

# CRS Issue Brief for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

## **Israel: Background and Relations with the United States**

**Updated April 4, 2006**

Carol Migdalovitz  
Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

# CONTENTS

## SUMMARY

## MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

## BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

### Historical Overview of Israel

### Government and Politics

#### Overview

#### Current Political Situation

### Economy

#### Overview

#### Current Issues

### Foreign Policy

#### Middle East

##### Iran

##### Palestinian Authority

##### Egypt

##### Jordan

##### Syria

##### Lebanon

##### Other

#### European Union

### Relations with the United States

#### Overview

#### Issues

##### Peace Process

##### Trade and Investment

##### Aid

##### Security Cooperation

#### Other Current Issues

##### Military Sales

##### Espionage-Related Cases

##### Intellectual Property Protection

#### U.S. Interest Groups

## Israel: Background and Relations with the United States

### SUMMARY

On May 14, 1948, the State of Israel declared its independence and was immediately engaged in a war with all of its neighbors. Armed conflict has marked every decade of Israel's existence. Despite its unstable regional environment, Israel has developed a vibrant parliamentary democracy, albeit with relatively fragile governments.

Prime Minister Ariel Sharon formed a three-party coalition in January 2005 to secure support for withdrawing from the Gaza Strip and four small settlements in the West Bank. In November, however, new Labor party leader Amir Peretz withdrew his party from the government and called for early elections. Sharon then resigned from the Likud party to form a new party, Kadima. On January 4, 2006, Sharon suffered an incapacitating stroke; Deputy Prime Minister Ehud Olmert became Acting Prime Minister. Kadima placed first in the March 28, 2006, Knesset (parliament) election, but it will have to form a coalition government of at least four parties.

Israel has an advanced industrial, market economy in which the government plays a substantial role. The economy has recovered from declines experienced due to the Palestinian *intifadah* (uprising) against Israeli occupation and the international high-tech crash.

Israel's foreign policy is focused largely on its region, Europe, and the United States. The government views Iran as an existential threat due to its nuclear ambitions and support for anti-Israel terrorists. Israel negotiated a series of agreements with the Palestinians in the 1990s, but the Oslo peace process ended in 2000, after the beginning of the *intifadah*. Israeli and Palestinian officials resumed contacts after the November 2004 death of Yasir Arafat. Both sides accepted but have not

implemented the "Roadmap," the international framework for achieving a two-state solution. Israel unilaterally disengaged from Gaza in summer 2005 and is constructing a security barrier to separate from the Palestinians. The victory of the Hamas terrorist group in the January 2006 Palestinian parliamentary elections has complicated Israeli-Palestinian relations and led Israeli officials to propose future unilateral steps in the West Bank. Israel concluded a peace treaty with Egypt in 1979 and with Jordan in 1994, but never reached accords with Syria and Lebanon. It unilaterally withdrew from southern Lebanon in 2000. European countries collectively are Israel's second largest trading partner, and the EU participates in the peace process.

Since 1948, the United States and Israel have developed a close friendship based on common democratic values, religious affinities, and security interests. U.S.-Israeli bilateral relations are multidimensional. The United States is the principal proponent of the Arab-Israeli peace process, but U.S. and Israeli views differ on various peace process issues, such as the fate of the Golan Heights, Jerusalem, and Israeli settlements. The United States and Israel concluded a free-trade agreement in 1985, and the United States is Israel's largest trading partner. Since 1976, Israel has been the largest recipient of U.S. foreign aid. The two countries also have very close security relations.

Current issues in U.S.-Israeli relations include Israel's military sales to China, inadequate Israeli protection of U.S. intellectual property, and espionage-related cases.

See also CRS Issue Brief IB91137, *The Middle East Peace Talks* and CRS Report RL33222, *U.S. Foreign Aid to Israel*.

## MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Acting Prime Minister Ehud Olmert's Kadima Party placed first in the March 28, 2006, Knesset (parliament) election, although by a far smaller margin than had been predicted. Olmert vows to pursue negotiations with the Palestinians, but to proceed with unilateral withdrawals from or "convergence" of settlements in the West Bank to ensure a "Jewish state with a Jewish majority" if nothing develops after a "reasonable time." The new government also is expected to have a vigorous social agenda. President Moshe Katzav is consulting the parties and will probably designate a Member of the Knesset to form a government by April 9. Once designated, an interim prime minister has 28 days with a possible 14-day extension to form a government. The government is then presented to the Knesset for a vote of confidence. Olmert is expected to form a government with at least four, if not more, parties. On April 4, he and Labor Party leader Amir Peretz announced that they had agreed to cooperate in forming a government. (For more on the election, see Government and Politics/Current Political Situation below.)

## BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

### Historical Overview of Israel<sup>1</sup>

The quest for a modern Jewish homeland was launched with the publication of Theodore Herzl's *The Jewish State* in 1896. The following year, Herzl described his vision at the first Zionist Congress, which encouraged Jewish settlement in Palestine, a land that had been the Biblical home of the Jews and was then part of the Ottoman Empire. In 1917, the British government issued the Balfour Declaration, supporting the "establishment in Palestine (which had become a British mandate after World War I) of a national home for the Jewish people." Britain also made conflicting promises to the Arabs concerning the fate of Palestine, which had an overwhelmingly Arab populace. Nonetheless, Jews immigrated to Palestine in ever greater numbers and, following World War II, the plight of Jewish survivors of the Nazi holocaust gave the demand for a Jewish home greater poignancy and urgency. In 1947, the U.N. developed a partition plan to divide Palestine into Jewish and Arab states, with Jerusalem under U.N. administration. The Arab states rejected the plan. On May 14, 1948, the State of Israel proclaimed its independence and was immediately invaded by Arab armies. The conflict ended with armistice agreements between Israel and its neighbors: Egypt, Jordan, Lebanon, and Syria. Israel engaged in armed conflict with some or all of these countries in 1948, 1956, 1967, 1973, and 1982. Since the late 1960's, it also has dealt with the threat of Palestinian terrorism. In 1979, Israel concluded a peace treaty with Egypt, thus making another multi-front war unlikely. Israel's current relations with its neighbors are discussed in "Foreign Policy" below.

