

# Interstate Shipment of Municipal Solid Waste: 2007 Update

### James E. McCarthy

Specialist in Environmental Policy

June 13, 2007

Congressional Research Service 7-5700 www.crs.gov RL34043

## Summary

This report, which replaces a 2004 report on the same subject (CRS Report RL32570, *Interstate Shipment of Municipal Solid Waste: 2004 Update*), provides updated information on interstate shipment of municipal solid waste (MSW). Since the late 1980s, Congress has considered, but not enacted, numerous bills that would allow states to impose restrictions on interstate waste shipments, a step the Constitution prohibits in the absence of congressional authorization. Over this period, there has been a continuing interest in knowing how much waste is being shipped across state lines for disposal, and what states might be affected by proposed legislation. This report provides data useful in addressing these questions. It generally presents data as of 2005.

Total interstate waste shipments continue to rise due to the closure of older local landfills and the consolidation of the waste management industry. More than 42 million tons of municipal solid waste crossed state lines for disposal in 2005, an increase of 8% over 2003. Waste imports have grown significantly since CRS began tracking them in the early 1990s, and now represent 25.3% of the municipal solid waste disposed at landfills and waste combustion facilities. In the last 10 years, reported imports have increased 147%.

Pennsylvania remains the largest waste importer. The state received more than 7.9 million tons of MSW and 1.7 million tons of other non-hazardous waste from out of state in 2005. Most of this waste came from New Jersey and New York. Pennsylvania's waste imports represented 19% of the national total. Virginia and Michigan, the second and third largest importers, received 5.7 million tons and 5.4 million tons from out of state respectively in 2005, each of them about 30% less than the amount received by Pennsylvania.

With the exception of Pennsylvania, each of the 15 largest importers showed an increase in waste imports, compared to our last survey, which provided data as of 2003. Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin showed particularly large increases, with Ohio, New York, Oregon, and Georgia also increasing substantially. In each of these states, waste imports increased by 300,000 tons or more, in some cases substantially more. In all, 30 states had increased imports in the current report, and 11 states reported imports that exceeded 1 million tons.

While waste imports increased overall, Pennsylvania, the leading importer, reported a sharp decline in imports. Pennsylvania's imports fell for the fourth year in a row: about 2.7 million fewer tons of out-of-state MSW were received at Pennsylvania landfills in 2005 than in 2001. Factors causing this decline included the imposition of an additional \$4.00 per ton state fee on waste disposal and the absence of rail service at Pennsylvania landfills.

New York remains the largest *exporter* of waste, with New Jersey in second place. Nine other states (Illinois, Missouri, Maryland, Massachusetts, Washington, Minnesota, North Carolina, Indiana, and Florida), the District of Columbia, and the Canadian province of Ontario also exported more than 1 million tons each.

## Contents

Introduction	1
Total Shipments	2
Waste Import Highlights	9
Major Exporters	11
Net Imports and Exports	
Additional Information	12

### Figures

Figure 1. Imports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year, in Tons	. 3
Figure 2. Exports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year, in Tons	. 3

### Tables

Table 1. Imports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year	. 4
Table 2. Exports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year	. 5
Table 3. Net Imports/Exports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year	. 7
Table 4. Amount and Destination of Exported MSW, and Amount and Sources of         Imported MSW, by State	14

### Contacts

thor Contact Information
--------------------------

## Introduction

This report provides updated information on interstate shipment of municipal solid waste (MSW). Concerned about increased waste imports, some states have attempted to regulate this commerce, by imposing barriers or requirements specific to waste importation; federal courts, however, have declared such state restrictions unconstitutional. If states are to have such authority, these decisions say, congressional action is required.

Since the late 1980s, Congress has considered, but not enacted, numerous bills that would grant such authority.<sup>1</sup> Over this period, there has been a continuing interest in knowing how much waste is being shipped across state lines for disposal, and what states might be affected by proposed legislation. This report provides data useful in addressing these questions. It updates information provided in earlier CRS reports.<sup>2</sup>

The report presents information gathered through telephone contacts with solid waste officials in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Canadian province of Ontario (which ships large quantities of waste to the United States, principally to Michigan). The data obtained from these contacts are summarized in **Tables 1, 2,** and **3**, and **Figures 1** and **2**. **Table 4** presents additional information, including the names and telephone numbers of state contacts, and in some cases links to detailed reports on solid waste management in the specific state that are available on the Web.

Not all states require reporting of waste imports, and very few track exports, so the available data are incomplete, and in some cases represent estimates rather than actual measurements. In a number of cases, faced with conflicting reports from exporters and importers or no quantitative data at all, the report provides CRS's best estimate, based on discussions with state officials or other sources.

Seven of the states provided data for a period other than calendar year 2005—either a fiscal year that included part of 2005 or a different calendar year. This adds another layer of imprecision: CRS generally combined data for whatever was the reporting period closest to 2005, even though in these seven cases, this meant combining data from somewhat different time periods. The exceptions are noted in the appropriate tables. As a result, many of the totals reported here represent a best estimate rather than precise figures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Legislation on interstate shipment of waste has been introduced in every Congress since the 100<sup>th</sup>. In the 104<sup>th</sup> Congress, the Senate passed S. 534, which would have granted states authority to restrict new shipments of municipal solid waste from out of state, if requested by an affected local government. In the 103<sup>rd</sup> Congress, both the House and Senate passed interstate waste legislation (H.R. 4779 and S. 2345), but lack of agreement on common language prevented enactment. For a discussion of the issues addressed in these bills, see CRS Report RS20106, *Interstate Waste Transport: Legislative Issues*, by (name redacted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This report replaces CRS Report RL32570, *Interstate Shipment of Municipal Solid Waste: 2004 Update*, by (name red acted). Earlier reports, many of which are now out of print but available directly from the author, were prepared in 2002, 2001, 2000, 1998, 1997, 1996, 1995, and 1993.

## **Total Shipments**

The data show that total interstate waste shipments continue to rise:<sup>3</sup> imports in the current survey totaled 42.2 million tons, 17% of the 245.7 million tons of municipal solid waste generated in the United States.<sup>4</sup> Of municipal waste disposed (as opposed to recycled or composted), the percentage is higher. EPA estimates that 79.0 million tons of municipal solid waste were recycled or composted in 2005, leaving 166.7 million tons to be disposed in landfills or incinerators. Of this amount, 25.3% crossed state lines for disposal.<sup>5</sup>

Between CRS's year 2004 report (reporting largely 2003 data) and the current survey (reporting generally 2005 data), imports increased 3.2 million tons, or 8%. Since 1995, reported imports have risen 147%, from 17.1 million tons in 1995 to 42.2 million tons in the current survey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We rely on imports rather than exports as our measure of total shipments, because we believe that waste management facilities and states have a greater interest in accurately measuring imports than they do exports. Often the amounts received and their source are subject to formal legal reporting requirements and/or fees, with penalties for failure to report. Exports are not generally subject to such requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Because many of the larger importing states now differentiate MSW from other non-hazardous waste imports, we compared total MSW imports to EPA's national estimate of MSW generation (245.7 million tons in 2005). For EPA data on waste generation, see *Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 2005 Facts and Figures*, at http://www.epa.gov/msw/pubs/mswchar05.pdf. State-reported waste generation, summarized in *BioCycle* magazine's biannual survey, is substantially higher (509 million tons in 2004) but may include other nonhazardous waste, provided it was disposed at MSW facilities. For state-reported data, see Phil Simmons, Nora Goldstein, Scott M. Kaufman, Nickolas J. Themelis, and James Thompson, Jr., "The State of Garbage in America," *BioCycle*, April 2006, p. 26. Removing Canadian waste from the total imports would also reduce the percentage of waste crossing state lines for disposal, from 17% to 16%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Much of the waste destined for recycling may also have crossed state lines, but waste destined for recycling is not as controversial as that sent for disposal. In addition, recycling facilities do not generally require permits by state agencies. Thus, amounts shipped across state lines for recycling cannot generally be tracked by the solid waste agencies.



