

Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies: FY2014 Appropriations

Nathan James, Coordinator Analyst in Crime Policy

Jennifer D. Williams, Coordinator Specialist in American National Government

John F. Sargent Jr., Coordinator Specialist in Science and Technology Policy

May 21, 2013

Congressional Research Service 7-5700 www.crs.gov R43080

Summary

On April 10, 2013, President Obama submitted his FY2014 budget to Congress. The Administration requests a total of \$63.310 billion for the agencies and bureaus funded as a part of the annual Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies (CJS) appropriations bill. The Administration's request includes \$8.596 billion for the Department of Commerce, \$28.405 billion for the Department of Justice, \$25.347 billion for the science agencies, and \$962.1 million for the related agencies.

On March 26, 2013, President Obama signed into law the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (P.L. 113-6). The act provides a total of \$60.638 billion for CJS. This amount includes \$7.726 billion for the Department of Commerce, \$27.305 billion for the Department of Justice, \$24.737 billion for the science agencies, and \$870.1 million for the related agencies.

This report will track and describe actions taken by the Administration and Congress to provide FY2014 appropriations for CJS accounts. It also provides an overview of FY2013 appropriations for agencies and bureaus funded as a part of the annual appropriation for CJS.

The amounts provided in the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (P.L. 113-6) were shown as taken from the text of the act and rescissions of FY2013 budget authority were calculated by CRS. The FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix of *The FY2014 Budget of the United States Government*, and the congressional budget submissions for the respective agencies.

Contents

Introduction and Overview	1
FY2014 Appropriations	1
FY2013 Appropriations	
Survey of Selected Issues	4
Department of Commerce	4
Department of Justice (DOJ)	
Science Agencies	
Related Agencies	
Department of Commerce	
FY2013 and FY2014 Appropriations	
International Trade Administration (ITA).	0
Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS)	
Economic Development Administration (EDA)	
Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA)	
Economics and Statistics Administration (ESA)	
Census Bureau	
National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).	
National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)	
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)	
Department of Justice (DOJ)	
FY2013 and FY2014 Appropriations	
General Administration	
General Administration	
Administrative Review and Appeals (ARA)	
Office of the Inspector General (OIG)	
U.S. Parole Commission.	
Legal Activities	
General Legal Activities	
Office of the U.S. Attorneys	
Other Legal Activities	
U.S. Marshals Service (USMS)	
National Security Division (NSD)	. 21
Interagency Law Enforcement	. 21
Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)	. 21
Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)	. 22
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF)	
Federal Prison System (Bureau of Prisons, BOP)	. 23
Office on Violence Against Women (OVW)	. 25
Office of Justice Programs (OJP)	
Research, Evaluation, and Statistics	
State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance	
Juvenile Justice Programs	
Public Safety Officers Benefits Program (PSOB)	
Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS)	
The Crime Victims Fund	. 34

Science Agencies	35
FY2013 and FY2014 Appropriations	35
Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP)	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)	37
National Science Foundation (NSF)	39
Related Agencies	45
Commission on Civil Rights	46
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)	46
U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC)	47
Legal Services Corporation (LSC)	47
Marine Mammal Commission (MMC)	47
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR)	48
State Justice Institute (SJI)	48

Tables

Table 1. CJS Appropriations, FY2013 and FY2014	4
Table 2. Funding for the Department of Commerce, FY2013 and FY2014	8
Table 3. Funding for EDA Programs and Salaries and Expenses, FY2013 and FY2014	11
Table 4. Funding for the Department of Justice, FY2013 and FY2014	17
Table 5. Funding for OVW Programs, FY2013 and FY2014	25
Table 6. Funding for Research, Evaluation, and Statistics, FY2013 and FY2014	27
Table 7. Funding for State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Programs, FY2013 and FY2014	29
Table 8. Funding for Juvenile Justice Programs, FY2013 and FY2014	32
Table 9. Funding for Community Oriented Policing Services Programs, FY2013 and FY2014	34
Table 10. Funding for Science Agencies, FY2013 and FY2014	
Table 11. Funding for NASA, FY2013 and FY2014	39
Table 12. NSF Funding by Major Account, FY2013 and FY2014	
Table 13. Funding for Related Agencies, FY2013 and FY2014	45
Table 14. Funding for CJS Agencies, by Account, FY2009-FY2013	

Contacts

Author Contact Information	
Key Policy Staff	

Introduction and Overview

This report will track and provide an overview of actions taken by the Administration and Congress to provide FY2014 appropriations for Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies (CJS) accounts. It also provides an overview of enacted FY2013 appropriations for agencies and bureaus funded as a part of the annual appropriation for CJS. The amounts provided in the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (P.L. 113-6) were shown as taken from the text of the act and rescissions of FY2013 budget authority were calculated by CRS. The FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix of *The FY2014 Budget of the United States Government*, and the congressional budget submissions for the respective agencies.

FY2014 Appropriations

For FY2014, the Administration requests a total of \$63.310 billion for the agencies and bureaus funded as a part of the annual CJS bill. The Administration's request includes \$8.596 billion for the Department of Commerce, \$28.405 billion for the Department of Justice, \$25.347 billion for the science agencies, and \$962.1 million for the related agencies.

FY2013 Appropriations

On March 26, 2013, President Obama signed into law the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (P.L. 113-6, hereinafter "Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act").¹ The act provides a total of \$60.638 billion for CJS. This amount includes \$7.726 billion for the Department of Commerce, \$27.305 billion for the Department of Justice, \$24.737 billion for the science agencies, and \$870.1 million for the related agencies.

Section 3001 of the act provided for a series of rescissions of FY2013 budget authority. Discretionary non-security (as defined at 2 U.S.C. \$900(c)(4)(A)) accounts were subject to a 1.877% rescission while discretionary security (as defined at 2 U.S.C. \$900(c)(4)(B)) accounts were subject to a 0.1% rescission. Most accounts in the CJS appropriations act were subject to the 1.877% rescission. Only the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission and the International Trade Commission accounts were subject to the 0.1% rescission. Also, per Section 3001, rescissions were applied proportionately to each discretionary account and each item of budget authority and each program, project, or activity (PPA) within each account or item of budget authority (with PPAs being delineated in the act or the explanatory statement published in the March 11 edition of the *Congressional Record*).²

Section 3004 of the act is intended to eliminate any amount by which the new budget authority provided in the act exceeds the FY2013 discretionary spending limits in Section 251(c)(2) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act, as amended by the Budget Control Act of 2011 and the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012. As enacted, this section provides two separate across-the-board rescissions—one for non-security budget authority and one for security

¹ For more information on the FY2013 appropriations see CRS Report R42440, *Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies: FY2013 Appropriations*, coordinated by Nathan James, Jennifer D. Williams, and John F. Sargent Jr.

² Senator Barbara Mikulski, "Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act," *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 159, part 34 (March 11, 2013), pp. S1287-S1587.

budget authority—of 0%, to be applied at the program, project, and activity level. The section requires the percentages to be increased if the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) estimates that additional rescissions are needed to avoid exceeding the limits. Subsequent to the enactment of P.L. 113-6, OMB calculated that additional rescissions of 0.032% of security budget authority, and 0.2% of non-security budget authority, would be required. Only the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission and the International Trade Commission accounts were subject to the 0.032% rescission. The appropriations provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act for each CJS account do not reflect any reductions that resulted from the sequestration ordered by President Obama on March 1, 2013, pursuant to the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25). (See text box below.)

The amounts in this report reflect only new appropriations. Therefore, the amounts do not include any rescissions of unobligated or de-obligated balances that may be counted as offsets to newly enacted appropriations, nor do they include any scorekeeping adjustments, such as the balance on the Crime Victims Fund.

Table 1 shows the amount provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing AppropriationsAct and the Administration's FY2014 request for the Department of Commerce, the Departmentof Justice, the science agencies, and the related agencies.**Table 14** shows enacted appropriationsfor these agencies, in detail, for FY2009 through FY2013 (the FY2013 amounts shown in Table**14** do not reflect sequestration).

A Note on Sequestration

FY2013 discretionary appropriations were considered in the context of the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA, P.L. 112-25), which established discretionary spending limits for FY2012-FY2021. The BCA also tasked a Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to develop a federal deficit reduction plan for Congress and the President to enact by January 15, 2012. Because deficit reduction legislation was not enacted by that date, an automatic spending reduction process established by the BCA was triggered; this process consists of a combination of sequestration and lower discretionary spending caps, initially scheduled to begin on January 2, 2013. The "joint committee" sequestration process for FY2013 requires the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to implement across-the-board spending cuts at the account and program level to achieve equal budget reductions from both defense and nondefense funding at a percentage to be determined, under terms specified in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (BBEDCA, Title II of P.L. 99-177, 2 U.S.C. 900-922), as amended by the BCA. For further information on the Budget Control Act, see CRS Report R41965, *The Budget Control Act of 2011*, by Bill Heniff Jr., Elizabeth Rybicki, and Shannon M. Mahan.

The American Taxpayer Relief Act (ATRA, P.L. 112-240), enacted on January 2, 2013, made a number of significant changes to the procedures in the BCA that will take place during FY2013. First, the date for the joint committee sequester to be implemented was delayed for two months, until March 1, 2013. Second, the dollar amount of the joint committee sequester was reduced by \$24 billion. Third, the statutory caps on discretionary spending for FY2013 (and FY2014) were lowered. For further information on the changes to BCA procedures made by ATRA, see CRS Report R42949, *The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012: Modifications to the Budget Enforcement Procedures in the Budget Control Act*, by Bill Heniff Jr.

Pursuant to the BCA, as amended by ATRA, President Obama ordered that the joint committee sequester be implemented on March 1, 2013.³ The accompanying OMB report indicated a dollar amount of budget authority to be canceled to each account containing non-exempt funds.⁴ The sequester will ultimately be applied at the program, project, and activity (PPA) level within each account.⁵ Because the sequester was implemented at the time that a temporary continuing resolution was in force, the reductions were calculated on an annualized basis and will be apportioned throughout the remainder of the fiscal year.⁶ Although full year FY2013 funding has been enacted, the effect of these reductions on the budgetary resources that will ultimately be available to an agency at either the account or PPA level remains unclear until further guidance is provided by OMB as to how these reductions should be applied.

³White House, President Obama, Sequestration Order for Fiscal Year 2013 Pursuant to Section 251A of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act, As Amended, March 1, 2013, available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/2013sequestration-order-rel.pdf.

⁴ Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, *OMB Report to the Congress on the Joint Committee Sequestration for Fiscal Year 2013*, March 1, 2013, available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/legislative_reports/fy13ombjcsequestrationreport.pdf.

⁵ Ibid., pp. 11, 13.

⁶ Ibid, p. 5. For general information on continuing resolutions, see CRS Report R42647, *Continuing Resolutions: Overview of Components and Recent Practices*, by Jessica Tollestrup.

Table 1. CJS Appropriations, FY2013 and FY2014

	Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, FY2013 (Before Sequestration)		FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	
Departments and Related Agencies	Pre- Post- rescissions rescissions					FY2014 Enacted
Department of Commerce	\$7,889.9	\$7,726.2	\$8,595.6			
Department of Justice	27,875.7	27,304.7	28,405.0			
Science Agencies	25,261.0	24,737.2	25,346.8			
Related Agencies	886.9	870.1	962.1			
Total	61,913.4 ª	60,638.2 ª	63,309.6 ^b			

Budget authority in millions of dollars

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014*.

Notes: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

- a. This amount does not include \$881.6 million in rescissions of prior year unobligated balances.
- b. This amount does not include a proposed \$1.067 billion in rescissions of prior year unobligated balances.

Survey of Selected Issues

Department of Commerce

Some issues Congress might consider while debating FY2014 funding for the Department of Commerce include the following:

- Whether to accept the Administration's proposed \$20.1 increase for the International Trade Administration (ITA) to support the interagency Trade Enforcement Center for the purpose of strengthening U.S. government capacity to monitor and enforce U.S. trade rights under international agreements and other domestic and international trade enforcement authorities.
- Whether to provide additional funding for ITA to support the Administration's Asia Rebalance and the U.S. strategy toward Sub-Saharan Africa and enable identification of more export opportunities for U.S. companies, more rapid and timely business counseling, and enhanced commercial diplomacy and advocacy support.
- Whether to accept the Administration's proposal for additional ITA funding to support implementation of the SelectUSA program, which was established in 2011 by presidential Executive Order to encourage, facilitate, and accelerate

foreign direct investment in the United States to create jobs and spur economic growth.

- The progress of the President's Export Control Reform Initiative under the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS), including oversight of the rebuilding of the control lists and the transfer of items previously controlled as munitions by the Department of State to BIS.
- Whether to fund two new initiatives (the Investing in Manufacturing Communities Fund and Regional Export Challenge Grants) that would be administered by the Economic Development Administration and would support private sector job creation through regional innovation clusters focusing on the export of manufactured goods and services.
- Whether to fund a new program to assess technologies for sharing radio frequency spectrum under the National Telecommunications and Information Administration.
- The funding level for the Census Bureau as it seeks to complete data collection for the 2012 economic census, disseminate information from the 2012 census of governments, and continue research and testing in preparation for the 2020 decennial census.
- Whether to accept the Administration's request under the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) of \$1.978 billion for environmental satellite acquisition, which is a significant portion (36.3%) of the request for NOAA.

Department of Justice (DOJ)

Some issues Congress might consider while determining funding levels for DOJ accounts include the following:

- Does the Bureau of Prisons have the resources it needs to continue to safely confine a growing federal inmate population?
- Whether to accept the Administration's proposal to eliminate funding for several grant programs under the State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance account, including the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP), the Matching Grant Program for Bulletproof Vests, and the Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences program.
- Whether to combine the drug and mental health courts program into a problem solving justice program as proposed by the Administration.
- How to prioritize funding for juvenile justice programs—both the formula grant programs as well as the competitive grants?
- Whether to fund the Administration's request for \$150.0 million for a comprehensive school safety program under the Community Oriented Policing Services account.
- Whether to accept the Administration's proposal to set aside 7% of the amount appropriated under the State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance, Juvenile

Justice Programs, and Research, Evaluation, and Statistics accounts for tribal criminal justice assistance.

• Whether to accept the Administration's proposal to increase the obligation limit on the Crime Victims Fund in order to provide support to the Vision 21 program.⁷

Science Agencies

Among the issues facing the science agencies that Congress may opt to address in the FY2014 appropriations process are the following:

- Whether the current direction for the U.S. human spaceflight program, established in October 2010 by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Authorization Act of 2010 (P.L. 111-267), can be implemented successfully in a period of increased budgetary constraint, as well as the potential impact of human spaceflight's funding needs on the availability of funding for other National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) programs, such as science, aeronautics, and education.
- Whether and how to prioritize research initiatives at the National Science Foundation (NSF).
- Whether to continue efforts to double funding at NSF and other targeted accounts as previously proposed by the Administration and authorized by Congress, and if so, at what pace.
- Whether to adopt the Administration's proposed government-wide science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education program reorganization and consolidation, including proposed changes at NSF, NASA, and the Department of Commerce.
- Whether to continue to restrict the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) from engaging in certain activities with China or any Chinese-owned company by prohibiting, with limited exceptions, the use of appropriated funds for such activities.

