Recess Appointments: Frequently Asked Questions

Henry B. Hogue
Analyst in American National Government

December 12, 2011

Congressional Research Service
7-5700
www.crs.gov
RS21308

CRS Report for Congress
Prepared for Members and Committees of Congress

Summary

Under the Constitution (Article II, §2, clause 2), the President and the Senate share the power to make appointments to high-level policy-making positions in federal departments, agencies, boards, and commissions. Generally, the President nominates individuals to these positions, and the Senate must confirm them before he can appoint them to office. The Constitution also provides an exception to this process. When the Senate is in recess, the President may make a temporary appointment, called a recess appointment, to any such position without Senate approval (Article II, §2, clause 3). This report supplies brief answers to some frequently asked questions regarding recess appointments. It will be updated as events warrant.

Contents

Contacts

What Is the Purpose of a Recess Appointment?

The Constitution states that "[t]he President shall have Power to fill up all Vacancies that may happen during the Recess of the Senate, by granting Commissions which shall expire at the End of their next Session" (Article 2, §2, clause 3). The records of debate at the Constitutional Convention and the Federalist Papers provide little evidence of the framers' intentions in the recess appointment clause. Opinions by later Attorneys General, however, suggested that the clause was meant to allow the President to maintain the continuity of administrative government through the temporary filling of offices during periods when the Senate was not in session, at which time his nominees could not be considered or confirmed.[1] This interpretation was bolstered by the fact that both houses of Congress had relatively short sessions and long recesses between sessions during the early years of the republic. In fact, until the beginning of the 20th century, Congress was, on average, in session less than half the year. Throughout the history of the republic, Presidents have also sometimes used the recess appointment power for political reasons. For example, recess appointments enable the President to temporarily install an appointee who probably would not be confirmed by the Senate.

How Often Have Recent Presidents Made Recess Appointments?

President William J. Clinton made 139 recess appointments, 95 to full-time positions. President George W. Bush made 171 recess appointments, of which 99 were to full-time positions.[2] As of December 8, 2011, President Barack Obama had made 28 recess appointments, all to full-time positions.

What Is a "Session"?

For the purposes of the recess appointment clause, the word "session" refers to the period between the reconvening of the Senate after a sine die adjournment and the next sine die adjournment. The Twentieth Amendment to the Constitution provides that Congress will meet annually on January 3, "unless they shall by law appoint a different day."[3] Generally, a session of the Senate begins on that day and continues until sine die adjournment, usually in the fall.[4] The Senate could be called back into session after sine die adjournment if certain conditions have been included in the adjournment resolution. Nonetheless, sine die adjournment is generally considered to be the end of the Senate's session for purposes of the expiration of a recess appointment.[5]

[1] An opinion by Attorney General William Wirt in 1823 stated, in part, "The substantial purpose of the constitution was to keep these offices filled; and the powers adequate to this purpose were intended to be conveyed." 1 Op. A.G. at 632.

[2] For more, see CRS Report RL33310, *Recess Appointments Made by President George W. Bush*, by Henry B. Hogue and Maureen Bearden.

[3] U.S. Constitution, 20th Amend., §2.

[4] Congress can also meet in extraordinary session; this last happened in the 1940s.

[5] See, for example, 41 Op. A.G. 463 (1960), which, in the context of a discussion of the expiration of recess appointments, refers to sine die adjournment at the end of a Senate session.

What Is a "Recess"?

Generally, a recess is a break in House or Senate proceedings. Neither chamber may take a break of more than three days without the consent of the other.[6] Such consent is usually provided through a concurrent resolution.[7] A recess within a session is referred to as an *intrasession* recess. In recent decades, Congress has typically had 5-11 intrasession recesses of more than three days, usually in conjunction with national holidays. The break between the end of one session and the beginning of the next is referred to as an *intersession* recess. In recent decades, each Congress has consisted of two 9-12 month sessions separated by an intersession recess. The period between the second session of one Congress and the first session of the following Congress is also an intersession recess.