Figure 1. Imports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year, in Tons

Source: Map resources. Adapted by CRS Graphics 5/24/2007

#### Figure 2. Exports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year, in Tons



Source: Map resources. Adapted by CRS Graphics 5/24/2007

State	Quantity Imported
Pennsylvania	a7,931,984
Virginia	<sup>b</sup> 5,709,44 l
Michigan	<sup>b,c</sup> 5,442,044
Indiana	°2,428,838
Wisconsin	2,   43,   33
Illinois	<2,     4,898
Oregon	١,795,97 ١
Georgia	1,744,317
New Jersey	1,731,729
Ohio	al, <b>689</b> ,470
South Carolina	al,243,993
Kansas	800,3   8
New York	769,083
Tennessee	682,411
Kentucky	663,685
Mississippi	553,772
New Mexico	471,345
Maine	436,412
Arizona	433,400
New Hampshire	402,900
Oklahoma	400,868
Nevada	381,719
lowa	d300,528
Maryland	°286,011
Texas	259,040
Missouri	227,858
West Virginia	194,917
Massachusetts	169,845
Washington	147,746
Alabama	146,637

#### Table 1. Imports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year

(in tons)

State	Quantity Imported
	000 500
North Carolina	°   37,298
North Dakota	88,000
Louisiana	e77,190
California	75,734
Connecticut	43,921
Montana	32,205
Utah	al 6,038
Arkansas	7,574
Rhode Island	5,924
Nebraska	<sup>d</sup> 5,028
South Dakota	<u>1.500</u>
Total	42, 194,725

Source: CRS, based on data provided by state program officials. See text and Table 4 for qualifications/details.

- a. In addition, the state received substantial amounts of industrial, construction and demolition (C&D), or other non-hazardous waste. See **Table 4**.
- b. 10/1/2004 9/30/2005.
- c. Converted from cubic yards by CRS.
- d. 7/1/2004 6/30/2005.
- e. 7/1/2005 6/30/2006.

#### Table 2. Exports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year

(in tons)

State	Quantity Exported
New York	7,198,648
New Jersey	5,772,838
Illinois	4,441,679
Ontario, Canada	a3,976,399
Missouri	2,398,865
Maryland	2,048,204
Massachusetts	1,986,945
Washington	1,745,171
Minnesota	I,085,000
North Carolina	I,074,386
Indiana	1,061,581

State	Quantity Exported
District of Columbia	1,061,558
Florida	1,039,61
Ohio	875,005
California	856,509
Connecticut	636,59
Tennessee	518,890
Kentucky	488, 157
Texas	460,000
Kansas	446,150
lowa	409,88
Pennsylvania	338,26
West Virginia	298,23
Wisconsin	263,120
Louisiana	260,58
Alabama	231,700
Virginia	210,68
Mississippi	194,16-
New Hampshire	175,00
South Carolina	163,64
Arkansas	161,30
Georgia	125,000
Oklahoma	0,00
Vermont	104,27
British Columbia, Canada	a   0   ,83-
Michigan	99,85.
Rhode Island	76,07
Maine	71,37
ldaho	63,05
Oregon	52,43
Delaware	30,00
Alaska	25,20

State	Quantity Exported
Nebraska	12,415
Arizona	7,000
Nevada	4,500
North Dakota	3,000
Utah	1,500
Wyoming	200
Total	42,766,533

Source: CRS, based on data provided by state program officials. In many cases, the amount is based on data compiled by receiving states. See text and **Table 4** entries for additional information and qualifications.

exports to the United States a.

State	Imports	Exports	Net Imports /Net Exports(-)
Pennsylvania	7,931,984	338,265	7,593,719
Virginia	5,709,44 l	210,688	5,498,753
Michigan	5,442,044	99,855	5,342,189
Wisconsin	2,   43,   33	263,126	I ,880,007
Oregon	١,795,97١	52,438	١,743,533
Georgia	1,744,317	l 25,000	1,619,317
Indiana	2,428,838	1,061,581	١,367,257
South Carolina	I,243,993	l 63,646	l,080,347
Ohio	I,689,470	875,005	814,465
New Mexico	471,345	-	471,345
Arizona	433,400	7,000	426,400
Nevada	381,719	4,500	377,219
Maine	436,412	71,379	365,033
Mississippi	553,772	94,   64	359,608
Kansas	800,318	446,   50	354,168
Oklahoma	400,868	I I 0,000	290,868
New Hampshire	402,900	I 75,000	227,900
Kentucky	663,685	488,157	175,528
Tennessee	682,411	5   8,896	63,5 5
North Dakota	88,000	3,000	85,000

Table 3. Net Imports/Exports of Municipal Solid Waste, 2005 or Latest Year

(in tons)

State	Imports	Exports	Net Imports /Net Exports(-)
Utah	16,038	١,500	I 4,538
Nebraska	5,028	12,415	-7,387
Alaska	_	25,201	-25,201
Delaware	_	30,000	-30,000
ldaho	_	63,056	-63,056
Rhode Island	5,924	76,077	-70,153
Alabama	146,637	231,700	-85,063
West Virginia	94,9   7	298,238	-103,32
Vermont	_	l 04,278	-104,278
lowa	300,528	409,881	-109,353
Arkansas	7,574	161,303	-153,729
Louisiana	77,190	260,588	-183,398
Texas	259,040	460,000	-200,960
Connecticut	43,92 l	636,599	-592,678
California	75,734	856,509	-780,77
North Carolina	l 37,298	1,074,386	-937,088
Florida	—	1,039,611	- 1,039,61
District of Columbia	—	1,061,558	- 1,06 1,558
Minnesota	_	1,085,000	-1,085,000
Washington	147,746	1,745,171	-1,597,42
Maryland	286,011	2,048,204	-1,762,19
Massachusetts	l 69,845	l,986,945	-1,817,100
Missouri	227,858	2,398,865	-2,171,007
Illinois	2,114,898	4,441,679	-2,326,78
New Jersey	1,731,729	5,772,838	-4,041,109
New York	769,083	7, <b>  98,648</b>	-6,429,56

**Source:** CRS, based on telephone interviews. Data subject to qualifications: see text and Tables 1, 2, and 4.

## Waste Import Highlights

Thirty states had increased imports of municipal waste since 2003, with the largest increases occurring in Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin. These three states, along with Pennsylvania and Virginia, accounted for 56% of total municipal waste imports in 2005.