Related Agencies

Some of the issues Congress might consider while determining the FY2014 funding levels for the related agencies include the following:

• Whether to adopt the Administration proposal to eliminate the Legal Service Corporation's restrictions on class action suits and attorneys' fees.

⁷ Vision 21 is a strategic planning initiative based on an 18-month national assessment by OVC that assesses current and emerging challenges and opportunities facing the field. The initiative addresses identified needs, including the need for more victim related data, research and program evaluation; legal assistance for crime victims; resources for tribal victims; and other related assistance. Of the \$45.0 million requested for Vision 21, \$20.0 million will be used to support Tribal Assistance for Victims of Violence and \$25.0 million will be used for additional victims' services and initiatives. For more information, see U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, *FY2014 Performance Budget*, http://www.justice.gov/jmd/2014justification/pdf/ojp-justification.pdf.

• Whether to approve increased funding for U.S. Trade Representative to add resources and hire additional staff for the purpose of enhancing overall trade enforcement capabilities and supporting the Interagency Trade Enforcement Center (ITEC) to identify and address unfair trade practices among foreign trading partners.

Department of Commerce⁸

The Department of Commerce (Commerce Department) originated in 1903 with the establishment of the Department of Commerce and Labor.⁹ The separate Commerce Department was established on March 4, 1913.¹⁰ The department's responsibilities are numerous and quite varied; its activities center on five basic missions: (1) promoting the development of U.S. business and increasing foreign trade; (2) improving the nation's technological competitiveness; (3) encouraging economic development; (4) fostering environmental stewardship and assessment; and (5) compiling, analyzing, and disseminating statistical information on the U.S. economy and population.

The following agencies within the Commerce Department carry out these missions:

- *International Trade Administration (ITA)* seeks to develop the export potential of U.S. firms and improve the trade performance of U.S. industry;
- *Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS)* enforces U.S. export laws consistent with national security, foreign policy, and short-supply objectives;
- *Economic Development Administration (EDA)* provides grants for economic development projects in economically distressed communities and regions;
- *Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA)* seeks to promote private- and public-sector investment in minority businesses;
- *Economics and Statistics Administration (ESA)*, excluding the Census Bureau, provides (1) information on the state of the economy through preparation, development, and interpretation of economic data and (2) analytical support to department officials in meeting their policy responsibilities;
- *Census Bureau*, a component of ESA, collects, compiles, and publishes a broad range of economic, demographic, and social data;
- *National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA)* advises the President on domestic and international communications policy, manages the federal government's use of the radio frequency spectrum, and performs research in telecommunications sciences;
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) examines and approves applications for patents for claimed inventions and registration of trademarks;

⁸ This section was coordinated by Jennifer D. Williams, Specialist in American National Government, CRS Government and Finance Division.

⁹ 32 Stat. 825.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 1501.

- *National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)* assists industry in developing technology to improve product quality, modernize manufacturing processes, ensure product reliability, and facilitate rapid commercialization of products on the basis of new scientific discoveries; and
- *National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)* provides scientific, technical, and management expertise to (1) promote safe and efficient marine and air navigation; (2) assess the health of coastal and marine resources; (3) monitor and predict the coastal, ocean, and global environments (including weather forecasting); and (4) protect and manage the nation's coastal resources.

FY2013 and FY2014 Appropriations

Table 2 presents the following funding information for the Department of Commerce as a whole and for each of its agencies or bureaus: the amounts provided in the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act and the Administration's FY2014 request.

	Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, FY2013 (Before Sequestration)										
Bureau or Agency	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted					
International Trade Administration	\$471.2	\$461.4	\$519.8								
Bureau of Industry and Security	101.8	99.7	112.1								
Economic Development Administration	224.8	220.1	320.9								
Minority Business Development Agency	28.7	28.1	29.3								
Economics and Statistics Administration (excluding Census)	100.2	98.2	104.0								
Census Bureau	906.2	887.4	982.5								
National Telecommunications and Information Administration	46.0	45.0	52.1								
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)ª	2,933.2	2,872.4	3,071.4								
Offsetting Fee Receipts (USPTO)	-2,993.2	-2,872.4	-3,071.4								
National Institute of Standards and Technology	824.2	807.1	928.3								

Table 2. Funding for the Department of Commerce, FY2013 and FY2014 Budget authority in millions of dollars

	Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, FY2013 (Before Sequestration)					
Bureau or Agency	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	5,100.0	4,994.2	5,441.7			
Departmental Management	86.8	85.0	104.9			
Total: Department of Commerce	7,889.9	7,726.2	8,595.6			

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014*.

Notes: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

a. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is fully funded by user fees. The fees collected but not obligated during the current year are available for obligation in the following fiscal year and do not count toward the appropriation totals. Only newly appropriated funds count toward the annual appropriation totals. Total figures for the Department of Commerce exclude PTO.

International Trade Administration (ITA)¹¹

The International Trade Administration (ITA) provides export promotion services, works to ensure compliance with trade agreements, administers trade remedies such as antidumping and countervailing duties, and provides analytical support for ongoing trade negotiations. ITA's mission is to improve U.S. prosperity by strengthening the competitiveness of U.S. industry, promoting trade and investment, and ensuring compliance with trade laws and agreements. ITA strives to accomplish this through the following organizational units: (1) the Manufacturing and Services Unit, which is responsible for certain industry analysis functions and promoting the competitiveness and expansion of the U.S. manufacturing sector; (2) the Market Access and Compliance Unit, which is responsible for monitoring foreign country compliance with trade agreements, identifying compliance problems and market access obstacles, and informing U.S. firms of foreign business practices and opportunities; (3) the Import Administration Unit, which is responsible for administering the trade remedy laws of the United States: (4) the Trade Promotion/U.S. Foreign Commercial Service program, which is responsible for conducting trade promotion programs, providing U.S. companies with export assistance services, and leading interagency advocacy efforts for major overseas projects; and (5) the Executive and Administrative Directorate, which is responsible for providing policy leadership, information technology support, and administration services for all of ITA.

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided \$461.4 million for ITA in direct appropriations. It anticipated the collection of \$11.4 million in user fees, which would raise

¹¹ This section was written by M. Angeles Villarreal, Specialist in International Trade and Finance, CRS Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division.

total FY2013 resources for ITA to \$472.8 million. The Administration requests \$519.8 million for ITA for FY2014 and anticipates the collection of \$9.4 million in user fees, which would raise available funds to \$529.2 million.

Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS)¹²

The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) administers export controls on dual-use goods and technology through its licensing and enforcement functions. It cooperates with other nations on export control policy and provides assistance to the U.S. business community to comply with U.S. and multilateral export controls. BIS also administers U.S. anti-boycott statutes and is charged with monitoring the U.S. defense industrial base. Authorization for the activities of BIS, the Export Administration Act (50 U.S.C. *App. 2401, et seq.*), last expired in August 2001. On August 17, 2001, President George W. Bush invoked the authorities granted by the International Economic Emergency Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1703(b)) to continue in effect the system of controls contained in the act and in the Export Administration Regulations (15 C.F.R., Parts 730-799), and these authorities have been renewed yearly. P.L. 113-6 provided \$101.8 million (\$99.7 million after rescissions) for BIS. The President proposes \$112.1 million in FY2014 for BIS.

Economic Development Administration (EDA)¹³

The Economic Development Administration (EDA) was created pursuant to the enactment of the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965,¹⁴ with the objective of fostering growth in economically distressed areas characterized by high levels of unemployment and low percapita income levels. Federally designated disaster areas and areas affected by military base realignment or closure (BRAC) are also eligible for EDA assistance. EDA provides grants for public works, economic adjustment in case of natural disasters or mass layoffs, technical assistance, planning, and research.¹⁵

The Administration's FY2014 budget request proposes to reduce what is EDA's most highly funded program, public works grants. The proposed budget would place greater emphasis on projects intended to support job creation through regional innovation clusters, including those that would support the export of manufactured goods and services. For FY2014, the Administration is requesting \$320.9 million, including \$282.0 million for EDA programs and activities and \$38.9 million for salaries and expenses. P.L. 113-6 appropriated \$220.1 million in total funding for EDA, including \$183.4 million in support of EDA program and activities and \$36.7 million for salaries and expenses. The specific programs and their requested funding levels for FY2014 include

- \$40.5 million for the Public Works Program;
- \$66.0 million for the Economic Adjustment Assistance Program;

¹² This section was written by Ian F. Fergusson, Specialist in International Trade and Finance, CRS Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division.

¹³ This section was written by Eugene Boyd, Analyst in Federalism and Economic Development Policy, CRS Government and Finance Division.

¹⁴ P.L. 89-136; 42 U.S.C. 3121.

¹⁵ For additional information on EDA's statutory history, see CRS Report R41241, *Economic Development Administration: A Review of Elements of Its Statutory History*, by Eugene Boyd.

- \$27.0 million for the Partnership Planning Grants Program (the proposed successor to the EDA Planning Program);
- \$12.0 million for Technical Assistance;
- \$1.5 million for Research and Evaluation; and
- \$10.0 million for Trade Adjustment Assistance.

The Administration is also requesting funding for two new initiatives that would be administered by EDA:

- \$113.0 million for the new Investing in Manufacturing Communities Fund; and
- \$12.0 million for the new Regional Export Challenge Grants.

The Administration describes both programs as being consistent with the creation of regional innovation strategies authorized under 42 U.S.C. Section 3722 of the America COMPETES Act (P.L. 111-358).

-	Bu	dget authority ir	n millions of dol	llars		
	Appropria FY2013	l and Further inuing ations Act, (Before tration)				
	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
Economic Development Assistance Programs	\$187.3	\$183.4	\$282.0			
Public Works	79.0	77.4	40.5			
Economic Adjustment Assistance	50.0	49.0	66.0			
Planning Grants	29.0	28.4	27.0			
Technical Assistance	12.0	11.8	12.0			
Research and Evaluation	1.5	1.5	1.5			
Trade Adjustment Assistance	15.8	15.5	10.0			
Manufacturing Communities Fund	_	_	113.0			
Regional Export Challenge	_	_	12.0			
Salaries and Expenses	37.5	36.7	38.9			
Total	224.8	220.1	320.9			

Table 3. Funding for EDA Programs and Salaries and Expenses, FY2013 and FY20) 4
--	-----

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act

(1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 amounts were taken from the FY2014 congressional budget submission for the Economic Development Administration.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA)¹⁶

The Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA), established by Executive Order 11625 on October 13, 1971, is charged with the lead role in coordinating all of the federal government's minority business programs.¹⁷ As part of its strategic plan, MBDA seeks to develop an industry-focused, data-driven, technical assistance approach to give minority business owners the tools essential for becoming first- or second-tier suppliers to private corporations and the federal government in the new procurement environment. Progress is measured in increased gross receipts, number of employees, and size and scale of firms associated with minority business enterprise. The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided \$28.1 million for the MBDA account. For FY2014, the Administration is requesting \$29.3 million in support of MBDA. According to the budget justification document, the proposed MBDA funding level would assist in the creation of 5,000 new jobs and \$2 billion in contracts and financing.

Economics and Statistics Administration (ESA)¹⁸

The Economics and Statistics Administration (ESA) provides economic data, analysis, and forecasts to government agencies and, when appropriate, to the public. ESA includes the Census Bureau (discussed separately) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). ESA has three core missions: to maintain a system of economic data, to interpret and communicate information about the forces at work in the economy, and to support the information and analytical needs of the executive branch. Funding for ESA includes two primary accounts: ESA headquarters and BEA. ESA headquarters staff provide economic research and policy analysis in support of the Secretary of Commerce, as well as oversight of the Census Bureau and BEA. The BEA account funds BEA activities, among which are producing estimates of national gross domestic product and related measures.

P.L. 113-6 provided \$98.2 million for the Economics and Statistics Administration. The Administration's budget request for ESA in FY2014 is \$104.0 million.

Census Bureau¹⁹

The U.S. Constitution requires a population census every 10 years, to serve as the basis for apportioning seats in the House of Representatives.²⁰ Decennial census data also are used for within-state redistricting and in certain formulas that determine the annual distribution of more

¹⁶ This section was written by Eugene Boyd, Analyst in Federalism and Economic Development Policy, CRS Government and Finance Division.

¹⁷ 36 Federal Register 19967; 3 C.F.R., 1971-1975 Comp. 9. 616.

¹⁸ This section was written by Jennifer D. Williams, Specialist in American National Government, CRS Government and Finance Division.

¹⁹ This section was written by Jennifer D. Williams, Specialist in American National Government, CRS Government and Finance Division.

²⁰ See Article 1, Section 2, clause 3, as modified by Section 2 of the 14th Amendment.

than \$450 billion in federal funds to states and localities. The Census Bureau, established as a permanent office on March 6, 1902,²¹ conducts the decennial census under Title 13 of the *U.S. Code*, which also authorizes the bureau to collect and compile a wide variety of other demographic, economic, housing, and governmental data.

P.L. 113-6 provided \$887.4 million for the Census Bureau, including \$250.9 million in the salaries and expenses account and \$636.5 million in the periodic censuses and programs account.

The Administration's FY2014 budget request for the bureau is \$982.5 million. Of this amount, \$256.0 million is for salaries and expenses, and \$726.4 million is for periodic censuses and programs.

In FY2014, the bureau expects, among other activities, to complete data collection for the 2012 economic census, disseminate information from the 2012 census of governments, and continue research and testing in preparation for the 2020 decennial census.

National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA)²²

The National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) is the executive branch's principal advisory office on domestic and international telecommunications and information technology policies. Its mandate is to provide greater access for all Americans to telecommunications services, support U.S. attempts to open foreign markets, advise on international telecommunications negotiations, and fund grants for new technologies and their applications. Its role in federal spectrum management includes acting as a facilitator and mediator in negotiations among the various federal agencies regarding usage, priority access, causes of interference, and other radio spectrum questions. In recent years, one of the responsibilities of the NTIA has been to oversee the transfer of some radio frequencies from the federal domain to the commercial domain. Many of these frequencies have subsequently been auctioned to the commercial sector and the proceeds paid into the U.S. Treasury.

The Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (P.L. 112-96) has given the NTIA new responsibilities to create and support a First Responder Network Authority, which is responsible for planning, building, and managing a new nationwide broadband network for public safety communications. The law requires the NTIA, in consultation with the First Responder Network Authority, to establish grant program requirements for a State and Local Implementation Fund. The NTIA is also to determine grant amounts for states that participate in the deployment of the network administered by the First Responder Network Authority. Separately, the NTIA will administer grants and spectrum access for states that do not participate in the national network and that receive permission from the Federal Communications Commission to build a state network. The NTIA will also be responsible for collecting auction proceeds and making distributions from a Public Safety Trust Fund that remains in effect through FY2022.

