



Updated February 5, 2021

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program is a Department of Justice (DOJ) formula grant program. The JAG program allocates funds to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, America Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively referred to as "states" hereinafter) for a variety of state and local criminal justice initiatives. The program is administered by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA).

Calculating Allocations

Under the JAG formula, each state's allocation is based on its population and the number of reported violent crimes in the state. Specifically, half of a state's allocation is based on the state's respective share of the U.S. population, using the most recent population figures published by the Census Bureau. The other half is based on the state's respective share of the average number of violent crimes reported to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for the three most recent years for which data are available. Under current law, each state is guaranteed to receive no less than 0.25% of the amount appropriated for the JAG program in a given fiscal year (i.e., the minimum allocation). Therefore, after each state's initial allocation is calculated using the JAG formula, states that would have received less than 0.25% of the total amount appropriated for the JAG program are funded at the minimum allocation. If a state's initial allocation is greater than the minimum amount, then the state receives the minimum allocation plus a share of the remaining funds based on the state's proportion of the country's population and the reported number of violent crimes. Both population and violent crime data for the states that received the minimum allocation as their award are excluded when allocating the remaining funds for the states that receive more than the minimum allocation.

After each state's allocation is determined, 40% of it is directly awarded to units of local government in the state (this does not occur in the District of Columbia or the territories). Awards to units of local government, which includes Indian tribes that have law enforcement responsibilities, are based on the jurisdiction's proportion of the three-year average number of violent crimes committed in its respective state. Only units of local government that would receive \$10,000 or more are eligible for a direct allocation. The balance of funds not awarded directly to units of local government is administered by the state and must be distributed to the state police department or to units of local government that were not eligible to receive a direct award from BJA. Also, each state is required to "pass through" to units of local government a certain percentage of the funds directly awarded to the state. The pass-through percentage is calculated using data on criminal justice

expenditures collected by the Bureau of Justice Statistics; it is the ratio, for the most recent fiscal year, of the total amount of state expenditures on criminal justice to the total amount of expenditures on criminal justice by both the state and all units of local government.

Disparate Allocation

In some instances, a unit of local government or multiple units of local government are required to collaborate on a single joint award with the county. This happens when BJA certifies that there is a "disparate allocation," meaning that one city qualifies for an amount that is one-and-a-half times more than the amount for the county with concurrent jurisdiction, or when the total amount for which cities in a single county qualify is four-times more than the amount for the county. The unit or units of local government and county representatives must sign and submit a memorandum of understanding stating that they all agree on how the joint award, which is the sum of all of the individual awards, will be allocated and used.

Program Purpose Areas

Grant recipients can use their JAG funds for state and local initiatives, technical assistance, training, personnel, equipment, supplies, contractual support, and criminal justice information systems for

- law enforcement;
- prosecution and courts;
- prevention and education;
- corrections and community corrections;
- drug treatment;
- planning, evaluation, and technology improvement;
- crime victim and witness assistance (other than compensation); and
- mental health and related law enforcement and corrections programs, including behavioral programs and crisis intervention teams.

JAG's eight broad program purpose areas are intended to give states and local units of government flexibility in creating programs to address local needs.

Appropriations

There are two numbers to consider when evaluating changes in appropriations for the JAGprogram: the total (or "top-line") appropriation, and the amount available to be allocated through the JAG program after set-asides. Traditionally, Congress has dedicated some of the annual JAG appropriation for other purposes, as specified in the annual Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies (CJS) Appropriations Act. In many fiscal years, as a part of its annual budget submission, the Administration has also requested that Congress set aside a portion of the annual JAG funding for specific purposes.

Funding was first appropriated for the program in FY2005 (see **Table 1**). Top-line funding for JAG since its inception has averaged \$445 million per fiscal year (not including \$2 billion in funding appropriated pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA, P.L. 111-5)).

Table I. Appropriations for the JAG Program, FY2005-FY2021

Appropriations in millions of dollars

| Fiscal Year | Top-Line Appropriation | Appropriation after Set-Asides | Percent Set Aside |
|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 2005 | \$626 | \$529 | 15% |
| 2006 | 411 | 317 | 23% |
| 2007 | 520 | 500 | 4% |
| 2008 | 170 | 166 | 2% |
| 2009 | 2,546 | 539 | ١% |
| 2010 | 519 | 511 | 2% |
| 2011 | 430 | 423 | 2% |
| 2012 | 470 | 352 | 25% |
| 2013 | 365 | 345 | 5% |
| 2014 | 376 | 345 | 8% |
| 2015 | 376 | 333 | 12% |
| 2016 | 476 | 347 | 27% |
| 2017 | 403 | 335 | 17% |
| 2018 | 416 | 340 | 18% |
| 2019 | 424 | 330 | 22% |
| 2020 | 547 | 349 | 36% |
| 2021 | 484 | 360 | 26% |

Source: Appropriations were taken from the conference report or explanatory statement to accompany the annual Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies (CJS) Appropriations Act for each fiscal year, with the exception of FY2013. The FY2013 appropriation was provided by DOJ.

Notes: Amounts shown in the table are in nominal, not inflationadjusted, dollars. The FY2009 amounts include \$2 billion in emergency funding that was appropriated for JAG pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5). The FY2013 appropriation also includes the amount sequestered per the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25).

In FY2005 and FY2006, Congress required 15% and 23%, respectively, of the appropriation for JAG to be set as ide for other purposes. These set-asides are largely attributable to the approximately \$84 million that was dedicated to the Boys and Girls Club of America, a carryover from one of JAG's predecessor programs, the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant. After Congress declined to dedicate JAG funding to the Boys and Girls Club in FY2007, set-asides accounted for 1%-4% of JAG's total appropriation through FY2011 (for FY2009, the proportion dedicated to set-asides does not include ARRA funding). Since then, set-asides have accounted for an increasing share of JAG's funding. In FY2012, FY2016, and FY2020 at least one-quarter of the total JAG appropriation was dedicated for other purposes. In each of those fiscal years, Congress directed \$100 million in JAG funding toward reimbursing cities that hosted presidential nominating conventions for securityrelated costs they incurred.

Since FY2017, Congress and the President have started to fund several DOJ grant programs (e.g., Project Safe Neighborhoods, the John R. Justice program, programs authorized under the Prison Rape Elimination Act, and the Capital Litigation Improvement and Wrongful Conviction Review program), which had traditionally received their own line item appropriation in the annual CJS appropriations act, through set-asides from JAG. Congress might have started setting aside JAG funding for these programs as a way to continue to fund them while minimizing increases in appropriations for the State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance (S&LLEA) account in the CJS appropriations act. During this period, funding for S&LLEA has increased somewhat as funding has been provided for programs to address the opioid crisis, combat human trafficking, improve the completeness of records in the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, and improve police-community relations.

Since FY2012, appropriations that are available to be allocated through the JAGprogram after set-asides have generally been around \$340 million each fiscal year. This indicates that even though more JAGfunding has been setaside for other purposes, Congress has increased the topline appropriation to account for additional set-asides. However, funding available to be allocated to state and local governments since FY2012 was generally lower than what it was for FY2005 to FY2011, and was lower than the \$445 million average since the program's inception in FY2005.

Nathan James, Analystin Crime Policy

IF10691

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.