Recent Presidents have made both intersession and intrasession recess appointments. Intrasession recess appointments were unusual, however, prior to the 1940s, in part because intrasession recesses were less common at that time. Intrasession recess appointments have sometimes provoked controversy in the Senate, and some academic literature also has called their legitimacy into question.[8] Legal opinions have also varied on this issue over time. In general, however, recent opinions have supported the President's use of the recess appointment authority during intrasession recesses.[9] Intrasession recess appointments are usually of longer duration than intersession recess appointments. (See below, "How Long Does a Recess Appointment Last?")

How Long Must the Senate Be in Recess Before a President May Make a Recess Appointment?

The Constitution does not specify the length of time that the Senate must be in recess before the President may make a recess appointment. Over time, the Department of Justice has offered differing views on this question, and no settled understanding appears to exist. In 1993, however, a Department of Justice brief implied that the President may make a recess appointment during a recess of more than three days.[10] In doing so, the brief linked the minimum recess length with Article I, Section 5, clause 4 of the U.S. Constitution. This "Adjournments Clause" provides that "Neither House, during the Session of Congress, shall, without the Consent of the other, adjourn for more than three days ...."[11] Arguing that the recess during which the appointment at issue in the case was made was of sufficient length, the brief stated:

---

[6] U.S. Constitution, Art. 1, §5, cl. 4.

[7] A concurrent resolution requires adoption by both houses, but does not require the President's signature.

[8] Regarding Senate controversy, see Sen. George Mitchell, "The Senate's Constitutional Authority to Advise and Consent to the Appointment of Federal Officers," *Congressional Record*, vol. 139, July 1, 1993, p. 15266; and Senate Legal Counsel, "Memorandum of United States Senate as Amicus Curiae in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion, and in Opposition to Defendants' Motions, for Summary Judgment on Count Two," U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, *Mackie v. Clinton*, Civ. Action No. 93-0032-LFO, *Congressional Record*, vol. 139, July 1, 1993, pp. 15267-15274. For academic literature, see, for example, Michael A. Carrier, "When Is the Senate in Recess for Purposes of the Recess Appointments Clause?" *Michigan Law Review*, vol. 92, June 1994.

[9] For information and analysis related to the legal landscape in this area, see CRS Report RL33009, *Recess Appointments: A Legal Overview*, by Vivian S. Chu.

[10] Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, at 24-6, *Mackie v. Clinton,* 827 F. Supp. 56 (D.D.C. 1993), *vacated as moot*, 10 F.3d 13 (D.C. Cir. 1993). Hereafter cited as "Justice Department Brief."

[11] In practice, the period has often extended to not more than four calendar days over a weekend. Under House (continued...)

> If the recess here at issue were of three days or less, a closer question would be presented. The Constitution restricts the Senate's ability to adjourn its session for more than three days without obtaining the consent of the House of Representatives. ... It might be argued that this means that the Framers did not consider one, two and three day recesses to be constitutionally significant. …
>
> Apart from the three-day requirement noted above, the Constitution provides no basis for limiting the recess to a specific number of days. Whatever number of days is deemed required, that number would of necessity be completely arbitrary.[12]

The logic of the argument laid out in this brief appears to underlie congressional practices, intended to block recess appointments, that were first implemented during the 110th Congress. (See below, "Can Congress Prevent Recess Appointments?")

Between the beginning of the Reagan presidency and the end of the George W. Bush presidency, it appears that the shortest intersession recess during which a President made a recess appointment was 11 days,[13] and the shortest intrasession recess during which a President made a recess appointment was 10 days.[14]

What Constitutes a "Vacancy"?

