

IN FOCUS

Updated March 24, 2021

Calculation and Use of the Disaster Relief Allowable Adjustment

The Budget Control Act (P.L. 112-25, hereinafter the BCA) established limits on federal spending, as well as mechanisms to adjust those limits to accommodate spending that has special priority. One of these mechanisms-a limited "allowable adjustment" to discretionary spending limits to pay for the congressionally designated costs of major disasters under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (P.L. 100-707; hereinafter "the Stafford Act")-represented a new approach to paying for disaster relief. In the past, while some funding for disaster costs had been included in annual appropriations measures as part of the regular funding process, many of these costs had been designated as emergency requirements and were included in supplemental appropriations measures on an ad hoc basis. This disaster relief designation allowed a limited amount of additional appropriations for disaster costs into the annual appropriations process, instead of relying on emergency designations and supplemental appropriations bills. The formula to calculate the size of the adjustment was revised in 2018, but under current law, the adjustment will expire at the end of FY2021.

Calculating the Maximum Allowable Adjustment for Disaster Relief

The maximum size of the allowable adjustment, as defined in 2 U.S.C. §901(b)(2)(D), was based on a modified 10year rolling average of disaster relief appropriations annually reviewed and calculated by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). To establish amounts for the calculation prior to FY2012, OMB identified appropriations associated with major disaster declarations. For FY2012 and later years, OMB relied on explicit congressional designations of appropriations as disaster relief pursuant to the BCA. The top of **Figure 1** shows the appropriations amounts used for FY2001-FY2020 and the allowable adjustments calculated for FY2012-FY2021.

The calculated average disregarded the high and low funding years in the 10-year data set. If Congress did not fully exercise the allowable adjustment, the unused portion could be rolled forward into the next fiscal year—however, in calculations for FY2012-FY2017, this "carryover" expired if unused in the next fiscal year. The second part of **Figure 1** shows the calculation of the adjustment for FY2017. Annual disaster relief budget authority totals used for the FY2017 allowable adjustment are darkened.

The Effect of One-Year Carryover

A more detailed look at FY2012-FY2018 in the third part of **Figure 1** shows the impact of this one-year carryover. While carryover allowed for slightly greater use of the allowable adjustment than the rolling average alone in FY2013 and FY2017, the roughly \$12 billion of additional carryover that was available in FY2015 and FY2016 expired unused.



Source: CRS analysis of data from OMB sequestration reports. **Notes:** DRBA=Disaster Relief Budget Authority. Red arrows indicate the value is beyond the scale: For the total DRBA and emergency-designated disaster relief in FY2018=\$96.2 billion; FY2020=\$57.5 billion; and FY2021=\$69.3 billion.

Changes to the Calculation

Section 102 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018, Division O, modified the calculation of the maximum size of the disaster relief allowable adjustment in two ways: unused adjustment from prior fiscal years would no longer expire, and 5% of the amount of disaster relief funding that did not carry a disaster relief designation would be added to the allowable adjustment calculation, starting with a revision of the existing FY2018 calculation.

Originally, OMB's allowable adjustment calculations did not include the funding for major disasters pursuant to the Stafford Act after FY2012 that was designated as an emergency requirement. The red markers in the first part of **Figure 1** show the total disaster relief funding level had the emergency-designated relief been included. For example, Division B of P.L. 115-56 (the FY2017 supplemental appropriation after Hurricane Harvey) included \$15.25 billion in emergency-designated funding, \$14.8 billion of which was specifically for the costs of major disasters under the Stafford Act. Yet the \$14.8 billion of funding would not have contributed to the calculation of future allowable adjustments because it carried an emergency designation, rather than the disaster relief designation.

This was not an isolated occurrence: in 6 of the 10 fiscal years covered by the Budget Control Act, more than \$173 billion in emergency-designated spending pursuant to major disasters under the Stafford Act was appropriated above the allowable adjustment for disaster relief. Section 102 allowed 5% of emergency-designated disaster relief provided after FY2011 to be added to the allowable adjustment.

Due to these changes, FY2018's allowable adjustment was revised upward by \$1.855 billion (5% of the \$37.101 billion in emergency-designated disaster relief after FY2011 thus far). This new factor, coupled with high levels of disaster relief associated with catastrophic disasters and COVID-19, reversed a declining trend in the allowable adjustment. OMB calculated the final FY2021 allowable adjustment to be \$17.385 billion (summing \$8.691 billion from the 10year average with \$8.594 billion from emergencydesignated disaster relief).

How Has the Adjustment Been Used?

Of the more than \$104 billion in discretionary spending covered by the disaster relief allowable adjustment, 93% has gone to FEMA's Disaster Relief Fund (DRF) as part of the appropriations process.

As **Figure 2** shows, aside from the DRF (funded through DHS), the disaster relief designation has been applied to appropriations for five other federal government departments with roles in disaster response and recovery. Three appropriations to the Department of Agriculture have received funding with the disaster relief designation: the Emergency Conservation Program; the Emergency Forest Restoration Program; and the Emergency Watershed Protection Program. The Department of Defense funding listed includes three separate accounts in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Civil Works Program. Other recipients include Economic Development Assistance programs at the

Department of Commerce, the Federal Highway Administration's Emergency Relief Program at the Department of Transportation; and the Community Development Fund at the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Figure 2. Appropriations with Disaster Relief Designations by Departments, FY2012-FY2021

(billions of nominal dollars of discretionary budget authority)



Source: CRS analysis of data from appropriations legislation.

Congressional Considerations

Did the Allowable Adjustment Work?

Making such a judgement depends on the intent of the adjustment. If the intent was to reduce the level of spending on disasters, it can be argued that it was not successful— disaster spending is largely linked to the relief statutes in place and the level of disaster activity. There is little evidence that in the post-WWII era *any* type of budget controls have significantly constrained U.S. disaster relief spending. If the intent was to bring disaster spending into the annual appropriations process for greater inclusion in the debate and to reduce the demand for supplemental appropriations, it can be argued that it was a success.

What Happens After the Adjustment Ends?

The allowable adjustment mechanism ends with the expiration of the BCA discretionary budget caps in FY2021. Congress may consider extending this mechanism, but such an action would require legislative action.

Should the Calculation Use Different Data?

Accounting for federal spending on disasters with precision is difficult due to a lack of data. If Congress seeks to link a future adjustment more closely to the actual costs of major disasters, it may require more authoritative agency reporting on disaster-related spending.

For More Information

For more information on the DRF and the history of U.S. disaster relief, see CRS Report R45484, *The Disaster Relief Fund: Overview and Issues*, by William L. Painter.

William L. Painter, Specialist in Homeland Security and Appropriations

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.