

Financial Services and General Government: FY2012 Appropriations Overview

name redacted

Analyst in American National Government

July 27, 2011

Congressional Research Service

7-.... www.crs.gov R41655

Most Recent Developments

On July 7, 2011, the House Appropriations Committee reported H.R. 2434, the Financial Services and General Government Appropriations Act, 2012.¹ H.R. 2434 would provide \$42.97 billion for agencies funded through the House FSGG appropriations subcommittee. In addition, H.R. 2112, the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, 2012, would provide \$172 million for CFTC. Total FY2012 funding provided by the House would be \$43.14 billion, about \$5.58 billion below the President's FY2012 request and \$1.55 billion less than FY2011 enacted amounts. **Table 1**, below, reflects the status of FSGG legislation at key points in the appropriations process.

| Subcommittee Markup | | | | | | | Conference Report Passed | | |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------|
| House | Senate | House Report | House Passage | Senate Report | Senate Passage | Conference Report | House | Senate | Public Law |
| 06/16/11 | _ | H.Rept. 112-136 | _ | | | _ | _ | | _ |

| Table 1. Status of FY2012 Financial Services and General |
|--|
| Government Appropriations |

Introduction

The House and Senate Committees on Appropriations reorganized their subcommittee structures in early 2007. Each chamber created a new FSGG Subcommittee. In the House, the jurisdiction of the FSGG Subcommittee was formed primarily of agencies that had been under the jurisdiction of the Subcommittee on Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, the District of Columbia, and Independent Agencies, commonly referred to as "TTHUD."² In addition, the House FSGG Subcommittee was assigned four independent agencies that had been under the jurisdiction of the Science, State, Justice, Commerce, and Related Agencies Subcommittee.³

In the Senate, the jurisdiction of the new FSGG Subcommittee was a combination of agencies from the jurisdiction of three previously existing subcommittees. The District of Columbia, which had its own subcommittee in the 109th Congress, was placed under the purview of the FSGG Subcommittee, as were four independent agencies that had been under the jurisdiction of the

¹ U.S. Congress, House Appropriations Committee, *Financial Services and General Government Appropriations Bill,* 2012, report to accompany H.R. 2434, 112th Cong., 1st Sess., H.Rept. 112-136, at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CRPT-112hrpt136/pdf/CRPT-112hrpt136.pdf.

² The agencies previously under the jurisdiction of the TTHUD Subcommittee that did not become part of the FSGG Subcommittee were the Department of Transportation, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, the Federal Maritime Commission, the National Transportation Safety Board, the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, and the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness.

³ The agencies are the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and the Small Business Administration (SBA).

Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Subcommittee.⁴ Additionally, most of the agencies that had been under the jurisdiction of the Subcommittee on Transportation, Treasury, the Judiciary, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies were assigned to the FSGG Subcommittee.⁵ As a result of this reorganization, the House and Senate FSGG Subcommittees have nearly identical jurisdictions.⁶

Overview

The Financial Services and General Government (FSGG) appropriations bill includes funding for the Department of the Treasury, the Executive Office of the President (EOP), the judiciary, the District of Columbia, and more than two dozen independent agencies. For each title of the regular FSGG appropriations bill, **Table 2** lists the enacted amounts for FY2010 and FY2011, the President's FY2012 request, and amounts approved by the House Appropriations Committee for FY2012.

| | (in millions o | f dollars) | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Title | FY2010 Enacted | FY2011 Enacted | FY2012 Request | FY2012 House Committee | | |
| Title I: Department of the Treasury | \$13,465 | \$13,097 | \$14,040 | \$12,168 | | |
| Title II: Executive Office of the President | 772 | 706 | 740 | 640 | | |
| Title III: The Judiciary | 6,871 | 6,907 | 7,289 | 6,759 | | |
| Title IV: District of Columbia | 752 | 699 | 717 | 637 | | |
| Title V: Independent Agencies | 24,585 | 23,280 | 25,937 | 22,936 | | |
| Total | \$46,444 | \$44,689 | \$48,722 | \$43,140 | | |

Table 2. Financial Services and General Government Appropriations,FY2010-FY2012

Sources: Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Div. C, P.L. 111-117); Appendix, U.S. Government Budget, FY2011; S.Rept. 111-238; Appendix, U.S. Government Budget, FY2012; H.R. 1473; H.Rept. 112-136.