---

<sup>1</sup> For more, see Howard M. Sachar, *A History of Israel: From the Rise of Zionism to Our Time*, New York, Knopf, 1996.

## Government and Politics

### Overview

Israel is a parliamentary democracy in which the President is head of state and the Prime Minister is head of government. The unicameral parliament (the Knesset) elects a president for a seven-year term. The incumbent is Moshe Katzav. The Prime Minister is the leader of the party with the most seats in parliament. The Israeli political spectrum is highly fragmented, with small parties exercising disproportionate power due to the low vote threshold for entry into parliament and the need for their numbers to form coalition governments. In the March 2006, election, the threshold to enter parliament will be raised from 1% to 2%, which was intended to bar some smaller parties from parliament but also spurred some to join together for the purpose of overcoming the threshold. National elections must be held at least every four years, but are often held earlier due to difficulties in holding coalitions together. The average life span of an Israeli government is 22 months. The peace process, the role of religion in the state, and political scandals have caused coalitions to break apart or produced early elections.

Israel does not have a constitution. Instead, 11 Basic Laws lay down the rules of government and enumerate fundamental rights; two new Basic Laws are under consideration. On February 2, 2006, the Knesset's Constitution, Law, and Justice Committee approved a draft constitution encompassing existing Basic Laws and a chapter of human rights and basic principles. The three largest parties, Kadima, Likud, and Labor, agree on the need for a constitution, but smaller parties oppose the draft for differing reasons. It may be taken up in the new Knesset. Israel has an independent judiciary, with a system of magistrates courts and district courts topped by a Supreme Court.

There is an active civil society. Some political pressure groups are especially concerned with the peace process, including the Council of Judea, Samaria, and Gaza (Yesha Council), which represents local settler councils and opposes any withdrawal from occupied Arab territories, and Peace Now, which opposes settlements, the security barrier in the West Bank, and seeks territorial compromise. Both groups have U.S. supporters.

### Current Political Situation

Prime Minister Ariel Sharon's plan to disengage from the Gaza Strip and four small West Bank settlements split his Likud Party. In August 2005, Finance Minister "Bibi" Netanyahu resigned from the government to protest disengagement and became a candidate for Likud chairman. In September, Sharon supporters narrowly defeated an effort in the Likud Central Committee by opponents of disengagement to call an early party leadership primary to depose Sharon. On November 7, eight Sharon opponents in Likud joined the opposition to deny Knesset approval of three new Sharon cabinet appointees; the dissidents considered two of the appointments compensation for supporting disengagement.

In a November Labor Party primary, Histadrut labor federation head Amir Peretz defeated acting party leader Shimon Peres and former Infrastructure Minister Benjamin Ben Eliezer. Peretz emphasized the party's need to champion socioeconomic goals such as comprehensive school reform, an increase in the minimum wage to \$1,000 a month, and an

increase in pensions with a guaranteed pension for every citizen, which had been subordinated for the sake of joining Sharon's coalition. On November 20, Labor voted to withdraw from the coalition government, depriving Sharon of his parliamentary majority.

On November 21, Sharon, saying that he was no longer willing to "waste time" dealing with Likud rebels, resigned from the party and founded a new "centrist" party, Kadima (Forward). He asked President Katzav to dissolve parliament and schedule an early election. Some 18 Likud Members of the Knesset (parliament), including several ministers, the chairman of the Likud Central Committee, several Labor MKs, players in other political parties, and prominent personalities joined Kadima. Former Labor leader Peres supported Sharon. Kadima's platform maintains that to secure a Jewish majority in the State of Israel, part of the Land of Israel (the area between the Jordan River and the Mediterranean Sea) will have to be ceded. It affirms a commitment to the Road Map, the international framework for achieving a two-state solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict; Israel would keep settlement blocs, the security barrier, and a united Jerusalem while demarcating permanent borders.

Netanyahu won a Likud primary to replace Sharon as party leader on December 19. Netanyahu called for "defensible walls" against Hamas and borders that would include the Jordan Valley, the Golan Heights, an undivided Jerusalem, settlement blocs, and hilltops, and moving the security barrier eastward.

On January 4, 2006, Sharon suffered an incapacitating stroke. In a peaceful transition under the terms of Basic Law Article 16 (b), Deputy Prime Minister Olmert became Acting Prime Minister. On January 16, Olmert became acting chairman of Kadima.

The victory of Hamas in the January 25 Palestinian parliamentary elections rapidly became an election issue, even though all parties agreed that Israel should not negotiate with Hamas. Olmert set conditions for dealing with Hamas and worked to get foreign governments to agree with them. On March 8, he revealed plans for further unilateral withdrawals from the West Bank and said that he would reallocate funds from settlements to the Negev, the Galilee, and Jerusalem. Netanyahu charged that the unreciprocated, unilateral withdrawal from Gaza had rewarded terrorists and contributed to the Hamas win. He criticized Olmert's plan as a unilateral concession to Hamas that would endanger Israel. Peretz suggested that, although it could not deal with Hamas, Israel must continue a dialogue with moderate Palestinians.

#### **Cabinet Officers\***

Ehud Olmert	Acting Prime Minister; Minister of Finance, Interior, Industry, and Welfare
Tzipi Livni	Foreign Affairs, Justice, and Absorption
Shaul Mofaz	Defense
Gideon Ezra	Internal Security and Environment
Meir Sheetrit	Transport and Education
Abraham Hirschson	Tourism and Communications
Zeev Boim	Housing and Agriculture
Roni Bar-On	Science and Technology and Infrastructure
Yaakov Edri	Health and Development of the Negev and Galilee

\*As of January 18, 2006; all are Kadima.

