

Asylum Process in Immigration Courts and Selected Trends

April 12, 2023

Congressional Research Service https://crsreports.congress.gov R47504



Asylum Process in Immigration Courts and Selected Trends

Individuals who reside unlawfully in the United States, who arrive in the United States at a port of entry and are inadmissible, or who cross into the United States illegally between ports of entry may be charged with an immigration violation by the Department of Homeland Security and placed in removal proceedings in immigration court. In these instances, individuals may apply for asylum during their proceedings as a defense against removal, referred to as *defensive asylum*. Removal proceedings are adjudicated by immigration judges within the Department of Justice's Executive Office for Immigration Review.

SUMMARY

R47504

April 12, 2023

Holly Straut-Eppsteiner Analyst in Immigration Policy

Individuals may qualify for asylum if they are unable or unwilling to return to their country because of persecution or a wellfounded fear of persecution based on one of five protected grounds: race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a particular social group. Persons granted asylum, and their spouses and minor children, may remain in the United States and apply for work authorization. After one year of physical presence in the United States, they may apply to adjust to lawful permanent resident (LPR) status. Some individuals who are not eligible for asylum may be granted other types of protection during their proceedings, including withholding of removal and protection under the United Nations Convention Against Torture.

In recent years, the number of asylum applications filed in immigration courts has generally increased, including 230,389 defensive asylum applications filed in FY2022, the largest annual number since at least FY1996 (the earliest available data). At the end of the first quarter of FY2023, 749,133 asylum applications were pending in immigration courts.

Contents

Introduction	1
Overview and Processes	2
Expedited and Formal Removal Asylum, Withholding of Removal, and Protection under the Convention Against	2
Torture	4
Selected Trends	5
Case Receipts and Pending Cases	5
Asylum Outcomes in Immigration Courts	7

Figures

Figure 1. Defensive and Affirmative Applications Filed, FY2008-FY2022	6
Figure 2. Pending Asylum Applications, FY2008-FY2023 (Q1)	. 7
Figure 3. Pending Asylum Applications by Country of Origin	7

Tables

Table 1. A	sylum Outcomes,	FY2008-FY2022	. 8
------------	-----------------	---------------	-----

Contacts

Author Information

Introduction

Under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), foreign nationals (*aliens,* as termed in immigration law)¹ who are present in the United States, or who arrive in the United States at a port of entry (POE) or between POEs, may apply for asylum irrespective of their immigration status.² Individuals who reside unlawfully in the United States, who arrive in the United States at a POE and are inadmissible, or who cross illegally into the United States between POEs may be charged with an immigration violation by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and placed in removal proceedings in immigration court. In these instances, individuals may apply for asylum as a defense against removal, referred to as *defensive asylum*, during their proceedings. By contrast, individuals seeking asylum in the United States who are not in removal proceedings may apply for *affirmative asylum* with DHS's U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS).³

During removal proceedings, immigration judges (IJs) determine whether foreign nationals charged with an immigration violation are removable and adjudicate certain applications for relief or protection from removal, including asylum. Immigration courts are within the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), a Department of Justice (DOJ) agency. Individuals may qualify for asylum if they are unable or unwilling to return to their home country because of persecution or a well-founded fear of persecution based on one of five protected grounds—race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a particular social group—and satisfy other requirements.⁴ Those granted asylum, and their spouses and minor children, may remain in the United States and apply for work authorization. After one year of physical presence in the United States, they may apply to adjust to lawful permanent resident (LPR) status.

In recent years, the number of asylum applications filed has generally increased. In FY2022, EOIR received 230,389 defensive asylum applications, the largest annual number of filings since at least FY1996 (the earliest available data). At the end of the first quarter (Q1) of FY2023, 749,133 total asylum applications were pending in immigration courts.⁵ This included both defensive asylum applications originally filed in immigration courts (570,358) as well as affirmative asylum applications that USCIS has referred to EOIR (178,775).⁶ In general, immigration courts are contending with the highest levels of removal cases in EOIR's 40-year history amid unprecedented levels of international migration to the U.S.-Mexico border and the postponement of certain hearings during the COVID-19 pandemic.⁷

¹ The INA defines an *alien* as a person who is not a citizen or a national of the United States. See INA §101(a)(3).