Note: Totals include funding for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC). The CFTC is funded in the House through the Agriculture appropriations bill and in the Senate through the Financial Services and General Government bill.

⁴ The agencies are the FCC, FTC, SEC, and SBA.

⁵ The agencies that did not transfer from TTHUD to FSGG were Transportation, HUD, the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, the Federal Maritime Commission, the National Transportation Safety Board, the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, and the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness.

⁶ The Commodity Futures Trading Commission is under the jurisdiction of the FSGG Subcommittee in the Senate but not in the House.

FY2012 Appropriations by Title

Title I: The Department of the Treasury⁷

The Treasury Department performs a variety of critical governmental functions. They can be summarized as protecting the nation's financial system against a host of illicit activities (e.g., money laundering and terrorist financing), collecting tax revenue, enforcing tax laws, managing and accounting for federal debt, administering the federal government's finances, regulating financial institutions, and producing and distributing coins and currency.

At its most basic level of organization, Treasury consists of departmental offices and operating bureaus. In general, the offices are responsible for formulating and implementing policy initiatives and managing Treasury's operations, whereas the bureaus perform specific tasks assigned to Treasury, mainly through statutory mandates. In the past decade or so, the bureaus have accounted for more than 95% of the agency's funding and work force.

With one exception, the bureaus and offices can be divided into those engaged in financial management and regulation and those engaged in law enforcement. In recent decades, the Comptroller of the Currency, U.S. Mint, Bureau of Engraving and Printing, Financial Management Service (FMS), Bureau of the Public Debt (BPD), Community Development Financial Institutions Fund (CDFI), and Office of Thrift Supervision have taken on responsibilities related to the management of the federal government's finances or the supervision and regulation of the U.S. financial system. In contrast, law enforcement arguably has been the primary focus of the responsibilities handled by the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (ATB), Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN), and the Treasury Forfeiture Fund. With the advent of the Department of Homeland Security in 2002, Treasury's direct involvement in law enforcement has shrunk considerably. An exception to this simplified dichotomy is the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), whose main responsibilities encompass both the collection of tax revenue and the enforcement of tax laws and regulations.

The operating budget for most Treasury bureaus and offices comes largely from annual appropriations. This is the case for the IRS, FMS, Bureau of Public Debt (BPD), FinCEN, ATB, Office of the Inspector General (OIG), Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA), Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program (SIGTARP), and the CDFI. By contrast, funding for the Treasury Franchise Fund, the U.S. Mint, the Bureau of Engraving and Printing, Office of the Comptroller of the Treasury, and the Office of Thrift Supervision stems from the fees they receive from the services and products they provide.

The President requested \$14.04 billion in total for the Treasury Department for FY2012, an increase of \$573 million over FY2010 enacted funding and \$924 million above FY2011 enacted amounts. **Table 3** lists the enacted amounts for FY2010 and FY2011, the President's FY2012 request, and amounts approved by the House Appropriations Committee for FY2012.

⁷ This section was authored by (name redacted) (x7-....).