The March 28, 2006, Knesset election results were surprising in many respects. The voter turnout of 63.2% was the lowest ever. The contest was widely viewed as a referendum on Kadima's plans to disengage from the West Bank, but it also proved to be a vote on economic policies that many believed had harmed the disadvantaged. Kadima came in first, but by a smaller margin than polls had predicted. Labor, emphasizing socioeconomic issues, came in a respectable second. Likud declined sharply from the 40 seats it held before former Prime Minister Ariel Sharon resigned to establish Kadima. This drop was mainly due to the unpopular policies Netanyahu had implemented as Finance Minister, which were blamed for social distress, and to his opposition to unilateral disengagement.

#### Parties in the Knesset

Seats	Party
29	Kadima
19	Labor
12	Likud
12	Shas
11	Yisrael Beiteinu (Our Home Israel)
9	National Union (NU)/National Religious Party (NRP)
7	Pensioners'
6	United Torah Judaism (UTJ)
5	Meretz/Yahad
4	United Arab List/Ta'al
3	Hadash
3	Balad

The Shas campaign specifically aimed at restoring child allowances. Although it opposed disengagements, the party's spiritual leader has made rulings that may give Shas room to accommodate Kadima's plans for the territories. Yisrael Beiteinu (Israel Our Home), a party appealing to Russian-speakers, wants borders that exclude Israeli Arabs and their land and include settlements; it opposes unilateral disengagement and the Road Map international framework for a two-state solution. The rightist NU/NRP drew support from settlers; it opposes further withdrawals from the West Bank, where it believes Jews have a biblical right to settle. The new Pensioners' Party (GIL) drew single-issue voters harmed by Netanyahu's policies as well as young protest voters. Its positions on other issues are not known, but it appears willing to work with Olmert. The ultra-orthodox United Torah Judaism, which was part of the last Sharon government, also is expected to join any future coalition. United Arab List, Hadash, and Balad — Israeli Arab parties — will not be part of a new government, but are expected to passively support any future disengagements.

## Economy

### Overview

Israel has an advanced industrial, market economy in which the government plays a substantial role. Most people enjoy a middle class standard of living. Per capita income is about the same as that in Cyprus, one of the wealthier, new European Union members. Despite limited natural resources, the agricultural and industrial sectors are well-developed. An advanced high tech sector includes aviation, communications, computer-aided design and manufactures, medical electronics, and fiber optics. Israel greatly depends on foreign aid and loans and contributions from the Jewish diaspora. After economic declines in 2001 and 2002 due to the effects of the Palestinian *intifadah* (uprising) on tourism and the bursting of the

global high-tech bubble, Israel's economy has substantially recovered since 2003 and is growing at a pace not seen since the 1990s. Most economic indicators are positive: inflation is low, employment and wages are rising, and the standard of living is rising. Former Finance Minister Netanyahu claims credit for the improvement. Under his leadership, the government attempted to liberalize the economy by controlling government spending, reducing taxes, and resuming privatization of state enterprises. The chronic budget deficit decreased, while the country's international credit rating was raised, enabling a drop in interest rates. Netanyahu's critics, however, suggested that his program of cuts in social spending widened the national income gap and increased the underclass. According to Israel's National Insurance Institute, 20% of all Israelis and 30% of Israeli children live below the poverty line.

Israel has a budget deficit target of 3% of gross domestic product, and the government is allowed by law to raise the annual budget by only 1%. The new government's policies may test these limits, although Olmert has vowed not to increase the deficit while lessening the social gap.

## Current Issues

Sharon named Vice Premier Ehud Olmert as Acting Finance Minister to replace Netanyahu, and Olmert vowed to continue Netanyahu's economic policies.

Because the 2006 budget was not approved before the dissolution of parliament, spending remains at 2005 levels. The new Knesset must approve the 2006 budget within 45 days after a government is formed. Labor and other parties are expected to push for increased spending on social programs.

Basic Facts	
Population	6,276,883 (2005.)
Population Growth Rate	1.2% (2005 est.)
Ethnic Groups	— Jewish 80.1% (1996)
	— non-Jewish (mostly Arab) 19.9% (1996)*
GDP Growth Rate	5.2 (2005 est.)
GDP Per Capita	\$22,200 (2005 est.)
Inflation Rate	1.3% (2005 est.)
Unemployment Rate	8.9% (2005 est.)
Ratio of debt to GDP	101% (2005 est.)
Foreign Debt	\$74.46 billion (2004 est.)
Imports	crude oil, grains, raw materials, military equipment
Exports	cut diamonds, high-technology equipment, fruits and vegetables
Main Trading Partners	United States, Belgium, Germany, United Kingdom
<b>Sources:</b> CIA, <i>The World Factbook</i> , January 2006; and the Israeli government.	
*Within 1967 borders.	



## Foreign Policy

### Middle East

**Iran.** Israeli officials state that Iran will pose an existential threat to Israel if it achieves nuclear capability. Ayatollah Khomeini, founder of Iran's Islamic revolution, decreed that the elimination of Israel is a religious duty. His disciple, President Mahmud Ahmadinejad, quoted Khomeini when he called for Israel to be "wiped off the map;" has described the Holocaust as a "myth" used as a pretext to create an "artificial Zionist regime"; and suggested that Europe, the United States, or Canada donate land for a Jewish state. Iran has a missile, the Shahab-3, capable of delivering a warhead to Israel. Israeli officials have called on the international community to thwart Iran's nuclear ambitions in order to avert the need for Israel to act as against Iraq's reactor at Osirak in 1981. When U.S. Vice President Dick Cheney warned in early 2005 that Israel might act pre-emptively against Iran, Israeli Defense Minister Shaul Mofaz countered, urging a U.S. pre-emptive strike. Israeli officials may not assess the situation as sufficiently pressing to warrant a military strike in the near term because Iran will probably not be able to produce a nuclear bomb until 2008 to 2012. Israel itself has nuclear weapons, and the prospect of a counterattack is seen by many as a deterrent against an Iranian attack. On January 17, 2006, Acting Prime Minister Olmert said, "Under no circumstances ... will Israel permit anyone who harbors evil intentions against us to possess destructive weapons that can threaten our existence." He added, "Israel acted, and will continue to act, in cooperation and consultation with ... international elements." Meanwhile, Mofaz reiterated, "Israel will not be able to accept an Iranian nuclear capability and it must have the capability to defend itself, with all that that implies, and this is what we are preparing." On February 1, President Bush said that the United States would defend Israel militarily. There is no formal treaty obligation to do so. (See "Security Cooperation" below.).

