

cooling capacity (aka SWAP-C) for accepting additional or higher-power equipment and weapons (including directed-energy weapons) over the ship's service life; (3) an integrated power system (IPS); (4) reduced vulnerability due to reduced infrared, acoustic, and underwater electromagnetic signatures; (5) increased cruising range and time on station; and (6) increased weapon capacity.

The Navy states that the baseline DDG(X) design, like the Flight III DDG-51 design, is to include 96 standard Vertical Launch System (VLS) cells, with an ability to incorporate 12 large missile launch cells in place of 32 of the 96 standard VLS cells. It is also to include two 21-cell Rolling Airframe Missile (RAM) launchers and an ability to be built with an additional mid-body hull section, called the Destroyer Payload Module (see **Figure 1**), that would provide additional payload capacity. The Navy states that

The Future Naval Force Study (FNFS) and the Future Surface Combatant Force Analysis of Alternatives (FSCF AoA) identified the requirement for future large surface combatants (LSCs) to be capable of hosting directed energy (DE) weapons, larger missiles for increased range and speed, increased magazine depth, growth in organic sensors, and an efficient integrated power system to manage the dynamic loads... [S]tudies were performed from FY 2018 to FY 2020 that considered modification of existing surface combatant and amphibious ships in addition to new concepts. These studies concluded that a new material solution via DDG(X) is required to deliver the necessary margins and flexibility to succeed the DDG 51 Class as the next enduring LSC.... By including the DDG 51 FLT III combat system elements in the DDG(X) baseline, Navy is taking an "evolutionary" (vice "revolutionary") approach to the [DDG(X)]class, incorporating a critical lesson learned from the successful evolution of the DDG 51 Class from [the Aegis cruiser design].

(Source: *Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book, Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, April 2022, p. 475.*)

Procurement Quantities and Procurement Cost

The Navy has not specified how many DDG(X)s it wants to procure. The Navy's FY2023 30-year shipbuilding plan projects LSCs being procured in FY2030 and subsequent years in annual quantities of one to three ships per year.

In constant FY2019 dollars, the Navy wants the first DDG(X) to have a procurement cost of \$3.5 billion to \$4.0 billion, and for the 10th ship in the class to have a procurement cost of \$2.1 billion to \$2.5 billion. The November 2022 CBO report estimates the DDG(X)'s average procurement cost in constant FY2022 dollars at \$3.1 billion to \$3.4 billion—about 35% to 43% more than the Navy's estimate (shown in the CBO report) of \$2.3

billion to \$2.4 billion. The CBO and Navy estimates are about 41% to 55%, and 5% to 9%, respectively, more than the DDG-51's procurement cost of about \$2.2 billion.

Issues for Congress

Issues for Congress regarding the DDG(X) program include the following: (1) Would a new LSC larger than the Flight III DDG-51 design be consistent with the Navy's Distributed Maritime Operations (DMO) concept, which envisages a future fleet with a smaller proportion of larger ships and a larger proportion of smaller ships? (2) The Navy in the past has studied options for a lengthened version of the DDG-51 that would displace between 11,000 and 12,000 tons. Would the DDG(X) be more cost-effective than a lengthened DDG-51? (3) Has the Navy accurately identified the DDG(X)'s required operational capabilities? (4) Why is there a 35% to 43% difference between the CBO and Navy estimates of the DDG(X)'s average procurement cost? (5) Would future Navy budgets permit the procurement of DDG(X)s in desired numbers while adequately funding other Navy priorities? (6) Has the Navy taken adequate steps to mature DDG(X) technologies and mitigate technical, schedule, and cost risk in the program? (7) Has the Navy planned adequately for the transition from DDG-51 procurement to DDG(X) procurement, and for resulting impacts on the shipbuilding industrial base?

FY2023 Funding Request and Congressional Action

The Navy's proposed FY2023 budget requests \$49.7 million for Project 0411 (DDG[X] Concept Development) within Program Element (PE) 0603564N (Ship Preliminary Design & Feasibility Studies), which is line 47 in the Navy's FY2023 research and development account, and \$145.8 million for "DDG(X) Power & Propulsion Risk Mitigation & Demonstration," which forms part of Project 2471 (Integrated Power Systems [IPS]) within PE 0603573N (Advanced Surface Machinery Systems), which is line 49.

The joint explanatory statement for the FY2023 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) (H.R. 7776) recommends approving the Navy's FY2023 funding requests for the DDG(X) program (PDF pages 516 and 517 of 748). Section 130 of the H.R. 7776 prescribes certain aspects of the DDG(X) acquisition strategy. The joint explanatory statement discusses Section 130 briefly (PDF pages 6-7 of 748) and directs the Navy to provide a report on the Navy's pursuit of an electric-drive propulsion system for the DDG(X) (PDF page 15 of 748).

The explanatory statement for the FY2023 DOD Appropriations Act (Division C of H.R. 2617) as released by the Senate Appropriations Committee on December 19, 2022, approves the Navy's FY2023 funding requests for the DDG(X) program (PDF page 214 of 329).

Ronald O'Rourke, Specialist in Naval Affairs

IF11679

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.