| | (in millions of dollars) | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | FY2010 Enacted | FY2011 Enacted | FY2012 Request | FY2012 House Committee | | |
| Departmental Offices | \$305 | \$306 | \$325 | \$186 | | |
| Department-wide Systems and Capital Investments | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Terrorism and Financial Intelligence | — | — | — | 100 | | |
| Office of Inspector General | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | | |
| Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration | 152 | 152 | 158 | 152 | | |
| Special Inspector General for TARP | 23 | 36 | 47 | 42 | | |
| Community Development Financial Institutions Fund | 247 | 227 | 227 | 183 | | |
| Financial Crimes Enforcement Network | 111 | 111 | 84 | 111 | | |
| Financial Management Service | 244 | 233 | 219 | 217 | | |
| Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau | 103 | 101 | 98 | 97 | | |
| Bureau of the Public Debt | 182 | 175 | 166 | 164 | | |
| Payment for Losses in Shipment | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Internal Revenue Service (total) | 12,146 | 12,122 | I 3,284 | 11,516 | | |
| Taxpayer Services | 2,279 | 2,274 | 2,345 | 2,166 | | |
| Enforcement | 4,904 | 5,493 | 5,031 | 5,227 | | |
| Enhanced Tax Enforcement | 600 | 0 | 1,257 | 0 | | |
| Operations Support Activities | 4,084 | 4,076 | 4,299 | 3,794 | | |
| Business Systems Modernization | 264 | 264 | 334 | 330 | | |
| Health Insurance Tax Credit Administration | 16 | 16 | 18 | 0 | | |
| Rescissions: Treasury Forfeiture Fund | (-90) | (-400) | (-600) | (-630) | | |
| Total | \$13,465 | \$13,097 | \$14,040 | \$12,168 | | |

Table 3. Department of the Treasury Appropriations, FY2010-FY2012

Sources: Appendix, Budget of the U.S. Government, FY2012, H.Rept. 112-136.

Title II: Executive Office of the President⁸

The FSGG appropriations bill provides funding for all but three offices under the EOP.⁹ The White House, the Office of Management and Budget, and the Office of National Drug Control

⁸ This section was authored by Barbara Schwemle (x7-....).

⁹ Of the three exceptions, the Council on Environmental Quality and the Office of Environmental Quality are funded in the House and Senate Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act. The Office of Science and (continued...)

Policy are among the EOP offices funded through FSGG appropriations. Table 4 lists the enacted amounts for FY2010 and FY2011, the President's FY2012 request, and amounts approved by the House Appropriations Committee for FY2012.

| | FY2010 Enacted | FY2011 Enacted | FY2012 Request | FY2012 House Committee |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| The White House (total) | \$208 | \$207 | \$207 | \$195 |
| Compensation of the President | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| The White House Office (salaries and expenses) | 59 | 58 | 58 | 56 |
| Executive Residence, White House (operating expenses) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| White House Repair and Restoration | 3 | 2 | I | I |
| Council of Economic Advisers | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| National Security Council and Homeland Security Council | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| Office of Administration | 115 | 115 | 116 | 109 |
| Office of Management and Budget | 93 | 92 | 92 | 83 |
| Federal Drug Control Programs (total) | 428 | 406 | 356 | 352 |
| Office of National Drug Control Policy | 30 | 27 | 12 | 12 |
| High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program | 239 | 239 | 200 | 239 |
| Other Federal Drug Control Programs | 154 | 141 | 144 | 102 |
| Counterdrug Technology Assessment Center | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jnanticipated Needs | I | I | I | 0 |
| Partnership Fund for Program Integrity nnovation | 38 | (-5) | 20 | 0 |
| ntegrated, Efficient and Effective Uses of nformation Technology | — | 0 | 60 | 5 |
| Special Assistance to the President (salaries and expenses) | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Official Residence of the Vice President (operating expenses) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total: EOP and Funds Appropriated to the President | \$ 772 | \$706 | \$740 | \$640 |

Table 4. Executive Office of the President, FY2010-FY2012

Sources: Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Div. C, P.L. 111-117), FY2011 Budget, Appendix, pp. 1145-1156 and 1267-1269, U.S. Executive Office of the President, Fiscal Year 2011 Congressional Budget Submission

(...continued)

Technology Policy and the Office of the United States Trade Representative are funded in the House and Senate Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act.

(Washington: February 2010), FY2012 Budget Appendix, pp. 1107-1118 and pp. 1235-1237, and U.S. Executive Office of the President, Fiscal Year 2012 Congressional Budget Submission (Washington: February 2011), H.Rept. 112-136.

Note: FY2011 enacted rescission was applied to the Partnership fund for program integrity account. FY2012 rescission for both the President's request and House committee approved amounts would apply to the Office of National Drug Control Policy.

