Congressional Research Service
Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Federal Support for Drug Courts: In Brief

name redacted
Analyst in Illicit Drugs and Crime Policy

April 14, 2016

Congressional Research Service
7-....
www.crs.gov
R44467

CRS REPORT
Prepared for Members and
Committees of Congress

Summary

The United States has gradually shifted its formal drug policy from a punishment-focused model toward a more comprehensive approach—one that focuses on prevention, treatment, and enforcement. The proliferation of drug courts in American criminal justice fits this more comprehensive model. These specialized court programs are designed to divert certain defendants and offenders away from traditional criminal justice sanctions such as incarceration while reducing overall costs and helping these defendants and offenders with substance abuse issues.

Drug courts present an alternative to the traditional court process for some criminal defendants and offenders—namely those who are considered nonviolent and are known to abuse drugs and/or alcohol. While there are additional specialized goals for certain types of drug courts, the overall goals of adult and juvenile drug courts are to reduce recidivism and substance abuse among nonviolent offenders. Drug court programs may exist at various points in the justice system, but they are most often employed post-arrest as an alternative to traditional criminal justice processing.

The federal government has demonstrated growing support for the drug court model primarily through financial support of drug court programs, research, and various drug court initiatives. Each year, the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) and Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration (SAMHSA) distribute grants to states and localities to support the creation and enhancement of drug courts. In FY2016, over $100 million in federal funding was appropriated for drug courts.

In a time of rising heroin abuse, policymakers may debate whether drug courts could be an effective tool in efforts to address both heroin and prescription drug abuse. Policy options include, but are not limited to, increasing federal funding for drug courts and reauthorizing (with or without amendments) the Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program (Drug Courts Program). Further, Congress may wish to maintain the exclusion of violent offenders from the Drug Courts Program, or to broaden the pool of eligible offenders that may participate in BJA-funded drug court programs to include both violent and nonviolent offenders.

Contents

Figures

Tables

Contacts

Introduction

The United States has gradually shifted its formal drug policy from a punishment-focused model[1] toward a more comprehensive approach—it is now one that focuses on prevention, treatment, and enforcement. The Obama Administration states that it coordinates "an unprecedented government-wide public health and public safety approach to reduce drug use and its consequences."[2]

The proliferation of drug courts in American criminal justice fits this new comprehensive model. Broadly, these specialized court programs are designed to divert some individuals away from traditional criminal justice sanctions such as incarceration. According to some research, they also help save on overall criminal justice costs, provide treatment for defendants/offenders with substance abuse issues, and help offenders avoid re-arrest.

Many drug courts offer a treatment and social service option for those who otherwise may have faced traditional criminal sanctions for their offenses. In some drug courts, individuals that have been arrested are diverted from local courts into special judge-involved programs; these courts are often viewed as "second chance" courts. Other drug court programs offer reentry assistance after an offender has served his or her sentence.

This report will explain (1) the concept of a "drug court," (2) how the term and programs have expanded to include wider meanings and to serve additional subgroups, (3) how the federal government supports drug courts, and (4) research on the impact of drug courts on offenders and court systems. In addition, it briefly discusses how drug courts might provide an avenue for addressing the rise in heroin abuse and other changing drug issues that Congress may consider.

What are Drug Courts?

The term "drug courts" refers to specialized court programs that present an alternative to the traditional court process for certain criminal defendants[3] and offenders. Traditionally, these individuals are first-time, nonviolent offenders and are known to abuse drugs and/or alcohol. While there are additional specialized goals for different types of drug courts, the overall goals of adult and juvenile drug courts are to reduce recidivism and substance abuse among nonviolent offenders.

Drug court programs may exist at various points in the justice system, but they are often employed post-arrest as an alternative to traditional criminal justice processing. **Figure 1** illustrates a deferred prosecution, pretrial drug court model where defendants are diverted into drug court *prior* to pleading to a criminal charge. In many drug court programs, participants have the option to participate in the program.

[1] For further discussion, see CRS Report R43749, *Drug Enforcement in the United States: History, Policy, and Trends*, by (name redacted)

[2] Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control Policy, About ONDCP, http://www.whitehouse.gov/ondcp/about.

[3] Defendants are individuals who have been charged with committing an offense for which they have not yet been convicted.

Figure 1. Deferred-Prosecution Drug Court Model



Source: CRS illustration of pretrial, deferred-prosecution drug court model.

