

IN FOCUS

Updated October 22, 2021

The Internal Revenue Service's Private Tax Debt Collection Program

For the third time in its history, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is managing a program to collect certain delinquent individual income tax debt using private debt collection agencies (PCAs). Section 32102 of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (P.L. 114-94) directed the IRS to revive the private tax debt collection program it operated from 2006 to 2009 with several changes.

IRS's Previous Experiences with Private Debt Collectors

Before the enactment of the FAST Act, the IRS twice had experimented with using PCAs to collect delinquent individual income tax debt. In both cases, the agency sought authority to establish and manage the programs, which Congress granted.

1996 to 1997

The first experiment was a pilot program known as the Contracting Out Collection Agencies Project. It was funded under the Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government Appropriations Act, 1996 (P.L. 104-52). Although the project was initially authorized to last two years, the IRS shut it down after one year, owing to disappointing results and opposition from Congress and the Clinton Administration. According to the findings of a 1997 assessment of the program by the (then-named) General Accounting Office (GAO), the five PCAs hired for the program collected \$3.1 million in delinquent taxes through January 1997, but the total cost for the program (i.e., the fees paid to the PCAs, the project's opportunity cost, and its design, start-up, and administration expenses) during that period was \$21.1 million, nearly seven times greater than the revenue gain.

2006 to 2009

The second experiment was more ambitious in scope. It resulted from the creation of Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 6306 by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (AJCA; P.L. 108-357). The new provision authorized the IRS to enter into contracts with qualified PCAs to collect delinquent individual income tax debt that the IRS was not pursuing because of a lack of resources. The Treasury Department had asked Congress in its FY2004 budget request for statutory authority to hire PCAs for this purpose.

Under IRC Section 6306, the IRS was required to use PCAs in a manner that protected taxpayer rights, prevented the use of abusive collection practices, and complied with federal regulations and laws governing the outsourcing of activities deemed inherently governmental, such as tax collection. In addition, the provision specified that the IRS could use PCAs for two purposes only: (1) to locate and contact individuals with overdue income tax liabilities who were not contesting the amount owed, and (2) to arrange for the payment of back taxes.

Payments went into a revolving fund. The IRS could use up to 25% of the money in the fund to compensate PCAs for their services and another 25% of the money to fund its enforcement activities.

In early 2005, the IRS began a PCA program based on the guidelines laid down in IRC Section 6306. After a series of court challenges to the IRS's initial solicitation of bids for collection contracts, the agency signed one-year contracts with three PCAs in March 2006. Collection activities commenced in September 2006. And in February 2007, the IRS extended the contracts with two of the companies through March 2008, and then through March 2009.

The IRS notified the two contractors in February 2007 that it was evaluating the cost-effectiveness of the collection program and would let them know by March 6 whether their contracts would be extended for another year. The study found that between the first quarter of FY2004 and the first quarter of FY2009, the cost to the IRS of designing, implementing, and managing the collection program totaled \$82.9 million, or \$0.4 million more than the \$82.5 million in gross revenue collected by PCAs. A subsequent IRS analysis found that the program had produced a net loss of \$4.5 million.

On March 5, 2009, the IRS informed the two remaining PCAs that their contracts would not be extended (IR-2009-019). Then-IRS Commissioner Doug Shulman cited three reasons for terminating the program. First, the total cost of the private tax debt collection program (including start-up expenses going back to FY2004 but excluding opportunity costs) exceeded the revenue it collected. Second, as a 2009 study by the IRS and an independent reviewer showed, IRS employees were more cost-effective than PCAs in handling the same inventory of delinquent tax cases. Third, the collection work "was best done by IRS employees who have more flexibility in handling cases," especially those involving taxpayers facing financial difficulties.

FAST Act and the Third Private Tax Debt Collection Program

The FAST Act required the IRS to revive the 2006-2009 PCA program, but with a few changes. According to a JCT revenue estimate, the new program was expected to collect \$2.4 billion in delinquent individual income tax debt from FY2016 to FY2025.

Under the act, the IRS was required to enter into "one or more qualified collection contracts for the collection of all outstanding inactive tax receivables" within three months of the act's enactment.