Historically, questions have arisen about the meaning of the constitutional phrase "Vacancies that may happen during the Recess of the Senate." Does "happen" mean "exist" or "occur"? The first meaning would allow the President to make recess appointments to any position that became vacant prior to the recess and continued to be vacant during the recess, as well as positions that became vacant during the recess. The second meaning would allow recess appointments only to positions that became vacant during the recess. Although this question was a source of controversy in the early 19th century, Attorneys General and courts have now long supported the first, broader interpretation of the phrase.[15]

(...continued)

precedents, "The House of Representatives in adjourning for not more than three days must take into the count either the day of adjourning or the day of the meeting, and Sunday is not taken into account in making this computation." U.S. Congress, House, *Constitution, Jefferson's Manual and Rules of the House of Representatives of the United States, One Hundred Twelfth Congress*, 111th Cong., 2nd sess., H.Doc. 111-157 (Washington: GPO, 2011), sec. 83. Senate practice appears to be consistent with this approach. Floyd M. Riddick and Alan S. Frumin, *Riddick's Senate Procedure: Precedents and Practices*, 101st Cong., 2nd Sess., S.Doc. 101-28 (Washington: GPO, 1992), pp. 15-16, 1265.

[12] Justice Department Brief, pp. 25-26.

[13] President Ronald W. Reagan recess appointed John C. Miller to be a member of the National Labor Relations Board on December 23, 1982, during a recess that began that day and lasted until the Senate reconvened on January 3, 1983. (U.S. President (Reagan), "Digest of Other White House Announcements," *Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents*, vol. 18 (December 23, 1982), p. 1662.)

[14] On May 31, 1996, President William J. Clinton recess appointed Johnny H. Hayes to be a member of the Tennessee Valley Authority. (U.S. President (Clinton), "Digest of Other White House Announcements," *Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents*, vol. 32 (May 31, 1996), p. 980.) The Senate had adjourned on May 24, 1996, and reconvened on June 3.

[15] For a further discussion of this controversy and a list of related opinions, see CRS Report RL33009, *Recess Appointments: A Legal Overview*, by Vivian S. Chu.

A second question regarding the meaning of "Vacancies" arises in connection with recess appointments to fixed-term positions, such as those often associated with regulatory boards and commissions. In order to promote continuity of operations, Congress has often included "holdover" provisions in the statutory language creating such positions. The question then arises whether or not a position is vacant, for the purposes of a recess appointment, if an individual is continuing to serve, under a holdover provision, past the end of his or her term. The courts have varied in their rulings on this matter, and it has not been settled definitively by an appellate court. Based on decisions to date, however, the answer appears to hinge on the specific language of the holdover provision. For example, if the language is mandatory (the officeholder "*shall* continue to serve after the expiration of his term"), rather than permissive ("*may* continue to serve"), the position has been seen by the courts as not vacant, and therefore not available for a recess appointment.[16] When the provision includes a specific time limit for the holdover, such as one year, the position has also been seen as not vacant.[17]

How Long Does a Recess Appointment Last?

A recess appointment expires at the end of the Senate's next session or when an individual (either the recess appointee or someone else) is nominated, confirmed, and permanently appointed to the position, whichever occurs first.

A recess appointment expires at the sine die adjournment of the Senate's "next session." In practice, this means that a recess appointment could last for almost two years. If the President makes a recess appointment between sessions (of the same or successive Congresses), that appointment will expire at the end of the following session. If he makes the appointment during a recess in the middle of a session, that appointment also will expire at the end of the following session. In this case, the duration of the appointment will include the rest of the session in progress plus the full length of the session that follows. At any point in a year, as a result, by making a recess appointment during an intrasession recess, the President may fill a position not just for the rest of the year, but until near the end of the following year.

A comparison of two recess appointments by President Obama illustrates the difference in recess appointment duration that results from the timing of appointments. On March 27, 2010, during an intrasession recess within the second session of the 111th Congress, the White House announced that President Obama recess appointed Alan D. Bersin to be Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection. The recess appointment of William J. Boarman to be Public Printer (head of the Government Printing Office) was announced on December 29, 2010, during the intersession recess between the end of the second session of the 111th Congress and the beginning of the first session of the 112th Congress. Both of these recess appointments expire when the Senate adjourns sine die at the end of the first session of the 112th Congress. Because of the timing of their respective appointments, however, Bersin could serve as a recess appointee as much as nine months longer than Boarman.

