

National Park Service Appropriations: Ten-Year Trends

Laura B. Comay Specialist in Natural Resources Policy

Updated July 2, 2019

Congressional Research Service

7-.... www.crs.gov R42757

Summary

The National Park Service (NPS) generally receives appropriations in the annual Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies appropriations bill. Over the past decade (FY2010-FY2019), NPS received both regular (annual) appropriations and, in some years, supplemental appropriations to address damage from natural disasters. The agency also has some mandatory sources of funding. NPS's regular appropriations mainly declined in the first part of the decade and increased in the second part, resulting in near parity at the beginning and end of the period when amounts are adjusted for inflation. The FY2019 regular appropriation was 17% higher than FY2010 in nominal dollars but less than 1% higher in inflation-adjusted dollars. NPS also received supplemental appropriations for response to damage from hurricanes and other natural disasters in FY2013 (P.L. 113-2), FY2018 (P.L. 115-123), and FY2019 (P.L. 116-20). These funds were provided outside of regular appropriations laws and were not subject to discretionary spending caps. With supplemental appropriations included, NPS's FY2019 funding was 22% higher than FY2010 in nominal dollars and 4% higher in inflation-adjusted dollars.

During this period, NPS's discretionary appropriations generally were organized in six accounts. Appropriations fluctuated over the decade for all the accounts. With supplemental appropriations included, four accounts received more funding in FY2019 than FY2010 in real (inflation-adjusted) terms. These included accounts that fund construction and major repairs, federal land acquisition and outdoor recreation assistance to states, grants to states and tribes for historic preservation activities, and grants to spur partner donations for park improvements. Two accounts received less funding in FY2019 than FY2010 in inflation-adjusted dollars—including NPS's largest account, which funds basic park operations, and an account covering other NPS assistance programs for nonfederal entities. A significant issue for NPS throughout the decade was the agency's multibillion-dollar backlog of deferred maintenance (i.e., infrastructure maintenance and repairs that were not performed as scheduled or as needed). Combined funding for two budget activities that primarily address the backlog (within larger budget accounts) grew in real terms over the decade.

The funding changes took place in the context of relative stability in the size of the National Park System, which was about 85 million acres throughout the decade, with a total growth of 1% from the beginning to the end of the period. The system consists of roughly 81 million acres of federal land, with the remainder nonfederal. Although system acreage remained relatively stable, 28 new units—many of relatively small size—were added to the system during the decade. NPS staffing levels hovered around 20,000 and were higher in the first part of the decade than the last. Visits to the parks increased over the 10-year period, peaking at approximately 331 million visits in 2016.

Contents

NPS Discretionary Appropriations Totals	1
Individual NPS Accounts	2
Operation of the National Park System Account	5
Construction Account	8
Historic Preservation Fund	
Land Acquisition and State Assistance	. 10
National Recreation and Preservation Account	. 12
Centennial Challenge	. 13
NPS Appropriations in Context	. 14
Size of the National Park System	. 14
Visits to the National Parks	
National Park Service Staffing	. 17
Summary	. 18

Figures

Figure 1. NPS Discretionary Appropriations, FY2010-FY2019	1
Figure 2. NPS's FY2019 Discretionary Appropriations by Account	3
Figure 3. Appropriations for NPS's Operation of the National Park System (ONPS) Account, FY2010-FY2019	6
Figure 4. Appropriations for Two NPS Budget Activities That Primarily Address Deferred Maintenance, FY2010-FY2019	7
Figure 5. Appropriations for NPS's Construction Account, FY2010-FY2019	8
Figure 6. Appropriations for NPS's Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) Account, FY2010- FY2019	10
Figure 7. Appropriations for NPS's Land Acquisition and State Assistance (LASA) Account, FY2010-FY2019	11
Figure 8. Appropriations for NPS's National Recreation and Preservation (NR&P) Account, FY2010-FY2019	12
Figure 9. Appropriations for NPS's Centennial Challenge Account, FY2010-FY2019	13
Figure 10. Size of the National Park System, FY2010-FY2019	15
Figure 11. Annual Recreational Visits to the National Park System, 2010-2019	16
Figure 12. NPS Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff, FY2010-FY2019	17

Tables

Table 1. NPS Discretionary Appropriations, FY2010-FY2019	2
Table 2. NPS Appropriations by Account, FY2010-FY2019	4
Table 3. Size of the National Park System, FY2010-FY2019	. 15
Table 4. Annual Recreational Visits to the National Park System, 2010-2019	
Table 5. NPS Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff, FY2010-FY2019	. 17

Contacts

Author Contact Information

The National Park Service (NPS) administers the National Park System, which covers 85 million acres of land and consists of 419 diverse units included for their natural, cultural, and recreational importance. NPS generally receives appropriations in the annual Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies appropriations bill. This report examines trends in the agency's discretionary appropriations over the past decade (FY2010-FY2019) and related issues and congressional actions. It also discusses changes in the size of the National Park System, numbers of recreational visits to the parks, and NPS staffing levels during that period.

NPS Discretionary Appropriations Totals

NPS's discretionary appropriations fluctuated during the past decade (FY2010-FY2019). Regular discretionary appropriations totaled \$2.744 billion in FY2010 and \$3.223 billion in FY2019. The FY2019 figure was 17% higher than FY2010 in nominal dollars but less than 1% higher when adjusted for inflation. In both nominal and inflation-adjusted dollars, the general trend was an annual decline between FY2010 and FY2013 and increases thereafter. NPS also received supplemental appropriations for response to natural disasters in FY2013 (P.L. 113-2), FY2018 (P.L. 115-123), and FY2019 (P.L. 116-20). These funds were provided outside of regular appropriations laws and were not subject to discretionary spending caps. With supplementals included, NPS's FY2019 discretionary appropriations totaled \$3.351 billion, 22% higher than FY2010 in nominal dollars and 4% higher when adjusted for inflation (**Figure 1** and **Table 1**).¹





Sources: Data for FY2010-FY2014 and FY2019 are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. Data for FY2015-FY2018 are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. **Notes:** See footnote I for additional information on this figure.

