



## **U.N. Ban on Iran Arms Transfers**

### **Overview**

A 2015 multilateral Iran nuclear agreement (Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, JCPOA), provides for limits on Iran's nuclear program in exchange for sanctions relief. The accord, endorsed by U.N. Security Council Resolution 2231 (July 17, 2015), contains Annex B that provides for a ban on the transfer of arms to or from Iran until October 18, 2020. The Trump Administration, with the support of many in Congress, seeks to extend the ban in order to prevent Iran from acquiring new conventional weaponry, particularly advanced combat aircraft. However, on August 14, the U.N. Security Council, including two key potential arms suppliers of Iran-Russia and China-voted down a U.S. draft to extend the arms transfer ban. Members of the Council, including the European parties to the JCPOA, also oppose a U.S. plan to implement its longstanding threat to invoke the provision of Resolution 2231 that would snap back all U.N. sanctions on Iran-if the Council does not extend the arms transfer ban.

Annex B contains a ban until October 18, 2023, on supplying equipment with which Iran could develop nuclear-capable ballistic missiles, and calls on Iran not to develop ballistic missiles designed to carry nuclear weapons. See CRS Report RS20871, *Iran Sanctions*, by Kenneth Katzman.

### Provisions of the Arms Transfer Ban

Annex B of Resolution 2231 restated and superseded the Iran arms transfer restrictions of previous U.N. Security Council resolutions. Resolution 1747 (March 24, 2007) banned Iran's transfer of arms from its territory and required all U.N. member states to prohibit the transfer of Iranian arms. Resolution 1929 (June 9, 2010) banned the supply to Iran of "any battle tanks, armoured combat vehicles, large calibre artillery systems, combat aircraft, attack helicopters, warships, missiles or missile systems as defined for the purpose of the United Nations Register of Arms [ballistic or cruise missiles capable of delivering a warhead or weapon of destruction to a range of at least 16 miles] or related materiel, including spare parts...." The Security Council can waive the restrictions on a "case-bycase basis," but no Iran arms transfers have been approved to date. The arms transfer ban expires on the earlier of: (1)five years after the JCPOA "Adoption Day" (Adoption Day was October 18, 2015), or (2) upon the issuing by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) of a "Broader Conclusion" that all nuclear material in Iran remains in peaceful activities.

U.S. and other Security Council member officials interpret the restriction as inapplicable to the sale to Iran of purely defensive systems. In 2007, Russia agreed to the sale to Iran of the S-300 air defense system, and it delivered the system in November 2016. A State Department spokesperson said in May 2016 that the sale "... is not formally a violation [of 2231]" because the S-300 is for defensive uses only."

## Effects of the Ban

The U.S. government has assessed the arms transfer ban as effective. According to Appendix J of the congressionally mandated Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) annual report on the military power of Iran for 2019, released in November 2019, states that Iran wants to "purchase new advanced weapon systems from foreign suppliers to modernize its armed forces, including equipment it has largely been unable to acquire for decades."

#### Figure I. Iran's Regional Allies



Source: Defense Intelligence Agency. Iran Military Power: 2019.

By contrast, the ban on Iranian arms exports has arguably not been effective. According to the DIA report, which represents a consensus U.S. judgment, "Since the Islamic Revolution, Iran has transferred a wide range of weapons and military equipment to state and non-state actors, including designated terrorist organizations.... Although some Iranian shipments have been interdicted, Tehran is often able to get high-priority arms transfers to its customers. [See Figure 1.] Over the years, Iranian transfers to state and non-state actors have included communications equipment; small arms-such as assault rifles, sniper rifles, machine guns, mortars, and rocket-propelled grenades (RPGs)-and ammunition; ... artillery systems, including MRLs (multiple rocket launchers) and battlefield rockets and launchers; armored vehicles; FAC (fast attack craft); equipment for unmanned explosives boats; ... SAMs (surface-to-air missiles); UAVs (unmanned aerial vehicles) ... ground-attack aircraft ..." and other weaponry. A June 2020 report by the U.N. Secretary General on implementation of Resolution 2231 assessed that Iran attempted to export weaponry and missile parts to Houthi forces in Yemen, and U.S. and allied forces intercepted

some of that weaponry in November 2019 and February 2020. See CRS Report R44017, *Iran's Foreign and Defense Policies*, by Kenneth Katzman.

Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC)		Regular Forces (Artesh)	
IRGC Ground Force (IRGCGF)	150,000	Islamic Republic of Iran Ground Force (IRIGF)	350,000
IRGC Navy (IRGCN)	20,000	Islamic Republic of Iran Navy (IRIN)	18,000
RGC Aerospace Force (IRGCASF)	15,000	Islamic Republic of Iran Air Force (IRIAF)	37,000
IRGC Qods Force (IRGC-QF)	5,000	Islamic Republic of Iran Air Defense Force (IRIADF)	15,000
Basij (Reserves)	450,000	Total:	420,000
Total (excl. Basij)	190,000		
Total (incl. Basij)	640,000		
	Total Military (A	Active): 610,000	
	Total Military (incl. F	Reserves): 1,060,000	

#### Figure 2. Iran Military Structure and Size Estimates



# Relevant Laws, Authorities, and Options for the Administration and Congress

The stated Iran policy of the Trump Administration is to apply "maximum pressure" on Iran's economy, through the imposition of U.S. sanctions, to compel Iran to alter its behavior. The Administration cited the expiration of the arms transfer ban as one among several reasons that the JCPOA was sufficiently flawed to justify a U.S. exit from the accord in May 2018. As part of the maximum pressure campaign, the Administration insisted on keeping the arms transfer ban in place. At a meeting of the U.N. Security Council on June 30, Secretary of State Michael Pompeo stated: "Don't just take it from the United States, listen to countries in the region. From Israel to the Gulf, countries in the Middle East – who are most exposed to Iran's predations - are speaking with one voice: Extend the arms embargo." A May 4, 2020, House letter, signed by 387 Members, "urge[s] increased diplomatic action by the United States to renew the expiring United Nations arms embargo against Iran...."

The annual Iran military report, cited above, states: "Iran's potential acquisitions after the lifting of UNSCR 2231 restrictions include Russian Su-30 fighters, Yak-130 trainers, and T-90 MBTs (main battle tanks). Iran has also shown interest in acquiring S-400 air defense systems and Bastian coastal defense systems from Russia." On June 23, 2020, Secretary Pompeo posted a Twitter message that: "If the U.N. Arms Embargo on Iran expires in October, Iran will be able to buy new fighter aircraft like Russia's SU-30 and China's J-10. With these highly lethal aircraft, Europe and Asia could be in Iran's crosshairs." The composition of Iran's forces is depicted in Figure 2.

In August 2020, the United States circulated a draft U.N. Security Council resolution that would extend the arms transfer ban "until the Security Council decides otherwise." Several Council members, including those in Europe, stated opposition to extending, arguing that doing so might cause Iran to leave the JCPOA entirely. Regional U.S. allies, including Israel and the Arab monarchy states of the Persian Gulf, publicly supported the proposed extension. On August 14, the Security Council completed the voting process on the U.S. extension draft. The United States and the Dominican Republic voted in favor, Russia and China voted against, and the remaining eleven Council members abstained. Secretary of State Pompeo immediately denounced the adverse U.N. vote, saying "The Security Council's failure to act decisively in defense of international peace and security is inexcusable." President Trump stated that the United States would proceed to implement its threat to invoke a snap back of all U.N. sanctions that were lifted upon implementation of the JCPOA, if the arms embargo were not extended. He said "We'll be doing a snapback. You'll be watching it next week."

The U.S. plan to trigger a sanctions snap back is based on a State Department legal interpretation of Resolution 21231. According to U.S. officials, Resolution 2231 stipulates that a JCPOA participant could, after notifying the Security Council of an issue that the government "believes constitutes significant non-performance of [JCPOA] commitments," trigger an automatic draft resolution keeping sanctions relief in effect. A U.S. veto of this resolution would reimpose the suspended sanctions. On April 30, 2020, the then-State Department Special Representative for Iran, Ambassador Brian Hook, asserted that this option is available because the U.S. right "as a participant [in Resolution 2231] is something which exists independently of the JCPOA."

However, European, Iranian, Russian, and other officials have opposed the U.S. assertion that it can trigger a snapback. On August 16, EU foreign policy chief Josep Borrell said: "Given that the US unilaterally withdrew from the JCPOA in May 2018 and has not participated in any JCPOA structures or activities subsequently, the US cannot be considered as a JCPOA participant. We therefore consider that the US is not in a position to resort to mechanisms reserved for JCPOA participants [such as the so-called snapback]." Despite the dispute over the U.S. standing to trigger a snap back, Secretary of State Pompeo reportedly planned to meet on August 20 with the representative of the current Security Council president -Indonesia – to proceed with the process of snapping back JCPOA sanctions. It is not clear what entity or person might adjudicate the dispute over the U.S standing to do so, and it is not clear that a reimposition of sanctions would obtain broad international implementation

If the United States is not able to snap back sanctions, including the ban, the Administration might use its sanctions authorities to deter any arms sales to Iran. These include the Iran-Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act, the Iran, North Korea, and Syria Nonproliferation Act (INKSNA), Executive Order 13382, the Countering America's Adversaries through Sanctions Act, and Iran's designation as a state sponsor of terrorism provides authorities for the President to sanction arms suppliers to Iran. Alternatively, the United States might try to work with potential arms sellers to Iran to dissuade them from completing any sales.

Kenneth Katzman, Specialist in Middle Eastern Affairs

## Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.