²¹ 32 Stat. 51.

²² This section was written by Linda K. Moore, Specialist in Telecommunications and Spectrum Policy, CRS Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 provided the NTIA with \$45.0 million for salaries and expenses, after rescissions; no funding was appropriated for separate programs.

The President's budget request for FY2014 is \$52.1 million for salaries and expenses, which includes \$7.5 million for a new program to assess technologies for sharing radio frequency spectrum. The program would encompass pilot projects over a period of two years in 10 major metropolitan areas.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)²³

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) examines and approves applications for patents on claimed inventions and administers the registration of trademarks. It also helps other federal departments and agencies protect American intellectual property in the international marketplace. The USPTO is funded by user fees paid by customers that are designated as "offsetting collections" and subject to spending limits established by Congress.

For FY2013, P.L. 113-6 provided the USPTO with the budget authority to spend \$2.872 billion in fees collected after the two rescissions contained in the bill.

The President's FY2014 budget request includes \$3.071 billion in budget authority for the USPTO, the full amount of fees expected to be collected. All fees collected by the USPTO are to be used only for the USPTO as per P.L. 112-29.

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)²⁴

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is a laboratory of the Department of Commerce with a mandate to increase the competitiveness of U.S. companies through appropriate support for industrial development of pre-competitive, generic technologies and the diffusion of government-developed technological advances to users in all segments of the American economy. NIST research also provides the measurement, calibration, and quality assurance techniques that underpin U.S. commerce, technological progress, improved product reliability, manufacturing processes, and public safety.

P.L. 113-6 appropriated \$807.1 million in FY2013 funding for NIST after accounting for the two rescissions included in the act. Of this amount, \$599.5 million is for in-house research under the Scientific and Technical Research and Services (STRS) account, \$125.8 million funds the Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) program, \$14.2 million is for the Advanced Manufacturing Technology Consortia (AMTech), and \$58.8 million supports construction.

The President's FY2014 budget request would provide \$928.3 million for NIST. Included in this figure is \$693.7 million for the STRS account, \$153.1 million for the MEP program, \$21.4 million for AMTech, and \$60.0 million for construction.

²³ This section was written by Wendy H. Schacht, Specialist in Science and Technology Policy, CRS Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

²⁴ This section was written by Wendy H. Schacht, Specialist in Science and Technology Policy, CRS Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

In addition to the appropriations included in the budget proposal that are to be addressed through the annual appropriations process, the Administration includes two new programs that are to be funded through mandatory appropriations (spending that is typically "provided in permanent or multi-year appropriations contained in the authorizing law, and therefore, the funding becomes available automatically each year, without legislative action by Congress"). According to the budget request, NIST will receive \$100 million generated by the proceeds of the spectrum auction to "conduct public safety R&D" as part of the Wireless Innovation (WIN) Fund (under provisions of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012). In addition, the President proposes \$1.000 billion in support for the establishment of a National Network for Manufacturing Innovation.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)²⁵

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) conducts scientific research in areas such as ecosystems, climate, global climate change, weather, and oceans; supplies information on the oceans and atmosphere; and manages coastal and marine resources. NOAA was created in 1970 by Reorganization Plan No. 4. The reorganization plan was designed to unify a number of the nation's environmental activities and to provide a systematic approach for monitoring, analyzing, and protecting the environment. NOAA's current administrative structure has evolved into five line offices, which include the National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS); the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS); the National Ocean Service (NOS); the National Weather Service (NWS); and the Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR). In addition to NOAA's five line offices, Program Support (PS), a cross-cutting budget activity, includes the NOAA Education Program, Corporate Services, Facilities, and the Office of Marine and Aviation Operations (OMAO).

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (P.L. 113-6) provided a total of \$4.994 billion for NOAA. NOAA's budget is divided into two main accounts, Operations, Research, and Facilities (ORF) and Procurement, Acquisition, and Construction (PAC). P.L. 113-6 included \$3.048 billion for ORF, \$1.886 billion for PAC, \$63.7 million for the Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund, \$343,000 for the Fishermen's Contingency Fund, and negative \$4.0 million for the Fisheries Finance Program account.

For FY2014, the Administration requests a total of \$5.442 billion for NOAA.²⁶ The Administration proposes funding ORF at \$3.278 billion, PAC at \$2.118 billion, the Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund at \$50.0 million, the Fishermen's Contingency Fund at \$350,000, and the Fisheries Finance Program at negative \$4.0 million.²⁷ The Administration's FY2014 request for NESDIS satellite systems acquisition is \$1.978 billion, which is 93.4% of the Administration's request for PAC funding and 36.3% of the total request for NOAA.

²⁵ This section was written by Harold F. Upton, Analyst in Natural Resources Policy, CRS Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

²⁶ The NOAA FY2014 total includes requested funding levels for the following accounts, Operations, Research, and Facilities (ORF); Procurement, Acquisition, and Construction (PAC); the Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund; the Fishermen's Contingency Fund; and the Fisheries Finance Program.

²⁷ The Fisheries Finance Program Account would provide a negative subsidy estimated at \$4.0 million

Department of Justice (DOJ)²⁸

Established by an act of 1870²⁹ with the Attorney General at its head, DOJ provides counsel for the government in federal cases and protects citizens through law enforcement. It represents the federal government in all proceedings, civil and criminal, before the Supreme Court. In legal matters, generally, the department provides legal advice and opinions, upon request, to the President and executive branch department heads. The major functions of DOJ agencies and offices are described below.

- United States Attorneys prosecute criminal offenses against the United States; represent the federal government in civil actions; and initiate proceedings for the collection of fines, penalties, and forfeitures owed to the United States.
- United States Marshals Service provides security for the federal judiciary, protects witnesses, executes warrants and court orders, manages seized assets, detains and transports unsentenced prisoners, and apprehends fugitives.
- *Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)* investigates violations of federal criminal law; helps protect the United States against terrorism and hostile intelligence efforts; provides assistance to other federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies; and shares jurisdiction with Drug Enforcement Administration over federal drug violations.
- Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) investigates federal drug law violations; coordinates its efforts with state, local, and other federal law enforcement agencies; develops and maintains drug intelligence systems; regulates legitimate controlled substances activities; and conducts joint intelligence-gathering activities with foreign governments.
- *Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF)* enforces federal law related to the manufacture, importation, and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, firearms, and explosives. It was transferred from the Department of the Treasury to DOJ by the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-296).
- *Federal Prison System (Bureau of Prisons, BOP)* provides for the custody and care of the federal prison population, the maintenance of prison-related facilities, and the boarding of sentenced federal prisoners incarcerated in state and local institutions.
- Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) coordinates legislative and other initiatives relating to violence against women and administers grant programs to help prevent, detect, and stop violence against women, including domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking.
- Office of Justice Programs (OJP) manages and coordinates the activities of the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Institute of

²⁸ This section was written by Nathan James, Analyst in Crime Policy; Kristin M. Finklea, Specialist in Domestic Security; William J. Krouse, Specialist in Domestic Security and Crime Policy; and Lisa N. Sacco, Analyst in Illicit Drugs and Crime Policy; CRS Domestic Social Policy Division.

²⁹ 28 U.S.C. §501

Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the Office of Victims of Crime.

• *Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS)* advances the practice of community policing by awarding grants to law enforcement agencies to hire and train community policing professionals, acquire and deploy crime-fighting technologies, and develop and test innovative policing strategies.

Most crime control has traditionally been a state and local responsibility. With the passage of the Crime Control Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-351), however, the federal role in the administration of criminal justice has increased incrementally. Since 1984, Congress has approved five major omnibus crime control bills, designating new federal crimes, penalties, and additional law enforcement assistance programs for state and local governments.³⁰

FY2013 and FY2014 Appropriations

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act included a total of \$27.305 billion for DOJ. The Administration requests a total of \$28.405 billion for DOJ for FY2014 (see **Table 4**).

Accounts	Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, FY2013 (Before Sequestration)					
	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
General Administration	\$539.7	\$528.5	\$563.0			
General Administration	144.2	141.3	152.1			
Administrative Review & Appeals	309.4	303.0	325.1			
Office of the Inspector General	86.0	84.2	85.8			
U.S. Parole Commission	12.8	12.5	13.0			
Legal Activities	3,210.7	3,149.7	3,281.9			
General legal activities	881.0	862.7	902.6			
United States Attorneys	1,969.7	1,928.9	2,007.7			
Other ^a	360.0	358.2	371.6			

Table 4. Funding for the Department of Justice, FY2013 and FY2014

Budget authority in millions of dollars

³⁰ See, for example, the Crime Control Act of 1984 (P.L. 98-473); the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-570); the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-690); the Crime Control Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-647); and the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (P.L. 103-322).

Accounts	Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, FY2013 (Before Sequestration)					
	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
United States Marshals Service	2,853.4	2,794.2	2,849.6			
National Security Division	90.0	88.2	96.2			
Interagency Law Enforcement	521.8	511.0	523.0			
Federal Bureau of Investigation	8,266.0	8,094.6	8,442.7			
Drug Enforcement Administration	2,050.9	2,008.4	2,067.9			
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives	1,153.3	1,129.4	1,229.5			
Federal Prison System	6,912.9	6,769.6	6,939.1			
Office on Violence Against Women	416.5	407.9	406.3			
Office of Justice Programs	1,625.2	1,592.8	1,553.2			
Research, Evaluation, and Statistics	127.0	124.4	134.4			
State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance	1,140.4	1,116.8	1,005.0			
Juvenile Justice Programs	279.5	273.7	332.5			
Public Safety Officers Benefits	78.3	78.0	81.3			
Community Oriented Policing Services	222.5	217.9	439.5			
Crime Victims Fund (CVF)	730.0	730.0	800.0			
Offsetting Receipts (CVF)	-730.0	-730.0	-800.0			
Total: Department of Justice	27,875.7	27,304.7	28,405.0			

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the Department of Justice's FY2014 Budget and Performance Summary.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

a. "Other" includes accounts for the Antitrust Division, Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund, U.S. Trustee System Fund, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, Fees and Expenses of Witnesses, Community Relations Service, and the Asset Forfeiture Fund. The appropriation for the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission was subject to a 0.1% rescission per Section 3001 and a 0.032% rescission per Section 3004 of the act because the account was classified as a discretionary security account (as defined at 2 U.S.C. 900(c)(4)(B)).

General Administration

The General Administration account provides funds for salaries and expenses for the Attorney General's office, the Inspector General's office, and other programs designed to ensure that the collaborative efforts of DOJ agencies are coordinated to help represent the government and fight crime as efficiently as possible. The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided nearly \$528.5 million for the General Administration account. The Administration's FY2014 request includes \$563.0 million for General Administration.

General Administration

The General Administration account includes funding for Salaries and Expenses for DOJ administration as well as for Justice Information Sharing Technology. Prior to the National Drug Intelligence Center's (NDIC's) closure, it was funded through the General Administration account. In addition, this account previously funded Law Enforcement Wireless Communications before funding for related activities was shifted to the FBI.

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided nearly \$141.3 million for General Administration. The Administration's FY2014 request includes almost \$152.1 million for these activities.

Administrative Review and Appeals (ARA)

Administrative Review and Appeals (ARA) includes the Executive Office of Immigration Review (EOIR) and the Office of the Pardon Attorney (OPA). The Attorney General is responsible for the review and adjudication of immigration cases in coordination with the Department of Homeland Security's (DHS's) efforts to secure the nation's borders. The EOIR handles these matters, and the OPA receives and reviews petitions for executive clemency.

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided \$303.0 million for General Administration. The Administration's FY2014 request includes \$325.1 million for this account.

Office of the Inspector General (OIG)

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) is responsible for detecting and deterring waste, fraud, and abuse involving DOJ programs and personnel; promoting economy and efficiency in DOJ operations; and investigating allegations of departmental misconduct. The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided \$84.2 million for the OIG. The Administration's FY2014 request includes \$85.8 million for OIG activities.

U.S. Parole Commission

The U.S. Parole Commission adjudicates parole requests for prisoners who are serving felony sentences under federal and District of Columbia code violations. The Parole Commission

received a total of \$12.5 million under the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act. The Administration's FY2014 request for the commission is \$13.0 million.

Legal Activities

The Legal Activities account includes several subaccounts: general legal activities, U.S. Attorneys, and other legal activities. The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided almost \$3.150 billion for Legal Activities. The Administration's FY2014 request includes nearly \$3.282 billion for this account.

General Legal Activities

The General Legal Activities account funds the Solicitor General's supervision of the department's conduct in proceedings before the Supreme Court. It also funds several departmental divisions (tax, criminal, civil, environment and natural resources, legal counsel, civil rights, INTERPOL, and dispute resolution). The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided \$862.7 million for the General Legal Activities account. The Administration is requesting \$902.6 million for this account for FY2014.

Office of the U.S. Attorneys

The U.S. Attorneys enforce federal laws through prosecution of criminal cases and represent the federal government in civil actions in all of the 94 federal judicial districts. For FY2013, under P.L. 113-6, Congress appropriated \$1.929 billion for the U.S. Attorneys. For FY2014, the Administration's request includes \$2.008 billion. The FY2014 request includes an increase of \$26.5 million to address a rise in financial and mortgage fraud cases.

Other Legal Activities

Other Legal Activities includes the Antitrust Division, the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund, the U.S. Trustee System Fund (which is responsible for maintaining the integrity of the U.S. bankruptcy system by, among other things, prosecuting criminal bankruptcy violations), the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, the Fees and Expenses of Witnesses, the Community Relations Service, and the Assets Forfeiture Fund. The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided nearly \$358.2 million for the Other Legal Activities accounts. The Administration's FY2014 request includes almost \$371.6 million for these accounts.

U.S. Marshals Service (USMS)

The U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) is responsible for the protection of the federal judicial process, including protecting judges, attorneys, witnesses, and jurors. In addition, the USMS provides physical security in courthouses, safeguards witnesses, transports prisoners from court proceedings, apprehends fugitives, executes warrants and court orders, and seizes forfeited property. Under the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, Congress eliminated funding for the Office of the Federal Detention Trustee account and instead provided funding for a Federal Prisoner Detention account under the USMS. Funding under this account will be used to cover the costs associated with the care of federal detainees.

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided a total of \$2.794 billion for the USMS, which included \$1.171 billion for its Salaries and Expenses (S&E) account, \$9.8 million for its Construction account, and \$1.613 billion for the Federal Prisoner Detention account. The Administration requests \$2.850 billion for the USMS for FY2014, which includes \$1.204 billion for the S&E account, \$10.0 million for the Construction account, and \$1.636 billion for the Federal Prisoner Detention account.

National Security Division (NSD)

The National Security Division (NSD) coordinates DOJ's national security and terrorism missions through law enforcement investigations and prosecutions. The NSD was established in DOJ in response to the recommendations of the Commission on the Intelligence Capabilities of the United States Regarding Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD Commission), and authorized by Congress on March 9, 2006, in the USA PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005. Under the NSD, the DOJ resources of the Office of Intelligence Policy and Review and the Criminal Division's Counterterrorism and Counterespionage Sections were consolidated to coordinate all intelligence-related resources and to ensure that criminal intelligence information is shared, as appropriate. For FY2013, under P.L. 113-6, Congress appropriated \$88.2 million for the NSD. For FY2014, the Administrations requests \$96.2 million for the NSD.