¹ The figures and tables in this report generally reflect rescissions and supplemental appropriations to date, including from P.L. 113-2 in response to Hurricane Sandy (FY2013), P.L. 115-123 in response to Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria (FY2018), and P.L. 116-20 in response to Hurricanes Florence and Michael, Typhoons Yutu and Mangkhut, and other natural disasters. Amounts for FY2013 also reflect the 2013 budget sequestration and an across-the-board rescission of 0.2%. The data exclude permanent budget authorities and generally do not reflect scorekeeping adjustments. Adjustments for inflation (shown in 2010 dollars) use the GDP Chained Price Index from the White House Office of Management and Budget, *Historical Tables*, Table 10.1, "Gross Domestic Product and Deflators Used in the Historical Tables—1940-2023," at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/Historicals.

	Appropriation in	Appropriation in	% Change S	Since Previous Year
	Nominal \$	Inflation-Adjusted 2010 \$	Nominal	Inflation-Adjusted
FY2010	2.744	2.744	_	_
FY2011	2.611	2.560	-4.8%	-6.7%
FY2012	2.580	2.482	-1.2%	-3.0%
FY2013	2.775ª	2.622	+7.6% ª	+5.6%
FY2014	2.562	2.374	-7.7%	-9.4%
FY2015	2.616	2.396	+2.1%	+0.9%
FY2016	2.852	2.588	+9.0%	+8.0%
FY2017	2.932	2.613	+2.8%	+1.0%
FY2018	3.460 ^b	3.018	+18.0% ^b	+15.5%
FY2019	3.351c	2.865	-3.2% ^c	-5.1%
Change Over Decade	+0.479	+0.012	+22.1%	+4.4%

Table 1. NPS Discretionary Appropriations, FY2010-FY2019

(\$ billions, in nominal and inflation-adjusted 2010 dollars)

Sources: Data for FY2010-FY2014 are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. Data for FY2015-FY2018 are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. Data for FY2019 are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS, and P.L. 116-20.

Notes: Figures include supplemental appropriations. See footnote 1 for additional information on this table.

a. The FY2013 total of \$2.775 billion includes regular appropriations of \$2.398 billion and supplemental appropriations of \$0.377 billion.

b. The FY2018 total of \$3.460 billion includes regular appropriations of \$3.202 billion and supplemental appropriations of \$0.258 billion.

c. The FY2019 total of \$3.351 billion includes regular appropriations of \$3.222 billion and supplemental appropriations of \$0.128 billion.

Individual NPS Accounts

During the decade, NPS's appropriations were primarily organized in six accounts that cover basic park operations (Operation of the National Park System, or ONPS, account); construction and repair of infrastructure (Construction account); assistance to state, local, tribal, and private land managers (National Recreation and Preservation account); grants to states and localities for historic preservation (Historic Preservation Fund); land acquisition by both NPS and the states (Land Acquisition and State Assistance account);² and matching grants primarily to address the high backlog of deferred maintenance on NPS infrastructure (Centennial Challenge account).³ By

² The Land Acquisition and State Assistance account constitutes NPS's portion of appropriations from the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF). Discretionary appropriations from the LWCF form the principal source of funding used by four federal land management agencies—NPS, the Bureau of Land Management, the Forest Service, and the Fish and Wildlife Service—for land acquisition for outdoor recreation. In addition, the LWCF funds grants to states for outdoor recreation purposes, which are administered by NPS. For more information on the LWCF, see CRS Report RL33531, *Land and Water Conservation Fund: Overview, Funding History, and Issues*, by Carol Hardy Vincent.

³ The backlog was estimated at \$11.92 billion for FY2018, the most recent year available. For more information, see CRS Report R44924, *The National Park Service's Maintenance Backlog: Frequently Asked Questions*, by Laura B. Comay. The Centennial Challenge account was funded in FY2010 (under the title "Park Partnership Grants") and each fiscal year since FY2015.

far the largest share of NPS's discretionary appropriations—75% in FY2019—went to the ONPS account, which covers basic park operations (**Figure 2** and **Table 2**).



Figure 2. NPS's FY2019 Discretionary Appropriations by Account

Source: H.Rept. 116-9 and P.L. 116-20.

Notes: ONPS = Operation of the National Park Service; NR&P = National Recreation and Preservation; HPF = Historic Preservation Fund; LASA = Land Acquisition and State Assistance. These data exclude permanent budget authorities and generally do not reflect scorekeeping adjustments. The data include supplemental appropriations for disaster recovery in P.L. 116-20, including \$78.0 million for the Construction account and \$50.0 million for the HPF account. Figures are in nominal dollars. Percentages may not sum precisely due to rounding.

Mandatory Appropriations in the National Park Service's Budget

Most of NPS's funding comes from discretionary appropriations, which are controlled by annual appropriations laws. However, NPS also receives mandatory appropriations under various laws, which allow the agency to spend money without further action by Congress. NPS's mandatory appropriations include recreation fees, concession franchise fees, receipts from leasing, and direct cash donations, among others. Altogether, for FY2019, NPS estimated mandatory funding of \$0.768 billion, whereas the agency's discretionary appropriations for FY2019 were \$3.351 billion (including supplemental appropriations). Based on the NPS estimates, mandatory appropriations would constitute approximately 19% of NPS's total FY2019 budget.