Iran also provides financial, political, and/or military support to Hizballah, Hamas, Palestinian Islamic Jihad (PIJ), the Al Aqsa Martyrs' Brigades, and the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine-General Command — Palestinian terrorist groups that seek to obstruct the peace process and destroy Israel. It has compensated families of suicide bombers. In January 2006, Israeli Defense Minister Mofaz charged that Iran had financed a PIJ suicide bombing in Tel Aviv.

**Palestinian Authority.** During the Oslo peace process of the 1990's, Israelis and Palestinians negotiated a series of agreements that resulted in the creation of a Palestinian administration with territorial control over parts of the West Bank and Gaza Strip. After Sharon came to power and during the *intifadah*, Israel refused to deal with the late Palestinian leader Yasir Arafat. After Arafat's death in November 2004 and the election of Mahmud Abbas as President of the Palestinian Authority (PA) in January 2005, Israel's relations with the PA and its leaders improved somewhat. Sharon and Abbas met at a summit in Sharm al-Shaykh, Egypt, in February, and promised to end violence and to take other measures. Israel made some goodwill gestures toward the PA, and President Abbas and 13 Palestinian factions agreed to an informal truce. After June 2005, however, Sharon and Abbas did not meet. Although Israeli officials described the disengagement from the Gaza Strip as unilateral, they met with Palestinian counterparts to coordinate aspects of implementation of the disengagement plan, notably security and disposition of assets.

Israel still has 242 settlements, other civilian land use sites, and more than 100 unauthorized settlement outposts in the West Bank and 29 settlements in East Jerusalem — all areas that the Palestinians view as part of their future state. Israel retains military control over the West Bank and is continuing to build a security barrier on West Bank territory to separate Israelis and Palestinians and prevent terrorists from entering Israel. Palestinians object to the barrier being built on their territory. Critics suggest that the barrier is taking the form of a future border between Israel and Palestine and also is intended to cut the Palestinians off from East Jerusalem.

The Israeli government accepted the Roadmap, the framework for a peace process leading to a two-state solution, developed by the United States, European Union, U.N., and Russia, reluctantly and with many conditions. Sharon contended that the Roadmap requires that the PA first fight terror, by which he meant disarm militants and dismantle their infrastructure. Abbas preferred to include terrorist groups such as Hamas in the political system and refused to disarm them prior to the January 2006 Palestinian parliamentary elections. Hamas's victory in those elections creates policy dilemmas for Israel and the international community. Israel has demanded that Hamas abrogate its Covenant that calls for the destruction of Israel, disarm and disavow terrorism, and accept all prior agreements with Israel as preconditions for relations with a Hamas-led PA. As noted above, Acting Prime Minister Ehud Olmert has said that he prefers negotiations according to the Roadmap formula. He has also declared that if they do not occur, then he will proceed with a plan to unilaterally withdraw from part of the West Bank and “converge” isolated settlements into large settlement blocs.

**Egypt.** After fighting four wars in as many decades, Israel and Egypt signed a peace treaty in 1979. In 1982, Israel withdrew from the Sinai Peninsula, which it had taken in the 1967 war. Egypt and Israel established diplomatic relations, although Egypt withdrew its ambassador during the four years of the second *intifadah*, 2001-2005, because it objected to Israel's “excessive” use of force against the Palestinians. Some Israelis refer to their ties with Egypt as a “cold peace” because full normalization of relations, such as enhanced trade, bilateral tourism, and educational exchanges, has not materialized. Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak has visited Israel only once — for the funeral of former Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin. Outreach is often one way, from Israel to Egypt. Egyptians say that they are reluctant to engage because of Israel's continuing occupation of Arab lands. Israelis are upset by some Egyptian media and religious figures' anti-Israeli and occasionally anti-Semitic rhetoric. Nonetheless, the Egyptian government often plays a constructive role in the Arab-Israeli peace process, hosting meetings and acting as a liaison. In March 2005, it helped secure the informal Palestinian truce and, in July, tried to prevent the truce from breaking due to violence between Palestinian factions and Israel and between Palestinian Authority security forces and the factions. Egypt deployed 750 border guards to secure the Gaza-Egyptian border (14 kilometers of land border and 3 km of sea) after Israel's disengagement from Gaza. After one year, the two sides will jointly evaluate the mission. Thus far, Israeli officials have expressed satisfaction with Egypt's monitoring of the border. Israel refused an Egyptian request to deploy military border guards, instead of police, for greater control of smuggling along the entire border in Sinai, which some Israelis argue would require a change in the military appendix of the 1979 peace treaty. After the January 2006, Hamas election victory, Egyptian officials expressed sympathy with Israel's positions

In December 2004, Egypt and Israel signed a Qualified Industrial Zone (QIZ) Agreement under which jointly produced goods will enter the U.S. market duty free as part of the U.S.-Israeli Free Trade Agreement (FTA). On June 30, 2005, Israel signed a memorandum of understanding to buy 1.7 billion cubic feet of Egyptian natural gas for an estimated U.S.\$2.5 billion over 15 years, fulfilling a commitment first made in an addendum to the 1979 peace treaty. The deal includes cooperation in construction of the infrastructure and may expand to other energy areas. Gas is not expected to flow before 2007. (See also CRS Report RL33003, *Egypt: Background and U.S. Relations*, by Jeremy Sharp.)