Interagency Law Enforcement

The Interagency Law Enforcement account reimburses departmental agencies for their participation in the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force (OCDETF) program. Organized into nine regional task forces, this program combines the expertise of federal agencies with the efforts of state and local law enforcement to disrupt and dismantle major narcotics-trafficking and money-laundering organizations. From DOJ, the federal agencies that participate in OCDETF are the DEA; the FBI; the ATF; the USMS; the Tax and Criminal Divisions of DOJ; and the U.S. Attorneys. From the Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement and the U.S. Coast Guard participate in OCDETF. In addition, from the Department of the Treasury, the Internal Revenue Service and Treasury Office of Enforcement also participate in OCDETF. Moreover, state and local law enforcement agencies participate in approximately 90% of all OCDETF investigations.

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided nearly \$511.0 million for the Interagency Law Enforcement account. For FY2014, the Administration is requesting \$523.0 million for this account.

Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)

The FBI is the lead federal investigative agency charged with defending the country against foreign terrorist and intelligence threats; enforcing federal laws; and providing leadership and criminal justice services to federal, state, municipal, tribal, and territorial law enforcement agencies and partners. Since the September 11, 2001 (9/11), terrorist attacks, the FBI has reorganized and reprioritized its efforts to focus on preventing terrorism and related criminal activities. From FY2001 through FY2012, Congress has more than doubled direct appropriations

for the FBI from \$3.32 billion to \$8.118 billion, or a 144.5% increase.³¹ Under P.L. 113-6, for FY2013, Congress appropriated \$8.095 billion for the FBI.

For FY2014, the Administration's request includes \$8.443 billion: this amount includes \$8.362 billion for FBI salaries and expenses and \$81.0 million for construction. The amount for salaries and expenses includes the following increases:

- \$86.6 million for next generation cyber initiative;
- \$100.0 million for the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS);
- \$7.4 million to support the FBI Biometric Center of Excellence and the Department of Defense Biometrics Fusion Center;
- \$6.0 million to improve surveillance requirements; and
- \$15.0 million to bolster financial and mortgage fraud crimes.

The requested \$100 million increase for NICS anticipates that Congress will pass legislation to require background checks for intrastate, private firearms transfers, as proposed by the President under his gun violence reduction plan.³²

Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)

The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) is the only single-mission federal agency tasked with enforcing the nation's controlled substance laws in order to reduce the availability and abuse of illicit drugs and the diversion of licit drugs for illicit purposes. DEA's enforcement efforts include the disruption and dismantling of drug trafficking and money laundering organizations through drug interdiction and seizures of illicit revenues and assets derived from these organizations. DEA continues to face evolving challenges in limiting the supply of illicit drugs as well as reducing drug trafficking across the Southwest border with Mexico into the United States. DEA plays a key role in the Administration's Southwest Border Initiative to counter drug-related border violence, focusing on the convergent threats of illegal drugs, drug-related violence, and terrorism in the region. DEA also has an active role in the Administration's Prescription Drug Abuse Prevention Plan, targeting improper prescribing practices and promoting proper disposal of unused prescription drugs.

For FY2013, the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided approximately \$2.088 billion for the DEA. For FY2014, the Administration requests \$2.068 billion for the DEA.

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF)

The ATF enforces federal criminal law related to the manufacture, importation, and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, firearms, and explosives. ATF works independently and through partnerships

³¹ The FY2010-enacted amount does not reflect a \$50 million rescission or a \$24 million supplemental appropriation.

³² For further information, see CRS Report R42987, *Gun Control Proposals in the 113th Congress: Universal Background Checks, Gun Trafficking, and Military Style Firearms*, by William J. Krouse.

with industry groups; international, state, and local governments; and other federal agencies to investigate and reduce crime involving firearms and explosives, acts of arson, and illegal trafficking of alcohol and tobacco products. From FY2001 through FY2012, Congress has increased the direct appropriation for ATF, from \$771.0 million to \$1.152 billion, a 49.4% increase. For FY2013, under P.L. 113-6, Congress appropriated \$1.129 billion for ATF.

For FY2014, the Administration's request includes \$1.23 billion for ATF. This request includes \$51.1 million to improve the capabilities of the National Tracing Center (NTC),³³ \$22.0 million to upgrade National Integrated Ballistics Information Network (NIBIN).³⁴ The Administration has also called for the elimination of two long-standing provisos, in the ATF salaries and expenses appropriations language, that prohibit ATF from:

- altering the regulatory definition of "curios and relics,"³⁵ and
- requiring federally licensed gun dealers to conduct physical inventories.³⁶

Under P.L. 113-6, Congress included futurity language (in the current fiscal year and any fiscal year thereafter) in both of these provisions that appears to be intended to make these provisos permanent law.³⁷

Federal Prison System (Bureau of Prisons, BOP)

The Bureau of Prisons (BOP) was established in 1930 to house federal inmates, to professionalize the prison service, and to ensure consistent and centralized administration of the federal prison

³³ The NTC is located in Martinsburg, West Virginia. At this site, ATF employees can conduct traces of firearms produced after 1968 by following firearms serial numbers from the first federally licensed firearms manufacturer or importer to the federally licensed gun dealer, who first transferred the firearm in question to an unlicensed person and the ATF Form 4473 maintained by that dealer as required by law to document that transfer.

³⁴ NIBIN consists of several regional computer networks administered by ATF to allow federal, state, local, and tribal law enforcement to share ballistic images of bullets and cartridge casings recovered at crime scenes. When magnified the distinctive striations made by the rifling of a barrel on a bullet and the markings made by firing pins and ejectors on a cartridge casing can be linked to an individual firearm, much like a fingerprint can be linked to an individual person.

³⁵ Congress has included a proviso in the ATF salaries and expenses appropriations language, for FY1996 and every year thereafter, in response to an ATF regulatory proposal to amend the definition or "curios or relics," because of concerns about the volume of surplus military firearms—particularly World War II era firearms—that could be potentially imported into the United States. For the definition of "curios or relics," see 27 CFR § 478.11, which generally include firearms that are 50 years old, of museum interest, or derive a substantial amount of their value from the fact that they are novel, rare, bizarre, or because they are associated with some historical figure, period, or event. For a list of "curios and relics," go to http://www.atf.gov/publications/firearms/curios-relics/. Federally licensed firearms collectors are authorized to engage in limited interstate transfers of "curios and relics," whereas in nearly all cases an unlicensed person must engage the services of a federally licensed gun dealer to facilitate interstate firearms transfers to another unlicensed person.

³⁶ Congress has included a proviso in the ATF salaries and expenses appropriations language, for FY2004 and every year thereafter, which prohibits that agency from using any appropriated funding to require federally licensed gun dealers (otherwise referred to as federal firearms licensees, or FFLs) to conduct inventories prior to an ATF inspection. This provision was originally part of the FY2004 Tiahrt amendment, known for its sponsor in CJS appropriations subcommittee markup, Representative Todd Tiahrt. The Tiahrt amendment included three other provisos that limit ATF's authority to release unexpurgated firearms trace data publically, require that certain caveats about the limitations of trace data be appended to any such public data releases, and requires the FBI to destroy records on approved firearms-related background checks through the National Instant Criminal Background Check be destroyed within 24 hours.

³⁷Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (P.L. 113-6; March 26, 2013; 127 Stat. 198, 248).

system.³⁸ The mission of the BOP is to protect society by confining offenders in prisons and community-based facilities that are safe, humane, cost-efficient, and appropriately secure, and that provide work and other self-improvement opportunities for inmates so that they can become productive citizens after they are released.³⁹ The BOP currently operates 118 correctional facilities across the country.⁴⁰ The BOP also contracts with Residential Re-entry Centers (RRCs) (i.e., halfway houses) to provide assistance to inmates nearing release.⁴¹ RRCs provide inmates with a structured and supervised environment along with employment counseling, job placement services, financial management assistance, and other programs and services.⁴²

Congress funds the BOP's operations through two accounts under the Federal Prison System heading: Salaries and Expenses (S&E) and Buildings and Facilities (B&F). The S&E account (i.e., the operating budget) provides for the custody and care of federal inmates and for the daily maintenance and operations of correctional facilities, regional offices, and BOP's central office in Washington, DC. It also provides funding for the incarceration of federal inmates in state, local, and private facilities. The B&F account (i.e., the capital budget) provides funding for the construction of new facilities and the modernization, repair, and expansion of existing facilities. In addition to appropriations for the S&E and B&F accounts, Congress usually places a cap on the amount of revenue generated by the Federal Prison Industries (FPI)⁴³ that can be used for administrative expenses in the annual CJS appropriations bill. Although Congress does not appropriate funding for the administrative expenses of FPI, the administrative expenses cap is scored as enacted budget authority.

The BOP received a total of \$6.770 billion under the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, which included \$6.679 billion for the S&E account and \$88.1 million for the B&F account. The Administration requests \$6.939 billion for the BOP for FY2014, of which \$6.831 billion is for the S&E account and \$105.2 million is for the B&F account. For FY2014 the Administration requests \$97.1 million under the BOP's S&E account to staff and open three prisons (Thomson, IL; Hazelton, WV; and Yazoo City, MS) and \$43.0 million to expand residential drug treatment, prisoner re-entry, and rehabilitative programs.

A recurring issue is whether the BOP has adequate resources, both in terms of personnel and infrastructure, to properly manage the burgeoning federal prison population.⁴⁴ Prison population growth and prison crowding continue to be a major concern for the BOP. The number of inmates held in BOP facilities grew from 125,560 in FY2000 to 177,556 in FY2012.⁴⁵ During that same time period, prison crowding grew from 32% over rated capacity to 38% over rated capacity, even though the number of facilities operated by BOP increased from 97 to 118.⁴⁶ The BOP

⁴⁵ Data provided to CRS from the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons.

³⁸ U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, *About the Bureau of Prisons*, http://www.bop.gov/about/index.jsp.

³⁹ U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, *Mission and Vision of the Bureau of Prisons*, http://www.bop.gov/about/mission.jsp.

⁴⁰ U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, *About the Bureau of Prisons*, http://www.bop.gov/about/index.jsp.

 ⁴¹ U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, *Community Corrections*, http://www.bop.gov/locations/cc/index.jsp.
 ⁴² Ibid.

⁴³ For more information on FPI, see CRS Report RL32380, *Federal Prison Industries: Overview and Legislative History*, by Nathan James.

⁴⁴ For more information on the issues related to the growing federal prison population, see CRS Report R42937, *The Federal Prison Population Buildup: Overview, Policy Changes, Issues, and Options*, by Nathan James

⁴⁶ Ibid.

estimates that by FY2018 the federal prison system will be operating at 41% over rated capacity.⁴⁷ The growing federal prison population has not only resulted in more crowded prisons, but it has also strained the BOP's ability to properly manage and care for federal inmates. The BOP reports that the staff-to-inmate ratio has increased from 3.57 to 1 in FY1997 to 4.84 to 1 in FY2012.⁴⁸ As a point of comparison, in FY2009 the five states with the highest prison population has also required the BOP to dedicate more resources to caring (e.g., providing health care, food, and clothing) and providing programming (e.g., substance abuse treatment, educational programming, and work/vocational opportunities) for inmates. In addition, the Second Chance Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-199) required BOP to develop comprehensive reentry planning for federal inmates.

Office on Violence Against Women (OVW)

The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) was created to administer programs created under the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) of 1994 and subsequent legislation. These programs provide financial and technical assistance to communities around the country to facilitate the creation of programs, policies, and practices designed to improve criminal justice responses related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

For FY2013, the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided \$407.9 million for OVW. For FY2014, the Administration requests \$406.3 million for OVW.

	Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, FY2013 (Before Sequestration)					
Program	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
STOP Grants	\$189.0	\$185.1	\$189.0			
Research and Evaluation on Violence Against Women	3.5	3.4	3.0			
Transitional Housing Assistance	25.0	24.5	22.0			
Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies	50.0	49.0	50.0			
Homicide Reduction Initiative	4.0	3.9	4.0			
Rural Domestic Violence and Child Abuse Enforcement Assistance Grants	36.5	35.7	37.5			

Table 5. Funding for OVW Programs, FY2013 and FY2014

Budget authority in millions of dollars

⁴⁷ U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, *FY2014 Performance Budget, Congressional Submission, Federal Prison System, Salaries and Expenses*, p. 3, http://www.justice.gov/jmd/2014justification/pdf/bop-se-justification.pdf.

⁴⁸ Ibid., p. 9.

⁴⁹ Ibid., p. 8.

	Appropria FY2013	l and Further inuing ations Act, (Before tration)							
Program	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted			
Violence on College Campuses	9.0	8.8	9.0						
Civil Legal Assistance	41.0	40.2	41.0						
Sexual Assault Victims Services	25.0	24.5	23.0						
Elder Abuse Grant Program	4.3	4.2	4.3						
Education and Training for Disabled Female Victims	5.8	5.6	5.8						
Research on Violence Against Indian Women	1.0	1.0	1.0						
Consolidated Youth Oriented Program	10.0	9.8	10.0						
National Resource Center on Workplace Responses	0.5	0.5	0.5						
Indian Country Sexual Assault Clearinghouse	0.5	0.5	0.5						
Family Civil Justice Program	15.5	15.2	—						
Enhancing Safety for Victims and their Children in a Family Matter	_	_	16.0						
Total: OVW	416.5	407.9	406.3						

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014*.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Office of Justice Programs (OJP)

The Office of Justice Programs (OJP) manages and coordinates the National Institute of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Victims of Crimes, Bureau of Justice Assistance, and related grant programs. The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act included a total of \$1.593 billion for OJP. The Administration's request for OJP for FY2014 is \$1.553 billion.

Research, Evaluation, and Statistics

The Research, Evaluation, and Statistics account (formerly the Justice Assistance account), among other things, funds the operations of the Bureau of Justice Statistics and the National Institute of Justice. The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act included \$124.4

. . ..

million for the Research, Evaluation, and Statistics account. The Administration's FY2014 request for this account is \$134.4 million.

- .

	Bue	dget authority ir	n millions of dol	lars		
	Conti Appropria FY2013	and Further inuing ations Act, (Before tration)				
Program	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
Bureau of Justice Statistics	\$48.0	\$47.0	\$52.9			
National Institute of Justice	43.0	42.1	44.5			
Regional Information Sharing System	35.0	34.3	25.0			
Evaluation Clearinghouse	1.0	1.0	3.0			
Forensic Science Improvement	_	_	9.0			
Total: Research, Evaluation, and Statistics	127.0	124.4	134.4			

Table 6. Funding for Research, Evaluation, and Statistics, FY2013 and FY2014

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, Congressional Record (pp. \$1300-\$1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance

The State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance account includes funding for a variety of grant programs to improve the functioning of state, local, and tribal criminal justice systems. Some examples of programs that have traditionally been funded under this account include the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program, the Drug Courts program, the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP), and DNA backlog reduction grants. The State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance account received a total of \$1.117 billion under the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act. The Administration requests \$1.005 billion for this account for FY2014.