In its FY2020 budget request, the Trump Administration included a legislative proposal to increase NPS's mandatory appropriations through the establishment of a Public Lands Infrastructure Fund, to be used for repairs and improvements in national parks, national forests, national wildlife refuges, lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management, and schools administered by the Bureau of Indian Education. One primary goal of the fund would be to address NPS's backlog of deferred maintenance, estimated at \$11.92 billion for FY2018. The Administration's proposal has been introduced in modified form in the 116th Congress as H.R. 1225, the Restore Our Parks and Public Lands Act, and S. 500, the Restore Our Parks Act. Under H.R. 1225, mandatory funding would go to multiple agencies; under S. 500, the funding would go entirely to NPS for "priority deferred maintenance needs." Amounts for the fund would be drawn from federal energy development revenues onshore and offshore, under specified terms. Because future energy revenues are unknown, it is uncertain how much money would be generated for NPS. In both bills, funding would be provided for five years and capped at \$1.3 billion annually, with NPS receiving all the funding in S. 500 and 80% of the total in H.R. 1225.

New mandatory spending would be subject to certain budget enforcement requirements, including the potential need to offset such spending. These requirements represent a hurdle for the above-described mandatory proposals. In the 115th Congress, multiple bills would have established similar special funds to address NPS deferred maintenance, but none was enacted. The 114th Congress enacted P.L. 114-289, which established the NPS Centennial Challenge Fund and an NPS Endowment. The law provided for a price increase for senior passes to the parks, with portions of the additional revenues directed to the Centennial Challenge Fund and the Endowment. P.L. 114-289 specified that this funding is provided as offsetting collections to annual appropriations. Deferred maintenance projects are a prioritized use of the funds.

	C -			•	,		
	ONPS	Construction	NR&P	HPF	LASA	сс	Total ^a
FY2010	2,261.559	232.969	68.436	79.500	126.266	5.000 ^b	2,743.730
FY2011	2,250.050	184.646	57.245	54.391	94.810	—	2,611.142
Inflation-Adjusted	2,206.030	181.034	56.125	53.327	92.955		2,560.058
FY2012	2,236.568	155.366	59.879	55.910	101.897	_	2,579.620
Inflation-Adjusted	2,152.026	149.493	57.616	53.797	98.045		2,482.110
FY2013	2,097.261	453.885°	56.747	۱00.486 ^c	96.567	_	2,774.946°
Inflation-Adjusted	1,981.524	428.838	53.615	94.941	91.238		2,621.812
FY2014	2,236.753	37.46	60.795	56.410	98.100	—	2,561.519
Inflation-Adjusted	2,073.214	27.4	56.350	52.286	90.927		2,374.235
FY2015	2,277.485	138.339	63.117	56.410	98.960	10.000	2,616.501
Inflation-Adjusted	2,085.653	126.687	57.801	51.659	90.625	9.158	2,396.114
FY2016	2,370.724	192.937	62.632	65.410	173.670	15.000	2,852.413
Inflation-Adjusted	2,151.179	175.070	56.832	59.353	157.587	13.611	2,588.261
FY2017	2,425.126	209.353	62.638	80.910	162.029	20.000	2,932.120
Inflation-Adjusted	2,161.408	186.587	55.826	72.112	144.409	17.825	2,613.270
FY2018	2, 477.969	567.304ª	63.638	1 46.910 ₫	180.941	23.000	3,459.762ª
Inflation-Adjusted	2,161.652	494.887	55.514	128.157	157.844	20.064	3,018.117

Table 2. NPS Appropriations by Account, FY2010-FY2019 (\$ millions, in nominal dollars and inflation-adjusted 2010 dollars)

4

	ONPS	Construction	NR&P	HPF	LASA	сс	Total ^a
FY2019	2,502.711	442.704 ^e	64.138	152.660°	168.444	20.000	3,350.657 ^e
Inflation-Adjusted	2,139.780	378.505	54.837	130.522	144.017	17.100	2,864.761

Sources: FY2010-FY2014 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. FY2015-FY2018 data are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. FY2019 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS.

Notes: ONPS = Operation of the National Park System account; NR&P = National Recreation and Preservation account; HPF = Historic Preservation Fund account; LASA = Land Acquisition and State Assistance account; CC = Centennial Challenge account. Data include supplemental appropriations. See footnote 1 for additional information on this table.

- a. Totals reflect annual rescissions of LWCF contract authority of \$30.0 million for FY2005-FY2013 and \$28.0 million for FY2014-FY2017. Because these rescissions are not included in the amounts for individual accounts, the figures for the individual accounts do not add up to the totals shown. Congress did not enact the rescissions in FY2018 or FY2019 appropriations.
- b. For FY2010, the Centennial Challenge account was titled Park Partnership Project Grants.
- c. The FY2013 total of \$2.775 billion includes regular appropriations of \$2.398 billion and supplemental appropriations of \$377.3 million (after sequestration). Supplemental FY2013 appropriations for specific accounts (after sequestration) were \$329.8 million for Construction and \$47.5 million for HPF. See NPS budget justification for FY2015, with FY2013 final appropriations totals, at https://www.nps.gov/aboutus/upload/FY-2015-Greenbook-Linked.pdf.
- d. The FY2018 total of \$3.460 billion includes regular appropriations of \$3.202 billion and supplemental appropriations of \$257.6 million. Supplemental FY2018 appropriations for specific accounts were \$207.6 million for Construction and \$50.0 million for HPF.
- e. The FY2019 total of \$3.351 billion includes regular appropriations of \$3.223 billion and supplemental appropriations of \$128.0 million. Supplemental FY2019 appropriations for specific accounts were \$78.0 million for Construction and \$50.0 million for HPF.