**Jordan.** Israel and Jordan signed a peace treaty in October 1994 and exchanged ambassadors, although Jordan did not have an ambassador in Israel during most of the intifadah. Relations have developed with trade, cultural exchanges, and water-sharing agreements. Since 1997, Jordan and Israel have collaborated in creating 13 qualified industrial zones (QIZs) to export jointly produced goods to the United States duty-free under the U.S.-Israel Free Trade Agreement (FTA), although Jordanian companies are now said to prefer arrangements under the U.S.-Jordan FTA over the QIZ. Normalization of ties is not popular with the Jordanian people, over half of whom are of Palestinian origin, although King Abdullah II has attempted to control media and organizations opposed to normalization. The King is very supportive of the peace process, wants the Roadmap to be implemented, and has hosted meetings between Israeli and Palestinian leaders. He offered to deploy the Palestinian Badr Brigade from Jordan to the West Bank to assist with security, but Israel rejected the offer. On August 3, 2005, in Jordan, Defense Minister Mofaz said, however, that Israel would agree to allow the Brigade to train Palestinians in the West Bank. (See also CRS Issue Brief IB93085, *Jordan: U.S. Relations and Bilateral Issues*, by Alfred Prados; and CRS Report RS22002, *Qualifying Industrial Zones in Jordan: A Model for Promoting Peace and Development in the Middle East?* by Mary Jane Bolle, et al.)

**Syria.** Israel and Syria have fought several wars and, except for rare breaches, have maintained a military truce along their border for many years. Yet, they failed to reach a peace agreement in negotiations that ended in 2000. Since 1967, Israel has occupied Syria's Golan Heights and, in December 1981, effectively annexed it by applying Israeli law there. There are 42 Israeli settlements on the Golan. Syrian President Bashar al-Asad has said that he wants to hold peace talks with Israel, but Israeli officials demand that he first cease supporting the Lebanese Hizballah militia, which attacks Israeli forces in the disputed Sheba'a Farms area of Lebanon and communities in northern Israel and aids Palestinian militant groups. In addition, they want Asad to expel Palestinian rejectionist groups (i.e., those who do not agree with the Israeli-Palestinian peace process). Sharon said that the Golan is essential for Israel's security and discussion of withdrawal would be a mistake. (See also CRS Issue Brief IB92075, *Syria: U.S. Relations and Bilateral Issues*, by Alfred Prados.)

Since Syria was implicated in the February 2005 assassination of former Lebanese Prime Minister Rafiq Hariri, international pressure on the Asad regime has mounted. Israeli officials have said that Israel is not interested in the fall of the regime, only in changing its policies. Some reportedly fear that anarchy or extreme Islamist elements might follow Asad and prefer him to stay in power in a weakened state. On December 1, Prime Minister Sharon said that nothing should be done to ease U.S. and French pressure on Syria, implying that Syrian-Israeli peace talks would do that. Olmert has indicated that talks with Syria are not on his agenda.

**Lebanon.** Israeli forces invaded Lebanon in 1982 to prevent Palestinian attacks on northern Israel. The forces were gradually withdrawn to a self-declared nine-mile “security zone,” north of the Israeli border. Peace talks in the 1990’s failed to produce a peace treaty, mainly, as some observers suggest, because of Syria’s insistence that it first reach an accord with Israel. Israel unilaterally withdrew from southern Lebanon on May 25, 2000. Lebanon insists that the Israeli withdrawal is incomplete because of the continuing presence of Israeli forces in the Sheba’a Farms area, in the region where Lebanon, Syria, and Israel meet. The United Nations has said that Israel’s withdrawal from Lebanon was complete and treats the Sheba’a Farms as part of Syria’s Golan occupied by Israel. Hizballah took control of the former “security zone,” and attacks Israeli forces in Sheba’a and northern Israeli communities. Al Qaeda in Iraq’s claim of responsibility for firing rockets from Lebanon into northern Israel in December 2005 has not been confirmed. Israeli officials questioned whether Al Qaeda could act there without Hizballah knowledge and approval. The Lebanese government considers Hizballah to be a legitimate resistance group and a political party represented in parliament. Israel views it as a terrorist group and wants the Lebanese army to move into the south and to disarm Hizballah. (See also CRS Issue Brief IB89118, *Lebanon*; and CRS Report RL31078, *The Shib’a Farms Dispute and Its Implications*, both by Alfred Prados.)

**Other.** Aside from Egypt and Jordan, Israel has diplomatic relations with the majority-Muslim governments of Mauritania and Turkey and has had interest or trade offices in Morocco, Tunisia, Oman, and Qatar. The latter four suspended relations with Israel during the intifadah. Foreign Minister Shalom had predicted that relations with Arab and Muslim countries would improve due to Israel’s disengagement from Gaza. The first diplomatic breakthrough was his September 1 meeting in Istanbul with the Pakistani foreign minister, although Pakistani officials asserted that they will not recognize Israel until after the establishment of an independent Palestinian state. On September 14, 2005, Pakistan’s President Musharraf shook Prime Minister Sharon’s hand in a “chance” meeting at the U.N. summit in New York. Pakistan agreed to accept Israeli humanitarian aid after a devastating earthquake in October. Shalom met the Indonesian, Qatari, Algerian, Moroccan, and Tunisian foreign ministers in New York. Also in September, Bahrain ended its economic boycott of Israel, a move required by the World Trade Organization and the Bahrain-U.S. Free Trade Agreement. Bahrain has vowed, however, not to normalize relations. In September, Tunisian President Zine El Abidine Ben Ali sent a personal letter to Sharon, praising his “courageous” withdrawal from Gaza. Shalom attended the World Summit on the Information Society in Tunisia in November.

## European Union

Israel has complex relations with the European Union. Many Europeans believe that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict is a root cause of terrorism and Islamist extremism among their own Muslim populations and want it addressed urgently. The EU has ambitions to exert greater influence in the Middle East peace process. The EU is a member of the “Quartet” with the United States, U.N., and Russia which developed the Roadmap. EU officials appear to share Palestinian suspicions that Sharon’s disengagement plan means “Gaza first, Gaza only” and that it will not lead to the Roadmap process. They observe, with concern, Israel’s ongoing settlement activity and construction of the security barrier in the West Bank, which, according to the Europeans, contravene the Roadmap and prejudice negotiations on borders. Israel has been cool to EU overtures because it views many Europeans as biased in favor of the Palestinians and hears an increasing number of European voices questioning the legitimacy

of the State of Israel. Some Israelis contend that the basis of such views is an underlying European anti-Semitism. Nonetheless, in November 2005, Israel agreed to allow the EU to maintain a Border Assistance Mission (EU-BAM) to monitor the reopened Rafah crossing between the Gaza Strip and Egypt.