² INA §208(a); 8 U.S.C. §1158(a).

³ For more information on asylum generally, including affirmative asylum, see CRS Report R45539, *Immigration: U.S. Asylum Policy*.

⁴ INA §208(b)(B)(i); 8 U.S.C. §1158(b)(B)(i).

⁵ EOIR, "Total Asylum Applications," Adjudication Statistics, January 16, 2023.

⁶ USCIS refers cases to EOIR when it finds an applicant ineligible for asylum and the applicant does not have a lawful status.

⁷ For more information, see CRS Report R47077, U.S. Immigration Courts and the Pending Cases Backlog.

Overview and Processes

Expedited and Formal Removal

Foreign nationals may be subject to removal from the United States when DHS charges them with one or more grounds of inadmissibility⁸ or deportability,⁹ either at the U.S. border or within the interior of the country. Under the INA, both *expedited* and *formal* removal processes allow a removable alien to seek asylum; each requires different processes for doing so.¹⁰

Under expedited removal, DHS may remove certain migrants "without further hearing or review."¹¹ At present, expedited removal applies to inadmissible aliens arriving at a POE and those apprehended within 100 miles of the U.S. land border within two weeks of arrival. Individuals subject to expedited removal who express an intent to apply for asylum or a fear of persecution in their countries may have their claim reviewed by a USCIS asylum officer during a credible fear interview.

To establish a credible fear of persecution, the individual must establish that they have a "significant possibility" of establishing asylum eligibility—that is, a "substantial and realistic possibility of succeeding" in a hearing before an IJ.¹² Individuals who receive a negative credible fear determination may request that an IJ review the determination. Those who fail to demonstrate a credible fear are typically removed by DHS's Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).

DHS may process those determined to have a credible fear of persecution into one of two pathways: an *Asylum Merits Interview* (AMI) with USCIS, or formal removal proceedings in immigration court. The AMI is a new process introduced in a DHS-DOJ interim final rule (IFR), which went into effect on May 31, 2022.¹³ The IFR allows asylum officers to adjudicate asylum applications in the first instance in a nonadversarial interview rather than placing migrants in formal removal proceedings.¹⁴ DHS is implementing this process in a phased manner; it is not

⁸ INA §212; 8 U.S.C. §1182.

⁹ INA §237; 8 U.S.C. §1227.

¹⁰ In March 2020, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) invoked authority under Title 42 of the U.S. Code to limit the entry of certain foreign nationals, including those intending to apply for asylum and other humanitarian protections. Title 42 expulsions by DHS are not removal procedures under the INA and they do not involve immigration courts. For more information on Title 42, see CRS Report R47343, U.S. Border Patrol Apprehensions and Title 42 Expulsions at the Southwest Border: Fact Sheet.

¹¹ Expedited removal was established under the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (P.L. 104-208, Div. C), codified at INA §235(b)(1). For more information about expedited removal, see CRS In Focus IF11357, *Expedited Removal of Aliens: An Introduction* and CRS Report R45314, *Expedited Removal of Aliens: Legal Framework*.

¹² See Refugee, Asylum, and International Operations Directorate Officer Training Asylum Division Officer Training Course, USCIS, February 13, 2017, https://www.aila.org/infonet/raio-and-asylum-division-officer-training-course (citing *Holmes v. Amerex Rent-a-Car*, 180 F.3d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1999)).

¹³ DHS and EOIR, "Procedures for Credible Fear Screening and Consideration of Asylum, Withholding of Removal, and CAT Protection Claims by Asylum Officers," 87 *Federal Register* 18078-18226. For more information about the IFR, see CRS In Focus IF12162, *Federal Agency Rule Expands Asylum Officers' Authority*.