Operation of the National Park System Account

Appropriations for the largest NPS account, entitled Operation of the National Park System (ONPS), support the activities, programs, and services that form the day-to-day operations of the National Park System. ONPS funding was \$2.262 billion in FY2010 and increased in nominal dollars to \$2.503 billion in FY2019 (see **Table 2** and **Figure 3**). When adjusted for inflation, however, this represents a decrease of 5%. As a percentage of total NPS appropriations, the ONPS share decreased over the decade from 82% of total funding in FY2010 to 75% in FY2019. The ONPS account was not affected by supplemental appropriations during the decade.⁴

The majority of ONPS funds are provided directly to individual park units. Activities covered under the account include visitor services, resource stewardship, park protection (including the U.S. Park Police), facility operations and maintenance, and park support, as well as "external administrative costs" for services provided by outside entities.⁵ Among these activities, funding for three activities (visitor services, resource stewardship, and park protection) decreased over the decade in inflation-adjusted dollars, whereas funding for two activities (park support and external administrative costs) grew in inflation-adjusted dollars. Funding for the remaining activity—

⁴ However, the calculation of ONPS's percentage share of total NPS appropriations for FY2019 takes into account FY2019 supplemental appropriations to other accounts.

⁵ The *park support* activity includes administering, managing, and supporting the operations of park units. *External administrative costs* include employee compensation payments, unemployment compensation payments, centralized information technology costs, telecommunications, postage, space rental from the General Services Administration, and departmental program charges.

facility operations and maintenance—was nearly even in FY2019 as compared with FY2010, when adjusted for inflation.⁶

Figure 3. Appropriations for NPS's Operation of the National Park System (ONPS) Account, FY2010-FY2019



(\$ millions, in nominal and inflation-adjusted 2010 dollars)

Sources: FY2010-FY2014 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. FY2015-FY2018 data are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. FY2019 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS.

Notes: See footnote 1 for additional information on this figure.

⁶ These calculations use funding amounts from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. In inflation-adjusted dollars, the percentage decreases in funding over the decade were as follows: resource stewardship, -17%; park protection, -17%; visitor services, -11%. The increases in inflation-adjusted dollars were as follows: park support, +4%; external administrative costs, +2%; facility operations and maintenance, +less than 1%.

NPS Infrastructure: Funding for Deferred Maintenance

NPS's substantial backlog of deferred maintenance (DM)—infrastructure maintenance and repairs that were not performed as scheduled or as needed—has been an ongoing concern for Congress. The 116th Congress is considering NPS's infrastructure needs both within and outside the annual appropriations process.

Despite the agency's efforts to reduce DM through improved inventory and asset management, the NPS maintenance backlog has grown in nominal dollars, as agency assets—many constructed in the early to mid-20th century—age and deteriorate, and needed maintenance and repairs are not performed. The backlog grew over the past decade from an estimated mid-range figure of \$10.83 billion in FY2010 to an estimated \$11.92 billion (nominal dollars) in FY2018, the most recent year for which NPS estimates are available. This is a growth of 10%, although, in inflation-adjusted terms, the estimated backlog shrank by 12%.⁷ The bulk of the DM needs (52% in FY2018) are in transportation assets such as paved roads, bridges, and tunnels.

Discretionary appropriations provide a significant portion of the agency's funding to address DM, and funding also comes from other sources. For example, road and bridge improvements are partly funded by allocations from the Department of Transportation. Funding to address DM also may come through mandatory appropriations such as fee collections. Within NPS's discretionary appropriations, two budget activities provide the primary support to address DM: the *line-item construction and maintenance* activity within the Construction account and the *repair and rehabilitation* subactivity within the Operation of the National Park System account. Funding for these two budget activities provide the bulk of NPS discretionary funding for DM, they also cover other maintenance activities outside of DM. Further, certain other budget accounts contribute some funding to projects on the DM backlog.

Figure 4. Appropriations for Two NPS Budget Activities That Primarily Address Deferred Maintenance, FY2010-FY2019



(\$ millions, in nominal and inflation-adjusted 2010 dollars)

Source: Annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS, and NPS budget justifications for FY2012-FY2020. FY2019 data for Line-Item Construction are from CRS communication with NPS Budget Office, June 5, 2019.

Notes: Funding does not include supplemental appropriations to the Construction account for disaster recovery. See footnote 1 for additional information on this figure.

For more information on NPS deferred maintenance, see CRS Report R44924, The National Park Service's Maintenance Backlog: Frequently Asked Questions; CRS In Focus IF10987, Legislative Proposals for a National Park Service Deferred Maintenance Fund; and CRS Report R43997, Deferred Maintenance of Federal Land Management Agencies: FY2009-FY2018 Estimates and Issues.

Construction Account

The second-largest NPS account, titled Construction, funds repairs and improvements to existing facilities as well as new construction projects, among other activities. In recent years, NPS has planned no new facility construction, in order to prioritize deferred maintenance. Appropriations for the Construction account dipped and then rose during the decade, increasing overall by 34% in inflation-adjusted dollars, not including supplemental appropriations. Supplemental appropriations for hurricane recovery in FY2013, FY2018, and FY2019 considerably increased the account totals for those years. With supplementals included, the FY2019 appropriation was 62% higher than the FY2010 appropriation in inflation-adjusted dollars (**Table 2** and **Figure 5**). The Construction account represented 8% of the total discretionary appropriation for FY2010 and 13% in FY2019 (including supplemental appropriations).





(\$ millions, in nominal and inflation-adjusted 2010 dollars)

Sources: FY2010-FY2014 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. FY2015-FY2018 data are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. FY2019 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS and P.L. 116-20. **Notes:** See footnote 1 for additional information on this figure.