Some EU representatives have met local Hamas leaders elected in December 2004 in order to oversee EU-funded local projects. The EU also authorized its monitoring mission for the January 2006 Palestinian parliamentary elections to contact the full range of candidates, including Hamas, in order to carry out its task. EU officials have said, however, that Hamas will remain on the EU terror list until it commits to using nonviolent means to solve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Israel also demands that the EU include Hizballah on its list of terrorist organizations and has protested meetings between European ambassadors and the Hizballah minister in the Lebanese cabinet.

Israel participates in the EU's Euro-Mediterranean Partnership Initiative, otherwise known as the Barcelona Process, and in the European Neighborhood Policy (ENP). And European countries combined are Israel's second largest trading partner, after the United States, but the EU bans imports from Israeli settlements in the occupied territories. (See CRS Report RL31956, *European Views and Policies Toward the Middle East*, by Kristin Archick.)

## Relations with the United States

### Overview

On May 14, 1948, the United States became the first country to extend de facto recognition to the State of Israel. Over the years, the United States and Israel have developed a close friendship based on common democratic values, religious affinities, and security interests. Relations have been evolved through legislation, memorandums of understanding, economic, scientific, military agreements, and trade.

### Issues

**Peace Process.** The United States has been the principal international proponent of the Arab-Israeli peace process. President Jimmy Carter mediated the Israeli-Egyptian talks at Camp David which resulted in the 1979 peace treaty. President George H.W. Bush convened the peace conference in Madrid in 1990 that inaugurated a decade of unprecedented, simultaneous negotiations between Israel, Jordan, Syria, Lebanon, and the Palestinians. President Clinton continued U.S. activism throughout his tenure in office, facilitated the Israeli-Jordanian peace treaty in 1994, and hosted the Israeli-Palestinian summit at Camp David in 2000 that failed to reach a peace settlement.

Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice has not named a Special Middle East Envoy and said that she would not get involved in direct Israeli-Palestinian negotiations of issues and preferred to have the Israelis and Palestinians work together. However, she has traveled to the region several times and personally mediated an accord to secure the reopening the Rafah crossing between Gaza and Egypt in November 2005. The Administration supported Israel's disengagement from Gaza mainly as a way to return to the Road Map process to achieve a

solution based on two states, Israel and Palestine, living side by side in peace and security. Some Israelis criticized U.S. insistence that the Palestinian elections proceed in January 2006, with Hamas's participation, which produced the Hamas-led government. The Administration now agrees with Israel's preconditions for dealing with that government.

Olmert has said that he would seek U.S. support for moves to determine Israel's permanent borders. On March 30, 2006, Secretary Rice responded, saying "I wouldn't on the face of it just say absolutely we don't think there's any value in what the Israelis are talking about."

All recent U.S. Administrations have disapproved of Israel's settlement activity as prejudging final status and possibly preventing the emergence of a contiguous Palestinian state. On April 14, 2004, however President Bush noted the need to take into account changed "realities on the ground, including already existing major Israeli population center," (i.e., settlements), asserting "it is unrealistic to expect that the outcome of final status negotiations will be full and complete return to the armistice lines of 1949." He later emphasized that it was a subject for negotiations between the parties.

At times of violence, U.S. officials have urged Israel not use disproportionate force and to withdraw as rapidly as possible from Palestinian areas retaken in security operations. The current Bush Administration has insisted that U.N. Security Council resolutions be "balanced," by criticizing Palestinian as well as Israeli violence and has vetoed resolutions which do not meet that standard.

Since taking East Jerusalem in the 1967 war, Israel has insisted that Jerusalem is its indivisible, eternal capital. Few countries have agreed with this position. The U.N.'s 1947 partition plan called for the internationalization of Jerusalem, while the Declaration of Principles signed by Israel and the Palestine Liberation Organization in September 1993 says that it is a subject for permanent status negotiations. U.S. Administrations have recognized that Jerusalem's status is unresolved by keeping the U.S. Embassy in Tel Aviv. However, in 1995, both houses of Congress mandated that the embassy be moved to Jerusalem, and only a series of presidential waivers of penalties for non-compliance have delayed that event. U.S. legislation has granted Jerusalem status as a capital in particular instances and sought to prevent U.S. official recognition of Palestinian claims to the city. The failure of the State Department to follow congressional guidance on Jerusalem prompted a response in H.R. 2601, the Foreign Relations Authorization bill, passed in the House on July 20, 2005. (See also CRS Issue Brief IB91137, *The Middle East Peace Talks*, by Carol Migdalovitz; and CRS Report RL33000, *Foreign Relations Authorization, FY2006 and FY2007: An Overview*, by Susan Epstein, coordinator.)

The United States has never recognized Israel's annexation of the Golan Heights which it views as a violation of international law. The current administration has not attempted to revive Israeli-Syrian peace talks.

**Trade and Investment.** Israel and the United States concluded a Free Trade Agreement in 1985, and all customs duties between the two trading partners have since been eliminated. The FTA includes provisions that protect both countries' more sensitive agricultural sub-sectors with non-tariff barriers, including import bans, quotas, and fees. Israeli exports to the United States have grown 200% since the FTA became effective. As

noted above, qualified industrial zones in Jordan and Egypt are considered to be part of the U.S.-Israeli free trade area. The United States is Israel's main trading partner, while Israel ranks about 20<sup>th</sup> among U.S. trading partners. In 2005, the United States imported \$23.8 million in goods from Israel and exported \$27.1 million in goods to Israel.