Title III: The Judiciary¹⁰

Appropriations for the judiciary—about two-tenths of 1% (0.2%) of the entire federal budget are divided into budget groups and accounts. Two accounts that fund the Supreme Court (salaries and expenses of the Court and expenditures for the care of its building and grounds) together total about 1% of the total judiciary budget. The structural and mechanical care of the Supreme Court building, and care of its grounds, are the responsibility of the Architect of the Capitol. The rest of the judiciary's budget provides funding for the "lower" federal courts and related judicial services. The largest account, about 73% of the total budget-the Salaries and Expenses account for the U.S. Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services—covers the salaries of circuit and district judges (including judges of the territorial courts of the United States), justices and judges retired from office or from regular active service, judges of the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, bankruptcy judges, magistrate judges, and other officers and employees of the federal judiciary not specifically provided for by other accounts. It also covers the necessary expenses of the courts. The remaining 26% of the judiciary budget is disbursed among these accounts: U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, U.S. Court of International Trade, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Federal Judicial Center, U.S. Sentencing Commission, and Judicial Retirement Funds.

The judiciary budget does not fund three "special courts" in the U.S. court system: the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (funded in the Department of Defense appropriations bill), the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims (funded in the Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies appropriations bill), and the U.S. Tax Court (funded under Independent Agencies, Title V, of the FSGG bill). Federal courthouse construction is funded within the General Services account under Independent Agencies, Title V, of the FSGG bill.

The judiciary also uses non-appropriated funds to offset its appropriations requirement. The majority of these non-appropriated funds are from fee collections, primarily from court filing fees. These monies are used to offset expenses within the Salaries and Expenses account. In some instances, the judiciary also has funds which may carry forward from one year to the next. These funds are considered "unencumbered" because they result from savings from the judiciary's financial plan in areas where budgeted costs did not materialize. According to the judiciary, such savings are usually not under its control (e.g., the judiciary has no control over the confirmation rate of Article III judges and must make its best estimate on the needed funds to budget for judgeships, rent costs based on delivery dates, and technology funding for certain programs).

The judiciary also has "encumbered" funds—no-year authority funds for specific purposes, which are used when planned expenses are delayed, from one year to the next (e.g., costs associated with space delivery, and certain technology needs and projects).¹¹

¹⁰ This section was authored by Lorraine Tong (x7-....).

¹¹ Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, *The Judiciary Fiscal Year 2011 Congressional Budget Summary* (continued...)

As a co-equal branch of government, the judiciary presents its budget to the President, who transmits it to Congress unaltered. The President's FY2012 budget request for \$7.29 billion is \$423 million more than appropriated for FY2010 and \$387 million above FY2011 enacted amounts. **Table 5** lists the enacted amounts for FY2010 and FY2011, the President's FY2012 request, and amounts approved by the House Appropriations Committee for FY2012.

| | FY2010 Enacted | FY2011 Enacted | FY2012 Request | FY2012 House Committee |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Total: Supreme Court (total)ª | \$89 | \$82 | \$84 | \$83 |
| Salaries and Expenses | 74 | 74 | 75 | 75 |
| Building and Grounds | 15 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit | 33 | 33 | 35 | 31 |
| U.S. Court of International Trade | 21 | 21 | 23 | 21 |
| Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services (Subtotal) | 6,519 | 6,554 | 6,913 | 6,403 |
| Salaries and Expenses | 5,011 | 5,004 | 5,236 | 4,791 |
| Defender Services | 978 | 1,026 | 1,099 | 1,050 |
| Fees of Jurors and Commissioners | 62 | 52 | 60 | 57 |
| Court Security | 453 | 467 | 513 | 500 |
| Vaccine Injury Trust Fund | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts | 83 | 83 | 88 | 80 |
| Federal Judicial Center | 27 | 27 | 29 | 26 |
| United States Sentencing Commission | 17 | 17 | 18 | 16 |
| Judicial Retirement Funds | 82 | 90 | 99 | 99 |
| Total: The Judiciary | \$6,871 ª | \$6,907 | \$7,289 | \$6,759 |

Table 5. The Judiciary Appropriations, FY2010-FY2012 (in millions of dollars)

Sources: Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Division C, P.L. 111-117); The Judiciary Fiscal Year 2011 Congressional Budget Summary; The Judiciary Fiscal Year 2012 Congressional Budget Summary, H.Rept. 112-136.

a. Total for the FY2010 enacted amount reflects \$10 million (to remain available until September 30, 2011) to assist the federal courts along the southwest border with increased workload, as part of P.L. 111-230 (FY2010 emergency supplemental appropriations for border security, and for other purposes).