Among the specific activities funded in the Construction account, the largest is *line-item construction and maintenance* (see box above), which covers construction of new facilities and rehabilitation and replacement of existing facilities. Appropriations for this budget activity rose

⁷ Unlike other inflation adjustments in this report, the inflation adjustment for deferred maintenance is calculated using an index from the Bureau of Economic Analysis that specifically reflects inflation associated with nondefense infrastructure. Bureau of Economic Analysis, Table 3.9.4, "Price Indexes for Government Consumption Expenditures and Gross Investment," for nondefense structures, annual indexes, at https://apps.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?reqid= 19&step=2#reqid=19&step=2&isuri=1&1921=survey.

by 55% in inflation-adjusted dollars between FY2010 and FY2019.⁸ As mentioned above, in recent years NPS has not used the funding for any new facility construction. According to NPS, the activity "focuses on projects that repair or replace high priority mission critical and mission dependent assets, and ensures that investments are reasonable, cost effective, and fiscally sustainable over the life-time of the investment."⁹ Other activities funded in the Construction account include emergency and unscheduled construction, repair and replacement of employee housing, dam safety, equipment replacement, construction planning and program management, development of general management plans for park units, and preparation of special studies of areas (for instance, to assess their potential for inclusion in the National Park System).

Historic Preservation Fund

The Historic Preservation Fund (HPF), established in 1976, is administered by NPS through appropriations to the agency's HPF account. In accordance with the purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA),¹⁰ the fund primarily provides grants-in-aid to state and tribal historic preservation offices for conservation of cultural and historical assets and sites. These grants are awarded by formula and require a 60% federal–40% state matching share. The HPF is funded by revenues from oil and gas activities on the U.S. outer continental shelf. Funding was reauthorized through FY2023 in P.L. 114-289.

The HPF receives \$150 million annually in deposits from offshore oil and gas revenues, but the funding is available only to the extent appropriated by Congress. Annual appropriations from the account were less than the deposited amount throughout the decade, except in FY2019 (counting supplementals). Regular appropriations for the account declined after FY2010 but then grew in the latter part of the decade (**Figure 6** and **Table 2**). The FY2019 appropriation was 10% higher in inflation-adjusted dollars than the FY2010 appropriation, not including supplemental appropriations. With the supplemental included, the FY2019 appropriation was 64% higher than FY2010. The HPF account represented approximately 3% of the total NPS appropriation in FY2019 (including supplementals).

The largest activity in the HPF account is grant funding for state historic preservation offices, which declined by 9% in inflation-adjusted terms over the decade. Grants for tribal historic preservation offices, on the other hand, rose by 25% after adjusting for inflation. The account also funded various competitive grant programs in particular years. For FY2019, these included grants for historically black colleges and universities (HBCUs), historic designation of properties associated with underrepresented communities, preservation of sites and stories associated with the civil rights movement, and the Save America's Treasures program to restore nationally significant historic structures and artifacts.

Supplemental appropriations augmented regular appropriations for the HPF account in three years—FY2013, FY2018, and FY2019—and were targeted primarily to hurricane recovery.¹¹ The

⁸ The amount for the Line-Item Construction and Maintenance budget activity for FY2019 (\$259.3 million) is from CRS communication with NPS Budget Office, June 5, 2019, and includes an allocation from a "general program increase" appropriated by Congress for the NPS Construction account, in addition to the amount appropriated specifically for Line-Item Construction and Maintenance. The amount does not reflect the FY2019 supplemental appropriation in P.L. 116-20, as the supplemental was not assigned to any specific budget activity.

⁹ NPS, *Budget Justifications and Performance Information, Fiscal Year 2020*, p. Const-9, at https://www.doi.gov/sites/ doi.gov/files/fy2020-nps-justification.pdf.

¹⁰ P.L. 89-665, as amended; 54 U.S.C. §§300101 et seq.

¹¹ P.L. 113-2, P.L. 115-123, and P.L. 116-20. The FY2019 funds also were for response to other types of natural

funding was mainly to assist recovering states and territories with compliance activities related to Section 106 of the NHPA.¹² Under Section 106, undertakings that receive federal funds or permits—including some hurricane recovery activities—must be evaluated for their potential effects on historic properties.

Figure 6. Appropriations for NPS's Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) Account, FY2010-FY2019



(\$ millions, in nominal and inflation-adjusted 2010 dollars)

Sources: FY2010-FY2014 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. FY2015-FY2018 data are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. FY2019 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS and P.L. 116-20.

Notes: See footnote 1 for additional information on this figure.

Land Acquisition and State Assistance

The Land Acquisition and State Assistance (LASA) account represents NPS's share of appropriations from the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF; 54 U.S.C. §§200301 et seq.). The LWCF, funded mainly from offshore oil and gas revenues, is the primary source for land acquisition funding for the four major federal land management agencies—NPS, the Bureau of Land Management, the Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Forest Service. In addition, the LWCF supports grants to states (and, through states, to localities) for assistance with recreation planning, acquiring recreational lands and waters, and developing outdoor recreational facilities.¹³ These 50/50 matching grants, administered by NPS, generally are allocated to states by formula. In recent years, a portion of the funding (\$20.0 million for FY2019, out of a total of \$124.0

disasters.

¹² 54 U.S.C. §306108.

¹³ The state grant program receives both discretionary appropriations and also some mandatory funding from offshore oil and gas revenues under the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 (P.L. 109-432). Also, in addition to the state grants and federal land acquisition grants discussed here, a portion of the LWCF also has been used to fund other programs. For more information on the LWCF, see CRS Report RL33531, *Land and Water Conservation Fund: Overview, Funding History, and Issues*, by Carol Hardy Vincent, and CRS Report R44121, *Land and Water Conservation Fund: Appropriations for "Other Purposes*", by Carol Hardy Vincent.

million for state assistance) also has been appropriated for a nationally competitive grant program. The LWCF receives deposits of \$900 million annually, primarily from offshore oil and gas revenues, but this funding is only available to the extent appropriated (at no point in the past decade was the "full funding" appropriated).