As shown in **Table 1**, Pennsylvania continues to be the largest waste importer. Disposal facilities in the state received 7.9 million tons of MSW and 1.7 million tons of other nonhazardous waste from out of state in 2005. The amounts represented 39% of all solid waste disposed in the state and 19% of the national total for interstate MSW shipments. Pennsylvania has abundant landfill capacity, relatively low tipping fees, and is near two major states that have a shortage of disposal capacity: New York and New Jersey.

Despite the state's continued predominance on the list of waste importers, Pennsylvania's MSW imports actually declined for the fourth year in a row in 2005—a cumulative decrease of more than 2.7 million tons. This happened simultaneously with continued growth of interstate waste shipments along the Eastern seabord.

Several factors appear to have been at work. First, beginning in 2002, Pennsylvania imposed a new state fee of \$4.00 per ton on waste disposal. Added to pre-existing fees, the state and local governments in Pennsylvania now collect \$7.25 on each ton of waste disposed in the state. This may have provided sufficient economic incentive for some haulers to dispose elsewhere. Second, the state appears to be receiving less waste from New York City, whose Mayor has adopted a goal of shipping all of New York City's waste by rail, rather than truck. Pennsylvania has no landfills served by rail, so some of this waste has been diverted to large landfills in Virginia that do have rail service.

After Pennsylvania, Virginia is the largest waste importer, with imports totaling 5.7 million tons of MSW and 1.3 million tons of other nonhazardous waste. Waste imports to Virginia have increased 45% since 2001, when they totaled 4.1 million tons of MSW and 0.7 million tons of other waste. The state has attempted to restrict imports, but has not been as successful as Pennsylvania, in part because it has chosen a variety of measures that have run afoul of the Constitution's interstate commerce clause. These included a ban on barge shipping of wastes on Virginia rivers, truck regulations that applied only to commercial solid waste transporters, and daily limits on the amount of waste that Virginia landfills could accept.<sup>6</sup>

Michigan, the third-largest waste importer for the past several years, has also seen substantial growth in imports. Significant amounts of waste come to Michigan from Indiana, Illinois, and other neighboring states; but the biggest source, accounting for 69% of Michigan's out-of-state waste, is Ontario, Canada. Ontario is also Michigan's neighbor, but the fact that it lies in a foreign country and that it has large expanses of open land where landfills might be sited seems to have added additional notoriety to its waste shipments. Ontario's shipments to Michigan have grown as Toronto, Canada's largest city, awarded new contracts for waste disposal and closed its last two landfills. At the beginning of 1999, the Toronto area was generating about 2.8 million tons of waste annually, of which about 700,000 tons were shipped to Michigan. By early 2003, however,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See "Federal Appeals Court Strikes Majority of Virginia Restrictions on Trash Imports," *Daily Environment Report*, June 7, 2001, p. A-2. The case decided was Waste Management Holdings, Inc. v. Gilmore, 252 F.3d 316 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir 2001).

there was virtually no local disposal capacity in the Toronto area, and almost all of the waste was being shipped to Michigan, where large disposal sites offered very low cost disposal.

In August 2006, the Ontario Minister of the Environment reached an agreement with Michigan's two Senators, under which Ontario will eliminate shipments of municipally managed waste to Michigan by the end of 2010. In return, the Senators agreed not to pursue passage of legislation that would have imposed large inspection fees and other requirements on Ontario's waste shipments to the United States.<sup>7</sup> On September 19, 2006, Toronto's City Council approved a letter of intent to purchase a landfill near London, Ontario, where it is expected to ship its waste as it phases out shipments to Michigan.

The agreement reached by the two Michigan Senators in their exchange of letters with Ontario's Minister of the Environment would not eliminate the majority of the waste shipped from Ontario to Michigan, however. The agreement refers to "municipally managed waste," and specifically uses a 2005 baseline amount of 1.34 million metric tons of municipal waste shipped.<sup>8</sup> About two-thirds of the waste shipped from Ontario is not "municipally managed"—it is waste collected by private haulers and shipped to Michigan landfills under private contracts. These wastes are exported to Michigan either because it provides lower cost disposal options or because the landfills in Michigan are controlled by the same company that collects the waste in Canada. The provincial government and the local governments within the province have no authority to prevent these private waste shipments from leaving Ontario. (For additional information on Canadian waste import issues, see CRS Report RL33720, *Imports of Canadian Waste.*)

In other highlights from the CRS survey:

- Eleven states reported imports exceeding 1 million tons per year, an increase from 10 in CRS's last survey. Indiana, the additional state, jumped from 11<sup>th</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> on the list with an increase of 1.5 million tons.
- In addition to the 11 states that imported more than a million tons, another 20 states had imports exceeding 100,000 tons.
- Besides the three big increases discussed above (Indiana, Virginia, and Michigan), states that reported major increases in imports compared to CRS's previous survey were Wisconsin, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Georgia, Illinois, Maine, Tennessee, and Kansas, each of which reported an increase of at least 100,000 tons. Growth of waste imports in the Great Lakes states was particularly strong: together, Indiana, Wisconsin, Michigan, Ohio, and Illinois saw an increase of 3.65 million tons in MSW imports.
- New Jersey remains on the list of major importers, with 1.7 million tons of MSW imports in 2005. The state is also a major exporter of waste: receiving states estimated New Jersey's exports at 5.8 million tons. The absence of flow control (local government requirements that waste within their jurisdiction be disposed at local facilities, which were overturned by the courts in the mid-1990s) has led to increased waste exports from New Jersey over the last decade. Waste-to-energy facilities in New Jersey, in turn, began importing MSW in order to replace local

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Letter of Senators Stabenow and Levin to Hon. Lauerl C. Broten, Ontario Minister of the Environment, August 30, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Letter of Laurel C. Broten, Ontario Minister of the Environment, to Senators Stabenow and Levin, August 30, 2006.

waste flowing elsewhere. As a result, large amounts of waste have entered New Jersey from New York in recent years. On April 30, 2007, the Supreme Court held, in the *United Haulers* case, that flow-control ordinances requiring delivery of local waste to a *publicly-owned* processing facility do not violate the Constitution's commerce clause, making it clear that some forms of flow control can survive judicial scrutiny.<sup>9</sup> New Jersey officials do not expect the decision to have much impact on waste exports or imports, however.<sup>10</sup>

- Besides Pennsylvania, only Alabama experienced a major decrease in imports in 2005. Imports to Alabama have been particularly volatile. They declined by almost 270,000 tons (65%) in 2005, compared to 2003, but rebounded 150,000 tons in 2006. Even after that increase, they were less than half the peak amount recorded in 2002.
- Ten other states reported declines in waste imports. The declines were generally small—in half the cases, less than 20,000 tons.
- Although there are no comprehensive data, imports to transfer stations<sup>11</sup> have been a political issue in some locations. Transfer stations are generally located in urban areas and are subject to less stringent regulation than disposal facilities. Heavy truck traffic and odors have aroused concerns in some neighboring communities. Connecticut, Rhode Island, the District of Columbia, and South Carolina have reported significant amounts of out-of-state waste imported to transfer stations, then exported to other states for disposal. A New York City plan to export most of its waste to transfer stations in New Jersey raised substantial controversy, before being rescinded.

