



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

“Sense of” Resolutions and Provisions

Christopher M. Davis

Analyst on Congress and the Legislative Process

Updated October 16, 2019

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

98-825

One or both houses of Congress may formally express opinions about subjects of current national interest through freestanding simple or concurrent resolutions (called generically "sense of the House," "sense of the Senate," or "sense of the Congress" resolutions). These opinions expressing the views of one or both chambers may also be included in other legislation upon introduction or subsequently added by amendment. This report identifies the various forms these expressions may take and the procedures governing such actions.

"Sense of" Resolutions

Sense of the House or Senate resolutions take the form of simple resolutions, because they require the approval of only one chamber. A sense of Congress resolution, on the other hand, must be a concurrent resolution, as both the House and Senate must approve such measures. Joint resolutions are not regularly used for expressions of congressional opinion, because joint resolutions generally require presidential approval. A "sense of" resolution is not legally binding because it is not presented to the President for his signature. Even if a "sense of" provision is incorporated into a bill that becomes law, such provisions merely express the opinion of Congress or the relevant chamber. They have no formal effect on public policy and have no force of law.

"Sense of" resolutions are generally considered under the normal legislative processes of each chamber applicable to any other legislative vehicle. Because "sense of" resolutions do not involve the expenditure of public funds, such resolutions, if reported from House committees, are placed on the House calendar. In the past, the House has considered them under the suspension of the rules procedure, by unanimous consent request, and (rarely) under the terms of a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules and adopted by the House.

Certain House rules and policies may, in some cases, affect the scheduling of "sense of" legislation. Clause 5 of House Rule XII precludes the introduction and consideration of a bill or resolution, or an amendment thereto, if it establishes or expresses a "commemoration." *Commemoration* is defined by the rule as a "remembrance, celebration, or recognition for any purpose through the designation of a specified period of time." In addition, in the 116th Congress, the House majority leader has issued a "legislative protocol" that is intended to "provide further guidance on House Rule XII, clause 5, related to the prohibition on scheduling legislation that is commemorative in nature." The protocol states:

The Majority Leader shall not schedule any bill or resolution for consideration that expresses appreciation, commends, congratulates, celebrates, recognizes the accomplishments of, or celebrates the anniversary of, an entity, event, group, individual, institution, team or government program; or acknowledges or recognizes a period of time. A resolution of bereavement, or condemnation, or which calls on others to take a particular action, is eligible to be scheduled for consideration.¹

This 116th Congress legislative protocol mirrors scheduling policies previously in force during periods of Republican majority in the House. Specifically, House Republican Conference Rule 28 of the 115th Congress (2017-2018) stated that the Republican leader would not "schedule, or request to have scheduled, any bill or resolution for consideration under suspension of the Rules which ... expresses appreciation, commends, congratulates, celebrates, recognizes the accomplishments of, or celebrates the anniversary of, an entity, event, group, individual, institution, team or government program; or acknowledges or recognizes a period of time for such

¹ See <https://www.majorityleader.gov/content/116th-congress-legislative-protocols>.

purposes.”² The rule could be waived by a majority of the elected House Republican leadership.³ In the 115th Congress, House Republican leadership had also announced several “legislative protocols,” one of which was intended to clarify the application of Conference Rule 28. Protocol 7 stated that a “resolution of bereavement, or condemnation, or which calls on others (such as a foreign government) to take a particular action, but which does not otherwise violate the provisions of Rule 28 is eligible to be scheduled under suspension of the Rules.”

The Senate normally takes up “sense of” resolutions by unanimous consent. In prior eras, such resolutions were sometimes automatically laid before the Senate under the “resolutions ... over, under the Rule” process (Senate Rule XIV), but this procedure is almost never used in modern practice.

“Sense of” Provisions

Besides expressing such views through simple or concurrent resolutions, Congress may include “sense of” language in an introduced measure or attach such provisions by way of floor or committee amendment. In the House, a “sense of” amendment must be germane to the overall measure and to the particular portion of the bill to which it is added. Violations of the germaneness rule can be overcome through motions to suspend the rules or by provisions in a special rule waiving certain points of order.

Senate rules generally offer wide latitude to Senators to offer “sense of” amendments to legislation in committee or on the floor. However, sense of the Senate amendments must be germane to language contained in an underlying general appropriations bill, as determined by the chair upon a point of order raised against the amendment, in order to comply with Rule XVI.⁴ Under Senate precedents, “sense of Congress” or “sense of the Senate” amendments are considered dilatory if offered post-cloture.⁵ The offering of such amendments to budget resolutions is generally discouraged.⁶ “Sense of” provisions have also been found to violate the rule barring the inclusion of “extraneous matter” in budget reconciliation legislation.⁷

Content of “Sense of” Resolutions and Provisions

“Sense of” resolutions and amendments expressing the sense of one or both houses of Congress have been offered on many subjects. An informal survey of “sense of” resolutions and amendments adopted during recent Congresses shows that many of them focused on foreign policy matters, particularly resolutions that express the sense of the Senate. However, “sense of” proposals were forwarded on a wide range of other subjects, including stressing a particular

² U.S. Congress, House, *Rules of the House Republican Conference 115th Congress*, <https://www.gop.gov/115th-rules/>.

³ U.S. Congress, House, *Rules of the House Republican Conference 115th Congress*, p. 26.

⁴ *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 146, May 17, 2000, pp. S4062-S4065 (bound edition, pp. 8283-8286).

⁵ *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 147, October 2, 2001, p. S10032 (bound edition, pp. 18220-18221).

⁶ The conference report on H.Con.Res. 290 (106th Congress), the FY2001 budget resolution, provided that amendments containing “predominately precatory language,” such as “sense of” amendments, are not germane to budget resolutions. The enforceability of this provision is unclear, however. See CRS Report R40665, *Congressional Budget Resolutions: Consideration and Amending in the Senate*, by Megan S. Lynch.

⁷ For example, *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 151, Nov. 17, 2005, pp. S13123-S13124 (bound edition, pp. 27037-27038). For further information, see CRS Report RL30862, *The Budget Reconciliation Process: The Senate’s “Byrd Rule”*, by Bill Heniff Jr.

domestic policy priority; recognizing a historic milestone, figure, or location; and calling for certain federal agencies or officials to take, or refrain from taking, a specified action.

Although “sense of” proposals have no force in law, foreign governments pay close attention to them as evidence of shifts in U.S. foreign policy priorities. On domestic issues, agencies also monitor “sense of” provisions because they may serve as an early signal that Congress will alter statutory provisions if the informal nature of “sense of” provisions does not influence agency policy.

Author Contact Information

Christopher M. Davis
Analyst on Congress and the Legislative Process
/redacted/@crs.loc.gov7-....

Acknowledgments

This report was written by Paul S. Rundquist, formerly a specialist in American National Government at CRS. Dr. Rundquist has retired, but the listed author is available to answer questions from congressional clients concerning the report upon request.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.