Such a receivable was any tax assessment in the IRS's inventory of potentially collectible taxes that satisfied at least one of four criteria: (1) the assessment had been removed from the active inventory because the IRS lacked the resources to collect it or could not locate the taxpayer; (2) more than one-third of the 10-year statute of limitation had lapsed; (3) the assessment had not been assigned to an IRS employee for collection; and (4) more than 365 days had passed since the last communication between the IRS and the taxpayer about collecting the tax owed.

The FAST Act required the IRS to enter into "one or more qualified collection contracts for the collection of all outstanding inactive tax receivables" within three months of its enactment.

A PCA was not allowed to collect delinquent taxes from someone under the age of 18, someone serving in a combat zone, or a victim of tax refund fraud related to identity theft. In addition, a PCA could not collect delinquent taxes from taxpayers who had a pending or active "offer in compromise" or installment agreement with the IRS, were classified as an innocent spouse case, or were involved in an active examination, litigation, criminal investigation, levy, or appeal.

Like the 2006-2009 PCA program, the IRS could keep up to 25% of the amount collected through PCAs. The funds had to be deposited in a new account (set up under IRC Section 6307) for the hiring and training of "special compliance personnel" (SCP). Upon completing their training, these employees would be assigned to work as field collection officers or representatives of the IRS's Automated Collection System.

The FAST Act required the IRS to report to the House Ways and Means and the Senate Finance Committees in March of each year on the cost of the program, the amount of revenue it raised in the previous fiscal year, and the expected cost and revenue collected for the current year. In a separate report, the IRS also had to provide details on the total amount collected by each contractor, the collection costs incurred by the IRS, the total amount of fees retained by the IRS, and the agency's use of the funds.

The IRS began referring cases to the four PCAs (CBE Group, ConServ, Performant Recovery, and Pioneer Credit Recovery) with which it had collection contracts on April 10, 2017. The companies receive a commission for the taxes they collect equal to as much as 25% of that amount. When the program began, the debt eligible for collection totaled nearly \$138 billion from about 14 million taxpayer accounts.

From the start of private collection activity in April 2017 through the end of FY2020, the IRS assigned 3.5 million delinquent accounts, with total delinquent debt of \$32.0 billion, to the PCAs for collection. They collected \$580.6 million in payments eligible for a commission. Another

\$345.1 million in payments were collected through the SCP program. After covering all expenses, the PCA program transferred \$678.7 million to the Treasury General Fund.

The IRS renewed contracts with two of the original PCAs and entered into a contract with a new PCA in September 2021. The current PCAs are CBE Group, ConServe, and Coast Professional, Inc. It is not clear why the IRS decided not to renew its contracts with Performant Recovery and Pioneer Credit Recovery. The repayment agreements these two companies had reached with taxpayers are no longer in force, but their tax debts are still collectable.

Pros and Cons of the PCA Program

Proponents of the current PCA program argue that without the use of private debt collectors, little or none of the tens of billions of dollars in the IRS's inventory of inactive but collectible individual tax debt would ever be collected. They claim that the IRS lacks the resources to collect all tax debt and thus assigns a low priority to doing so. Some add that private firms are likely to be more efficient than the IRS in collecting this debt.

Critics say that the current PCA program does not serve the public interest. They contend that requiring the IRS to hire people to collect inactive but potentially collectable tax debt would be more cost-effective than using PCAs. Another concern is that unlike PCAs, the IRS has the flexibility to reach installment agreements with or extend offers in compromise to taxpayers who cannot pay off their debt all at once. Some say the current PCA program imposes economic hardships on low-income taxpayers.

Taxpayer First Act

The Taxpayer First Act (TFA, P.L. 116-25) addressed some concerns about the PCA program.

First, the TFA bars the IRS from assigning tax debt for PCA collection held by taxpayers who get "substantially all" of their income from Supplemental Social Security benefits or Social Security Disability Insurance benefits, or whose adjusted gross income is up to 200% of the federal poverty level.

Second, the TFA redefines tax debt eligible for PCA collection as debt for which two or more years have passed since the tax liability was assessed. Under previous law, tax debt was eligible for PCA collection one year after assessment.

Third, the TFA allows taxpayers up to seven years to pay off tax debt assigned to a PCA for collection through an installment agreement; under previous law, taxpayers contacted by a PCA had five years to pay off their tax debt under an installment agreement.

Each of these changes applies to tax debt referred to PCAs for collection after December 31, 2020.

Gary Guenther, Analyst in Public Finance

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.