

The Federal Election Commission (FEC) With Fewer than Four Members: Overview of Policy Implications

R. Sam Garrett Analyst in American National Government

May 5, 2009

Congressional Research Service 7-5700 www.crs.gov RS22780

Summary

Three recess appointments to the Federal Election Commission (FEC) expired at the end of the first session of the 110th Congress, leaving the agency with just two commissioners. Because the Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) requires that at least four commissioners vote affirmatively to execute some of the agency's major functions, the FEC was unable to issue regulations, approve enforcement actions, and administer aspects of the presidential public campaign financing program. The Commission also could not issue advisory opinions. Existing campaign finance law and regulations remained in effect. Remaining commissioners and staff continued routine business. On June 24, 2008, the Senate confirmed five nominees to the FEC. Those five commission was restored to full decision-making strength. By the end of April 2009, the terms of three commissioners (total) had expired, but because commissioners may continue to serve in holdover status, six members remained in office.

Examining the Commission's operating status and its backlog from 2008 may be an oversight or appropriations issue of interest to the 111th Congress. CRS Report R40091, *Campaign Finance: Potential Legislative and Policy Issues for the 111th Congress*, by (name redacted), discusses other recent campaign finance developments, including FEC issues.) Although the loss of the Commission's policymaking quorum has now been resolved, this report will be updated occasionally to reflect subsequent developments.

Contents

The Commission and Most Recent Nominations: Brief Overview	1
Effects of a Commission with Fewer than Four Members	3
Functions that Could Continue Unaffected	3
Functions That Require a Four-Commissioner Majority	3
Conclusion	3

Tables

Table 1. Current Members of the Federal Election Commission 2

Contacts

uthor Contact Information

The Commission and Most Recent Nominations: Brief Overview

Throughout the first session of the 110th Congress, the Senate considered four nominations to the six-seat FEC.¹ Nominees Robert D. Lenhard (D), Hans A. von Spakovsky (R), and Steven T. Walther (D) served in recess appointments at the agency during that time and until their appointments expired at the end of the first session.² The fourth nominee, David M. Mason (R), served at the agency since 1998 and was renominated (although his re-nomination was withdrawn in May 2008, as was von Spakovsky's).

Throughout the first session of the 110th Congress, the von Spakovsky nomination generated controversy. In particular, some Senators and others debated von Spakovsky's actions on voting rights issues while serving at the Justice Department.³ Much of a June 13, 2007, Senate Rules and Administration Committee hearing and subsequent markup focused on von Spakovsky. On September 26, 2007, after being unable to reach agreement on reporting the nominations individually, the committee reported all four nominees en bloc without recommendation. In the closing days of the first session, the Senate was unable to reach a compromise over the nominees, including whether they should be considered on the floor separately or as a group.⁴ The apparent stalemate over the nominations continued into the second session of the 110th Congress.⁵

The Lenhard, Mason, von Spakovsky, and Walther nominations remained pending in the Senate early in the second session of the 110th Congress, but because the three recess appointments expired at the end of the first session, just two commissioners—Mason (R) and Ellen L. Weintraub (D)—remained in office. Both were previously confirmed by the Senate and could continue serving in holdover status following expired terms.

Few developments occurred in early 2008. However, additional nomination activity took place beginning in April. First, Lenhard requested that his nomination be withdrawn.⁶ Second, on May 6, 2008, President George W. Bush made three new nominations to the FEC and withdrew Mason's re-nomination. Donald F. McGahn II (R), an election lawyer, was nominated to the Mason seat. At the same time, the President withdrew Lenhard's name and nominated Cynthia L.

¹ No more than three of the six commissioners may be affiliated with the same political party. See 2 U.S.C. 437c(a)(1). Due to a resignation, the Commission had five members instead of six for much of 2007.

² On recess appointments, see CRS Report RS21308, *Recess Appointments: Frequently Asked Questions*, by (name red acted).

³ The Rules and Administration Committee posted a transcript of the hearing on its website at http://rules.senate.gov/ hearings/2007/061307correctedTranscript.pdf. On the hearing and controversy surrounding the von Spakovsky nomination, see, for example, Kenneth P. Doyle, "Senate Rules Hearing on FEC Nominees Focuses on Von Spakovsky Role at Justice," *Daily Report for Executives*, June 14, 2007, p. A-12.