Title IV: District of Columbia¹²

The authority for congressional review and approval of the District of Columbia's budget is derived from the Constitution and the District of Columbia Self-Government and Government

^{(...}continued)

⁽Washington: February 2010), pp. 40-41. Hereafter cited as Judiciary FY2011 Congressional Budget Summary.

¹² This section was authored by (name redacted) (x7-...).

Reorganization Act of 1973 (Home Rule Act).¹³ The Constitution gives Congress the power to "exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever" pertaining to the District of Columbia. In 1973, Congress granted the city limited home rule authority and empowered citizens of the District to elect a mayor and city council. However, Congress retained the authority to review and approve all District laws, including the District's annual budget. As required by the Home Rule Act, the city council must approve a budget within 56 days after receiving a budget proposal from the mayor.¹⁴ The approved budget must then be transmitted to the President, who forwards it to Congress for its review, modification, and approval.¹⁵

The President's FY2012 budget request includes \$717 million for special federal payments to the District, a decrease of \$35 million below FY2010 enacted levels and \$17 million more than enacted in FY2011. **Table 6** lists the enacted amounts for FY2010 and FY2011, the President's FY2012 request, and amounts approved by the House Appropriations Committee for FY2012.

| | (in millions of dollars) | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | FY2010 Enacted | FY2011 Enacted | FY2012 Request | FY2012 House Committee | | |
| Resident Tuition Support | \$35 | \$35 | \$35 | \$30 | | |
| Emergency Planning and Security | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | | |
| District of Columbia Courts | 261 | 243 | 229 | 224 | | |
| Defender Services | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | | |
| Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency | 212 | 212 | 217 | 213 | | |
| Public Defender Service | 37 | 37 | 42 | 37 | | |
| Criminal Justice Coordinating Council | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Judicial Commissions | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | | |
| St. Elizabeth Hospital Campus | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | | |
| HIV/AIDS Prevention | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | | |
| Water and Sewer Authority | 20 | 11 | 25 | 0 | | |
| Office of the Chief Financial Officer | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| School Improvement | 75 | 78 | 67 | 60 | | |
| D.C. National Guard | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 | | |
| Perm. Supportive Housing | 17 | 10 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Arts and Humanities | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | | |
| Total: Special Federal Payments | \$752 | \$699 | \$717 | \$637 | | |

Table 6. District of Columbia Special Federal Payments, FY2010-FY2012

Sources: H.Rept. 111-202; Appendix, Budget of U.S. Government Budget, Fiscal Year 2011; S.Rept. 111-238; Appendix, Budget of the U.S. Government, FY2012, H.Rept. 112-136.

¹⁵ 87 Stat. 801.

¹³ See Article I, Sec. 8, clause 17 of the U.S. Constitution and Section 446 of P.L. 93-198, 87 Stat. 801.

¹⁴ 120 Stat. 2028.

Title V: Independent Agencies¹⁶

Title V provides funding for more than two dozen independent agencies which perform a wide range of functions, including the management of federal real property (GSA), the regulation of financial institutions (SEC), and mail delivery (USPS). The President's FY2012 budget request included \$26.89 billion for independent agencies that receive their funding through the FSGG appropriations bill, an increase of \$2.31 billion over FY2010 enacted levels and \$3.59 billion below FY2011 enacted amounts. **Table 7** lists the enacted amounts for FY2010 and FY2011, the President's FY2012 request, and amounts approved by the House Appropriations Committee for FY2012.