¹⁴ If the asylum claim is denied, the applicant may be considered for withholding of removal under the INA and protection under the Convention Against Torture (CAT). The applicant may also request a de novo review of the denial and have their asylum application adjudicated by an IJ in *streamlined removal proceedings*.

wides pread. 15 As of December 2022, USCIS had referred 978 credible fear claimants for an AMI. 16

Most individuals who demonstrate a credible fear enter formal removal proceedings in EOIR's immigration courts, where they may pursue applications for relief from removal, including asylum. At the end of FY2023 Q1, approximately 215,500 (11.5%) of the nearly 1.87 million total removal cases pending with EOIR had originated from credible fear claims.¹⁷ DHS may also place a migrant directly into formal removal proceedings (i.e., without processing them for expedited removal) if the individual is not subject to expedited removal or at the agency's discretion.

Formal removal proceedings begin after DHS issues a Notice to Appear (NTA) charging document and files it in immigration court.¹⁸ During removal proceedings, attorneys from ICE's Office of the Principal Legal Advisor (OPLA) represent DHS. The migrant, or *respondent*, may represent himself or herself or obtain counsel at his or her own expense or pro bono. Under the INA, the federal government generally may not appoint counsel for respondents in removal proceedings.¹⁹

Removal proceedings usually consist of multiple hearings before an IJ. If a respondent has received written notice of a hearing and does not attend it, the IJ must order the individual removed *in absentia* (in the respondent's absence).²⁰ During an initial master calendar hearing, an IJ explains the respondent's rights, the charges against the respondent, and the nature of the proceedings; verifies the respondent's contact information; provides information about legal representation; and sets filing dates for applications and written documents. The IJ must advise the respondent that he or she will be ineligible for any immigration benefits under the INA if the respondent knowingly files a "frivolous" asylum application.²¹ Criteria for a frivolous application are set in federal regulations and generally are based on fabricated material elements.²²

In the next stage of removal proceedings, the IJ schedules a merits hearing (also called an individual calendar hearing), an evidentiary hearing in which the IJ considers challenges to

¹⁵ The IFR applies to adults and families placed in expedited removal proceedings after May 31, 2022; currently, only those who indicate an intention of residing in Boston, Los Angeles, Miami, New York, Newark, or San Francisco may be referred for an AMI. DHS stated that as of May 31, 2022, it would "aim to refer approximately a few hundred noncitizens each month to USCIS" for an AMI. See USCIS, "Fact Sheet: Implementation of the Credible Fear and Asylum Processing Interim Final Rule," https://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/refugees-and-asylum/asylum/fact-sheet-implementation-of-the-credible-fear-and-asylum-processing-interim-final-rule.

¹⁶ DHS, "Asylum Processing Rule Cohort Reports," https://www.dhs.gov/immigration-statistics/special-reports/ asylum-processing-rule-report.

¹⁷ EOIR, "Pending I-862 Proceedings Originating With a Credible Fear Claim and All Pending I-862s," Adjudication Statistics, January 16, 2023.

¹⁸ INA §240; 8 U.S.C. §1229a. For more information, see CRS In Focus IF11536, *Formal Removal Proceedings: An Introduction* and CRS Infographic IG10022, *Immigration Court Proceedings: Process and Data*.

¹⁹ INA §240(b)(4); 8 U.S.C. §1229a(b)(4). For more information, see CRS In Focus IF12158, U.S. Immigration Courts: Access to Counsel in Removal Proceedings and Legal Access Programs.

²⁰ The removal order may be rescinded if a respondent files a motion to reopen proceedings and demonstrates that the failure to appear occurred because he or she did not receive proper notice of the hearing, faced "exceptional

circumstances," or was in custody and unable to appear through no fault of his or her own. For more information, see CRS In Focus IF11892, At What Rate Do Noncitizens Appear for Their Removal Hearings? Measuring In Absentia Removal Order Rates.

²¹ INA §208(d)(4)(A), INA §208(d)(6).

²² 8 C.F.R. §208.20.

removability and the respondent's application(s) for relief.²³ During the merits hearing, parties may present testimony, evidence, and witnesses. The respondent and any witnesses may be examined and cross-examined, respectively, by their counsel (if applicable) and OPLA counsel, as well as questioned by the IJ. For some cases, there may be multiple master calendar hearings and/or merits hearings. At the conclusion of proceedings, the IJ issues a decision determining whether the respondent is granted relief or ordered removed.²⁴

During removal proceedings, IJs may also temporarily remove cases from their active dockets through *administrative closure*. Administrative closure allows respondents the opportunity to have their applications for immigration relief resolved by other agencies, such as USCIS.²⁵ IJs may also *terminate* cases, for example, in response to a party's motion to dismiss charges on the grounds that the respondent is not removable as charged.