Notes: The defendant does not have to enter a plea to charges under this drug court model. For an example of a pretrial, deferred prosecution drug court program, see the Felony Pre-Trial Intervention Program operated by the Florida Department of Corrections, http://www.sao17.state.fl.us/PreTrialInterventionPTI/FELONY_PTI.htm. Of note, one study of adult drug courts showed that nearly half of adult drug courts dismiss charges after participants successfully complete or graduate from the program. See Janine M. Zweig, Shelli B. Rossman, and John K. Roman, et al., *What's Happening with Drug Courts? A Portrait of Adult Drug Courts in 2004*, Urban Institute, Justice Policy Center, 2011, p. 5, http://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/alfresco/publication-pdfs/412355-The-Multi-site-Adult-Drug-Court-Evaluation-What-s-Happening-with-Drug-Courts-A-Portrait-of-Adult-Drug-Courts-in.PDF.

Figure 2 illustrates a post-adjudication model where defendants must plead guilty to charges (as part of a plea deal) in order to participate in the drug court program. Upon completion of the program, their sentences may be amended or waived, and in some jurisdictions, their offenses may be expunged.

Figure 2. Post-Adjudication Drug Court Model



Source: CRS illustration of post-adjudication drug court model.

Notes: For an example of a post-adjudication model involving a guilty plea of the offender, see the Denver Drug Court of Denver, CO, http://www.denverda.org/prosecution_units/Drug_Court/Drug_Court.htm.

These diagrams illustrate two common models of drug courts, but other models (or variations on the above) have been developed around the country. For example, some drug court referrals may come as a condition of probation. Many drug courts, including some federal drug court programs, are actually reentry programs that assist a drug-addicted convict in reentering the community while receiving treatment for substance abuse.

While drug courts vary in composition and target population, they generally have a comprehensive model involving

- offender screening and assessment of risks and needs,
- judicial interaction,
- monitoring (e.g., drug and alcohol testing) and supervision,

- graduated sanctions and incentives, and
- treatment and rehabilitation services.[4]

Drug courts are typically managed by a team of individuals from (1) criminal justice,[5] (2) social work, and (3) treatment service.[6]

Drug courts typically utilize several phases of treatment including a stabilization phase, an intensive treatment phase, and a transition phase. The stabilization phase may include a period of detoxification, initial treatment assessment, and education, as well as additional screening for other needs. The intensive treatment phase typically involves counseling and other therapy. Finally, the transition phase could emphasize a variety of reintegration components including social integration, employment, education, and housing.[7]

Expansion of Drug Courts

A group of criminal justice professionals established the first drug court in Florida in 1989; they are credited with sparking a national movement of problem-solving courts that address specific needs and concerns of certain types of offenders.[8] There are around 3,000 drug courts (of various types) operating in the United States.[9] Drug courts have diversified to serve specialized groups including veterans, juveniles, and college students. Many drug courts are hybrid courts and address issues beyond drug abuse including mental health and alcohol-impaired driving. In some ways, the term "drug courts" appears to be a catch-all phrase for specialized programs for addicted offenders at various points in the criminal justice process.

Federal Drug Courts

While the Judicial Conference of the United States has long opposed the creation of specialized federal courts,[10] there has been growing support within the federal court system and the Department of Justice (DOJ) for reentry programs that incorporate some features of drug courts.[11]

---

[4] U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, *Drug Courts*, March 2015, http://www.nij.gov/topics/courts/drug-courts/.

[5] Including judges, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and community corrections officers.

[6] U.S Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, *Drug Courts*, June 2015, https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/238527.pdf.

[7] U.S Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance, *Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components*, Drug Courts Resource Series, NCJ 205621, October 2004, https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bja/205621.pdf.

[8] Florida Courts, *Drug Courts*, 2015, http://www.flcourts.org/resources-and-services/court-improvement/problem-solving-courts/drug-courts/.

[9] Sources provide varying counts of drug courts depending on how and when they were counted. For example, the National Institute of Justice states there were 3,416 drug courts operating in the United States as of June 2014 while the National Drug Court Resource Center reports that there were 2,968 drug courts as of the same time. See National Institute of Justice, *Drug Courts*, March 2015, http://www.nij.gov/topics/courts/drug-courts/; and National Drug Court Resource Center, *How many Drug Courts are there?*, http://www.ndcrc.org/content/how-many-drug-courts-are-there.