### **Major Exporters**

As shown in **Table 2**, eleven states (New York, New Jersey, Illinois, Missouri, Maryland, Massachusetts, Washington, Minnesota, North Carolina, Indiana, and Florida) and the District of Columbia each exported more than 1 million tons of waste to facilities in other states in the latest reporting period, and 21 other states exported more than 100,000 tons. As noted above, the Canadian province of Ontario also exported a substantial amount of municipal waste (nearly 4 million tons), most of it to Michigan.<sup>12</sup>

Although the reported amount of total waste exports grew by more than 4 million tons, shipments from the two largest exporting states, New York and New Jersey, did not increase. Compared to CRS's last survey, New York's exports fell more than a million tons to 7.2 million tons in 2005, according to 10 receiving states. New Jersey's estimated exports, 5.8 million tons, remained steady.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> United Haulers Ass'n v. Oneida-Herkimer Solid Waste Mgmt. Auth., 127 S. Ct. 1786 (2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Personal communication, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, May 30, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Transfer stations receive waste from collection trucks, compact it, bale it, and load it on larger trucks for disposal elsewhere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Another Canadian province, British Columbia, also exports waste to the United States, but the amount is substantially smaller (about 100,000 tons to Washington state).

By far, the largest growth in exports came from Illinois, whose exports more than doubled, to 4.4 million tons.<sup>13</sup> Most of the exports originate in Cook County (Chicago and its suburbs), which has a relative shortage of disposal capacity. Illinois as a whole has reported a more than doubling of landfill capacity since 1995, but Chicago is located near the border of both Indiana and Wisconsin; so increases in capacity elsewhere in Illinois may not affect disposal decisions in the Chicago metropolitan area.

In all, 10 states and Ontario increased waste exports by more than 100,000 tons each in the period. In addition to Illinois and Ontario, Minnesota and Florida showed the largest increases. Five states and D.C. had decreases of more than 100,000 tons. Besides New York, the others were Georgia, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Michigan.

## **Net Imports and Exports**

**Table 3** combines import and export data to rank the states by net amounts imported or exported. The table shows that 21 states were net importers; 24 plus the District of Columbia were net exporters. Thirty-eight of the 50 states had net imports or exports exceeding 100,000 tons in the reporting period; 22 exceeded 500,000 tons. Perhaps most interesting, given the tendency to identify states as either exporters *or* importers, 25 states both exported *and* imported in excess of 100,000 tons of municipal solid waste, an increase from 23 in CRS's last report.

Several factors are at work here. In the larger states, there are sometimes differences in available disposal capacity in different regions within the state. Areas without capacity may be closer to landfills (or may at least find cheaper disposal options) in other states. A good example is Illinois: the Chicago area, which is close to two other states, exports significant amounts of waste out of state. Downstate, however, Illinois has substantial available landfill capacity, and imported 2 million tons from St. Louis, other locations in Missouri, and Iowa.

As noted earlier, the movement of waste also represents the regionalization and consolidation of the waste industry. In 2005, the three largest firms (Waste Management, Allied Waste, and Republic Services) accounted for 66% of total revenues of the industry's 100 largest firms.<sup>14</sup> These large firms offer integrated waste services, from collection to transfer station to disposal site, in many locations. Often, they ship waste to their own disposal facility across a border, rather than dispose of it at an in-state facility owned by a rival. As small landfills continue to close—the number of U.S. landfills declined 63% between 1993 and 2004, from 4,482 to 1,654<sup>15</sup>—this trend toward regionalization, consolidation, and waste shipment across state lines is likely to continue.

## **Additional Information**

The remainder of this report consists of a table summarizing waste import and export data, by state. All 50 states and the District of Columbia are listed in alphabetical order, with data for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Illinois, like most states, does not report waste exports. This export estimate was derived from data provided by neighboring states.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> "Waste Age 100," *Waste Age*, June 2006, p. 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> "The State of Garbage in America," *BioCycle*, April 1994, p. 51, and April 2006, pp. 38, 40.

amount of waste exported, destination of exports, amount of waste imported, source of imports, and a state agency contact for additional information.

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destinat Exported		Amount of MSW Imported	Sources of Imported Waste	Additional Information
Alabama	Receiving states report 231,700 tons of MSW	Tennessee	34,   64 tons	146,637 tons in 2005. Imports doubled, to 297,387	The state does not track the origins of imported waste, but believes it is	Philip Davis, AL Dept. of Environmental Management
	from Alabama in 2005.	Mississippi	97,517 tons	tons in 2006, but remained less than half the peak	mostly from Georgia and the Florida panhandle.	redacted
		Georgia	19 tons	amount (675,000 tons in 2002).		
Alaska	25,201 tons in 2005, according to Washington.	Washington.		No imports.	N.A.	Jennifer Roberts, AK Dept. of Environmental Conservation redacted
Arizona	Arizona does not export significant amounts of MSW. There are small flows from border areas to New Mexico, Nevada, and Utah. Based on state estimates, CRS estimates total exports at 7,000 tons.	Arizona estimate 1,000 and 10,000 to New Mexico; Nevada; and 500	) tons may flow 1,200 tons to	433,400 tons in 2005.	Nearly all (428,500 tons) from California. Small amounts from Nevada (4,500 tons) and New Mexico (400 tons).	David Janke, AZ Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted
Arkansas	Four receiving states reported receiving	Missouri ('06)	101,644 tons	State does not track imports, but believes that imports are	Missouri reported 7,574 tons shipped to Arkansas in 2006.	Susan Speake, AR Dept. of Pollution Control and Ecology
	161,303 tons from Arkansas, an increase of	Mississippi	<b>29,895</b> tons	relatively small and confined 5 tons to border areas.		redacted
	almost 50,000 tons since	Texas	22,521 tons			
	2003.	Tennessee	7,243 tons			
British Columbia, Canada	B.C. shipped 101,834 tons to the United States, according to Washington	Washington		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
California	Receiving states report 856,509 tons of MSW shipped from California.	Arizona	428,500 tons	75,734 tons in 2005.	State does not keep track of where waste comes from.	Sherry Sala-Moore, CA Integrated Waste Management Board redacted
	Although exports are substantial, they represent	Nevada	379,009 tons			http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lgcentral/drs/Reports/
	only about 2% of the amount disposed in-state.	Oregon	<b>49,000</b> tons			Statewide/SWT otals.asp