As a part of the FY2014 request for the State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance account, the Administration proposes to eliminate funding for a variety of programs, including the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP), the border prosecution initiative, the John R. Justice program, the Paul Coverdell Forensic Science program, and the Matching Grant Program for Bulletproof Vests. In its FY2014 congressional budget submission, OJP states that the proposed elimination of these programs is "to ensure that OJP's limited funding is focused on

addressing the nation's most important criminal justice priorities.⁵⁰ One issue Congress might consider during deliberations of the FY2014 CJS appropriations bill is whether it should adopt the Administration's proposal to eliminate funding for these programs.

The Administration proposes to consolidate funding for the drug and mental health courts into a "problem solving justice" program. The Administration requests \$44.0 million for this program. The proposed program would assist state, local, and tribal governments with developing and implementing strategies, including specialized courts, which can divert offenders with drug, mental health, and special needs away from prosecution and incarceration. The proposed program would provide grants, training, and technical assistance to help state, local, and tribal governments develop and implement drug, mental health, and other problem solving courts. As Congress moves forward with its consideration of the CJS appropriations bill, policymakers might consider whether to adopt the Administration's proposal to replace the drug and mental health court programs with the proposed problem solving courts program.

The Administration requests \$85.0 million to expand its Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI).⁵¹ The proposed JRI would have three elements:

- It would provide targeted technical assistance to help units of state, local, and tribal governments analyze data on their criminal justice systems, identify what factors are driving prison and jail population growth and develop strategies to reduce costs, improve public safety, reduce unnecessary confinement, and help ex-offenders with the transition back into mainstream society.
- The proposed funding would be used to award implementation grants to the jurisdictions which have adopted significant policy and legislative changes resulting from in-depth data analyses and consensus-based recommendations.
- Funding would also be used to provide incentive grants to participating states to encourage investments in evidence-based criminal justice activities.

OJP reports that 17 states are currently engaged in JRI, a public/private partnership involving OJP's Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), the Pew Center on the States, the Vera Institute of Justice, and the Council of State Governments Justice Center. Five states (Kansas, Missouri, Oregon, South Dakota, and West Virginia) are receiving assistance with initial data analysis and policy recommendation development. In the past year, six states (Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Pennsylvania) have passed criminal justice reform packages and are currently developing detailed implementation plans and requests for implementation funding. An additional six states (Arkansas, Kentucky, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Ohio, and South Carolina) that have previously passed criminal justice reform laws and developed implementation plans have been approved for funding by BJA to implement their plans.

⁵⁰ U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, *FY2014 Performance Budget, Office of Justice Programs*, March 2013, p. 26, http://www.justice.gov/jmd/2014justification/pdf/ojp-justification.pdf.

⁵¹ "Justice reinvestment" refers to a data-driven model that (1) develops and implements evidence-based policy options to manage the growth in corrections expenditures; (2) analyzes criminal justice trends to understand the factors that drive jail and prison population growth; (3) reinvests a portion of the savings generated from reducing corrections expenditures into programs to further reduce corrections spending and prevent crime; and (4) measures the effect of the policy changes and reinvestment resources. Ibid., p. 109.

The Administration also requests \$50.0 million for the National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP). The NCHIP helps states and territories improve the quality, timeliness, and immediate accessibility of criminal history and related records for use by federal, state, and local law enforcement. According to OJP, "these records play a vital role in supporting criminal investigations, background checks related to firearm purchases, licensing, employment, and the identification of persons subject to protective orders or wanted, arrested, or convicted for stalking and/or domestic violence."⁵² The Administration requests \$50 million for the NCHIP to "provide states [with] stronger incentives to make available several key categories of relevant records and data, including criminal history records and records of persons prohibited from having guns for mental health reasons."⁵³ If Congress adopts the Administration's proposal it would be the highest funding level for the NCHIP in more than a decade.

The Administration did not request funding for a tribal assistance grant program for FY2014. However, the Administration's request for OJP includes a proposal to set aside 7% of the amount made available for grant or reimbursement programs under the State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance, Juvenile Justice Programs, and Research, Evaluation, and Statistics accounts for tribal justice assistance.

Table 7. Funding for State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Programs,
FY2013 and FY2014

	Cont Appropria FY2013	l and Further inuing ations Act, (Before tration)						
Program	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted		
Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants	\$392.4	\$384.3	\$395.0					
State and Local Intelligence Training	2.0	2.0	2.0					
Domestic Radicalization Research	4.0	3.9	_					
Criminal Justice Reform and Recidivism Reduction	6.0	5.9	—					
State and Local Assistance Help Desk and Diagnostic Center	4.0	3.9	2.0					
VALOR Initiative	5.0	4.9	15.0					
Evidence-based Policing Initiative	—	—	10.0					

Budget authority in millions of dollars

⁵² Ibid., p. 133.

53 Ibid.

Consolidated and Further
Continuing
Appropriations Act,
FY2013 (Before
- · · ·

Sequestration)	

Program	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
Prosecutorial Decision- making Initiative	_		5.0			
Voter Education and Plebiscite in Puerto Rico	_	_	2.5			
Byrne Incentive Grants	_	_	40.0			
Byrne Competitive Grants	19.0	18.6	15.0			
John R. Justice Grant Program	4.0	3.9	_			
Tribal Assistance	38.0	37.3	_			
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	255.0	249.7	_			
Border Prosecution Initiatives	5.0	4.9	_			
Victims of Trafficking Grants	13.5	13.2	10.5			
Residential Substance Abuse Treatment	12.5	12.2	19.0			
Mentally III Offenders Act	9.0	8.8	_			
Drug Courts	41.0	40.2	_			
Problem Solving Justice Program	_	_	44.0			
Veterans' Treatment Courts	4.0	3.9	_			
Prescription Drug Monitoring	7.0	6.9	7.0			
Prison Rape Prevention and Prosecution	12.5	12.2	10.5			
Capital Litigation/ Wrongful Conviction Review	3.0	2.9	2.0			
Missing Alzheimer's Patient Grants	1.0	1.0	—			
Economic, High-tech and Cybercrime Prevention	9.0	8.8	9.0			
CASA-Special Advocates	6.0	5.9	_			
Second Chance Act	68.8	67.3	119.0			
Violent Gang and Gun Crime Reduction	5.0	4.9	5.0			
National Instant Criminal Background Check System Grants	12.0	11.8	5.0			
National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP)	6.0	5.9	50.0			

	Appropria FY2013	and Further nuing ntions Act, (Before tration)							
Program	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted			
Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Grants	12.0	11.8	_						
Implementation of the Adam Walsh Act	20.0	19.6	20.0						
Programs for Children Exposed to Violence	13.0	12.7	23.0						
Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Program	18.0	17.6	35.0						
National Sex Offender Public Website	1.0	1.0	1.0						
Bulletproof Vests Grant Program	21.5	21.1	_						
DNA Initiatives	125.0	122.4	100.0						
Debbie Smith DNA Backlog Grants	117.0	114.6	_						
Post-conviction DNA Testing Grants	4.0	3.9	_						
Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners	4.0	3.9	_						
Rape Kit Backlog Reduction	_	_	20.0						
Emergency Federal Law Enforcement Assistance	3.5	3.4	_						
Campus Public Safety	2.8	2.7	_						
Justice Reinvestment Initiative	_	_	85.0						
HOPE Model Implementation Grants	_	_	10.0						
Total: State and Local Law Enforcement	1,140.4	1,116.8	1.005.0						

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. \$1300-\$1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014*.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Juvenile Justice Programs

The Juvenile Justice Programs account includes funding for grant programs to reduce juvenile delinquency and help state, local, and tribal governments improve the functioning of their juvenile justice systems.

.

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided \$273.7 million for juvenile justice programs. The Administration's FY2014 request includes \$332.5 million for juvenile justice programs. Within this request, the Administration is requesting additional funding for existing programs as well as to establish new programs and initiatives. For instance, the Administration is requesting additional funding for the Title V Incentive Grants to establish the Juvenile Justice Education Collaboration Assistance (JJECA) initiative. This initiative would, among other things, provide funding for evidence-based practices and programs to create positive school environments (including in correctional education settings) and enhance student behavior and academic success. The Administration is also proposing a competitive grant focusing on the gender-specific needs of girls in the juvenile justice system. The FY2014 request also includes funding for a proposed Juvenile Justice Realignment Incentive Grants program to provide competitive grants to states that use their Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) funding for evidence-based programs. In addition, the FY2014 request includes funding for a projected web portal to support children of incarcerated parents.

Table 8. Fund	ing for	Juvenile	Justice	Progr	ams, ⊦	¥2013 and F¥2014	
	_						

	Appropria FY2013	and Further nuing ntions Act, (Before tration)						
Program	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted		
Part B—State Formula	\$44.0	\$43.I	\$70.0					
Emergency Planning—Juvenile Detention Facilities	0.5	0.5	_					
Youth Mentoring Grants	90.0	88.1	58.0					
Title V—Incentive Grants	20.0	19.6	56.0					
Tribal Youth	10.0	9.8	_					
Gang Prevention	5.0	4.9	_					
Alcohol Use Prevention	5.0	4.9	_					
Juvenile Justice and Education Collaboration Assistance	_	_	20.0					
Investigation and Prosecution of Child Abuse Programs	19.0	18.6	_					
Juvenile Accountability Block Grants	25.0	24.5	30.0					
Juvenile Justice Realignment Incentive Grants	—	—	20.0					
Community-based Violence Prevention Initiative	11.0	10.8	25.0					
Training for Judicial Personnel	1.5	1.5	_					
Missing and Exploited Children Programs	67.0	65.6	67.0					

Budget authority in millions of dollars
	Appropria FY2013	l and Further inuing ations Act, (Before tration)				
Program	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
National Forum on Youth Violence Prevention	2.0	2.0	4.0			
Competitive Grants Focusing on Girls in the Juvenile Justice System	_	_	2.0			
Children of Incarcerated Parents Web Portal	—	—	0.5			
Total: Juvenile Justice Programs	279.5	273.7	332.5			

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014*.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Public Safety Officers Benefits Program (PSOB)

The Public Safety Officers Benefits (PSOB) program provides three different types of benefits to public safety officers and their survivors: death, disability, and education. The PSOB program is intended to assist in the recruitment and retention of law enforcement officers, firefighters, and first responders and to offer peace of mind to men and women who choose careers in public safety. The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provided \$78.0 million for PSOB. The Administration requests \$81.3 million for this account for FY2014.

Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS)

The Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Office awards grants to state, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies throughout the United States so they can hire and train law enforcement officers to participate in community policing, purchase and deploy new crime-fighting technologies, and develop and test new and innovative policing strategies. The COPS program received \$217.9 million under the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act. The Administration requests \$439.5 million for this account for FY2014.

The Administration's FY2014 request for COPS includes \$150.0 million for a proposed comprehensive schools safety program. The proposed program would provide funding for hiring school safety personnel, including school resource officers, civilian public safety positions, school psychologists, social workers, and counselors. Funding would also be available for purchasing school safety equipment; developing and updating public safety plans; conducting threat assessments; and training crisis intervention teams. The stated purpose of the program is to

"bring the law enforcement, mental health, and education disciplines together to provide a comprehensive approach to school safety."⁵⁴ The Administration reports that the program would require law enforcement and school districts, in consultation with school mental health professionals, to apply for funding together and use the grant to fill the gaps in their own school safety and security efforts.

Tab	le 9. Funding for	Co	omi	mun	ity	Or	riente	ed Policing Services Programs,	
				FY20	13	an	d FY2	2014	
		-						6 L H	

	Budget authority in millions of dollars						
	Appropria FY2013	nuing tions Act,					
Program	Pre- rescission	Post- rescission	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted	
COPS Hiring Program	\$190.0	\$186.1	\$257.0				
Transfer to the Tribal Resources Grant Program	15.0	14.7	15.0				
Transfer for Community Policing Development	10.0	9.8	15.0				
Training and Technical Assistance on the Collaborative Reform Model	_	_	10.0				
Transfer to the Drug Enforcement Administration for Clandestine Methamphetamine Lab Clean-up	12.5	12.2	12.5				
Tribal Resources Grant Program	20.0	19.6	20.0				
Comprehensive School Safety Program	_	_	150.0				
Total: Community Oriented Policing Services	222.5	217.9	439.5				

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014*.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

The Crime Victims Fund

The Crime Victims Fund (CVF) was established by the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (P.L. 98-473, VOCA). It is administered by the Office for Victims of Crime (OVC), and provides funding

⁵⁴ U.S. Department of Justice, Community Oriented Policing Services Office, *FY2014 Performance Budget, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services*, March 2013, p. 26, http://www.justice.gov/jmd/2014justification/pdf/cops-justification.pdf.

to the states and territories for victim compensation and assistance programs. This account does not receive appropriations (thus the amount for the CVF is not included in **Table 4**) but instead is largely funded by criminal fines, forfeited bail bonds, penalties, and special assessments that are collected by U.S. Attorneys' Offices, U.S. courts, and the BOP.⁵⁵

For FY2013, the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act set the obligation limit at \$730.0 million. For FY2014, the Administration requests that the obligation limit on the Crime Victims Fund be set at \$800.0 million. In the FY2014 request, the Administration specifies that \$45.0 million is for the Vision 21 Initiative, and \$10.0 million is for Victims of Trafficking Grants. Of the \$45.0 million for Vision 21, \$20.0 million is allotted for Tribal Assistance for Victims of Violence and \$25.0 million is allotted for additional victims' services and initiatives.

Science Agencies⁵⁶

The Science Agencies fund and otherwise support research and development (R&D) and related activities across a wide variety of federal missions, including national competitiveness, energy and the environment, and fundamental discovery.

FY2013 and FY2014 Appropriations

The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act provides \$24.737 billion in postrescission FY2013 funding for the Science Agencies. This funding level does not incorporate reductions due to the effects of sequestration as mandated by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25). The Administration requests a total of \$25.347 billion for the Science Agencies.

	DU	dget authority ir	i millions of doi	llars		
	Appropria FY2013	l and Further inuing ations Act, (Before tration)				
Accounts	Before Rescissions	After Rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
Office of Science and Technology Policy	\$5.9	\$5.7	\$5.7			
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	17,862.0	17,491.7	17,715.4			
National Science Foundation	7,393.1	7,239.8	7,625.8			
Total: Science Agencies	25,261.0	24,737.2	25,346.8			

Table 10. Funding for Science Agencies, FY2013 and FY2014 Budget authority in millions of dollars

⁵⁵ U.S. Department of Justice, Office for Victims of Crime, *About OVC, Crime Victims Fund*, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ovc/about/victimsfund.html.

