment to Vietnam's development was the strong international sanctions imposed in response to Vietnam's 1978 invasion and subsequent 11-year occupation of Cambodia. Faced with a cutoff of much aid from the Soviet bloc, the Vietnamese in the early 1990s increased their flexibility on a Cambodian settlement, moved to accommodate China on sensitive issues, and stepped up action on the POW/MIA and other humanitarian issues with the United States. In the mid-1990s, Hanoi continued its "omnidirectional" policy of rejoining the world political community by joining the regional political group, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), the regional security forum, the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF), and the regional economic group, the Asian Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum. An issue that may be considered in the 10<sup>th</sup> Party Congress in 2006 is how much continued utility Vietnam gains from its omnidirectional foreign policy, which has successfully restored cordial relations with the rest of the world, but has left Vietnam without truly warm relations with any one country or grouping of countries.

In recent years, Hanoi has improved ties with many of its neighbors. Most significantly, Vietnam has moved to fully normalize relations with mainland China. Following Chinese Prime Minister Zhu Rongji's four-day visit to Vietnam in early December, for instance, the two sides signed a long-elusive land border treaty on December 30, 1999. In late December 2000, Beijing and Hanoi signed an agreement establishing the two countries' sea border in the Gulf of Tonkin. The two countries, however, still have overlapping claims to the Spratly Island chain in the South China Sea, differences that led to military clashes in the late 1980s. Vietnam reportedly joined other ASEAN countries in opposing China's efforts to make the December 2005 "East Asia Summit" meeting exclusive to ASEAN, China, Japan, and the Koreans. Instead, Australia, New Zealand, and India have been invited to attend.

## LEGISLATION

**H.R. 967 (Saxton).** Prohibits normal trade relations (NTR) treatment from being extended to the products of any country the government of which engages in certain violations of human rights. Introduced February 17, 2005; referred to House committee. Referred to the House Committee on Ways and Means Subcommittee on Trade.

**H.R. 1130 (Waters).** The Jubilee Act of 2005. Provides for the cancellation of debts owed to international financial institutions by poor countries, including Vietnam. Introduced March 3, 2005; referred to the House Committee on Financial Services.

**H.R. 1450 (Tancredo).** Requires additional tariffs be imposed on products of any nonmarket economy country, including Vietnam, until the President certifies to the Congress that the country is a market economy country. Introduced March 17, 2005; referred to the House Committee on Ways and Means.

**H.R. 2601 (C. Smith).** The Foreign Relations Authorization Act of fiscal years 2006 and 2007. Section 946 links increases in non-humanitarian aid to the Vietnamese government above the FY2005 level to Vietnam's human rights situation. The language does not provide for a Presidential waiver. \$2 million is authorized to organizations that promote democracy and human rights in Vietnam. Section 106 authorizes \$9.1 million overcome the jamming of Radio Free Asia by Vietnam. Introduced May 24, 2005; passed by the House July 20, 2005 (351 - 78).

**H.R. 2862 (Wolf).** The Departments of Commerce, State, Justice, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act of 2006. House Appropriations Committee report "urges the Secretary of State to continue to monitor and promote religious freedom and human rights" in Vietnam and expresses support for Radio Free Asia to increase Vietnamese language broadcasts and overcome Vietnam's anti-jamming activities. Introduced June 10, 2005; passed by the House June 16, 2005 (418-7).

**H.R. 3057 (Kolbe).** FY2006 Foreign Operations Act. Senate version directs that \$4 million be made available for programs and activities for the Central Highlands; condemns Cambodia's forcible repatriation of Vietnamese refugees and calls for UNHCR to be permitted to review their cases. Introduced June 24, 2005; passed by the House June 28, 2005 (393-32); passed by the Senate July 20, 2005 (98-1); currently in conference.

**H.R. 3190 (C. Smith).** The Vietnam Human Rights Act of 2005. Bans increases (over FY2005 levels) in non-humanitarian aid to the Vietnamese government if the President does not certify that Vietnam is making "substantial progress" in human rights. Allows the President to waive the cap on aid increases. Authorizes funds to promote democracy in Vietnam and to overcome the jamming of Radio Free Asia. Introduced June 30, 2005; referred to House International Relations Committee.

**H.R. 3283(English)/S. 1421 (Collins).** Amends Tariff Act of 1930 to make countervailing duties applicable to actions by nonmarket economies. H.R. 3283 introduced July 14, 2005; passed by the House July 27, 2005 (255 - 168); referred to Senate Finance Committee. S. 1421 introduced July 19, 2005; referred to Senate Finance Committee.

**H.Res. 415 (Sanchez).** Expresses the sense of the House that Hanoi needs to do more to resolve claims of property reportedly confiscated under the period of communist rule. Introduced July 28, 2005; referred to House International Relations Committee.

**S. 599 (Kerry)/H.R. 2816 (Neal).** Eliminates tariffs on certain types of tuna imported from ASEAN member countries (except Burma). S. 599 introduced March 10, 2005; referred to Senate Committee on Finance. H.R. 2816 introduced June 8, 2005; referred to House Ways and Means Committee.