#### Table 4. Amount and Destination of Exported MSW, and Amount and Sources of Imported MSW, by State

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destinat Exported		Amount of MSW Imported	Sources Imported		Additional Information
Colorado	State does not track exports. Very small amounts may be exported to neighboring states.	Kansas, Nebraska	ı, New Mexico	State does not track imports. Small amounts may be imported from Kansas and Nebraska.	Kansas, Nebraska		Charles Johnson, CO Dept. of Public Health and Environment redacted
Connecticut	Six states reported	New York	218,013	Connecticut reports 43,921	Massachusetts 36,924 tons		Judy Belaval, CT Dept. of Environmental Protection
	receiving 636,599 tons from Connecticut in 2005.		tons	tons of MSW imports in 2005.	New York Rhode Island	3,769 tons 3,218 tons	CT Dept. of Environmental Protection redacted
		Pennsylvania	201,700 tons				
		Ohio	3 ,80  tons				
		Massachusetts	81,151 tons				
		Georgia	3, <b>869</b> tons				
		Michigan	36 tons				
		West Va.	29 tons				
Delaware	The state does not track	Virginia	18,537 tons	The state does not track MSW imports but says it is likely a negligible amount. All MSW landfills in the state are owned by the state and are prohibited from accepting out-of-state waste.	N.A.		Nancy Markur,
	MSW exports. CRS estimates exports at	Pennsylvania	8,741 tons				DE Dept. of Natural Resources and Environmental Control,
	30,000 tons in 2005 based on reports from receiving states, a decline of about 75% since 2003.	Small amounts to New Jersey.	Maryland and				redacted
District of	Receiving states reported	Virginia	I,059,700	There are no disposal	Maryland.		Thomas Henderson,
Columbia	receiving at least 1,061,558 tons in 2005,		tons	facilities in the District of Columbia, but D.C. has			D.C. Dept. of Public Works, Solid Waste Division
	the bulk of which went to Virginia.	Pennsylvania	1,858 tons	imported substantial amounts of waste from			redacted
	, i Suna	An uncertain amc Maryland, as well.		Maryland to transfer stations located in the District. This waste is then exported for disposal. According to D.C., about one quarter of the waste handled at D.C. transfer stations originates in Maryland.			

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destinat Exported		Amount of MSW Imported		rces of ed Waste	Additional Information
Florida	The state does not track exports. Georgia reports receiving over 1 million tons of MSW from Florida in 2005. Exports to Georgia increased 350,000 tons since 2003, but still represent only 3% of Florida's waste generation.	Georgia Small amounts ma Alabama.	,039,6   tons ay go to	The state does not track imports. There is little incentive to import, since disposal is less expensive in Georgia, and there are no major out-of-state cities near the Florida border.	N.A.		Peter Goren, FL Dept. of Environmental Protection redacted
Georgia	CRS estimates 125,000	Alabama	75,000 tons	1,744,317 tons in 2005.	Florida	ا ,039,611	Scott Henson,
	tons of exports based on information available from	S. Carolina	28,810 tons	Waste imports have increased by 750,000 tons		tons	GA Dept. of Natural Resources redacted
	three receiving states.	Tennessee 17	17,056 tons	since 2002.	N. Jersey	394,747 tons	
	Exports decreased from an estimated 600,000 tons				S. Carolina	81,738 tons	
	in 2003.				New York	75,345 tons	
					N. Carolina	42,668 tons	
					Rh. Island	38,687 tons	
					Tennessee	30,083 tons	
					Maryland	<b>29</b> ,454 tons	
					12 others	11, <b>984</b> tons	
Hawaii	No exports of MSW in 2005. Proposals to export waste from Oahu to Washington state or Idaho are under consideration.	N.A.		No imports of MSW.	N.A.		Gary Siu, HI Dept. of Health redacted
Idaho	ldaho does not track	Washington	32,256 tons	ldaho does not track	Small amounts fr	om Oregon and	Dean Ehlert, ID Deat of Fraincastan Quality
	exports. Three receiving states report 63,056 tons	Montana	29,000 tons	imports, but says there is not a large amount of waste	Nevada.		ID Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted
	in 2005.	Oregon	1,800 tons	imported currently. Idaho Waste Systems has applied for permission to import substantial quantities from Hawaii, however.			

State	Amount of MSW Exported		ation of d Waste	Amount of MSW Imported	Sourc Importe		Additional Information
Illinois	Six neighboring states	Indiana	2,522,635	The state reports 2,114,898	Missouri (76%)		Ellen Robinson,
	report receiving 4,441,679 tons of MSW from Illinois		tons	tons of imports in 2005. (Data converted from cubic	lowa (1 <b>9</b> %)		IL Environmental Protection Agency redacted
	in 2005. Exports more than doubled since 2003.	Wisconsin	1,412,153 tons	vards to tons by CRS.)	Indiana (3%)		http://www.epa.state.il.us/land/landfill-capacity/
	than doubled since 2005.	Michigan	416,538		Wisconsin (2%)		index.html
			tons		Small amounts fro	m 6 other states.	
		Missouri	71,095 tons				
		lowa	12,926 tons				
		Kentucky	6,332 tons				
Indiana	Five receiving states reported a total of	Michigan	731,270 tons	2,428,838 tons of MSW in 2005, an increase of 1.5	Illinois	2,   22, 945 tons	Michelle Weddle, IN Dept of Environmental Management
	1,061,581 tons of MSW from Indiana in 2005.	Kentucky	170,870	million tons from 2003. The state also received 658.000	Ohio	115,489 tons	redacted
			tons	tons of other solid waste	Kentucky	109,786 tons	http://www.in.gov/idem/catalog/documents/land/ far05.pdf
		Ohio	97,518 tons	from out of state in 2005.	Michigan	65,521 tons	
		Illinois	61,854 tons		23 others	15,097 tons	
		Virginia	<b>69</b> tons				
lowa	409,881 tons in 2005.	Illinois	398,112	The state reported a total of	Minnesota	265,939 tons	Mark Warren,
		M <sup>2</sup> .	tons	300,528 tons in FY2005. Imports declined to 281,925	Illinois	11,874 tons	IA Dept of Natural Resources redacted
		Missouri	6,704 tons	tons in FY2006.	Missouri	10,857 tons	
		Nebraska	5,028 tons		Nebraska	8,952 tons	
		Wisconsin	37 tons		Wisconsin	2,901 tons	
		(Exports to Ne include waste d without passing transfer station	irectly hauled through a				
Kansas	Kansas reports MSW	Oklahoma	400,868	800,318 tons of MSW in	Missouri	769,356 tons	Christine Mennicke,
	exports of 446,150 tons in 2005. Waste exports		tons	2005, almost all from Missouri.	Oklahoma	27,499 tons	KS Dept. of Health and Environment redacted
	"went way down" in 2006, because a new landfill opened in Kansas.	Missouri	45,282 tons		Nebraska	3,463 tons	