[16] Compare *Staebler v. Carter*, 464 F. Supp. 585 (1979), and *Wilkinson v. L.S.C.*, 865 F. Supp. 891 (1994).

[17] See *Mackie v. Clinton*, 827 F. Supp. 56 (1993).

Must a Recess Appointee Be Nominated to the Position as Well?

The President is not required to nominate the recess appointee to the appointed position. The President will sometimes use a recess appointment to fill a position while a different nominee to the same position is going through the Senate confirmation process. Under certain conditions, however, a provision of law may prevent a recess appointee from being paid from the Treasury if he or she has not been nominated to the position. (See below, "Are There Any Legal Constraints on the President's Recess Appointment Power?")

What Is the Difference Between the Authority and Pay of a Confirmed Appointee and Those of a Recess Appointee?

A confirmed appointee and a recess appointee have the same legal authority and receive the same rate of pay. However, two provisions of law may, under certain circumstances, prevent a recess appointee from being paid. (See below, "Are There Any Legal Constraints on the President's Recess Appointment Power?")

Are There Any Legal Constraints on the President's Recess Appointment Power?

There is no qualification on the President's "Power to fill up all Vacancies" in the constitutional provision. Neither is there a statutory constraint on this power. There are, however, two provisions of law that may prevent a recess appointee from being paid. Under 5 U.S.C. Section 5503(a), if the position to which the President makes a recess appointment became vacant while the Senate was in session, the recess appointee may not be paid from the Treasury until he or she is confirmed by the Senate. The salary prohibition does not apply if (1) the vacancy arose within 30 days of the end of the session; (2) a nomination for the office (other than the nomination of someone given a recess appointment during the preceding recess) was pending when the Senate recessed; or (3) a nomination was rejected within 30 days of the end of the session and another individual was given the recess appointment. A recess appointment falling under any one of these three exceptions must be followed by a nomination to the position not later than 40 days after the beginning of the next session of the Senate.[18] For this reason, when a recess appointment is made, the President generally submits a new nomination to the position even when an old nomination is pending. In addition, although a recess appointee whose nomination to a full term is subsequently rejected by the Senate may continue to serve until the end of the recess appointment, a provision routinely included in an appropriations act may prevent him or her from being paid after the rejection. (See below, "What Happens If the Nomination of a Recess Appointee Is Rejected?")

[18] Congress placed limits on payments to recess appointees as far back as 1863. The current provisions date from 1940 (ch. 580, 54 Stat. 751, 5 U.S.C. 56, revised, and recodified at 5 U.S.C. 5503, by P.L. 89-554, 80 Stat. 475). For a legal history and overview of recess appointments, see CRS Report RL33009, *Recess Appointments: A Legal Overview*, by Vivian S. Chu.

What Happens If the Nomination of a Recess Appointee Is Rejected?

Rejection by the Senate does not end the recess appointment. However, a provision of the FY2008 Financial Services and General Government Appropriations Act might prevent an appointee from being paid after his or her rejection. The provision reads, "Hereafter, no part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act shall be paid to any person for the filling of any position for which he or she has been nominated after the Senate has voted not to approve the nomination of said person."[19] Similar provisions had been included in annual funding measures for most of, if not all of, the prior 50 years. As a practical matter, nominations are rarely rejected by a vote of the full Senate.

Can the President Make Successive Recess Appointments to the Same Position?

The President may make successive recess appointments of the same or a different individual to a position. Payment from the Treasury to the appointee may be limited, however, under 5 U.S.C. Section 5503. As discussed above, this section provides that if the position to which the President makes a recess appointment fell vacant while the Senate was in session, the recess appointee may not be paid from the Treasury until he or she is confirmed by the Senate. Of the three exemptions to this pay prohibition, the first and third would not apply here. The second exemption, however, provides that, "if, at the end of the session, a nomination for the office, other than the nomination of an individual appointed during the preceding recess of the Senate, was pending before the Senate for its advice and consent," the prohibition would not apply.[20] The clause "other than the nomination of an individual appointed during the preceding recess of the Senate" probably would prevent payment in the case of most successive recess appointments. This interpretation has been supported by the Department of Justice, which stated in 1991, "Although its language is far from clear, Section 5503(a) has been interpreted as prohibiting the payment of compensation to successive recess appointees."[21]

Can a Recess Appointment Be Used to Fill a Vacancy on the Federal Bench?