⁴ Sen. Robert Bennett, "FEC Vacancies," remarks in the Senate, *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 153 (December 18, 2007), pp. S15820-S158222; and Sen. Harry Reid, "Federal Election Commission Nominees," remarks in the Senate, *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 153 (December 19, 2007), p. S15981.

⁵ See, for example, Mary Jacoby, "Election Agency Lacks Quorum To Rule on Key Issues This Year," *Wall Street Journal*, February 26, 2008, p. A8; and Matthew Mosk, "Money Matters," *Roll Call*, April 2, 2008, at http://www.rollcall.com/issues/53_113/money_matters/22760-1.html.

⁶ Matthew Murray, "Democratic FEC Nominee Withdraws; Reid Blasts White House," *Roll Call*, April 14, 2008, at http://www.rollcall.com/issues/1_1/breakingnews/22987-1.html?type=pf.

Bauerly (D), a Senate staffer, to that seat. Caroline C. Hunter (R), then a member of the Election Assistance Commission (EAC), was nominated to the FEC seat formerly held by Michael E. Toner. (Toner resigned from the Commission in 2007.) On May 16, 2008, von Spakovsky requested that his nomination be withdrawn.⁷ On May 22, 2008, following a hearing the previous day, the Senate Rules and Administration Committee favorably reported the Bauerly, Hunter, and McGahn nominations. Also on May 22, 2008, the White House announced the President's intention to nominate Matthew S. Petersen (R), a Senate staffer, to the seat formerly held by von Spakovsky.⁸ Walther's nomination also continued to remain pending.

On June 24, 2008, the Senate confirmed Bauerly, Hunter, McGahn, Petersen, and Walther. The five new commissioners joined Ellen Weintraub, who continues to serve at the FEC in holdover status.⁹

FEC appointments issues remained quiet until April 2009, when the terms of Commissioners McGahn and Walther expired. They joined Commissioner Weintraub in holdover status. As **Table 1** shows, even with three expired terms, six commissioners remain in office. (The FEC would not lose its policymaking quorum until fewer than four commissioners remained in office.) On May 1, 2009, President Obama announced his intention to nominate Service Employees International Union (SEIU) associate general counsel John J. Sullivan to the Commission.¹⁰ The Senate received the nomination on May 4.¹¹ Sullivan would replace Commissioner Weintraub.

Commissioner	Term Expires	Date Confirmed	Party Affiliation
Cynthia L. Bauerly	04/30/2011	06/24/2008	Democrat
Caroline C. Hunter	04/30/2013	06/24/2008	Republican
Donald F. McGahn	04/30/2009 (remains in holdover status)	06/24/2008	Republican
Matthew S. Petersen	04/30/2011	06/24/2008	Republican
Steven T. Walther	04/30/2009 (remains in holdover status)	06/24/2008	Democrat
Ellen L. Weintraub	04/30/2007 (remains in holdover status)	03/12/2003	Democrat

Table 1. Current Members of the Federal Election Commission

Source: Legislative Information System nominations database. CRS added party affiliation based on various media accounts. Some media accounts refer to Commissioner Walther as an Independent.

⁷ See, for example, Matthew Murray, "FEC May Be Back in Business Soon," *Roll Call*, May 19, 2008, p. A1.

⁸ White House, Office of the Press Secretary, "Personnel Announcement," May 22, 2008, at http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2008/05/20080522-9.html. No hearing was held on Petersen.

⁹ "Confirmations," Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 154 (June 24, 2008), p. S6096.

¹⁰ White House, Office of the Press Secretary, "President Obama Announces More Key Administration Posts," press release, May 1, 2009, http://www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/President-Obama-Announces-More-Key-Administration-Posts-5-1-09/.

¹¹ The nomination is number PN395.