Either party may appeal an IJ's decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA), EOIR's appellate body. The respondent may file a petition for judicial review of a BIA decision with a federal circuit court of appeals.

Asylum, Withholding of Removal, and Protection under the Convention Against Torture

To apply for asylum in formal removal proceedings, the respondent must file Form I-589, Application for Asylum and Withholding of Removal.²⁶ Generally, an individual must apply for asylum within one year of arrival in the United States, with certain exceptions.²⁷ An individual may not apply for asylum if they were previously denied asylum or if they may be removed under a Safe Third Country agreement (i.e., to Canada). The INA also bars certain individuals from being granted asylum in certain circumstances, including those who have persecuted others, committed certain crimes, pose a danger to national security, have engaged in terrorist activity, or have been "firmly resettled in another country prior to arriving in the United States."²⁸

²³ In addition to asylum and the forms of relief explained in this report, respondents may pursue other forms of relief in removal proceedings, such as cancellation of removal. Respondents may also pursue certain types of immigration benefits with USCIS, such as adjustment to LPR status based on a qualifying family relationship. Others may seek voluntary departure from the United States. For a comprehensive list of common types of protection and relief from removal, see CRS Report R47077, *U.S. Immigration Courts and the Pending Cases Backlog*, Table 3.

²⁴ Requirements for designating a country of removal are at INA §241(b); 8 U.S.C. §1231(b). See also Adam L. Fleming, "Around the World in the INA: Designating a Country of Removal in Immigration Proceedings," *Immigration Law Advisor*, May 2013.

²⁵ For more information about administrative closure, including its use under different administrations, see "Docket Management and Administrative Closure" in CRS Report R47077, U.S. Immigration Courts and the Pending Cases Backlog.

²⁶ Even if an applicant has undergone a credible fear interview with USCIS during expedited removal, they must apply for asylum once in formal removal proceedings. Form I-589 may also be used to apply for withholding of removal and protection under CAT. Those individuals granted an AMI with USCIS do not file an I-589; instead, the record of the credible fear determination serves as the asylum application.

²⁷ There are exceptions for applicants with changed circumstances that materially affect their eligibility for asylum, applicants with extraordinary circumstances relating to the delay in filing an application, and unaccompanied children. See INA §208(a)(2)(B).

²⁸ INA \$208(b)(2)(A)(vi); 8 U.S.C. \$1158 (b)(2)(A)(vi). For more information, see CRS Legal Sidebar LSB10815, *An Overview of the Statutory Bars to Asylum: Limitations on Applying for Asylum (Part One)* and CRS Legal Sidebar LSB10816, *An Overview of the Statutory Bars to Asylum: Limitations on Granting Asylum (Part Two)*.

Individuals who are ineligible for asylum generally may pursue withholding of removal under the INA, which prohibits the removal of an individual to a country where that person's life or freedom would be threatened based on a protected ground.²⁹ Some individuals are ineligible for both asylum and withholding of removal because they do not qualify for protection under one of the protected grounds or because they are subject to other statutory bars. In such cases, applicants may seek protection under the United Nations Convention Against Torture (CAT), an international treaty provision that protects individuals from return to countries where it is more likely than not that they would be tortured.³⁰ Withholding of removal under the INA and CAT allow possible removal to a third country; unlike asylum, these forms of protection do not provide a path to LPR status.