Presidents have long made recess appointments to the federal judiciary. In recent years, however, recess appointments of federal judges have been unusual and controversial. Over the past 25 years, there have been only three recess appointments to fill Article III judgeships. President William J. Clinton recess appointed Roger L. Gregory to the Fourth Circuit on December 27, 2000, a step that met some opposition in the Senate. Ultimately, Gregory was re-nominated by President George W. Bush and confirmed by the Senate. On January 16, 2004, President Bush recess appointed Charles W. Pickering to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. Pickering's appointment expired at the end of the second session of the 108th Congress, and he

[19] P.L. 110-161, Div. D, §709; 121 Stat. 2021.

[20] 5 U.S.C. §5503(a)(2).

[21] 15 Op. O.L.C. 93 (1991). See also 6 Op. O.L.C. 585 (1982); 41 Op. A.G. 463 (1960).

retired.[22] On February 20, 2004, President Bush named William H. Pryor to the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals. Pryor was subsequently confirmed by the Senate.[23]

Can Congress Prevent Recess Appointments?

From the 110th Congress onward, new scheduling practices have arisen that appear intended to prevent the President from making recess appointments. One set of practices was implemented by the Senate alone; no unusual action or inaction by the House was necessary. A second, related set of practices, which developed in the 112th Congress, arose from the lack of a concurrent resolution of adjournment, which can result from a lack of consent by either the House or the Senate.

As noted above, the Constitution does not specify the length of time that the Senate must be in recess before the President may make a recess appointment. Over the last century, as shorter recesses have become more commonplace, the Department of Justice has offered differing views on this issue. A 1993 Justice Department brief implied that the President may make a recess appointment during a recess of more than three days.[24] (See above, "How Long Must the Senate Be in Recess Before a President May Make a Recess Appointment?")

Practices Implemented Unilaterally by the Senate

The logic of the argument laid out in the Justice Department brief appears to underlie the congressional practices that were first implemented during the 110th Congress.[25] From November 2007 through the end of the George W. Bush presidency, the Senate structured its recesses in a way that was intended, at least initially, to prevent the President from making recess appointments.[26] The approach involved the use of pro forma sessions, which are short meetings of

---

[22] Adam Liptak, "Judge Appointed by Bush After Impasse in Senate Retires," *New York Times*, December 10, 2004, p. A20.

[23] For more, see CRS Report RL32971, *Judicial Recess Appointments: A Legal Overview*, by T. J. Halstead.

[24] *Mackie v. Clinton*, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, at 24-26, Civ. Action No. 93-0032-LFO, (D.D.C. 1993).

[25] It appears that this practice was considered, but not implemented, during the 1980s and 1990s. In response to certain recess appointments by President William J. Clinton in 1999, one Republican Senator reportedly stated, "'What we can do—if they're appointments that he should not make—is just not go into recess .... We'll just go into pro forma. You're in session, theoretically, but there's no votes'" (Dave Boyer, "Clinton Warned Against Recess Appointments; GOP Senators May Not Adjourn," *Washington Times*, November 5, 1999, p. A1). In remarks on the Senate floor, the Senator indicated that a threat of this practice had been part of recess appointment negotiations in 1985 between Senator Robert C. Byrd and President Ronald W. Reagan: "He [Byrd] extracted from him [Reagan] a commitment in writing that he would not make recess appointments and, if it should become necessary because of extraordinary circumstances to make recess appointments, that he would have to give the list to the majority leader ... in sufficient time in advance that they could prepare for it either by agreeing in advance to the confirmation of that appointment or by not going into recess and staying in pro forma so the recess appointments could not take place" (Senator James M. Inhofe, "Recess Appointments," remarks in the Senate, *Congressional Record*, vol. 145, part 163 (November 17, 1999), p. 29915).