Israel also encourages U.S. investment. In July 2005, the U.S. microchip manufacturer Intel announced that it would invest \$4.6 billion in its Israeli branch — the largest single foreign investment in the history of Israel. Israel will provide Intel with a grant of 15% of an investment of up to \$3.5 billion or \$525 million to secure the deal.

**Aid.** Israel has been the largest recipient of U.S. foreign aid since 1976. In 1998, Israeli, congressional, and Administration officials agreed to reduce U.S. \$1.2 billion in Economic Support Funds (ESF) to zero over ten years, while increasing Foreign Military Financing (FMF) from \$1.8 billion to \$2.4 billion. The process began in FY1999, with P.L. 105-277, October 21, 1998. Separately from the scheduled ESF cuts, Israeli has received an extra \$1.2 billion to fund implementation of the Wye agreement (part of the Israeli-Palestinian peace process) in FY2000, \$200 million in anti-terror assistance in FY2002, and \$1 billion in FMF in the supplemental appropriations bill for FY2003. P.L. 109-102, November 14, 2005, the Foreign Operations Appropriations Act, 2006, provided \$240 million in ESF, \$2.28 billion in FMF, and \$40 million for the settlement of migrants to Israel. For FY2007, the Administration has requested \$120 million in ESF, \$2.34 billion in FMF, and \$40 million for migrants.

On July 11, 2005, Israeli press reported that Israel was requesting about \$2.25 billion in special aid in a mix of grants and loan guarantees over four years, with one-third to be used to relocate military bases to Israel in the disengagement from Gaza and the rest to develop the Negev and Galilee regions and for other purposes, but none to help compensate settlers or for other civilian aspects of the disengagement. Preliminary discussions were held but no formal request was presented to Congress. In light of the costs of Hurricane Katrina, Olmert said that the request was postponed. On November 15, an Israeli online business news source reported that talks had resumed on a \$1.2 billion aid package for the Negev and Galilee; \$800 million for military aspects of disengagement had been deleted from the request after a negative U.S. response. In January 2006, Shimon Peres reportedly renewed the request in a meeting with Secretary Rice. No aid for these purposes was appropriated in the FY2005 supplemental or the FY2006 appropriations.

Congress has legislated other special provisions regarding aid to Israel. Since the 1980s, ESF and FMF have been provided as all grant cash transfers, not designated for particular projects, transferred as a lump sum in the first month of the fiscal year, instead of in periodic increments. Israel is allowed to spend about one-quarter of the military aid for the procurement in Israel of defense articles and services, including research and development, rather than in the United States. Finally, to help Israel out of its economic slump, P.L. 108-11, April 16, 2003, provided \$9 billion in loan guarantees over three years, use of which has since been extended to 2008. As of July 2005, Israel had not used \$4.9 billion of the guarantees. (See also CRS Report RL33222, *U.S. Foreign Aid to Israel*, by Jeremy Sharp.)

**Security Cooperation.** Although Israel is frequently referred to as an ally of the United States, there is no mutual defense agreement between the two countries. On November 30, 1981, U.S. Secretary of Defense Caspar Weinberger and Israeli Minister of Defense Ariel

Sharon signed a memorandum of understanding (MOU), establishing a framework for continued consultation and cooperation to enhance the national security of both countries. In November 1983, the two sides formed a Joint Political Military Group, (JPMG) which meets twice a year, to implement most provisions of the MOU. Joint air and sea military exercises began in June 1984, and the United States has constructed facilities to stockpile military equipment in Israel. In 2001, an annual interagency strategic dialogue, including representatives of diplomatic, defense, and intelligence establishments, was created to discuss long-term issues. In 2003, reportedly at the U.S. initiative due to bilateral tensions related to Israeli arms sales to China, the talks ceased. (See *Military Sales*, below.) After the issue was resolved, the strategic dialogue resumed at the State Department on November 28, 2005, and reportedly focused on Syria and democratization in the Arab world. On January 11, 2006, the JPMG convened in Tel Aviv also for the first time since 2003.

On May 6, 1986, Israel and the United States signed an agreement (the contents of which are secret) for Israeli participation in the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI/"Star Wars"). Under SDI, Israel is developing the "Arrow" anti-ballistic missile with a U.S. financial contribution so far of more than \$1 billion and increasing annually. The missile has tested successfully, most recently on December 2, 2005, when it shot down a missile simulating an Iranian Shahab-3 that can be armed with nuclear warheads and reach Israel. P.L. 109-148, December 30, 2005, the Defense Appropriations Act, Section 8088, provides \$132,866,000 for the Arrow program: \$60,250,000 is earmarked for missile component co-production, and \$100,000,000 is earmarked for a joint feasibility study on a Short Range Ballistic Missile Defense initiative.

In 1988, under the terms of Sec. 517 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, Israel was designated a "major non-NATO ally," affording it preferential treatment in bidding for U.S. defense contracts and access to expanded weapons systems at lower prices. Israel participates in NATO's Mediterranean Dialogue, its Istanbul Cooperative Initiative, and in Operation Active Endeavor monitoring the Mediterranean Sea to thwart terrorism.

## Other Current Issues

**Military Sales.** Israel accounts for about 10% of the world's defense exports, totaling \$3.5 billion in 2004. The United States and Israel have regularly discussed Israel's sale of sensitive security equipment and technology to various countries, especially China. Israel reportedly is China's second major arms supplier, after Russia.<sup>2</sup> U.S. administrations believe that such sales are potentially harmful to the security of U.S. forces in Asia. In 2000, the United States persuaded Israel to cancel the sale of the Phalcon, an advanced, airborne early-warning system, to China. The U.S. Department of Defense was angered by Israel's agreement to upgrade Harpy Killer unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) that it sold to China in 1999. China tested the weapon over the Taiwan Strait in 2004. The Department suspended technological cooperation with the Israel Air Force on the future F-35 Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft as well as several other cooperative programs, held up shipments of some military equipment, and refused to communicate with Israeli Defense Ministry Director General Amos Yaron, whom Pentagon officials believed misled them about the Harpy deal.