State	Amount of MSW Exported		ation of d Waste	Amount of MSW Imported		rces of ed Waste	Additional Information	
Kentucky	488,157 tons in 2005, a	Tennessee	283,836	663,685 tons in 2005.	Ohio	249,902 tons	Allan Bryant,	
	48% increase since 2003.		tons	Imports in 2006 rose slightly to 686,151 tons.	Indiana	170,870 tons	KY Dept. for Environmental Protection redacted	
		Indiana	141,365 tons		Tennessee	126,416 tons		
		Ohio	58,679 tons		West Va.	106,936 tons		
		Illinois	4,277 tons		Smaller amounts Missouri, New Je	from Illinois, ersey, and Virginia.		
Louisiana Neighboring states	Texas	52,6 5	77,190 tons in FY2006 (July	Mississippi	60,000 tons	John Rogers,		
	reported 260,588 tons in 2005. Little change from		tons	l, 2005-June 30, 2006).	Texas	10,300 tons	LA Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted	
	2003.	Mississippi 107,973 tons			Arkansas	6,500 tons		
					(CRS estimates I	oased on La. data.)		
Maine	Maine reports exports of 71,379 tons in 2005.	About 15,000 to New Brunswick the rest to New	, Canada, and	Maine imported 436,412 tons of MSW and C&D waste in 2005.	Facilities don't report state of origin, but 2/3 to 3/4 of the waste is believed to come from Massachusetts. The rest probably comes from New Hampshire.		George MacDonald, ME Dept of Environmental Protection redacted	
Maryland	Receiving states reported receiving 2,048,204 tons	Virginia	l , <b>992</b> ,3 l 3 tons	The state reported receiving 286,011 tons of out-of-state	Massachusetts, N Virginia, Pennsyl		Edward Dexter, MD Dept of the Environment	
	from Maryland in 2005. 97% of the exports went	Georgia	<b>29,454</b> tons	MSW, and 245,835 tons of other waste, mostly C&D in			redacted	
	to Virginia.	Pennsylvania	26,350 tons	2005. Imports increased 37%			http://www.mde.state.md.us/assets/document/ SW Managed in MD Report CY 2005.pdf	
		West Va.	87 tons	compared to calendar year 2004.				

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destinat Exported		Amount of MSW Imported	Sour Importe	ces of d Waste	Additional Information
Massachusetts	Receiving states reported	S. Carolina	475,495	In 2005, Massachusetts	Connecticut	81,151 tons	Brian Holdridge,
	a total of 1,986,945 tons from Massachusetts in	_	tons	reported importing a total of 169.845 tons.	N. Hampshire	41,079 tons	MA Dept. of Environmental Protection redacted
	2005.	Georgia	394,747 tons		Rhode Island	30,534 tons	
		Maine	300,000		Vermont	16,391 tons	
			tons		New York	627 tons	
		N. Hampshire	281,375 tons		Maine	63 tons	
		New York	216,661 tons				
		Ohio	168,740 tons				
		Maryland	101,367 tons				
		Connecticut	36,924 tons				
		Rhode Island	5,924 tons				
		Pennsylvania	5,417 tons				
		Michigan	273 tons				
		Virginia	22 tons				
Michigan	The state does not track	Indiana	65,521 tons	In FY2005 (10/04 - 9/05),	Ontario	3,781,171	Christina Miller,
	exports, but three neighboring states	Ohio	32,658 tons	imports of MSW were 5,442,044 tons, an increase		tons	MI Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted
	reported 99,855 tons	Wisconsin	l,676 tons	of almost half a million tons	Indiana	731,270 tons	http://www.deq.state.mi.us/documents/deq-whm
	from Michigan in 2005, a decrease of 125,000 tons			since FY 2003. Michigan also imported 721,000 tons of	Illinois	416,538 tons	stsw-ReportSolidWasteLandfilledFY2005.pdf
	since 2003.			industrial solid waste. (Data	Ohio	299,791 tons	
				converted from cubic yards to tons by CRS.) Imports	Wisconsin	211,648 tons	
				leveled off in FY2006, increasing less than 1%.	Three other state: Massachusetts, and shipped small amo	d New Jersey)	

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destina Exported		Amount of MSW Imported	Sourc Importe		Additional Information
Minnesota	In 2005, the state exported about 1,085,000	Wisconsin	729,264 tons	negligible amount has been	N.A.		Jim Chiles, MN Pollution Control Agency
	tons.	lowa	265,939 tons	imported.			redacted
		N. Dakota	88,000 tons				
		S. Dakota	l,500 tons				
Mississippi	194,164 tons, according to	Tennessee	34, 64	553,772 tons in 2005.	Tennessee	318,391 tons	Pradip Bhowal,
	receiving states.		tons	Imported amounts have been relatively stable since 2002.	Louisiana	107,973 tons	MS Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted
		Louisiana	60,000 tons (FY2006)		Alabama	97,517 tons	http://www.deq.state.ms.us/MDEQ.nsf/pdf
			(112000)		Arkansas 29,895 tons SW Ani	SW_2005StatusReport/\$File/ AnnualReport2005%20- %20Web%20Version.pdf?OpenElement	
Missouri	2,398,865 tons in 2005;	Illinois	I,598,625	227,858 tons in 2006, a slight	Arkansas	101,644 tons	Glenda Marshall-Griffin,
	2,520,071 tons in 2006.		tons	increase over 2003.	Illinois	81,917 tons	MO Dept. of Natural Resources redacted
		Kansas	769,356 tons		Kansas	37, <b>594</b> tons	redacted
		lowa	10,857 tons		lowa	6,704 tons	
		Tennessee	9,723 tons				
		Arkansas ('06)	7,574 tons				
		Kentucky	2,730 tons				
Montana	Montana does not track	N.A.		32,205 tons in 2005—almost	Idaho	<b>29,000</b> tons	Pat Crowley,
	exports, and is not believed to export any			identical to the amount in 2003.	N. Dakota	3,000 tons	MT Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted
	significant amount of MSVV.				The rest from Wyoming and Utah.		