[10] See, for example, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (A.O. Courts), *Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States*, September 1986, p. 60, and September 1990, p. 82, http://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us. CRS correspondence with A.O. Courts on April 1, 2016.

[11] Steven E. Vance, "Federal Reentry Court Programs: A Summary of Recent Evaluations," *Federal Probation*, vol. 75, no. 2 (September 2011); and Department of Justice, *Deputy Attorney General James M. Cole Speaks on Alternatives to Incarceration Program: the Use of "Drug Courts" in the Federal and State Systems*, Justice News, May 21, 2012, https://www.justice.gov/opa/speech/deputy-attorney-general-james-m-cole-speaks-alternatives-incarceration-program-(continued...)

While some federal district courts have created special programs for drug-involved offenders—these programs are sometimes referred to as "drug courts"—they are largely reentry programs that manage an inmate's reintegration to the community. A few federal court programs, however, manage offenders with "front end" diversion options.

Federal district courts fund these specialized programs from decentralized allotments[12] given to the districts for general treatment and supervision of offenders.[13] As federal districts have budget autonomy, they may elect to establish these specialized court programs.[14]

There have been questions about the effectiveness of drug court programs at the federal level due to the nature of federal crimes and the individuals who are arrested for allegedly committing them. Of note, the Federal Judicial Center is currently conducting a study of federal drug court programs. The study examines the revocation rates and recidivism of all participants and the cost of five federal programs.[15]

Veterans Treatment Courts

According to Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) survey data, 8% (181,500) of the total incarcerated population in the United States[16] are veterans.[17] For approximately 14% (25,300) of these incarcerated veterans, their most serious current offense was a drug offense, and for approximately 4% (7,100), their most serious current offense was driving while intoxicated or impaired.[18] Older BJS survey data indicate that 43% of veteran state prisoners and 46% of veteran federal prisoners met the criteria for drug dependence or abuse in 2004, as opposed to 55% of nonveteran state prisoners and 45% of nonveteran federal prisoners at that time.[19] While veterans in state prison were less likely than nonveterans to report past drug use, veterans (30%) were more likely than nonveterans (24%) to report a "recent history of mental health services."[20]

In 2008, the first veterans court was created in Buffalo, NY, in response to the combined mental health and substance abuse treatment needs of justice-involved veterans.[21] These court programs

(...continued)

use-drug.

[12] Governance, including the budget, of the federal judicial system is decentralized. After Congress and the Administration enact appropriations for the Judiciary, funding is then distributed to federal court units. For more information, see Administrative Office of the United States Courts, *Understanding the United States Judiciary's National Budget Process*.

[13] Currently, there are no specific funding data for these programs.

[14] Conversation between CRS and the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts on April 1, 2016.

[15] Ibid.

[16] Excluding military-operated facilities.

[17] Jennifer Bronson, Ann Carson, and Margaret E. Noonan, et al., *Veterans in Prison and Jail, 2011-12*, U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), NCJ 249144, December 2015, http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/vpj1112.pdf. These are the most recent data available from BJS.

[18] Based on CRS calculation of 2011-2012 Bureau of Justice Statistics data.

[19] Margaret E. Noonan and Christopher J. Mumola, *Veterans in State and Federal Prison, 2004*, Bureau of Justice Statistics, NCJ 217199, May 2007, pp. 5-6, http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/vsfp04.pdf.

[20] Mental health services include an overnight stay in a hospital, use of a prescribed medication, or treatment by a mental health professional.

[21] Office of National Drug Control Policy, *Veterans Treatment Courts*, Fact Sheet, December 2010, https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/ondcp/Fact_Sheets/veterans_treatment_courts_fact_sheet_12-13-10.pdf.

are a hybrid model of drug treatment and mental health treatment courts.[22] As of June 2014, there were approximately 220 veterans treatment courts and 6 federal veterans courts.[23]

Federal Support for Drug Courts

The federal government has demonstrated growing support for the drug court model primarily through financial support of drug court programs, research, and various drug court initiatives.

Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program

The Department of Justice (DOJ) supports research on drug courts,[24] training and technical assistance for drug courts, and grants for their development and enhancement. The primary federal grant program that supports them is the Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program (Drug Courts Program).[25] DOJ's Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) jointly administers this competitive grant program along with the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration (SAMHSA) within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Grants are distributed to state, local, and tribal governments as well as state and local courts themselves to establish and enhance drug courts for nonviolent offenders with substance abuse issues.[26] See **Table 1** for a five-year history of DOJ appropriations for the Drug Courts Program.

