



Election Policy on the November 2018 Ballot

Karen L. Shanton

Analyst in American National Government

November 21, 2018

On November 6, voters in some states did not just vote on the policymakers who will represent them. They also made policy themselves, by approving or rejecting ballot measures.

Some of the measures on state ballots included provisions that would affect the conduct of federal elections. Most of those measures succeeded. Thirteen state measures with implications for federal elections were on the ballot in 10 states, and 12 were approved.

What Are Ballot Measures?

Ballot measures are policy questions that are decided by popular vote. Local measures are voted on by residents of a locality, such as a city or county, and can make changes only for that locality. State measures, like the ones discussed in this Insight, get a statewide vote and can change policy for the whole state.

The types of measures available vary by state and in two main ways:

- how they reach the ballot; and
- their effects if approved.

State measures may be referred to the ballot by the state legislature or, less commonly, a state commission or constitutional convention. In some states, they can also be initiated by citizens. Direct citizen initiatives and popular referendums go directly to the ballot if they get enough signatures. Indirect initiatives are submitted to the state legislature for possible adoption first. They typically go to the ballot if the legislature does not adopt them, although additional signature-gathering may be required.

Some state measures create new policies if approved, by adding or amending state statutes or amending the state constitution. Others affect existing laws. They can be used to repeal or, in some cases, affirm previously enacted legislation.

Congressional Research Service

7-.... www.crs.gov IN11000

What Was on the Ballot?

This chart provides an overview of the state measures related to federal elections that were on the ballot on November 6.

State	Title	Summary of Relevant Provisions	Туре	Results (%)
Arkansas	Issue 2	Requires voters to present photo ID	Legislatively referred constitutional amendment	79-21
Colorado	Amendment Y	Establishes an independent redistricting commission	Legislatively referred constitutional amendment	71-29 ª
Florida	Amendment 4	Requires restoration of voting rights to voters convicted of most felonies on completion of sentence	Direct citizen-initiated constitutional amendment	65-35 ª
Florida	Amendment 10	Requires county election supervisors to be elected	Commission-referred constitutional amendment ^b	63-37 ª
Maryland	Question 2	Authorizes the state legislature to enact Election Day registration	Legislatively referred constitutional amendment	68-32
Michigan	Proposal 2	Establishes an independent redistricting commission	Direct citizen-initiated constitutional amendment	61-39
Michigan	Proposal 3	Authorizes automatic and same-day registration and straight-ticket and no-excuse absentee voting and enshrines certain existing election policies in the state constitution	Direct citizen-initiated constitutional amendment	67-33
Montana	LR-129	Prohibits most third-party ballot collection	Legislatively referred statute ^c	63-37
Nevada	Question 5	Establishes automatic registration at motor vehicle agencies	Indirect citizen-initiated statute	60-40
North Carolina	Elections Board Amendment	Would have eliminated the nonpartisan seat on the state election board and authorized state legislative leadership to nominate board members	Legislatively referred constitutional amendment	38-62
North Carolina	Voter ID Amendment	Requires voters to present photo ID to vote in person	Legislatively referred constitutional amendment	55-45
North Dakota	Measure 2	Clarifies that only U.S. citizens may vote	Direct citizen-initiated constitutional amendment	66-34
Utah	Proposition 4	Establishes an independent redistricting commission	Direct citizen-initiated statute	50-50

Table I. Election Policy on State Ballots in November 2018

Source: CRS, based on analysis of data from Ballotpedia, the National Conference of State Legislatures, and state election offices.

Notes: This chart does not include campaign finance measures, election-related measures that do not apply to federal elections, or provisions of the listed measures that are unrelated to elections. Some of the listed measures apply to nonfederal as well as federal elections. Results are as of November 20, 2018. Bolded italics indicate that a measure was approved.

- a. Approval required 55% of the vote in Colorado and 60% in Florida.
- b. Florida has a Constitution Revision Commission that may refer constitutional amendments to the ballot.
- c. Statutes referred to the ballot by the Montana State Legislature and approved by voters cannot be vetoed.

d.

What Has Congress Proposed?

Many of the election issues addressed by state ballot measures on November 6 have been the subject of legislation in the 115th Congress. Proposals include bills that would make the following changes for federal elections:

- establish a commission to report legislation to automatically register voters when they turn 18 or gain citizenship and to restore voting rights to voters with criminal convictions on release from incarceration (H.R. 893);
- restore voting rights to voters with criminal convictions except while incarcerated for a felony (H.R. 12, H.R. 5785, H.R. 6612, S. 1437, S. 1588);
- require states to either automatically register voters when they turn 18 or offer Election Day registration (S. 2106);
- provide for automatic registration at motor vehicle agencies and/or other agencies (H.R. 12, H.R. 2669, H.R. 2840, H.R. 2876, H.R. 3848, H.R. 5785, S. 1231, S. 1353, S. 1437, S. 1880);
- require states to offer same-day registration (H.R. 12, H.R. 1044, H.R. 3537, H.R. 3848, H.R. 5785, S. 360, S. 1437, S. 1880);
- prohibit states from requiring an excuse and/or setting other conditions for mail voting (H.R. 12, H.R. 946, H.R. 2669, H.R. 3132, H.R. 5785, S. 1231, S. 1437, S. 1510, S. 1880);
- require states to establish independent redistricting commissions (H.R. 145, H.R. 711, H.R. 712, H.R. 1102, H.R. 2981, H.R. 3057, H.R. 3537, H.R. 3848, S. 1880);
- prohibit officials from providing ballots to voters who do not present photo ID (H.R. 2090);
- amend the U.S. Constitution to prohibit unduly burdensome proof of identity or proof of citizenship requirements (H.J.Res. 28);
- prohibit officials from requiring voters to present photo ID to register or vote (H.R. 607);
- require states to accept specified submissions as voter ID (H.R. 2854, H.R. 5785, H.R. 7127, S. 3543); and
- prohibit states from registering voters who do not present proof of citizenship (H.R. 6723).

As of this writing, none of the above bills had advanced beyond subcommittee referral.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.