Figure 7. Appropriations for NPS's Land Acquisition and State Assistance (LASA) Account, FY2010-FY2019



Sources: FY2010-FY2014 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. FY2015-FY2018 data are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. FY2019 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS.

Notes: See footnote 1 for additional information on this figure.

Appropriations for the LASA account—including both NPS federal land acquisition and assistance to states—varied during the decade and rose overall, with the highest amounts appropriated in the past four years (see **Figure 7** and **Table 2**). The appropriation grew from \$126.3 million in FY2010 to \$168.4 million in FY2019.¹⁴ Adjusted for inflation, this is a growth of 14%. The LASA account represented approximately 5% of the total NPS appropriation in both FY2010 and FY2019.

The increase in funding for the account is largely attributable to higher appropriations for the state assistance program in recent years. The FY2019 appropriation for NPS *federal* land acquisition was 56% lower than FY2010 when adjusted for inflation. By contrast, the appropriation for NPS assistance to *states* grew over the decade by 165% in inflation-adjusted dollars. State assistance was roughly \$40 million-\$50 million annually for FY2010-FY2015, and more than doubled in nominal dollars, reaching \$110 million-\$125 million, for FY2016-FY2019. For land acquisition by NPS, the funding was \$86 million in FY2010 and ranged between \$44 million and \$65 million thereafter.¹⁵

¹⁴ As with ONPS and NR&P, the LASA account did not receive supplemental appropriations during the decade.

¹⁵ The appropriation for NPS federal acquisition also includes an amount for the American Battlefield Protection Program's (ABPP's) battlefield acquisition grants to states (54 U.S.C. §308103), even though this portion of the money is not for federal acquisitions. In FY2019, the amount for ABPP grants was \$10.0 million (nominal dollars), and in FY2010 it was \$9.0 million.

National Recreation and Preservation Account

The National Recreation and Preservation (NR&P) account funds NPS programs that primarily assist state, local, tribal, and private land managers with outdoor recreation planning, natural and cultural resource preservation, and other activities outside the National Park System. Appropriations for the account fluctuated during the decade and declined overall, by 20% in inflation-adjusted dollars (**Figure 8** and **Table 2**). The portion of total NPS funding used for the NR&P account was roughly the same (2%) at the beginning and end of the decade. The NR&P account was not a recipient of supplemental funding during the decade.

Figure 8. Appropriations for NPS's National Recreation and Preservation (NR&P) Account, FY2010-FY2019



(\$ millions, in nominal and inflation-adjusted 2010 dollars)

Sources: FY2010-FY2014 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. FY2015-FY2018 data are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. FY2019 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS.

Notes: See footnote 1 for additional information on this figure.

A variety of natural, cultural, and recreational assistance programs are funded in the NR&P account. The Heritage Partnership Program, which provides NPS assistance to national heritage areas, is the largest single program in the account.¹⁶ Its appropriations stayed relatively steady over the decade in inflation-adjusted dollars,¹⁷ despite budget requests from the Obama and Trump Administrations to significantly reduce it. There were 49 heritage areas for almost all of the decade, until Congress established 6 new heritage areas in March 2019 in P.L. 116-9. The NR&P account also has included other programs that assist nonfederal entities, such as the American Battlefield Protection Program;¹⁸ Rivers, Trails, and Conservation Assistance Program;

¹⁶ National heritage areas are established by Congress but are not federally managed, and they are not part of the National Park System. For more on national heritage areas, see CRS Report RL33462, *Heritage Areas: Background, Proposals, and Current Issues*, by Laura B. Comay and Carol Hardy Vincent.

¹⁷ In inflation-adjusted dollars, the FY2019 appropriation for heritage area partnerships was 2% lower than the FY2010 appropriation.

¹⁸ The ABPP's planning grants are funded in the NR&P account, while grants to states for battlefield land acquisition are funded in the LASA account.

National Natural Landmarks and National Historic Landmarks Programs; National Register of Historic Places; and Preserve America Program. The account further covers international park affairs, environmental and compliance review, and grants administration.

Centennial Challenge

The Centennial Challenge account was funded during certain years of the decade (FY2010 and FY2015-FY2019). The account consists of a matching-grant program to spur partner donations for park improvements, primarily aimed at addressing deferred maintenance.¹⁹ The name "Centennial Challenge" indicates the fund's origin as a program to improve the parks in anticipation of NPS's 2016 centennial anniversary and its second century of park management.²⁰ Both the Bush and Obama Administrations had proposed discretionary and mandatory funding for the fund prior to its legislative establishment in December 2016 (P.L. 114-289), and Congress had provided discretionary appropriations in some years, starting in FY2008. P.L. 114-289 codified the Centennial Challenge Fund and authorized it to receive federal revenues from certain sales of National Parks and Federal Recreational Lands Passes to seniors, in addition to discretionary appropriations. The senior pass revenues are provided as offsetting collections.²¹ Revenues are to be matched on at least a one-to-one basis by nonfederal donations.

Figure 9. Appropriations for NPS's Centennial Challenge Account, FY2010-FY2019 (\$ millions, in nominal and inflation-adjusted 2010 dollars)



Sources: FY2010-FY2014 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS. FY2015-FY2018 data are from NPS budget justifications for FY2017-FY2020. FY2019 data are from annual House Appropriations Committee detailed tables for NPS.

Notes: See footnote 1 for additional information on this figure.