Table 1. Enacted Funding under DOJ for the Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program and Veterans Treatment Courts, FY2012-FY2016

(Dollars in millions)

	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	$35.0	$38.1	$40.5	$41.0	$42.0
Veterans Treatment Courts	—	$3.7	$4.0	$5.0	$6.0

Source: FY2012-enacted amounts were taken from the conference report for the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2012 (P.L. 112-55, H.Rept. 112-284). FY2013 post-sequestration amounts were provided by the Department of Justice. FY2014-enacted amounts were taken from the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 113-76. FY2015-enacted amounts were taken from the joint explanatory statement

[22] For more information about mental health courts, see Council of State Governments Justice Center, *Mental Health Courts: A Primer for Policymakers and Practitioners*, A report prepared for the Bureau of Justice Assistance, 2008, http://ojp.gov/newsroom/testimony/2009/mentalhealthcourts.pdf.

[23] The National Institute of Justice, *Drug Courts*, January 2015, http://www.nij.gov/topics/courts/drug-courts/pages/welcome.aspx. For an example of a federal veterans court, see the program created in the Eighth Judicial District of Montana: U.S. Department of Justice, *Veterans Court Now Available for Vets Charged with Federal Crimes in Montana*, March 26, 2015, https://www.justice.gov/usao-mt/pr/veterans-court-now-available-vets-charged-federal-crimes-montana.

[24] See the U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, *Drug Courts*, March 2015, http://www.nij.gov/topics/courts/drug-courts/ for DOJ-sponsored research on drug courts.

[25] 42 U.S.C. §§3797u – 3797u-8, §3793(a)(25)). The Drug Court Discretionary Grant program was first authorized under Title V of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (P.L. 103-322). It has been reauthorized twice: first, under the 21st Century Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act (P.L. 107-273), and second, under the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (P.L. 109-162).

[26] SAMHSA jointly administers only part of the Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program with BJA. For more information on this and on how grant funds are used, see the program description and grant solicitations available at https://www.bja.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?Program_ID=58.

to accompany P.L. 113-235. The FY2016-enacted amounts were taken from the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 114-113.

Notes: The FY2013 amounts include rescissions of FY2013 budget authority and the amount sequestered per the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25).

Drug courts funded through this program may not use federal funding and matched funding to serve violent offenders. For adults, offenders may be characterized as "violent" according to current or past convictions as well as current charges.[27] Of note, an exception to the violent offender restriction is made for veterans treatment courts that are funded through the Drug Courts Program.

Grants for Veterans Treatment Courts

Since FY2013, BJA has funded the Veterans Treatment Court Program[28] through the Drug Courts Program using funds appropriated under specified line items in appropriations (see amounts in **Table 1**)—these amounts are not subject to the violent offender exclusion according to BJA.[29] The purpose of the Veterans Treatment Court Program is "to serve veterans struggling with addiction, serious mental illness, and/or co-occurring disorders."[30] Grants are awarded to state, local, and tribal governments to fund the establishment and development of veterans treatment courts.

Based on a review of program activity at the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), the VA does not offer funding for veterans treatment courts; however, the VA operates a Veterans Justice Outreach (VJO) program,[31] which provides outreach and linkage to VA services for justice-involved veterans, including those involved with veterans courts or drug courts.

[27] Under 42 U.S.C. 3797u – 2, the term "violent offender" means a person who "(1) is charged with or convicted of an offense that is punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year, during the course of which offense or conduct—(A) the person carried, possessed, or used a firearm or dangerous weapon; (B) there occurred the death of or serious bodily injury to any person; or (C) there occurred the use of force against the person of another, without regard to whether any of the circumstances described in subparagraph (A) or (B) is an element of the offense or conduct of which or for which the person is charged or convicted; or (2) has 1 or more prior convictions for a felony crime of violence involving the use or attempted use of force against a person with the intent to cause death or serious bodily harm."

For the purposes of juvenile drug courts, the term "violent offender" means a juvenile who has been convicted of, or adjudicated delinquent for, a felony-level offense that "(1) has as an element, the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person or property of another, or the possession or use of a firearm; or (2) by its nature, involves a substantial risk that physical force against the person or property of another may be used in the course of committing the offense."