⁵⁶ This section was coordinated by John F. Sargent, Jr., Specialist in Science and Technology Policy, CRS Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014*.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP)57

Congress established the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) through the National Science and Technology Policy, Organization, and Priorities Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-282). The act states that "the primary function of the OSTP director is to provide, within the Executive Office of the President, advice on the scientific, engineering, and technological aspects of issues that require attention at the highest level of Government." The OSTP director, often referred to informally as the President's science advisor, also manages the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC),⁵⁸ which coordinates science and technology policy across the executive branch of the federal government, and co-chairs the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST),⁵⁹ a council of external advisors that provides advice to the President on matters related to science and technology policy. OSTP is one of two offices in the Executive Office of the President (EOP) that is funded in the CJS appropriations bill.⁶⁰

Congress has for several years restricted OSTP from engaging in certain activities with China or any Chinese-owned company by prohibiting the use of appropriated funds for these activities. The OSTP may proceed with activities that it certifies pose no risk of transferring technology or information with security implications to China and will not involve knowing interactions with officials who have been determined by the United States to have direct involvement with violations of human rights. Such certification must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees at least 30 days prior to such activities. Congress may continue its interest in the debate over its ability to restrict the activities of OSTP and the scope of such restrictions.

In its FY2014 budget, the Administration proposes a reorganization of federal STEM education programs. The explanatory statement for P.L. 113-6 directed OSTP to produce a federal STEM education strategic plan within 45 days of enactment of the law.⁶¹ According to OSTP, the proposed reorganization plan was informed, in part, by the strategy document, though the strategy document had not been published at the time the President's FY2014 budget was released.⁶²

For FY2014, the Administration requests \$5.7 million for OSTP. The request would "restore funding to levels that enables [sic] OSTP to carry out its significant national security emergency preparedness communications responsibilities that must be performed in times of national crisis,"

⁵⁷ This section was prepared by Dana A. Shea, Specialist in Science and Technology Policy, Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

⁵⁸ The National Science and Technology Council was established by Executive Order 12881.

⁵⁹ The President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology was established by Executive Order 13539.

⁶⁰ The other EOP office funded under the CJS appropriations bill is the Office of the United States Trade Representative.

⁶¹ Ibid., p. S1308. Some observers assert that this means the plan is due to congressional appropriators on or around May 10, 2013.

⁶² Personal communication between CRS and OSTP, April 12, 2013.

and support the director of OSTP, the federal Chief Technology Officer, three Senate-confirmed associate directors, and other professional staff members.⁶³ The National Science Foundation again requests FY2014 funding for the Science and Technology Policy Institute (STPI; \$4.9 million, after rescissions, but before sequestration), a federally funded research and development center that supports OSTP. Of this \$4.9 million, \$1.5 million is to support OSTP, in coordination with the U.S. Group on Earth Observations Subcommittee of the NSTC, in leading a new interagency Big Earth Data initiative to improve coordination and management of federal Earth system observations, data, and information. FY2013-enacted appropriations (after rescissions, but before sequestration) provided \$5.7 million for OSTP and \$2.8 million for STPI.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)64

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) was created by the 1958 National Aeronautics and Space Act (P.L. 85-568) to conduct civilian space and aeronautics activities. The agency is managed from headquarters in Washington, DC. It has nine major field centers around the country, plus the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, which is operated under contract by the California Institute of Technology.

The Administration has requested \$17.715 billion for NASA for FY2014. The FY2013 appropriation (after rescissions, but before sequestration) was \$17.492 billion. See **Table 11** for a breakdown of these amounts by appropriations account. There is no authorized level for NASA funding in FY2014: the most recent authorization act (the NASA Authorization Act of 2010, P.L. 111-267) authorized appropriations through FY2013.

The FY2014 request for Science is \$5.018 billion. The FY2013 appropriation (after rescissions, but before sequestration) was \$5.037 billion. In Planetary Science, the request includes \$40.5 million for observation of near-Earth objects and \$50 million for management of a Department of Energy (DOE) program to produce plutonium-238, which some spacecraft use for power generation. In the past, congressional policymakers have disagreed about whether NASA or DOE should fund DOE production of plutonium-238 for NASA. The request for Earth Science is \$1.846 billion. The FY2013 appropriation for Earth Science (after rescissions, but before sequestration) was \$1.748 billion. The Earth Science request includes \$30 million to begin development of future land imaging capabilities to replace the current Landsat satellites, operated by the U.S. Geological Survey, as well as funds to assume responsibility for certain Earthobserving satellite instruments previously held by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The request for the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) is \$658.2 million. NASA expects FY2014 to be the peak funding year for JWST and states that the budget and schedule for the JWST program remain consistent with the 2011 revised plan. In the FY2012 appropriations conference report. Congress capped the formulation and development cost of JWST and mandated annual reports on the program by the Government Accountability Office.

The request for Aeronautics is \$565.7 million. The FY2013 appropriation (after rescissions, but before sequestration) was \$558.2 million. The request for Integrated Systems Research includes a new program on advanced composite materials and structures. In the Fundamental Aeronautics

⁶³ Executive Office of the President, *Executive Office of the President, Fiscal Year 2014 Congressional Budget Submission*, April 2014, http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2014-eop-budget1_0.pdf.

⁶⁴ This section was prepared by Daniel Morgan, Specialist in Science and Technology Policy, Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

program, NASA plans to explore options for the future of its rotorcraft research; this planning will be coordinated with other government agencies and industry partners.

For Space Technology, the Administration has requested \$742.6 million. The FY2013 appropriation (after rescissions, but before sequestration) was \$628.7 million. The requested increase would support existing projects that are moving from the planning and design phase to the more expensive tasks of hardware manufacture and demonstration. The request also includes funds to accelerate the development of high-power solar electric propulsion technology for future spacecraft.

The Administration's request for Exploration in FY2014 is \$3.916 billion. The FY2013 appropriation (after rescissions, but before sequestration) was \$3.806 billion. This account funds development of the Orion Multipurpose Crew Vehicle (MPCV) and the Space Launch System (SLS) heavy-lift rocket, mandated by the 2010 authorization act for human exploration beyond Earth orbit. The account also funds development of a commercial crew transportation capability for future U.S. astronaut access to the International Space Station. The request of \$2.730 billion for commercial crew is more than the FY2013 appropriation, while the request of \$2.730 billion for Orion, the SLS, and related ground systems is less than the FY2013 appropriation. In the past, this apparent difference in human spaceflight priorities between Congress and the Administration has been controversial. According to NASA, the amounts requested are consistent with the planned schedules for both Orion/SLS and commercial crew transportation services available in 2017, while the request for Orion and SLS is sufficient for an uncrewed flight of the SLS in 2017 and a crewed flight in 2021.

The FY2014 request for Space Operations is \$3.883 billion. The FY2013 appropriation (after rescissions, but before sequestration) was \$3.871 billion. The Space Operations account funds the International Space Station (ISS) and the Space and Flight Support program.

The request for Education is \$94.2 million. The FY2013 appropriation (after rescissions, but before sequestration) was \$122.4 million. NASA education programs are being consolidated as part of a government-wide reorganization of programs in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education. Among the programs included in the FY2014 request for the Education account are the National Space Grant College and Fellowship Program (\$24 million), the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR, \$9 million), and the Minority University Research Education Program (MUREP, \$30 million). In the past, other NASA accounts also funded some education activities; in most cases, these additional education funds are not included in the FY2014 request for those accounts.

The Administration is proposing a NASA mission to capture a small asteroid robotically, redirect it into orbit around the Moon, and explore it with astronauts as one of the first destinations for Orion and the SLS. The FY2014 budget request includes initial funding for this mission in three different accounts: \$20 million in Science for identification and characterization of a suitable asteroid, \$45 million in Exploration for mission definition and planning and development of capture mechanisms, and \$40 million in Space Technology for development of the solar electric propulsion technology that would be used to redirect the asteroid's orbit.

	Cont Appropria FY2013	I and Further inuing ations Act, (Before tration)				
Accounts	Before Rescissions	After Rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
Science	\$5,144.0	\$5,037.4	\$5,017.8			
Aeronautics	570.0	558.2	565.7			
Space Technology	642.0	628.7	742.6			
Exploration	3,887.0	3,806.4	3,915.5			
Space Operations	3,953.0	3,871.0	3,882.9			
Education	125.0	122.4	94.2			
Cross-Agency Support	2,823.0	2,764.5	2,850.3			
Construction and Environmental Compliance and Restoration	680.0	665.9	609.4			
Inspector General	38.0	37.2	37.0			
Total: NASA	17,862.0	17,491.7	17,715.4			

Table 11. Funding for NASA, FY2013 and FY2014

Budget authority in millions of dollars

Sources: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2013 amounts do not include the effect of sequestration. FY2014 requested amounts are from the FY2014 NASA congressional budget justification.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

National Science Foundation (NSF)65

The National Science Foundation (NSF) supports basic research and education in the non-medical sciences and engineering. Congress established the foundation as an independent federal agency in 1950 and directed it to "promote the progress of science; to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare; to secure the national defense; and for other purposes."⁶⁶ The NSF is a primary source of federal support for U.S. university research. It is also responsible for significant shares of the federal science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education program portfolio and federal STEM student aid and support.

⁶⁵ This section was prepared by Heather B. Gonzalez, Specialist in Science and Technology Policy, Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

⁶⁶ The National Science Foundation Act of 1950 (P.L. 81-507), Purpose.

For FY2014 the Administration seeks \$7.626 billion in funding for the NSF. NSF indicates that its overarching priorities for FY2014 include six programs: Cyber-enabled Materials, Manufacturing, and Smart Systems (CEMMS, \$300.4 million); Cyberinfrastructure Framework for 21st Century Science, Engineering, and Education (CIF21, \$155.5 million); NSF Innovation Corps (I-Corps, \$24.9 million); Integrated NSF Support Promoting Interdisciplinary Research and Education (INSPIRE, \$63.0 million); Science, Engineering, and Education for Sustainability (SEES, \$222.8 million); and Secure and Trustworthy Cyberspace (SaTC, \$110.3 million). Congress did not specify funding for these individual accounts in the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (P.L. 113-6). P.L. 113-6 provided \$7.240 billion (after rescissions, but before sequestration) in appropriations to NSF in FY2013.⁶⁷ Congress has not enacted specific funding authorization levels for NSF in FY2014.⁶⁸

Since FY2006, overall increases in the NSF budget have been at least partially driven by the socalled "doubling path policy." Congress and successive Administrations have sought to double funding for the NSF, Department of Energy's Office of Science, and National Institute of Standards and Technology's core laboratory and construction accounts (collectively "the targeted accounts").⁶⁹ However, actual funding for the targeted accounts has generally not reached authorized levels during the authorization period, which ends in FY2013. It is unclear if Congress will seek to continue the doubling path policy in FY2014. Previous Administration budgets and supporting documents have stated the President's intention to double funding for these accounts; the President's FY2014 budget and supporting documents express support for increasing basic research at these agencies, but do not expressly commit to a doubling effort. In FY2013 some legislators raised concerns about pursuing the doubling effort given the nation's fiscal challenges, including one who urged observers "to be realistic about the notion of doubling the NSF budget." Other analysts have asserted that without the doubling path policy in place, funding levels for targeted accounts might have fallen over the past half-decade.⁷⁰

Congress typically appropriates to NSF at the major account level. NSF's major accounts are Research and Related Activities (R&RA), Education and Human Resources (E&HR), Major Research Equipment and Facilities Construction (MREFC), Agency Operations and Awards Management (AOAM), the National Science Board (NSB), and the Office of Inspector General (IG). In some cases, such as the Graduate Research Fellowship (GRF), program funding may come from two or more of these accounts.

R&RA is the largest NSF account and the primary source of research funding at the NSF. The Administration seeks \$6.212 billion in funding for R&RA in FY2014 noting "strong support for cross-cutting research priorities such as advanced manufacturing, clean energy and sustainability, break-through materials, robotics, cyberinfrastructure, and cybersecurity."⁷¹ NSF consolidated

⁶⁷ All FY2013 funding levels for NSF in this section have been adjusted to reflect the enacted level minus the rescissions authorized by P.L. 113-6, Sections 3001 and 3004. They do not reflect the effects of the sequestration or other potential changes to budget authority for FY2013.

⁶⁸ The FY2014 NSF budget refers to the foundation's organic act, P.L. 81-507, for general budgetary authority.

⁶⁹ For an analysis of the doubling effort that includes historic trends, see CRS Report R41951, *An Analysis of Efforts to Double Federal Funding for Physical Sciences and Engineering Research*, by John F. Sargent Jr.

⁷⁰ Testimony of Dr. Jeffrey L. Furman, in U.S. Congress, Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, "Five Years of the America COMPETES Act: Progress, Challenges, and Next Steps," hearings, 112th Cong., 2nd sess., September 19, 2012.

⁷¹ National Science Foundation, FY2014 Budget Request to Congress, April 10, 2013, p. R&RA-1.

certain R&RA sub-accounts in FY2013, moving from 11 directorates and offices to eight.⁷² P.L. 113-6 provided \$5.859 billion (after rescissions, but before sequestration) in funding for R&RA in FY2013.

The FY2014 request for R&RA's Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR) program is \$163.6 million. The explanatory statement that accompanied P.L. 113-6 (hereinafter referred to as the "explanatory statement") provided \$154.9 million (after rescissions, but before sequestration) for EPSCoR in FY2013.⁷³ The explanatory statement also provided \$200.7 million (after rescissions, but before sequestration) in funding for the Division of Astronomical Sciences (AST), including \$161.5 million (after rescissions, but before sequestration) for infrastructure, in FY2013. The FY2014 request for AST is \$243.6 million, including \$163.1 million for infrastructure. The FY2014 request for the Directorate on Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences (SBE) is \$272.4 million.⁷⁴

The Administration seeks \$880.3 million in funding for E&HR in FY2014. E&HR is the primary source of funding for science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education at the NSF. P.L. 113-6 provided \$877.0 million (after rescissions, but before sequestration) in funding for E&HR in FY2013.

For FY2014 the Administration proposes a reorganization and consolidation of many federal STEM education programs.⁷⁵ Under the Administration's plan, NSF would play a leadership role in the federal undergraduate and graduate STEM education efforts. The Department of Education⁷⁶ and Smithsonian Institution⁷⁷ would focus on K-12 education and informal STEM education, respectively.

It is unclear what the Administration's planned STEM education program reorganization means for STEM education at the NSF. For example, in FY2013 congressional appropriators rejected NSF's request to reduce funding for the Advancing Informal STEM Learning (AISL) program from \$64.2 million (FY2012 estimate) to \$47.8 million (FY2013 request).⁷⁸ The FY2014 budget request once again seeks \$47.8 million for AISL. However, the Administration also seeks \$25.0 million in new funding for informal STEM education programs at the Smithsonian. The FY2014 NSF budget request does not indicate what, if any, similarities or differences might exist between AISL (or other informal education efforts at NSF) and the proposed new informal education activities at the Smithsonian. Similar questions may arise about other NSF STEM education

⁷² See footnote b in **Table 12**.