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destinatio Exported V		Amount of MSW Imported	Sources of Imported Waste		Additional Information
Nebraska	The state does not collect records on MSW exports, but lowa and Kansas reported receiving 12,415 tons from Nebraska in 2005. Iowa alone received 23,628 tons from Nebraska in FY 2006.	lowa Kansas	8,952 tons 3,463 tons	The state does not collect records on MSW imports. lowa reports sending Nebraska 5,028 tons of MSW in FY2005.	lowa.		Keith Powell, NE Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted
Nevada	Arizona estimates that it received 4,500 tons of MSW from Nevada. In addition, a small amount is exported to Idaho from border communities in the northeast corner of the state.	4,500 tons of       California. A small amount         om Nevada. In       imported from neighboring         a small amount is       communities in Utah and A         d to Idaho from       communities in the		amount is ghboring	Dave Simpson, NV Division of Environmental Protection redacted		
New Hampshire	CRS estimates exports of 175,000 tons in 2005,	Mostly to Maine; 4 to Massachusetts.	1,000 tons	In 2005, New Hampshire imported 402,900 tons of	Massachusetts Maine	281,375 tons 54.000 tons	Donald Maurer, NH Dept. of Environmental Services
	based on reports from receiving states.			MSW, primarily from Massachusetts. Imports were	Vermont	49,800 tons	redacted
				unchanged compared to 2002.	Connecticut	10,661 tons	
					Rhode Island	6.856 tons	

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destination of Exported Waste		Amount of MSW Imported	Sources of Imported Waste		Additional Information
New Jersey	5,772,838 tons in 2005, according to eight	Pennsylvania	4,512,908 tons	1,731,729 tons in 2005, 94% from New York.	New York	1,639,916 tons	Ray Worob, NJ Dept. of Environmental Protection
	receiving states.	Georgia	394,747		Pennsylvania	70,950 tons	redacted
			tons		International	16,689 tons	
		Virginia	334,009 tons		9 other states	4,174 tons	
		Ohio	316,656 tons				
		S. Carolina	155,716 tons				
		New York	56,136 tons				
		West Va.	2,086 tons				
		Maryland	580 tons				
New Mexico	Texas and Arizona report	Texas and Arizona.		471,345 tons were imported	Texas	450,000 tons	Connie Pasteris,
	receiving small amounts of waste from New Mexico.			in 2005, a decrease of about 65,000 tons since 2003.	Colorado	17,000 tons	NM Environment Dept. redacted
					The rest is from A Oklahoma, Mexico Utah.	'	

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destina Exported		Amount of MSW Imported	Sourc Importe		Additional Information
New York	Ten importing states	Pennsylvania	3,075,953	New York reports that	Connecticut	218,013 tons	Gerard Wagner,
report a total of 7,198,648 tons from New York in 2005, a decrease of over 1 million tons since 2003. New York facilities reported exports of	Virginia	tons I,803,754 tons	769,083 tons of MSVV were imported in 2005, an increase of 450,000 tons since 2003. The state also	Massachusetts Ontario	216,661 tons 195,228 tons	NY State Dept. of Environmental Conservatior redacted	
	New Jersey	1,639,916 tons		New Jersey Pennsylvania	56,136 tons 41,368 tons		
	4,070,503 tons in 2005.	Ohio	583,999 tons		Vermon	38,087 tons	
		<b>C</b> .			Quebec	2,114 tons	
		Georgia West Va.	75,345 tons  3,8 0 tons		N. Hampshire	1, <b>476</b> tons	
	Connecticut	3, <b>769</b> tons					
		Michigan	1,325 tons				
		Massachusetts	627 tons				
		Kentucky	150 tons				
North	1,074,386 tons in 2005,	S. Carolina	554,074	137,298 tons in FY2006 (July	S. Carolina	80,661 tons	Ellen Lorscheider,
Carolina	according to receiving states. In addition, the state exported 96,001 tons to a South Carolina	Virginia	tons 418,868 tons	2005-June 2006). Does not include 107,888 tons of waste imported from a South Carolina transfer station,	Virginia	56,637 tons	NC Dept. of Environment and Natural Resources redacted
	transfer station, which,	Tennessee	56,806 tons	which originally received the			http://wastenot.enr.state.nc.us/swhome/AR05-06.pdf
	after baling, were sent back to North Carolina	Georgia	42,668 tons	waste from North Carolina.			00.001
	for disposal. Exports account for slightly over 10% of the waste generated in the state.	West Va.	1,970 tons				
Iorth Dakota	Montana estimates that North Dakota exported 3,000 tons to Montana in 2005.	Montana		88,000 tons in 2005, according to Minnesota.	Minnesota		Steve Tillotson, ND Dept. of Health redacted

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destina Exporte		Amount of MSW Imported	Sources of Imported Wa	ste	Additional Information
Ohio	857,005 tons in 2005, a decrease of almost 250.000 tons since 2003.	Michigan	299,791 tons	Ohio imported 3,024,452 tons of solid waste in 2005, but 43% of it was C&D	Ohio imported waste from 27 states. The largest sources were New York (35%), New Jersey (19%), Pennsylvania (13%), Massachusetts (10%), Connecticut (8%), Indiana (6%), West Virginia (4%), and Kentucky (3%).		Michelle Kenton, OH Environmental Protection Agency redacted
	250,000 tons since 2005.	Kentucky	249,902 tons	waste, industrial waste, and other non-MSW. Imports of general solid waste, the equivalent of MSW, totaled			http://www.epa.state.oh.us/pic/facts/
		West Va.	161,024 tons				2005_out_of_state_waste.pdf
	I,689,470 tons. Indiana II5,489 tons	1,689,470 tons.					
		Pennsylvania	29,832 tons				
		Georgia	815 tons	;			
		Virginia	152 tons				
Oklahoma CRS estimates exports at I10,000 tons in 2005,	Texas	about 80,000 tons	State does not track imports. Kansas reports that 400,868	Mostly from Kansas.		John Roberts, OK Dept. of Environmental Quality	
	based on reports from receiving states.	Kansas	27, <b>499</b> tons	tons of waste were shipped from the Wichita area to			redacted
		Small amounts t	o New Mexico.	Oklahoma in 2005, but the quantity imported dropped significantly in mid to late- 2006, when a new landfill opened in Kansas.			
Ontario, Canada	Ontario shipped 3,976,399 tons of MSW to the	Michigan	3,781,171 tons	None.	N.A.		Bruce Pope, Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy
United States in 2005, according to receiving states. Michigan received 95% of the total. (Data for Michigan are for FY2005 and were converted fror cubic yards to tons by CRS.)		New York	95,228 tons				redacted
Oregon	Oregon exported 52,438 tons of MSW in 2005.	Washington and Idaho.		Oregon imported 1,795,971 tons of MSW in 2005.	Washington	1,745,171 tons	Judy Henderson, OR Dept. of Environmental Quality
				Imports accounted for 37% of all the waste disposed in	California	49,000 tons	redacted
				Oregon that year.	ldaho	1,800 tons	

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destinat Exported		Amount of MSW Imported		ces of ed Waste	Additional Information
Pennsylvania	The state does not track exports. According to	Ohio	214,951 tons	7,931,984 tons in 2005 a decline of 2.7 million tons	New Jersey and New York accounted for nearly <b>96%</b> of		Sally Lohman, PA Dept. of Environmental Protection
	neighboring states, Pennsylvania exported	New Jersey	70,950 tons	0 tons by far the largest importer 2005	redacted		
	338,265 tons of MSW in	New York	41,368 tons	of MSW, representing about			http://www.depweb.state.pa.us/landrecwaste cwp/view.asp?a=1238&Q=464453&PM=1
	2005.	West Virginia	9,513 tons	20% of the national total of imports. In addition to MSW,			
Virginia I,483 tons (Exports to Ohio estimated by waste from out of state in CRS, based on Ohio data.) 2005.							
		· · ·	•	waste from out of state in	New Jersey	4,512,908 tons	
						3,075,953 tons	
					Connecticut	201,700 tons	
					West Va.	68,264 tons	
					Ohio	<b>29,832</b> tons	
					Maryland	26,350 tons	
					6 others	16,976 tons	
Rhode Island	Receiving states reported	Georgia	38,687 tons	Massachusetts reports	Massachusetts		Robert Schmidt,
	76,077 tons of MSW from Rhode Island in 2005.	Massachusetts	30,534 tons	sending 5,924 tons of MSW to RI. Officially, however, RI			RI Dept. of Environmental Management redacted x7260
		N. Hampshire	6,856 tons	does not accept MSW from out-of-state. In 2005, all			
		Small amounts to and New Jersey.	Connecticut	MSW imported to RI was reported as sent back out-of- state for disposal.			