[28] OJP identifies this program as a hybrid of drug and mental health court programs. While veterans and veterans treatment courts are not specifically mentioned in this section of code, OJP cites 42 U.S.C. §3797aa(i) - Adult and juvenile collaboration programs as authorizing language for the Veterans Treatment Court Program. See http://ojp.gov/about/pdfs/BJA_Veterans%20Treatment%20Court_For%20FY%2017%20PresBud.pdf.

[29] See p. 4 of the FY2016 competitive grant announcement for the Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program, https://www.bja.gov/%5CFunding%5Cadultdrugcourts16.pdf.

[30] U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, *FY2017 Performance Budget*, p. 51, https://www.justice.gov/jmd/file/822366/download.

[31] For more information about the VJO program, see http://www.va.gov/homeless/vjo.asp.

Other Grant Support

Other DOJ grants, including the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants (JAG)[32] and Juvenile Accountability Block Grants (JABG),[33] may be used to fund drug courts. One of the broader purpose areas of the JAG program is to improve prosecution and courts programs as well as drug treatment programs. One of the purpose areas of the JABG program is to establish juvenile drug courts. Of note, the last time JABG received an appropriation was in FY2013, and it has been unauthorized since it expired in FY2009.

As mentioned, SAMHSA jointly administers the Drug Courts Program with BJA. In addition, SAMHSA administers other grants that support drug courts.[34] Grants go toward the creation, expansion, and enhancement of adult and family drug courts and treatment drug courts.[35] For FY2016, SAMHSA is funding 123 drug court continuations and 61 new drug court grants.[36]

Table 2. SAMHSA Funding for Drug Court Grants, FY2014-FY2016

(Dollars in millions)

FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
$52.75	$50.00	$60.00

Source: Enacted funding data taken from the FY2015 and FY2016 operating plans for SAMHSA. Of note, funding for drug courts is taken from the appropriations line item, "criminal justice activities."

Notes: Based on available data from SAMHSA, it is unclear how much funding, if any at all, is directed toward the Drug Courts Program that SAMHSA jointly administers with BJA and how much funding is directed toward other SAMHSA drug court grants.

Impact of Drug Courts

Jurisdictions have sought to utilize drug courts in efforts to treat individuals' drug addictions, lower recidivism rates for drug-involved offenders, and lower costs associated with incarcerating these offenders. Since the inception of drug courts, a great deal of research has been done to evaluate their effectiveness and their impact on offenders, the criminal justice system, and the community. Much of the research yields positive outcomes.[37]

Several studies have demonstrated that drug courts may lower recidivism rates and lower costs for processing offenders compared to traditional criminal justice processing.[38] One group of

[32] For more information on the JAG program, see CRS Report RS22416, *Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program: In Brief*, by (name redacted)

[33] For more information on the JABG program, see CRS Report RL33947, *Juvenile Justice: Legislative History and Current Legislative Issues*, by (name redacted)

[34] SAMHSA supports drug courts under the broader category of criminal justice activities under 42 U.S.C. §290bb–2.

[35] For more information about treatment drug courts, see the FY2016 grant solicitation announcement for the SAMHSA Treatment Drug Courts program, http://www.samhsa.gov/grants/grant-announcements/ti-16-009.

[36] Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *Fiscal Year 2017 Justification of Estimates for Appropriations Committees*, p. 233, http://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/samhsa-fy-2017-congressional-justification.pdf.

[37] U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, *Do Drug Courts Work? Findings from Drug Court Research*, http://www.nij.gov/topics/courts/drug-courts/Pages/work.aspx; Douglas B. Marlowe, *Painting the Current Picture: A National Report on Drug Courts and Other Problem-Solving Court Programs in the United States*, June 2011, http://www.ndci.org/sites/default/files/nadcp/PCP%20Report%20FINAL.PDF.