Immigration courts also adjudicate asylum applications for individuals in proceeding types other than removal proceedings. Crewmembers, stowaways, and those who have entered under the Visa Waiver Program (VWP)³¹ are ineligible for removal proceedings and may have their applications adjudicated during *asylum-only proceedings*.³² Individuals with a reinstated order of removal or expedited removal order based on an aggravated felony conviction may apply for asylum during *withholding-only proceedings*.³³

Selected Trends

Case Receipts and Pending Cases

Immigration courts adjudicate asylum applications first filed as a defense against removal (*defensive*) as well as applications that were first filed with USCIS and subsequently referred to EOIR (*affirmative*). Immigration courts have generally received large volumes of defensive asylum applications in recent years (**Figure 1**), except for FY2021 (likely due to disruptions from the COVID-19 pandemic).³⁴ Defensive asylum filings increased nearly fivefold between FY2014 (31,517) and FY2019 (154,368) and reached approximately 230,000 at the end of FY2022. Examining the last 15 fiscal years of data, the defensive proportion of EOIR's asylum caseload has grown substantially, from 31% of applications filed in FY2008 to 91% in FY2022.

²⁹ INA §241(b)(3); 8 U.S.C. §1231(b)(3).

³⁰ 8 C.F.R. §208.16; 8 C.F.R. §208.18.

³¹ For more information, see CRS Report RL32221, Visa Waiver Program.

^{32 8} C.F.R. §208.2.

³³ EOIR, *Immigration Court Practice Manual*, Chapter 7.4, "Limited Proceedings." The EOIR data presented in this report generally include all of these case types. For more information, see CRS In Focus IF11736, *Reinstatement of Removal: An Introduction*.

³⁴ In FY2021, nearly two-thirds (63%) of migrant encounters with the U.S. Border Patrol resulted in expulsions under the Title 42 public health authority, which does not allow a process to apply for asylum. See CRS Report R47343, U.S. Border Patrol Apprehensions and Title 42 Expulsions at the Southwest Border: Fact Sheet.



Figure 1. Defensive and Affirmative Applications Filed, FY2008-FY2022

Source: EOIR, "Defensive Asylum Applications" and "Affirmative Asylum Applications," Adjudication Statistics, January 16, 2023.

Notes: Defensive cases are those filed with an immigration court. Affirmative cases are cases that originated with USCIS and subsequently were referred to EOIR.

At the end of FY2023 Q1, there were 749,133 pending asylum applications among the approximately 1.87 million total removal cases pending in immigration courts. Although the overall number of pending removal cases has increased since the end of FY2022 (from 1.79 million to 1.87 million), the number of pending asylum applications declined by approximately 5% from the end of FY2022 (786,317) to the end of FY2023 Q1 (**Figure 2**).

Because individuals seeking asylum in immigration court as a defense against removal generally apply for asylum after DHS files the NTA, the proportion of respondents currently in removal proceedings who file asylum applications may grow over time. For example, DHS filed 706,640 new removal cases in FY2022—a record high. Many of those individuals who intend to seek asylum may have yet to file an application.

The number of asylum applications pending in immigration courts has grown substantially in recent years (**Figure 2**). From FY2008 to FY2017, the defensive proportion of pending applications increased relative to the affirmative proportion (from 28% in FY2008 to 82% in FY2017). Since then, the proportion of defensive applications has fluctuated slightly but defensive applications have remained the majority of pending asylum applications (76% at the end of FY2023 Q1).



Figure 2. Pending Asylum Applications, FY2008-FY2023 (Q1)

Source: EOIR, "Defensive Asylum Applications" and "Affirmative Asylum Applications," Adjudication Statistics, January 16, 2023.

Notes: *FY2023 through Q1 only.

Among all pending asylum applications in immigration courts, approximately 80% of applicants are from the following 10 countries: Guatemala, Honduras, El Salvador, Mexico, Venezuela, Cuba, India, Ecuador, Brazil, and Nicaragua (as of November 2022).

Figure 3. Pending Asylum Applications by Country of Origin

(Based on 787,196 applications through November 2022)



Source: Transactional Records Access Clearinghouse (TRAC) at Syracuse University, Asylum Filings through November 2022, accessed February 2, 2023.

Notes: Includes defensive and affirmative asylum applications in immigration courts. TRAC obtains EOIR data through requests made under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

Asylum Outcomes in Immigration Courts

EOIR publishes asylum decision rates that include both defensive asylum applications and affirmative asylum applications referred by USCIS, based on a sum of four possible outcomes: grants, denials, administrative closures, and "other" (cases that were abandoned, withdrawn, or

not adjudicated), as presented in **Table 1**.³⁵ Other observers have calculated grant rates based on the number of relief grants divided by the sum of relief grants and denials only.³⁶ Note that some respondents denied asylum may have been granted other forms of relief or protection from removal.