Effects of a Commission with Fewer than Four Members

Functions that Could Continue Unaffected

Even a two-member Commission was not halted entirely. FECA does not appear to require a fourcommissioner majority to permit the FEC to carry out basic information-gathering functions, such as requiring written reports, gathering evidence in enforcement cases, and authorizing subpoenas.¹² With fewer than four commissioners, agency staff and remaining commissioners could also continue to provide general information, and to prepare for a repopulated Commission. Existing campaign law and regulations remained in effect.

In addition, in December 2007, when the FEC still had five commissioners, the Commission voted to amend its rules of internal procedure to permit remaining commissioners to execute some duties. Revisions to the FEC's so-called "Directive 10" permit the Commission to continue meeting with fewer than four members to: approve general public information, such as educational guides; appoint certain staff; and approve other basic administrative and employment matters.¹³

Functions That Require a Four-Commissioner Majority

FECA requires affirmative votes from at least four commissioners to: (1) make, amend, or repeal rules, (2) approve enforcement actions, (3) initiate, or defend itself in, certain court actions, (4) issue advisory opinions, (5) develop forms, (6) conduct hearings and investigations, and (7) refer cases of apparent criminal conduct to law enforcement.¹⁴ FECA also requires a minimum four-vote majority to administer at least some elements of the presidential public financing program, including certifying payments to eligible candidates.¹⁵

Conclusion

The Federal Election Commission was without a quorum of four commissioners for more than six months in 2008. Commission staff and the remaining two commissioners continued to provide information to the regulated community and to prepare for additional commissioners. In the

¹² 2 U.S.C. § 437c(c); and 2 U.S.C. § 437d(a)(1)-2 U.S.C. § 437d(a)(1)(5). Duane Pugh, Deputy Director, Congressional Affairs, FEC, provided consultations on some points in this section (telephone conversations with (na me redacted), December 10, 2007).

¹³ Federal Election Commission, "Rules of Procedure," 73 *Federal Register* 5568, January 30, 2008. Section L (p. 5570) of the document refers to operations with fewer than four Commissioners; that language was adopted in December 2007.

¹⁴ 2 U.S.C. § 437c(c); 2 U.S.C. § 437d(a)(6)-2 U.S.C. § 437d(a)(9); and 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a).

¹⁵ 2 U.S.C. § 437c(c). Wanda Thomas, director of the FEC's public financing program, provided consultations on some points related to presidential public financing (telephone conversation with (name redacted), December 11, 2007; and by e-mail, April 10, 2008). On presidential public financing, see CRS Report RL34534, *Public Financing of Presidential Campaigns: Overview and Analysis*, coordinated by (name redacted).

interim, however, the absence of a quorum at the FEC delayed administering aspects of the presidential public financing program, rulemakings, and enforcement actions.¹⁶

Immediate issues facing the repopulated Commission included rulemaking to implement portions of the Honest Leadership and Open Government Act of 2007 (HLOGA), pending enforcement cases and advisory opinion requests, and administering the presidential public campaign financing program.¹⁷ The Commission also needed to respond to ongoing litigation surrounding the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act.¹⁸ During 2009, the Commission continued to work through these and other issues that arose before, during, and after the shutdown.¹⁹

The loss of a policymaking quorum would not occur again unless fewer than four commissioners were in office. Nonetheless, the 2008 "shutdown" may continue to be of interest to the 111th Congressional consideration of oversight or appropriations issues.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted) Analyst in American National Government /redacted/@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

¹⁶ This assumes that a quorum would have chosen to address those issues and that a majority could have reached agreement on a resolution.

¹⁷ HLOGA is P.L. 110-81. For additional discussion of required HLOGA rulemakings related to campaign finance, see CRS Report RL34324, *Campaign Finance: Legislative Developments and Policy Issues in the 110th Congress*, by (na me redacted).

¹⁸ On BCRA, see P.L. 107-155; 116 Stat. 81. For additional information, see CRS Report RL31402, *Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002: Summary and Comparison with Previous Law*, by (name redacted) and (name red acted). Cantor is now retired from CRS. Contact (name redacted) with questions regarding Mr. Cantor's portfolio.

¹⁹ For additional discussion, see CRS Report R40091, *Campaign Finance: Potential Legislative and Policy Issues for the 111th Congress*, by (name redacted).

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.