During the past decade, Congress appropriated \$5.0 million for the fund in 2010 and then did not make further appropriations until FY2015 (**Table 2** and **Figure 9**). Starting in that year, Congress

¹⁹ The account provides the federal share of matching grants for "signature" park projects and programs, primarily aimed at addressing deferred maintenance. "Signature" projects and programs are to be identified by the Interior Secretary and must "help prepare the national parks for another century of conservation, preservation, and visitor enjoyment" (P.L. 114-289).

²⁰ The Centennial Challenge account was funded in FY2010 under the title "Park Partnership Grants."

²¹ For a discussion of offsetting collections in the federal budget process, see CRS Report 98-721, *Introduction to the Federal Budget Process*, coordinated by James V. Saturno.

appropriated mainly increasing amounts, and the FY2019 appropriation represented an increase of 242% over FY2010 in inflation-adjusted dollars.²² The account represented less than 1% of total NPS appropriations in both FY2010 and FY2019.

NPS Appropriations in Context

Changes in NPS appropriations can be considered in the context of the National Park System's size, the number of visits to the parks, and NPS staffing levels, among other factors. Over the past decade, the acreage of the National Park System remained relatively stable, growing by 1%, while 28 new units were added.²³ Visitation peaked in 2016 and was generally higher in the second part of the decade than the first. Staffing levels fluctuated around 20,000 full-time equivalent (FTE) employees during the past 10 years and were lower at the end of the decade than at the beginning.

Size of the National Park System

National Park System acreage grew slightly over the decade, from 84.4 million acres to 85.1 million acres, a growth of 1%. (See **Figure 10** and **Table 3**.) The percentage of National Park System lands owned by the federal government remained steady, at 95% of total system lands.²⁴ The remainder—the nonfederal land in the system—includes lands within park boundaries that are owned by state or local governments and by private landowners.

A total of 28 units were added to the system in the FY2010-FY2019 period. Many were relatively small in acreage, such as Stonewall National Monument in New York (0.12 acres) and Belmont-Paul Women's Equality National Monument in Washington, DC (0.34 acres), which each consist of a single building. The largest units added to the system during the decade were Valles Caldera National Preserve in New Mexico (89,000 acres) and Katahdin Woods and Waters National Monument in Maine (87,563 acres). Other acreage changes resulted from boundary adjustments in existing parks.²⁵

²² The Centennial Challenge account received no supplemental funding during the decade.

²³ These units are the River Raisin National Battlefield Park (MI), President William Jefferson Clinton Birthplace Home National Historic Site (AR), Martin Luther King Jr. Memorial (DC), Fort Monroe National Monument (VA), Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park (NJ), Cesar E. Chavez National Monument (CA), Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park (MD), First State National Historical Park (DE), Charles Young Buffalo Soldiers National Monument (OH), Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument (NV), World War I Memorial (DC), Valles Caldera National Preserve (NM), Blackstone River Valley National Historical Park (MA and RI), Pullman National Monument (IL), Honouliuli National Monument (HI), Waco Mammoth National Monument (TX), Manhattan Project National Historical Park (TN, NM, and WA), Castle Mountains National Monument (CA), Belmont-Paul Women's Equality National Monument (DC), Stonewall National Monument (NY), Katahdin Woods and Waters National Monument (ME), Harriet Tubman National Historical Park (NY), Birmingham Civil Rights National Monument (AL), Freedom Riders National Monument (AL), Reconstruction Era National Monument (SC), Camp Nelson National Monument (KY), Pearl Harbor National Memorial (HI), and Tule Lake National Monument (CA). A list of recent additions to the National Park System can be found at NPS, *Recent Changes in the National Park System*, at https://www.nps.gov/aboutus/recent-changes.htm.

²⁴ Almost all of the system's federally owned lands are directly administered by NPS. However, the federally owned portion of the system also includes some NPS "less than fee" acres (e.g., conservation easements or rights-of-way) and some lands managed by other federal agencies, such as the Bureau of Reclamation, the Forest Service, or the Fish and Wildlife Service. Taken together, these two types of lands amount to about 1% of the federally owned portion of the system.

²⁵ See footnote 23 for a list of all units added to the National Park System in the FY2010-FY2019 period to date.



Figure 10. Size of the National Park System, FY2010-FY2019 (in millions of acres)

Sources: NPS Land Resources Division, annual summaries of acreage, available at https://www.nps.gov/subjects/ lwcf/acreagereports.htm. FY2019 figures are as of December 31, 2018, the most recent data available. **Notes:** "Federal land" category includes NPS Fee Acres, Less Than Fee Acres, and Other Federal Fee Acres. "Nonfederal land" category includes Other Public Acres and Private Acres.

Table 3. Size of	f the National	Park System.	FY2010-FY2019

(in minors of actes)					
	Federal Land	Nonfederal Land	Total Acreage		
FY2010	80.518	3.863	84.381		
FY2011	80.485	3.900	84.385		
FY2012	80.384	4.039	84.423		
FY2013	80.473	4.007	84.479		
FY2014	80.466	4.012	84.478		
FY2015	80.598	4.021	84.616		
FY2016	80.629	4.019	84.736		
FY2017	81.008	4.031	85.039		
FY2018	81.011	4.034	85.040		
FY2019 (through Dec. 2018)	81.041	4.010	85.051		

(in millions of acres)

Sources: NPS Land Resources Division, annual summaries of acreage, available at https://www.nps.gov/subjects/ lwcf/acreagereports.htm. FY2019 figures are as of December 31, 2018, the most recent data available.

Notes: Federal Land category includes NPS Fee Acres, Less Than Fee Acres, and Other Federal Fee Acres. Nonfederal Land category includes Other Public Acres and Private Acres.