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destina Exported		Amount of MSW Imported	Sourc Importe		Additional Information
South	Receiving states reported	Georgia	81,738 tons	South Carolina imported	N. Carolina	554,074 tons	Pete Stevens,
Carolina	163,646 tons of waste from South Carolina.	NC (FY2006)	80,661 tons	l,243,993 tons of MSW in FY2005 (7/04-6/05), plus	Massachusetts	475,495 tons	SC Dept. of Health and Environmental Control redacted
		West Va.	748 tons	284,106 tons of other solid	New Jersey	155,716 tons	http://www.scdhec.gov/recycle/forms/msw05f.pdf
		Virginia	<b>499</b> tons	waste disposed at MSW landfills.	Texas	<b>29,882</b> tons	
					Georgia	28,810 tons	
					Non-MSW came r Georgia, Delaware Carolina.		
South Dakota	The state does not track exports of MSW.	N.A.		The state does not track imports of MSW. Minnesota reports having shipped 1,500 tons of waste to South Dakota in 2005.	Minnesota		Jim Wente, SD Dept. of Environment and Natural Resources redacted
Tennessee	Six neighboring states	Mississippi	3   8, 39	682,411 tons in 2005,	Kentucky	283,836 tons	A. Wayne Brashear,
	report receiving 518,896 tons of waste from		tons	741,560 tons in 2006. Imports increased 28% from 2003 to 2006.	Virginia	147,485 tons	TN Dept. of Environment and Conservation redacted
	Tennessee in 2005, an	Kentucky	26,4 6 tons		Mississippi	34, 64 tons	
	increase of about 70% since 2001.	Virginia	39,805 tons		NC	56,806 tons	
		Georgia	30,083 tons				
		The remainder v and VV. Virginia.	vent to Indiana		The remainder can states. (2005 data)		
Texas	460,000 tons.	New Mexico	450,000	259,040 tons in 2005.	Louisiana	152,615 tons	Edward Block,
			tons		Oklahoma	83,219 tons	TX Commission on Environmental Quality redacted
		Louisiana (FY2006)	10,300 tons		Arkansas	22,521 tons	
		、 -/			Small amounts fro and Kansas. (Okla Arkansas are estin Texas data.)	homa and	

State	Amount of MSW Exported CRS estimates exports at 1,500 tons. As in previous years, about 1,000 tons of waste went from Wendover, Utah, to Wendover, Nevada. Also, Arizona reports about 500 tons of waste from Utah. Perhaps 50 tons to Montana.	Destination of Exported Waste		Amount of MSW Imported	Sources of Imported Waste		Additional Information
Utah		Nevada, Arizona,	Montana	16,038 tons of MSW in 2005, plus 275,837 tons of industrial waste.	Arizona		Ralph Bohn, UT Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted
Vermont	In 2005, 104,278 tons were exported, according to receiving states. About 20% of the waste generated in the state goes out of state for disposal.	N. Hampshire New York Massachusetts	49,800 tons 38,087 tons 16,391 tons	Facilities in Vermont do not receive any out-of-state waste.	N.A.		Julie Hackbarth, VT Dept. of Environmental Conservation redacted
Virginia	The state does not track MSW exports. Six states report 210,688 tons of exports from Virginia.	Tennessee NC (FY2006) West Va.	147,485 tons 56,637 tons 5,321 tons	Virginia remains the second- largest waste importer. The state imported 5,709,441 tons of MSW in 2005 and 1.3 million tons of other waste (mostly C&D waste, incinerator ash, and industrial waste). Imports increased by about 400,000 tons compared with 2003.	Maryland New York	,992,3 3 tons  ,803,754 tons	Kathy Frahm, VA Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted http://www.deq.virginia.gov/waste/aswrs.html
		Pennsylvania Kentucky Georgia	918 tons 283 tons 44 tons		DC N. Carolina New Jersey	1,059,700 tons 418,868 tons 334,009 tons	
					Smaller amounts from states.	n 13 other	

State	Amount of MSW Exported	Destination of Exported Waste		Amount of MSW Imported	Sources of Imported Waste		Additional Information
Washington		Oregon.		147,746 tons of MSW in	B.C., Canada	101,834 tons	Ellen Caywood,
				2005, plus 67,112 tons of other waste.	Oregon 45,554 tons	WA Dept. of Ecology redacted	
					Idaho	32,256 tons	http://www.ecy.wa.gov/pubs/0607024.pdf
				Alaska	25,201 tons		
					Montana	13 tons	
West Virginia	No tracking system. Eight receiving states reported 298,238 tons of waste from West Virginia. Exports virtually unchanged since 2003.	Kentucky	106,936	194,917 tons in 2005, a decrease of almost 30% since 2003. Imports represented about 10% of total waste disposal in West Virginia in 2005.	Ohio	161,024 tons	Jan Borowski, WV Solid Waste Management Board redacted
			tons		NY	13,810 tons	
		Ohio	74,301 tons		Penn.	9,513 tons	
		Pennsylvania	68,264 tons		Virginia 5,623 tons		
		Virginia	38,114 tons				
		Maryland	8,844 tons				
		3 other states	1,779 tons				
		(Exports to Ohio estimated by CRS, based on Ohio data.)			The rest from 6 other states.		
Wisconsin	The state does not collect export data, but four receiving states reported 263,126 tons of Wisconsin exports in 2005, an increase of 23% since 2003.	Michigan	211,648 tons	2, 143, 133 tons in 2005, an increase of 77% since 2003. Imports from Illinois and Minnesota both increased substantially.	Illinois	1,412,153 tons	Lindsey Miller, WI Dept. of Natural Resources redacted
		Illinois	47,056 tons		Minn.	729,264 tons	
		lowa	2,901 tons		Michigan I,676 tons		
		Indiana	1,521 tons				
Wyoming	The state does not collect export data. Montana reported about 200 tons from Wyoming.	Montana		The state does not collect import data. A few tons a day may enter the state.	N.A.		Bob Doctor, WY Dept. of Environmental Quality redacted

**Source:** CRS, based on information provided by state program officials.

**Note:** N.A. = not available

### **Author Contact Information**

(name redacted) Specialist in Environmental Policy /redacted/@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

### **EveryCRSReport.com**

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.