| | FY2010 Enacted | FY2011 Enacted | FY2012 Request | FY2012 House Committee |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Administrative Conference of the United States | \$2 | \$3 | \$3 | \$3 |
| Christopher Columbus Fellowship Foundation | I | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Civilian Property Realignment Board | — | _ | 88 | — |
| Commodity Futures Trading Commission ^a | 169 | 203 | 308 | 172 |
| Consumer Product Safety Commission | 118 | 115 | 122 | 111 |
| Election Assistance Commission | 93 | 16 | 14 | 7 |
| Federal Communications Commission | 0 | (336) | (359) | (319) |
| Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation: Office of Inspector General (by transfer) ^b | 38 | (43) | (45) | (45) |
| Federal Election Commission | 67 | 66 | 67 | 66 |
| Federal Labor Relations Authority | 25 | 25 | 26 | 24 |
| Federal Trade Commission | 169 | 175 | 199 | 155 |
| General Services Administration | 653 | -986 | 617 | -1,758 |
| Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation | I | I | 0 | I |
| Merit Systems Protection Board | 43 | 43 | 44 | 42 |
| Morris K. Udall Foundation | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| National Archives and Records Administration | 457 | 417 | 408 | 360 |
| National Credit Union Administration | I | I | 2 | 0.5 |
| Office of Government Ethics | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Office of Personnel Management (total) | 20,378 | 20,828 | 21,151 | 21,128 |
| Office of Special Counsel | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 |
| Postal Regulatory Commission | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |

Table 7. Independent Agencies Appropriations, FY2010-FY2012 (in millions of dollars)

¹⁶ This section was authored by (name redacted) (x7-...).

| | FY2010 Enacted | FY2011 Enacted | FY2012 Request | FY2012 House Committee |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board | 2 | Ι | 2 | -1 |
| Securities and Exchange Commission | 1,095 | 1,185 | I,407 | 1,185 |
| Selective Service System | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 |
| Small Business Administration | 824 | 730 | 985 | 978 |
| United States Postal Service | 363 | 331 | 323 | 316 |
| United States Tax Court | 49 | 52 | 60 | 51 |
| Total: Independent Agencies | \$24,585 | \$23,280 | \$25,937 | \$22,936 |

Sources: Consolidated Appropriations Act, FY2010 (Div. C, P.L. 111-117); Appendix, Budget of the U.S. Government, FY2011; H.Rept. 111-181; S.Rept. 111-238; Appendix, Budget of the U.S. Government, FY2012, H.Rept. 112-136.

Notes: All figures are rounded, and columns also may not equal the total due to rounding.

- a. The CFTC is funded in the House through the Agriculture appropriations bill and in the Senate through the Financial Services and General Government bill.
- b. Budget authority transferred to FDIC is not included in total FSGG appropriations; it is counted as part of the budget authority in the appropriation account from which it came.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted) Analyst in American National Government /redacted/@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

| Key Policy | y Staff |
|------------|---------|
|------------|---------|

| Area of Expertise | Name | Phone E-mail |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|
| Department of the Treasury | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Executive Office of the President | Barbara Schwemle | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Judiciary | Lorraine Tong | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| District of Columbia | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Civilian Property Realignment Board | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Consumer Product Safety Commission | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Election Assistance Commission | Kevin Coleman | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| E-Government Fund in GSA | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Executive Office of the President | Barbara Schwemle | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Federal Communications Commission | Patty Figliola | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation: OIG | Darryl Getter | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Federal Election Commission | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |

| Area of Expertise | Name | Phone E-mail |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|
| Federal Labor Relations Authority | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Federal Trade Commission | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| General Services Administration | (n ame redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Merit Systems Protection Board | Barbara Schwemle | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| National Archives and Records Administration | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| National Credit Union Administration | Darryl Getter | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Office of Personnel Management | Barbara Schwemle | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Office of Special Counsel | Barbara Schwemle | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Securities and Exchange Commission | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Selective Service System | David Burrelli | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Small Business Administration | Oscar Gonzalez | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| | Robert Dilger | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| U.S. Postal Service | Kevin Kosar | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Government-wide General Provisions | Barbara Schwemle | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Competitive Sourcing | (name redacted) | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |
| Cuba | Mark Sullivan | 7 /redacted/@crs.loc.gov |

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.