Visits to the National Parks

Numbers of recreational visits to the National Park System varied over the past 10 years but were generally higher toward the end of the decade. The highest numbers of visits were in 2016 (331.0 million visits) and 2017 (330.9 million visits; see **Figure 11** and **Table 4**). Visits at the end of the decade were 13% higher than at the beginning.²⁶ Many factors may affect the number of visitors to the parks in a given year, including national economic conditions, weather, changes in population demographics, park promotional campaigns, competing recreational choices, park closures due to natural disasters or lapses in appropriations, and other factors.



Figure 11.Annual Recreational Visits to the National Park System, 2010-2019 (total visits in millions, by calendar year)

Source: National Park Service, NPS Stats, at https://irma.nps.gov/Stats/Reports/National.

Notes: The figure for 2019 shows visits through May 2019 (101.9 million visits). For comparison with the previous year, visits through May 2018 totaled 105.6 million.

Table 4. Annual Recreational Visits to the National Park System, 2010-2019
(total visits in millions, by calendar year)

Year	Number of Visits (in millions)	Year	Number of Visits (in millions)
2010	281.3	2015	307.2
2011	278.9	2016	331.0
2012	282.8	2017	330.9
2013	273.6	2018	318.2
2014	292.8	2019 (thr. May)	101.9

Source: NPS, NPS Stats, at https://irma.nps.gov/Stats/Reports/National.

Notes: The figure for 2019 shows visits through May 2019. For comparison with the previous year, visits through May 2018 were 105.6 million.

²⁶ This increase compares 2018 visits with 2010 visits, since 2018 is the most recent year for which full information is available.

NPS visitation for 2019 is available through the end of May 2019. The year-to-date total of 101.9 million visitors is lower than the total for the same five-month span in 2018 (105.6 million visitors). Visitation was lower in most months of 2019 than in the comparable months of 2018, with the greatest difference (-21%) during January, when NPS experienced a government shutdown due to a lapse in FY2019 appropriations.²⁷

National Park Service Staffing

NPS full-time equivalent (FTE) staffing levels were highest in the earlier part of the decade. FTE staffing ranged from a high of 22,211 in FY2010 to a low of 19,032 in FY2018 (**Figure 12** and **Table 5**). Estimated staffing for FY2019 (19,114 FTE employees) is 14% lower than at the beginning of the decade.²⁸



Figure 12. NPS Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff, FY2010-FY2019

Sources: FY2010-FY2015 numbers from U.S. Department of the Interior, "Department of the Interior FTE History: Full-Time Equivalent Staff Year (FTE) Actual of Bureaus and Offices," at https://www.doi.gov/sites/ doi.gov/files/uploads/fte-_history_table-20161108.pdf. FY2016-FY2019 numbers from NPS budget justifications.

Note: NPS's FTE estimate for FY2019 was based on an appropriations baseline of \$3.202 billion derived from a continuing resolution, whereas the final appropriation for FY2019 was \$3.222 billion (excluding supplementals).

Table 5. NPS Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff, FY2010-FY201	9
---	---

Year	Number of FTEs	Year	Number of FTEs
FY2010	22,211	FY2015	19,539
FY2011	22,05 I	FY2016	19,722

²⁷ For more information on federal government shutdowns, see CRS Report RS20348, *Federal Funding Gaps: A Brief Overview*, by James V. Saturno.

²⁸ NPS's staffing estimate for FY2019 was based on an appropriations baseline of \$3.202 billion derived from a continuing resolution, whereas the final NPS appropriation was somewhat higher at \$3.222 billion (excluding supplementals).

FY2012	21,830	FY2017	19,668
FY2013	20,720	FY2018	19,032
FY2014	19,894	FY2019 (est.)	19,114

Sources: FY2010-FY2015 numbers from U.S. Department of the Interior, "Department of the Interior FTE History: Full-Time Equivalent Staff Year (FTE) Actual of Bureaus and Offices," at https://www.doi.gov/sites/ doi.gov/files/uploads/fte-_history_table-20161108.pdf. FY2016-FY2019 numbers from NPS budget justifications.

Note: NPS's FTE estimate for FY2019 was based on an appropriations baseline of \$3.202 billion derived from a continuing resolution, whereas the final appropriation for FY2019 was \$3.222 billion (excluding supplementals).

Summary

NPS's discretionary appropriations fluctuated over the past decade, with an overall trend of decline in the first part of the decade and growth in the second part. Supplemental appropriations for disaster relief increased the totals in FY2013, FY2018, and FY2019. Counting the supplementals, the total NPS discretionary appropriation for FY2019 was 22% higher than FY2010 in nominal dollars and 4% higher in inflation-adjusted dollars. Excluding supplementals, the FY2019 appropriation was 17% higher than FY2010 in nominal dollars and less than 1% higher in inflation-adjusted dollars. The share of total appropriations represented by each individual account in the NPS portfolio also fluctuated, although the account covering park operations represented the highest share by far throughout the decade.

The funding changes took place in the context of a park system of relatively stable size and a staffing level that declined by approximately 14% during the decade. Although park acreage grew only slightly, 28 units were added to the system over the ten-year period. Visits to the parks generally were higher in the second half of the decade than the first and peaked in 2016 at approximately 331 million visits.

A major funding issue for NPS is infrastructure reinvestment. The agency's backlog of deferred maintenance and repairs was estimated at \$11.92 billion for FY2018. The identified backlog amount declined in inflation-adjusted dollars but grew in nominal dollars over the decade. Combined funding for two discretionary subaccounts that mainly address deferred maintenance (within the ONPS and Construction accounts) increased by about 50% in inflation-adjusted dollars over this period. NPS's infrastructure needs continue to receive attention in the 116th Congress, both within and outside the annual appropriations process.

Author Contact Information

Laura B. Comay Specialist in Natural Resources Policy #redacted#@crs.loc.gJv....

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.