[26] Although, as described here, the Senate Majority Leader initially indicated that the use of pro forma sessions was intended to prevent the President from making recess appointments, on at least one other occasion, he provided another reason for using these sessions. On September 17, 2008, he announced, with regard to the Senate, "We are going to have to get some committee hearings underway, which is why we are not going to adjourn. We will be in pro forma session so committees can still meet, though we won't have any activities here on the floor as relates to these markets." (Sen. Harry Reid, "The Economy," remarks in the Senate, *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 154 (September 17, 2008), p. S8907.)

the Senate or the House held for the purpose of avoiding a recess of more than three days and therefore the necessity of obtaining the consent of the other House. Normally, it is understood that during a pro forma session no business will be conducted.[27]

On November 16, 2007, the Senate Majority Leader announced that the Senate would "be coming in for pro forma sessions during the Thanksgiving holiday to prevent recess appointments."[28] The Senate recessed later that day and pro forma meetings were convened on November 20, 23, 27, and 29, with no business conducted. The Senate next conducted business after reconvening on December 3, 2007. During the remainder of 2007 and 2008, similar procedures were followed during most other periods that would otherwise have been Senate recesses of a week or longer in duration.[29]

The Senate pro forma session practice appears to have achieved its stated intent: President Bush made no recess appointments between the initial pro forma sessions in November 2007 and the end of his presidency.

These procedures were not used during the first session of the 111th Congress, but were again employed during the latter part of the second session; the Senate structured its 2010 pre-election break as a series of shorter recesses separated by pro forma sessions.

The procedures used by the Senate during the 110th Congress supplemented the adjournment procedures typically used by the House and Senate. In each of the instances where the pro forma session practice was used during the 110th Congress, the two chambers also adopted a concurrent resolution of adjournment. In each case, the schedule of pro forma sessions was established in the Senate by unanimous consent within the terms provided for in the concurrent resolution.[30]

Senate Practices Necessitated by the Absence of House Consent to Adjourn

During the first few months of the 112th Congress, the House and Senate passed concurrent resolutions of adjournment prior to periods of absence of more than three days. Throughout this period, the Senate did not use the pro forma session practice during the resulting recesses.

---

[27] Business has sometimes been conducted during pro forma sessions, however. For example, by unanimous consent, the Senate agreed, on August 2, 2011, that it would "recess and convene for pro forma session only, with no business conducted" on a number of dates in August and early September, including August 5, 2011 (Sen. Harry Reid, "Orders for Friday, August 5 through Tuesday, September 6, 2011," remarks in the Senate, *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 157 (August 2, 2011), p. S5292). On August 5, 2011, the Senate convened as scheduled and, by unanimous consent, passed the Airport and Airway Extension Act of 2011, Part IV (Sen. Jim Webb, "Airport and Airway Extension Act of 2011, Part IV" remarks in the Senate, *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 157 (August 5, 2011), p. S5297).

[28] Sen. Harry Reid, "Recess Appointments," remarks in the Senate, *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 153 (November 16, 2007), p. S14609.

[29] For further information on the use of the practice during the Bush Administration, see CRS Report RL33310, *Recess Appointments Made by President George W. Bush*, by Henry B. Hogue and Maureen Bearden.

[30] For example, H.Con.Res. 259 (110th Congress) provided that, "when the Senate recesses or adjourns on any day from Thursday, November 15, 2007, through Thursday, November 29, 2007, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand recessed or adjourned until noon on Monday, December 3, 2007, or such other time on that day as may be specified by its Majority Leader or his designee in the motion to recess or adjourn ...." The series of pro forma sessions established by the Senate prior to its period of absence around this time concluded with a pro forma session on November 29, 2007, the last date upon which the Senate could adjourn under the resolution.