⁷³ See, Senator Barbara Mikulski, "Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act," *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 159, part 34 (March 11, 2013), pp. S1287-S1587.

⁷⁴ P.L. 113-6 did not specify a funding level for this account in FY2013. However, Section 543 of the FY2013 appropriations law limited the scope of political science activities, which are primarily funded through SBE, to research projects that the NSF director "certifies as promoting national security or the economic interests of the United States."

⁷⁵ Although the details of the plan appear to be in flux, the Administration indicates that it plans to reduce the number of federal STEM education programs by about 50% and to shift approximately \$180.0 million in budget authority from various federal agencies to the NSF, Department of Education, and Smithsonian. Some programs within these three receiving agencies would also be consolidated, as would STEM education programs at other federal agencies.

⁷⁶ More information is available at http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/budget14/crosscuttingissues/stem.pdf.

⁷⁷ More information is available at http://www.si.edu/content/pdf/about/FY2014-BudgetRequest.pdf.

⁷⁸ Senator Barbara Mikulski, "Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act," *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 159, part 34 (March 11, 2013), p. S1310.

programs under the Administration's plan. These questions may be addressed, at least in part, by the publication of the federal STEM education strategic plan.⁷⁹

The foundation's FY2014 budget request highlights several NSF changes associated with the Administration's plan for STEM education: establishment of the Catalyzing Advances in Undergraduate STEM Education (CAUSE) program; expansion of the GRF; and the creation of the NSF Research Traineeship (NRT), which would replace the Integrative Graduate Education Research Traineeship (IGERT). Information about these changes is as follows:

- *CAUSE*—The CAUSE program is designed to provide coherence across NSF undergraduate programs. Its goals are to improve STEM learning and learning environments, broaden participation in STEM and increase institutional capacity, and build the STEM workforce of tomorrow. The Administration seeks \$123.1 million in funding for CAUSE in FY2014.⁸⁰
- *GRF*—The Administration's proposed changes to the GRF would make NSF a central source for all federal STEM fellowships. Under the plan, the GRF would become the National Graduate Research Fellowship (NGRF) program. Budget authority for certain federal fellowships at other federal agencies would be reduced and funding for the NGRF would be increased. NSF would consult with its directorates, as well as with other federal agencies, to ensure that "suitable targeted opportunities are provided."⁸¹ It is unclear how this consolidation might affect the distribution of fellowships across various STEM fields.⁸² The FY2014 budget request for the NGRF is \$325.1 million. NSF asserts that this level of funding will provide for an increase of approximately 700 fellows, for a total of 2,700 new fellowships in FY2014.
- *IGERT*—The Administration seeks to eliminate the IGERT in FY2014 and to replace it with the NRT. The FY2014 NSF budget request states that the NRT will "build on what has been learned through IGERT, the Graduate STEM

⁷⁹ Ibid., p. S1308. The explanatory statement directed the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) to produce this plan within 45 days of the enactment of P.L. 113-6. Some observers assert that this means the plan is due to congressional appropriators on or around May 10, 2013.

⁸⁰ The CAUSE program would consolidate three E&HR undergraduate programs, three R&RA education programs, and one NSF-wide program. The E&HR programs are: the STEM Talent Expansion Program (STEP), Widening Implementation and Demonstration of Evidence-Based Reforms (WIDER), and Transforming Undergraduate Education in STEM (TUES). The R&RA programs, along with their respective directorates, are: Transforming Undergraduate Biology Education (TUBE, Biological Sciences), Research in Engineering Education and Nanotechnology Undergraduate Education (NUE, Engineering), and Geosciences Education and Opportunities for Enhancing Diversity in the Geosciences (OEDG, Geosciences). The NSF-wide program is the Climate Change Education (CCE) program.

⁸¹ National Science Foundation, FY2014 Budget Request to Congress, April 10, 2013, p. NSF-Wide Investments-39.

⁸² Congressional appropriators have expressed concerns about the rejection of GRF applications by field. For example, the Senate Appropriations Committee report (S.Rept. 112-78) on S. 1572 (Departments of Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2012) expressed concerns that otherwise meritorious GRF applications were being rejected based on the student's enrollment in a clinical psychology program, rather than on the subject of the research proposal.

Fellows in K-12 Education (GK-12) program,⁸³ and in other relevant NSF-sponsored efforts."⁸⁴ The FY2014 request for the NRT is \$55.1 million.

In addition to these programs, the FY2014 request seeks to shift certain programs between E&HR divisions and would merge the Mathematics and Science Partnership (MSP) and Computing Education for the 21st Century (CE21) programs. The merged program would be called, "Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics, including Computing Partnerships (STEM-C Partnerships)." The FY2014 request for STEM-C is \$57.1 million. Other E&HR accounts in the FY2014 budget request include Advanced Technological Education (ATE, \$64.0 million), Robert Noyce Scholarship (Noyce, \$60.9 million), and CyberCorps: Scholarships for Service (SFS, \$25.0 million). The explanatory statement (as adjusted to reflect the rescissions, but not sequestration) provided \$67.6 million for ATE, \$53.8 million for Noyce, and \$44.1 million for SFS in FY2013.

Selected broadening participation programs from the FY2014 request include Historically Black Colleges and Universities Undergraduate Program (HBCU-UP, \$31.9 million), Tribal Colleges and Universities Program (T-CUP, \$13.3 million), Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation (LSAMP, \$45.6 million), and Centers for Research Excellence in Science and Technology (CREST, \$20.2 million). The explanatory statement (as adjusted to reflect the rescissions, but not sequestration) provided \$32.3 million for HBCU-UP, \$13.1 million for T-CUP, \$46.8 million for LSAMP, and \$24.5 million for CREST in FY2013.

The FY2014 request for the MREFC account is \$210.1 million. NSF indicates that this amount would provide a final year of funding for the Advanced Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (AdvLIGO) and Ocean Observatories Initiative (OOI), as well as the first year of funding for the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST). Funding for the Advanced Technology Solar Telescope and National Ecological Observatory Network (NEON) would continue.

The Administration seeks \$304.3 million, \$4.5 million, and \$14.3 million, respectively, for AOAM, NSB, and OIG in FY2014. After the rescissions (but before sequestration) P.L. 113-6 provided \$293.2 million, \$4.3 million, and \$13.9 million, respectively, for these accounts in FY2013.

The FY2014 NSF budget request also includes funding for three multi-agency initiatives: National Nanotechnology Initiative (NNI, \$430.9 million), Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD, \$1.227 billion), and U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP, \$326.4 million).

⁸³ The GK-12 program was eliminated in FY2012. See, *FY2012 NSF Budget Request to Congress*, available at http://www.nsf.gov/about/budget/fy2012/pdf/fy2012_rollup.pdf.

⁸⁴ National Science Foundation, FY2014 Budget Request to Congress, April 10, 2013, p NSF-Wide Investments-39.

	Appropria FY2013	l and Further inuing ations Act, (Before tration)				
Account	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
Research and Related Activities	\$5,983.3	\$5,859.2	\$6,212.3			
Biological Sciences	n/s	n/s	760.6			
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	n/s	n/s	950.3			
Engineering	n/s	n/s	911.1			
Geosciences	n/s	n/s	1,393.9			
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	n/s	n/s	1,386.1			
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	n/s	n/s	272.4			
Office of Cyberinfrastructureª	n/s	n/s	—			
Office of International Science and Engineering ^a	n/s	n/s	_			
U.S. Polar Programsª	n/s	n/s	_			
Integrative Activities ^a	n/s	n/s	536.6			
U.S. Arctic Research Commission	n/s	n/s	1.4			
Education and Human Resources	895.6	877.0	880.3			
Major Research Equipment and Facilities Construction	196.2	192.1	210.1			
Agency Operations and Award Management	299.4	293.2	304.3			
National Science Board	4.4	4.3	4.5			
Office of the Inspector General	14.2	13.9	14.3			
Total: NSF	7,393.1	7,239.8	7,625.8			

Table 12. NSF Funding by Major Account, FY2013 and FY2014

Budget authority in millions of dollars

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act

(1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from NSF Budget Request to Congress.

Notes: "n/s" means "not specified." CRS was unable to identify a defined amount of funding for this account. Numbers are rounded.

a. On September 7, 2012, the NSF announced that it was realigning four directorates within the Research and Related Activities account. This transition was scheduled for October 1, 2012. Under the new account structure, the Office of Cyberinfrastructure was to become a division within the Directorate for Computer and Information Science and Engineering. The Office of Polar Programs was to become a division within the Geosciences directorate. The offices of International Science and Engineering and the office of Integrative Activities were to be merged to become the Office of International and Integrative Activities. NSF indicates that these changes to the foundation's account structure have been made.

Related Agencies

The related agencies received a total of \$870.1 million under the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act. For FY2014, the Administration requests a total of \$962.1 million for the related agencies.

	Budget	authority in mil	lions of dollars			
	Appropria FY2013	and Further nuing ations Act, (Before tration)				
Commission, Office, or Corporation	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions	FY2014 Request	FY2014 House	FY2014 Senate	FY2014 Enacted
U.S. Commission on Civil Rights	\$9.4	\$9.2	\$9.4			
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	370.0	362.3	372.9			
International Trade Commission	83.0	82.9 ª	85.1			
Legal Services Corporation	365.0	357.4	430.0			
Marine Mammal Commission	3.1	3.0	3.4			
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative	51.3	50.2	56.2			
State Justice Institute	5.1	5.0	5.1			
Total: Related Agencies	886.9	870.I	962.1			

Table 13. Funding for Related Agencies, FY2013 and FY2014

Source: Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section 3004 of the act (0.2%). FY2014 requested amounts were taken from the appendix to the *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2014*.

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

a. The appropriation for the International Trade Commission was subject to a 0.1% rescission per Section 3001 and a 0.032% rescission per Section 3004 of the act because the account was classified as a discretionary security account (as defined at 2 U.S.C. §900(c)(4)(B)).

Commission on Civil Rights

Established by the Civil Rights Act of 1957, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (the Commission)

- investigates allegations of citizens who may have been denied the right to vote based on color, race, religion, or national origin;
- studies and gathers information on legal developments constituting a denial of the equal protection of the laws;
- assesses the federal laws and policies in the area of civil rights; and
- submits reports on its findings to the President and Congress when the Commission or the President deems it appropriate.

P.L. 113-6 provided \$9.2 million for the Commission. The Administration requests \$9.4 million for the Commission for FY2014.

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)⁸⁵

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) enforces several laws that ban employment discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability. In recent years, appropriators were particularly concerned about the agency's ability to reduce the pending inventory of charges due to rising caseloads and limited staff. Due to new hires of enforcement staff and developments in technology, the EEOC continues to reduce the pending inventory of cases.

The President's FY2014 budget request for the EEOC is \$372.9 million. Out of the \$372.9 million, up to \$29.5 million is requested for payments to state and local entities with which the agency has work-sharing agreements to address workplace discrimination within their jurisdictions (i.e., Fair Employment Practices Agencies, FEPAs, and Tribal Employment Rights Organizations, TEROs). The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act included \$362.3 million for the EEOC in FY2013 after rescissions.

The pending inventory of private sector cases filed with the EEOC reduced from 78,136 at the end of FY2011 to 70,312 at the end of FY2012, a 10% decline.⁸⁶ According to the EEOC, the decline reflects investments in staffing, training and technology.

The EEOC federal sector hearings workload reduced from 8,847 in FY2011 to 8,687 hearings in FY2012.⁸⁷ The EEOC continues to implement strategies to reduce both federal and private sector cases by implementing a new case management system as outlined in the EEOC Strategic Plan for FY2012-FY2016.

⁸⁵ This section was prepared by Abigail Rudman, Information Research Specialist, Knowledge Services Group, Domestic Social Policy Division.

⁸⁶ FY2014 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Congressional Budget Justification, Chart 2. Private Sector Charges Pending at Year End for Fiscal Year 2010 to Fiscal Year 2016, http://www.eeoc.gov/eeoc/plan/ 2014budget.cfm

⁸⁷ FY2013 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Congressional Budget Justification, Chart 7: Federal Sector Appeals Workload Fiscal Year 2010 to Fiscal Year 2016, http://www.eeoc.gov/eeoc/plan/2014budget.cfm

U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC)88

The U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) is an independent, quasi-judicial agency established by Congress that advises the President and Congress on U.S. foreign economic policies. In its *Strategic Plan* for 2009-2014, the ITC identified the following five strategic operations, which define the functions of the agency: (1) import injury investigations, (2) intellectual property-based imports investigations, (3) industry and economic analysis, (4) tariff and trade information services, and (5) trade policy support.⁸⁹ As a matter of policy, its budget request is submitted to Congress by the President without revision. P.L. 113-6 provided \$82.9 million for the ITC. The Administration's request for ITC for FY2014 is \$85.1 million.

Legal Services Corporation (LSC)⁹⁰

The Legal Services Corporation (LSC) is a private, nonprofit, federally funded corporation that provides grants to local offices that, in turn, provide legal assistance to low-income people in civil (noncriminal) cases. The LSC has been controversial since its incorporation in the early 1970s and has been operating without authorizing legislation since 1980. There have been ongoing debates over the adequacy of funding for the agency and the extent to which certain types of activities are appropriate for federally funded legal aid attorneys to undertake. In annual appropriations bills, Congress traditionally has included legislative provisions restricting the activities of LSC-funded grantees, such as prohibiting any lobbying activities or prohibiting representation in certain types of cases.

Although the authorization of appropriations for the LSC expired at the end of FY1980, the LSC has operated for the past 33 years under annual appropriations laws.

P.L. 113-6 provided a total of \$357.4 million for the LSC for FY2013. For FY2014, the Obama Administration requested \$430.0 million for the LSC. The Administration's FY2014 budget request includes \$400.3 million for basic field programs and required independent audits, \$19.5 million for management and grants oversight, \$3.5 million for client self-help and information technology, \$4.2 million for the Office of the Inspector General, \$1.5 million for a new Pro Bono Innovation Fund, and \$1.0 million for loan repayment assistance. The Obama Administration also proposes that LSC restrictions on class action suits and attorneys' fees be eliminated.

Marine Mammal Commission (MMC)⁹¹

The Marine Mammal Commission (MMC) is an independent agency of the executive branch, established under Title II of the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA; P.L. 92-522). The MMC and its Committee of Scientific Advisors on Marine Mammals provide oversight and recommend actions on domestic and international topics to advance policies and provisions of the

⁸⁸ This section was written by M. Angeles Villarreal, Specialist in International Trade and Finance, Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division.

⁸⁹ U.S. Office of Management and Budget, *The President's Budget Fiscal Year 2012 Appendix, Other Independent Agencies, U.S. International Trade Commission,* p. 1249.

⁹⁰ This section was prepared by Carmen Solomon-Fears, Specialist in Social Policy, Domestic Social Policy Division.

⁹¹ This section was prepared by Eugene H. Buck, Specialist in Natural Resources Policy; Resources, Science, and Industry Division.