[38] Steven Belenko, "Research on Drug Courts: A Critical Review," *National Drug Court Institute Review*, vol. 1, no. 1 (continued...)

researchers examined the impact of a drug court over 10 years and concluded that treatment and other costs associated with the drug court (investment costs) per offender were $1,392 less than investment costs of traditional criminal justice processing. In addition, savings due to reduced recidivism for drug court participants were greater than $79 million over the 10-year period.[39] A large group of researchers conducted a five-year longitudinal study of 23 drug courts from several regions of the United States and reported that drug court participants were significantly less likely than nonparticipants to relapse into drug use and participants committed fewer criminal acts than non-participants upon completing the drug court program.[40]

Still, some are skeptical of the impact of drug courts. The Drug Policy Alliance[41] has claimed that drug courts help only select people who are expected to do well and do not truly reduce costs. This organization also has criticized drug courts for being punitive toward addiction because drug courts dismiss those who are not able to abstain from substance use.[42]

Selected Issues for Congress

Heroin and Prescription Drug Abuse

Congress has long demonstrated concern over illicit drug use and abuse in the United States. Recently, Congress has expressed great concern over opioid abuse, particularly prescription drug abuse and the rise in heroin abuse.[43] In 2014, the rate of drug overdose deaths involving natural and semisynthetic opioids was 3.8 per 100,000 individuals, an increase of 9% from 3.5 per 100,000 in 2013.[44] Also, the number of individuals aged 12 or older currently using heroin (435,000 in 2014) has nearly tripled since 2002.[45] Policymakers may debate whether drug courts may be an effective tool in the package of federal efforts to address rising heroin and prescription drug abuse. Policy options include, but are not limited to, increasing federal funding for drug courts and reauthorizing and/or amending the Drug Courts Program.

(...continued)

(June 1998), pp. 15-16.

[39] Michael W. Finigan, Shannon M. Carey, and Anton Cox, *The Impact of a Mature Drug Court over 10 Years of Operation: Recidivism and Costs*, NPC Research, Final Report, April 2007.

[40] For a summary of and various publications discussing the Multisite Adult Drug Court Evaluation conducted by NIJ, the Urban Policy Institute, Justice Policy Center, RTI International, and the Center for Court Innovation, see http://www.nij.gov/topics/courts/drug-courts/Pages/madce.aspx.

[41] The Drug Policy Alliance is a national advocacy group that advocates for drug law reform.

[42] Drug Policy Alliance, *Drug Courts are Not the Answer: Toward a Health-Centered Approach to Drug Use*, March 2011, https://www.drugpolicy.org/docUploads/Drug_Courts_Are_Not_the_Answer_Final2.pdf.

[43] For example, in March 2016 the Senate passed the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act of 2016 (S. 524) to address prescription drug and heroin abuse.

[44] Rose A. Rudd, Noah Aleshire, and Jon E. Zibbell, et al., Increases in Drug and Opioid Overdose Deaths—United States, 2000–2014, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR), January 1, 2016, http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6450a3.htm.

[45] 2015 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, Results from the 2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Detailed Tables, Table 7.3A, http://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-DetTabs2014/NSDUH-DetTabs2014.pdf.

Inclusion of Violent Offenders

As discussed, grant recipients of the federal Drug Courts Program, with the exception of veterans treatment courts, must exclude violent offenders; however, some argue that drug courts should include more serious offenders. One group of researchers compared the outcomes for violent and nonviolent offenders and concluded that courts should consider the charges at hand and the type and seriousness of the offender's substance abuse problem rather than the offender's history of violence when selecting individuals for drug court programs.[46] They found that while it appeared that individuals with a history of violence (defined as at least one violent charge) were more likely to fail the program than those who never had been charged with a violent crime, the relationship between history of violence and drug court success disappeared when controlling for total criminal history.[47] More serious offenders are less likely than low-level or first-time offenders to abstain from crime, and some argue that drug courts may be the best option for these individuals.

Substance abuse and crime have long been linked,[48] and diversion and treatment may assist some individuals in avoiding criminal behavior. Congress may wish to maintain the exclusion of violent offenders from the Drug Courts Program, or it may consider broadening the pool of eligible offenders that may participate in BJA-funded drug court programs to include certain violent and nonviolent offenders.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted)
Analyst in Illicit Drugs and Crime Policy
[redacted]@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

Acknowledgments

Amber Wilhelm contributed to the graphics used in this report.

[46] Christine A. Saum, Frank R. Scarpitti, and Cynthia A. Robbins, "Violent Offenders in Drug Court," *Journal of Drug Issues*, vol. 31, no. 107 (2001), p. 124.

[47] Ibid, p. 123.

[48] See Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Drug and Crime Facts*, http://www.bjs.gov/content/dcf/duc.cfm.