During the middle of the first session of the 112th Congress, a new related practice appeared to emerge. On May 25, 2011, in a letter to Speaker of the House John Boehner, 20 Senators urged him "to refuse to pass any resolution to allow the Senate to recess or adjourn for more than three days for the remainder of the president's term."[31] The letter stated that "President Obama has used recess appointments to fill powerful positions with individuals whose views are so outside the mainstream that they cannot be confirmed by the Senate of the United States," and it referred to the Senate practices of 2007 as "a successful attempt to thwart President Bush's recess appointment powers." The request of the Senators appeared intended to similarly block President Obama from using the recess appointment power.

In a June 15, 2011 letter to the Speaker of the House, the House majority leader, and the House majority whip, 78 Representatives requested that "all appropriate measures be taken to prevent any and all recess appointments by preventing the Senate from officially recessing for the remainder of the 112th Congress."[32]

As of December 8, 2011, no concurrent resolution of adjournment had been introduced in either chamber since May 12, 2011. During periods of extended absence, the Senate has used pro forma sessions to avoid recesses of more than three days.[33]

As of December 8, 2011, President Obama had not made any recess appointments during one of the periods of extended Senate absence during which pro forma sessions have been held.

On at least two occasions in the past, the President has made recess appointments during recesses of three days or less between sessions. On one of these occasions, the President made a recess appointment during an intersession recess of three days or less, where the Senate had adjourned sine die under the terms of a concurrent resolution. The adjournment began when the Senate adjourned the second session of the 80th Congress sine die on December 31, 1948, and concluded when the first session of the 81st Congress was convened on January 3, 1949. On January 1, 1949, during this three-day adjournment between sessions, official records indicate that President Harry S Truman recess appointed Oswald Ryan to be a Member of the Civil Aeronautics Board.[34] Ryan had been serving on the board, and President Truman appointed him to a new term. Notably, the adoption of a concurrent resolution prior to this short intersession recess distinguishes it from the short intrasession recesses resulting from practices during the 112th Congress, where no concurrent resolution had been introduced.

On the other of the two occasions, the President made recess appointments during a transition between sessions of less than a day in length, where no concurrent resolution regarding the transition between sessions had been adopted. In fact, it appears that little time elapsed between the sessions on this occasion. When the first session of the 58th Congress ended, at noon on December 7, 1903, and the second session began soon thereafter, President Theodore Roosevelt

[31] U.S. Congress, Senate, Senator David Vitter, "Vitter, DeMint Urge House to Block Controversial Recess Appointments," press release, May 25, 2011, available at http://vitter.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=PressRoom.PressReleases&ContentRecord_id=290b81a7-802a-23ad-4359-6d2436e2eb77&Region_id=&Issue_id=.

[32] U.S. Congress, House, Representative Jeff Landry, letter to the Speaker of the House John Boehner, et al., June 15, 2011, available at http://landry.house.gov/sites/landry.house.gov/files/documents/Freshmen%20Recess%20Appointment%20Letter.pdf

[33] The House has also used pro forma sessions during such periods of extended absence.

[34] Declaration of Ronald R. Geisler, exhibit 2, page 2, *Bowers v. Moffett,* Civil Action No. 82-0195 (D.D.C. 1982).

made over 160 recess appointments—mostly of military officers. President Roosevelt treated the period between these sessions as a "constructive recess."

The historical instances cited here indicate that recess appointments have, on occasion, been attempted during sine die adjournments of three days or fewer. Nevertheless, the instances cited here each have unique characteristics, and their potential applicability under current practices and conditions remains open to question.[35] As far as can be determined, no succeeding President has made recess appointments under similar circumstances. The shortest recess during which appointments have been made during the past 20 years was 10 days.

Author Contact Information

Henry B. Hogue
Analyst in American National Government
hhogue@crs.loc.gov, 7-0642

[35] These historical instances and their potential applicability under current practices and conditions is discussed in an October 24, 2011, Congressional Distribution Memorandum, "Efforts to Prevent Recess Appointments through Congressional Scheduling and Historical Recess Appointments During Short Intervals Between Sessions," by Henry B. Hogue and Richard S. Beth. Copies of this memorandum are available to the congressional community from its authors.