Marine Mammal Protection Act. As funding permits, the Marine Mammal Commission supports research to further the purposes of the MMPA.

The MMC received \$3.0 million under P.L. 113-6. For FY2014, the Administration requests \$3.4 million for the MMC.

Office of the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR)92

The Office of the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR), located in the Executive Office of the President, is responsible for developing and coordinating U.S. international trade and direct investment policies. The USTR is the President's chief negotiator for international trade agreements, including commodity and direct investment negotiations. USTR also conducts U.S. affairs related to the World Trade Organization. P.L. 113-6 provided \$50.2 million for the USTR. The Administration's request for USTR for FY2014 is \$56.2 million.

State Justice Institute (SJI)

The State Justice Institute (SJI) is a nonprofit corporation that makes grants to state courts and funds research, technical assistance, and informational projects aimed at improving the quality of judicial administration in state courts across the United States. It is governed by an 11-member board of directors appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate.⁹³ Under the terms of its enabling legislation, SJI is authorized to present its budget request directly to Congress, apart from the President's budget.

P.L. 113-6 provided \$5.0 million for SJI. For FY2014, the Administration requests \$5.1 million for SJI.

	Budget authori	<i>y</i>			Further C Appropria FY2013	ated and Continuing ations Act, (Before tration)
Bureau or Agency	FY2009 Enacted	FY2010 Enacted	FY2011 Enacted	FY2012 Enacted	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions
Department of Commerce						
International Trade Administration	\$420.4	\$446.8	\$440.7	\$455.6	\$471.2	\$461.4
Bureau of Industry and Security	83.7	100.3	100.1	101.0	101.8	99.7
Economic Development Administration	312.8ª	293.0	283.4	457.5	224.8	220.1
Minority Business Development Agency	29.8	31.5	30.3	30.3	28.7	28.1

Table 14. Funding for CJS Agencies, by Account, FY2009-FY2013

Budget authority in millions of dollars

⁹² This section was written by M. Angeles Villarreal, Specialist in International Trade and Finance, Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division.

⁹³ By law, the President must appoint six state court judges, one state court administrator, and four members of the public, no more than two of whom may be of the same political party.

					Further C Appropria FY2013	lated and Continuing ations Act, (Before stration)
Bureau or Agency	FY2009 Enacted	FY2010 Enacted	FY2011 Enacted	FY2012 Enacted	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions
Economic and Statistical Analysis	90.6	97.2	97.1	96.0	100.2	98.2
Census Bureau	3,139.9 [⊾]	7,324.7	1,149.7	888.3	906.2	887.4
National Telecommunications and Information Administration	39.2 ¢	40.0	41.6	45.6	46.0	45.0
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	2,010.1	2,016.0	2,090.0	2,706.3	2,933.2	2,872.4
Offsetting Fee Receipts USPTO	-2,087.0	-1,887.0	-2,090.0	-2,706.3	-2,933.2	-2,872.4
National Institute of Standards and Technology	819.0 ^d	856.6	750.1	750.8	824.2	807.1
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	4,365.2 ^e	4,737.5	4,588.0	4,893.7	5,100.0	4,994.2
Departmental Management	83.8 ^f	107.5	99.8	88.9	86.8	85.0
DOC Subtotal	9,307.5	 4, 64.2 g	7,580.9	7,807.7	7,889.9	7,726.2
Department of Justice						
General Administration	2,067.8	2,276.7	2,208.1	2,227.9	539.7	528.5
General Administration	370.8	456.9	312.2	262.1	144.2	141.3
Administrative Review & Appeals	266.0	296.7	296.1	301.0	309.4	303.0
Detention Trustee	1,355.3	1,438.7	1,515.6	1,580.6	—	_
Office of the Inspector General	75.7 ^h	84.4	84.2	84.2	86.0	84.2
U.S. Parole Commission	12.6	12.9	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.5
Legal Activities	2,918.2	3,085.2	3,177.3	3,187.2	3,210.7	3,149.7
General legal activities	805.7	875.I	863.4	863.4	881.0	862.7
United States Attorneys	1,851.3	1,934.0	1,930.1	1,960.0	1,969.7	1,928.9
Other ⁱ	261.2	276.1	383.8	363.8	360.0	358.2
U.S. Marshals Service	964.0	1,152.4	1,140.1	1,189.0	2,853.4	2,794.2
National Security Division	85.2	87.9	87.8	87.0	90.0	88.2
Interagency Law Enforcement	515.0	528.6	527.5	527.5	521.8	511.0
Federal Bureau of Investigation	7,336.2	7,898.5	7,926.3	8,118.0	8,266.0	8,094.6
Drug Enforcement Administration	1,959.1	2,019.7	2,015.6	2,035.0	2,050.9	2,008.4
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms & Explosives	1,068.2	1,120.8	1,112.5	1,152.0	1,153.3	1,129.4
Federal Prison System	6,178.9	6,188.1	6,384.1	6,644.0	6,912.9	6,769.6
Office of Violence Against Women	415.0 ^j	418.5	417.7	412.5	416.5	407.9
Office of Justice Programs	2,066.6	2,283.5	1,697.9	1,616.3	1,625.2	1,592.8
Research, Evaluation, and Statistics	220.0	235.0	234.5	113.0	127.0	124.4

					Further C Appropria FY2013	ated and Continuing ations Act, (Before tration)
Bureau or Agency	FY2009 Enacted	FY2010 Enacted	FY2011 Enacted	FY2012 Enacted	Pre- rescissions	Post- rescissions
State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance	1,328.5 ^k	1,534.8	1,117.8	1,162.5	1,140.4	1,116.8
Weed and Seed	25.0	20.0	_	—	—	_
Juvenile Justice Programs	374.0	423.6	275.4	262.5	279.5	273.7
Public Safety Officers Benefits	9.	70.1	70.1	78.3	78.3	78.0
Community Oriented Policing Services	550.5 ¹	791.6	494.9	198.5	222.5	217.9
OVW, OJP, and COPS Salaries and Expenses	195.0 ^m	213.4	186.6	—	—	—
DOJ Subtotal	26,332.3	28,077.7 ⁿ	27,389.2	27,407.7	27,875.7	27,304.7
Science Agencies						
Office of Science and Technology Policy	5.3	7.0	6.6	4.5	5.9	5.7
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	I 7,782.4 °	18,724.3	18,448.0	17,800.0	17,862.0	17,491.7
National Science Foundation	6,490.4 P	6,926.5	6,859.9	7,033.1	7,393.1	7,239.8
Science Agencies Subtotal	24,278.1	25,657.8	25,314.5	24,837.6	25,261.0	24,737.2
Related Agencies						
Commission on Civil Rights	8.8	9.4	9.4	\$.2	9.4	9.2
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)	343.9	367.3	366.6	360.0	370.0	362.3
International Trade Commission	75.1	81.9	81.7	80.0	83.0	82.9
Legal Services Corporation	390.0	420.0	404.2	348.0	365.0	357.4
Marine Mammal Commission	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.0	3.1	3.0
U.S. Trade Representative	47.3	47.8	47.7	51.3	51.3	50.2
State Justice Institute	4.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.0
Related Agencies Subtotal	872.4	934.8	917.9	856.6	886.9	870.I
Total Appropriation	60,790.3 9	68,834.5 ^r	61,202.5°	60,909.6t	61,913.4	60,638.2
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act	15,922.0	_	_	_	_	-

Source: FY2008-enacted amounts taken from the House Committee on Appropriations' Committee Print on the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 111-8), Division B. FY2009-enacted amounts taken from H.Rept. 111-366. FY2010-enacted amounts taken from S.Rept. 111-229. FY2011-enacted amounts were taken from H.Rept. 112-169. FY2012-enacted amounts were taken from H.Rept. 112-284. Pre-rescission amounts provided by the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act were taken from the explanatory statement printed in the March 11, 2013, *Congressional Record* (pp. S1300-S1315); post-rescission amounts were calculated by CRS and reflect the rescissions specified in Section 3001 of the act (1.877%) and the rescissions ordered by OMB per Section of 3004 the act (0.2%). Appropriations for the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission and the International Trade Commission were subject to a 0.1% rescission per Section 3001 and a 0.032% rescission per Section 3004 of the act because the account was classified as a discretionary security account (as defined at 2 U.S.C. §900(c)(4)(B)).

Note: Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

- a. This amount does not include the \$150.0 million that the Economic Development Administration received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- b. This amount does not include the \$1.0 billion that the Census Bureau received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- c. This amount does not include the \$5.4 billion that the National Telecommunication and Information Administration received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- d. This amount does not include the \$580.0 million that the National Institute of Standards and Technology received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (P.L. 111-5).
- e. This amount does not include the \$830.0 million that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- f. This amount does not include the \$6.0 million that the Office of the Inspector General received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- g. This amount does not include \$105.0 million in supplemental funding for the Department of Commerce (P.L. 111-212 and P.L. 111-224), of which \$54.0 million was for the Economic Development Administration and \$51.0 million was for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. However, it does include \$129.0 in supplemental funding for the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office,
- h. This amount does not include the \$2.0 million that the Office of the Inspector General received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- "Other" includes subaccounts for the Antitrust Division, Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund, U.S. Trustee System Fund, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, Fees and Expenses of Witnesses, Community Relations Service, and the Asset Forfeiture Fund.
- j. This amount does not include the \$225.0 million that the Office on Violence Against Women received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- k. This amount does not include the \$2.765 billion appropriated for the State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance account under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- I. This amount does not include the \$1.0 billion the Community Oriented Policing Services Office received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- m. This amount does not include the \$10.0 million appropriated for OVW, OJP, and COPS Salaries and Expenses under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- n. This amount does not include \$206.0 million in supplemental funding for the Department of Justice (P.L. 111-212 and P.L. 111-230), of which \$2.1 million was for Administrative Review and Appeals; \$7.0 million was for the Office of the Federal Detention Trustee; \$13.9 million was for General Legal Activities; \$9.2 million was for the U.S. Attorneys; \$37.7 million was for the U.S. Marshals; \$21.0 million was for Interagency Law Enforcement; \$24.0 million was for the Federal Bureau of Investigation; \$33.7 million was for the Drug Enforcement Administration; \$37.5 million was for the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives; and \$20.0 million was for the Federal Prison System.
- o. This amount does not include the \$1.002 billion the National Aeronautics and Space Administration received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- p. This amount does not include the \$3.002 billion the National Science Foundation received under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5).
- q. This amount does not include \$610.6 million in rescissions of unobligated balances.
- r. This amount does not include \$531.2 million in rescissions of unobligated balances included in P.L. 111-117; \$111.5 million in rescissions of unobligated balances included in P.L. 111-212; \$129.0 million in rescissions of unobligated balances included in P.L. 111-224; and \$1.788 billion in rescissions of unobligated balances included in P.L. 112-6.
- s. This amount does not include \$2.416 billion in rescissions of unobligated balances.
- t. This amount does not include \$905.9 million in rescissions of unobligated balances.

Author Contact Information

Nathan James, Coordinator Analyst in Crime Policy njames@crs.loc.gov, 7-0264

Jennifer D. Williams, Coordinator Specialist in American National Government jwilliams@crs.loc.gov, 7-8640

John F. Sargent Jr., Coordinator Specialist in Science and Technology Policy jsargent@crs.loc.gov, 7-9147

Wendy H. Schacht Specialist in Science and Technology Policy wschacht@crs.loc.gov, 7-7066

M. Angeles Villarreal Specialist in International Trade and Finance avillarreal@crs.loc.gov, 7-0321

Daniel Morgan Specialist in Science and Technology Policy dmorgan@crs.loc.gov, 7-5849

William J. Krouse Specialist in Domestic Security and Crime Policy wkrouse@crs.loc.gov, 7-2225

Heather B. Gonzalez Specialist in Science and Technology Policy hgonzalez@crs.loc.gov, 7-1895

Kristin Finklea Specialist in Domestic Security kfinklea@crs.loc.gov, 7-6259 Eugene Boyd Analyst in Federalism and Economic Development Policy eboyd@crs.loc.gov, 7-8689

Lisa N. Sacco Analyst in Illicit Drugs and Crime Policy Isacco@crs.loc.gov, 7-7359

Dana A. Shea Specialist in Science and Technology Policy dshea@crs.loc.gov, 7-6844

Ian F. Fergusson Specialist in International Trade and Finance ifergusson@crs.loc.gov, 7-4997

Abigail B. Rudman Information Research Specialist arudman@crs.loc.gov, 7-9519

Harold F. Upton Analyst in Natural Resources Policy hupton@crs.loc.gov, 7-2264

Carmen Solomon-Fears Specialist in Social Policy csolomonfears@crs.loc.gov, 7-7306

Linda K. Moore Specialist in Telecommunications Policy Imoore@crs.loc.gov, 7-5853

Key Policy Staff

Area of Expertise	Name	Phone	E-mail
Departments			
Department of Justice	Nathan James	7-0264	njames@crs.loc.gov
Department of Commerce	Jennifer D. Williams	7-8640	jwilliams@crs.loc.gov
Science Agencies	John F. Sargent	7-9147	jsargent@crs.loc.gov
Agencies and Policy Areas			
OJP, COPS, BOP, U.S. Marshals	Nathan James	7-0264	njames@crs.loc.gov

Area of Expertise	Name	Phone	E-mail
FBI, ATF, U.S. Attorneys	William J. Krouse	7-2225	wkrouse@crs.loc.gov
Juvenile Justice	Kristin M. Finklea	7-6259	kfinklea@crs.loc.gov
DEA, OVW	Lisa N. Sacco	7-7359	lsacco@crs.loc.gov
Trade-related agencies: ITA, ITC, USTR, NIPLECC	M. Angeles Villarreal	7-0321	avillarreal@crs.loc.gov
BIS	lan F. Fergusson	7-4997	ifergusson@crs.loc.gov
EDA, MBDA	Eugene Boyd	7-8689	eboyd@crs.loc.gov
Telecommunications, NTIA	Linda K. Moore	7-5853	lmoore@crs.loc.gov
Census Bureau, ESA	Jennifer D. Williams	7-8640	jwilliams@crs.loc.gov
Patent and Trademark Office, NIST	Wendy H. Schacht	7-7066	wschacht@crs.loc.gov
Office of Science and Technology Policy	Dana A. Shea	7-6844	dshea@crs.loc.gov
NOAA	Harold F. Upton	7-2264	hupton@crs.loc.gov
NASA	Daniel Morgan	7-5849	dmorgan@crs.loc.gov
NSF	Heather B. Gonzalez	7-1895	hgonzalez@crs.loc.gov
Marine Mammal Commission	Eugene H. Buck	7-7262	gbuck@crs.loc.gov
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	Abigail B. Rudman	7-9519	arudman@crs.loc.gov
Legal Services Corporation	Carmen Solomon-Fears	7-7306	csolomonfears@crs.loc.gov
State Justice Institute	Denis Steven Rutkus	7-7162	drutkus@crs.loc.gov