During the last 15 fiscal years (FY2008-FY2022), based on the total sum of outcomes, the annual asylum grant rate has ranged from 14.17% (FY2022) to 31.35% (FY2011), and the denial rate has ranged from 16.74% (FY2022) to 54.49% (FY2020).

The rates of administrative closures and other outcomes also fluctuated during this period, corresponding with shifting agency guidance for this docket management tool (administrative closure) under different administrations.³⁷ In FY2022, 56.31% of case outcomes were designated "other," the highest rate during the period examined. This outcome includes terminated and dismissed proceedings,³⁸ which can occur, for example, because a respondent filed a motion to terminate the proceedings based on substantive or procedural grounds, DHS moved to dismiss charges against the respondent (e.g., as a matter of prosecutorial discretion), or proceedings were dismissed by the IJ (e.g., because DHS failed to file the NTA in immigration court).³⁹

The consequences for an applicant whose asylum outcome is designated "other" may vary. In circumstances in which a case is dismissed or terminated, applicants may be able to remain in the United States. In other instances, an "other" outcome may include cases in which the respondent has withdrawn his or her application for asylum or failed to abide by the deadlines set by the IJ and may be ordered removed.

Fiscal Year	Grant Rate	Denial Rate	Administrative Closure Rate	"Other" Rate	Total Outcomes
2008	23.66%	31.15%	6.37%	38.82%	36,903
2009	23.92%	28.48%	6.37%	41.23%	34,717
2010	25.34%	25.95%	10.26%	38.45%	32,230
2011	31.35%	29.70%	4.55%	34.40%	31,350
2012	30.55%	24.95%	14.25%	30.25%	34,114
2013	24.93%	22.73%	25.32%	27.03%	38,799
2014	22.85%	24.67%	25.42%	27.07%	37,398
2015	18.70%	20.36%	35.61%	25.33%	43,178

Table I.Asylum Outcomes, FY2008-FY2022

³⁵ Asylum outcomes for cases originating with a credible fear claim, specifically, are available from EOIR; see EOIR, "Asylum Decision Rates in Cases Originating with a Credible Fear Claim," https://www.justice.gov/eoir/workload-andadjudication-statistics.

³⁶ See, for example, Transactional Records Access Clearinghouse (TRAC) at Syracuse University, "Speeding Up the Asylum Process Leads to Mixed Results," November 29, 2022, https://trac.syr.edu/reports/703/.

³⁷ For more information, see the "Docket Management and Administrative Closure" section in CRS Report R47077, *U.S. Immigration Courts and the Pending Cases Backlog.*

³⁸ Among all removal case initial decisions in FY2022 (not specific to asylum), 15% were terminated and 36% were dismissed. See EOIR, "FY2022 Decision Outcomes," October 13, 2022.

³⁹ In some cases, DHS may choose to dismiss charges against a respondent whom they do not consider a priority for enforcement. For more information, see CRS Legal Sidebar LSB10578, *The Biden Administration's Immigration Enforcement Priorities: Background and Legal Considerations*. For more information on DHS failures to file NTAs in immigration court, see CRS Insight IN12046, *Migrant Arrivals at the Southwest Border: Challenges for Immigration Courts*.

2016	15.81%	21.37%	39.40%	23.42%	54,706
2017	19.66%	32.83%	20.32%	27.19%	53,533
2018	20.69%	41.75%	3.29%	34.26%	63,479
2019	20.62%	49.55%	0.14%	29.68%	91,451
2020	19.13%	54.49%	0.63%	25.75%	76,113
2021	I 6.06%	30.64%	6.36%	46.94%	46,071
2022	14.17%	16.74%	12.77%	56.31%	158,199

Source: EOIR, "Asylum Decision Rates," Adjudication Statistics, January 16, 2023.

Notes: Grant, Denial, Administrative Closure, and "Other" rates are calculated as the sum of those outcomes for each fiscal year divided by the total outcomes for the fiscal year.

Author Information

Holly Straut-Eppsteiner Analyst in Immigration Policy

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.