---

<sup>2</sup> Ron Kampeas, "Israel-U.S. Dispute on Arms Sales to China Threatens to Snowball," *Jewish Telegraphic Agency*, June 8, 2005, citing a U.S.-China Economic and Security Review 2004 report.



On August 17, 2005, the U.S. DOD and the Israeli Ministry of Defense issued a joint press statement reporting that they had signed an understanding “designed to remedy problems of the past that seriously affected the technology security relationship and to restore confidence in the technology security area. In the coming months additional steps will be taken to restore confidence fully.” According to the Israeli newspaper *Ha’aretz*, Israel will continue to voluntarily adhere to the Wassenaar Arrangement on Export Controls for Conventional Arms and Dual-Use Goods and Technologies, without actually being a party to it. Defense Ministry Director General Yaron retired in September. On November 4, during a visit to Washington, Defense Minister Mofaz announced that Israel would again participate in the F-35 JSF project and that the crisis in relations was over. In March 2006, Defense Ministry Director General Jacob Toren said that an interagency process had begun approving marketing licenses for Israeli firms to sell selected dual-use items and services to China, primarily for the 2008 Olympic Games, on a case-by-case basis.

On October 21, 2005, it was reported that Israel will freeze or cancel a deal to upgrade 22 Venezuelan Air Force F-16 fighter jets, with some U.S. parts and technology. The Israeli government had requested U.S. permission to proceed, but it has not been granted.

**Espionage-Related Cases.** In November 1985, Jonathan Pollard, a civilian U.S. naval intelligence employee, and his wife were charged with selling classified documents to Israel. Four Israeli officials also were indicted. The Israeli government claimed that it was a rogue operation. Pollard was sentenced to life in prison and his wife to two consecutive five-year terms. She was released in 1990, moved to Israel, and divorced Pollard. Israelis complain that Pollard received an excessively harsh sentence. Israel granted him citizenship in 1996, and he remains a cause celebre in Israel. Israeli officials repeatedly raise the Pollard case with U.S. counterparts, but no formal request for clemency is pending. Pollard’s Mossad handler Rafi Eitan, now 79 years old, recently emerged as head of the new Pensioners’ Party. (See CRS Report RS20001, *Jonathan Pollard: Background and Considerations for Presidential Clemency*, by Richard Best and Clyde Mark.)

On June 13, 2005, U.S. Department of Defense analyst Lawrence Franklin was indicted for the unauthorized disclosure of classified information (about Iran) to a foreign diplomat. Press reports named Na’or Gil’on, a political counselor at the Israeli Embassy in Washington, as the diplomat. Gil’on has not been accused of wrongdoing and returned to Israel. Foreign Minister Shalom strongly denied that Israel was involved in any activity that could harm the United States, and Israel’s Ambassador to the United States Daniel Ayalon declared that “Israel does not spy on the United States.” Franklin had been charged earlier on related counts of conspiracy to communicate and disclose national defense information to persons not entitled to receive it. On August 4, two former officials of the American Israel Political Action Committee (AIPAC), Steven J. Rosen and Keith Weissman, whom AIPAC fired in April, were identified as “persons” and indicted for their parts in the conspiracy. Both denied wrongdoing. On October 24, their attorneys asked the court to summon Israeli diplomats to Washington for testimony. On January 20, 2006, Franklin was sentenced to 12 years, 7 months in prison. Rosen and Weissman are the first nongovernment employees indicted under the 1917 Espionage Act for receiving classified information orally and argue that they were exercising free speech protected by the First Amendment.

**Intellectual Property Protection.** The “Special 301” provisions of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, require the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) to identify countries

which deny adequate and effective protection of intellectual property rights. In April 2005, Israel was elevated from the “Watch List” to the “Priority Watch List” because the USTR determined that it had an “inadequate data protection regime” and intended to pass legislation to weaken patent term adjustments. The USTR singled out for concern U.S. biotechnology firms’ problems in Israel and a persistent piracy affecting the U.S. copyright industry. Israeli officials protested the USTR decision, saying that Israel is acting energetically against violations of intellectual property and cannot be compared to others on the list, such as India, China, Russia, Egypt, Brazil, and Argentina. In November 2005, U.S. Ambassador to Israel Richard H. Jones urged the Knesset to put Israel in line with Organizations for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries with copyright law. (Joining the OECD is an important Israeli foreign policy goal.) On December 15, at a World Trade Organization conference in Hong Kong, then-Minister of Industry Olmert and USTR Rob Portman agreed to negotiations on the issue.

On March 31, 2006, the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA) asked the USTR to keep Israel out of the OECD, alleging that Israel does not provide adequate intellectual property protection for U.S. pharmaceutical companies operating in Israel. PhRMA also asked that Israel be downgraded to “Priority Foreign Country” or worst offender category in the annual list to be issued this month.

## **U.S. Interest Groups**

An array of interest groups has varying views regarding Israel and the peace process. Some are noted below with links to their websites for information on their policy positions.

American Israel Public Affairs Committee: [<http://www.aipac.org/>]

American Jewish Committee:  
[<http://www.ajc.org/site/c.ijITI2PHKoG/b.685761/k.CB97/Home.htm>]

American Jewish Congress: [<http://www.ajcongress.org/>]

Americans for Peace Now: [<http://www.peacenow.org/>]

Anti-Defamation League: [<http://www.adl.org/>]

Conference of Presidents of Major Jewish Organizations:  
[<http://www.conferenceofpresidents.org/>]

The Israel Project:  
[<http://www.theisraelproject.org/site/c.hsJPK0PIJpH/b.672581/k.CB99/Home.htm>]

Israel Policy Forum: [<http://www.israelpolicyforum.org/>]

New Israel Fund: [<http://www.nif.org/>]

Zionist Organization of America: [<http://www.zoa.org/>]

**Figure 1. Map of Israel**

Source: Map Resources. Adapted by CRS. (K.Yancey 6/29/05).