



Updated September 22, 2023

National Park Service: Government Shutdown Issues

Like other federal agencies, the National Park Service (NPS) has halted most operations during occasional government shutdowns resulting from lapses in appropriations. In the past three decades, such shutdowns occurred in late 1995/early 1996, October 2013, early 2018, and, most recently, from December 22, 2018, to January 25, 2019. Although government shutdowns have affected many agencies and programs, public and congressional attention has focused particularly on certain impacts, one of which is the effect of a shutdown on the National Park System.

Agency actions during a shutdown are governed by the Antideficiency Act (31 U.S.C. §§1341-1342, §§1511-1519) and related guidance, including Circular No. A-11 from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). One OMB requirement is for agency heads to develop and maintain shutdown plans, known as *contingency plans*, detailing how each agency is to prepare for and operate during a funding gap. During recent shutdowns, NPS has executed successive versions of its contingency plan that have remained consistent in some ways and varied in others.

For example, during the two most recent shutdowns with durations of more than one week—those in October 2013 and December 2018-January 2019—NPS executed contingency plans that were similar in some respects. Under the plans in both shutdowns, approximately 21,000 NPS employees were identified for furloughs, and approximately 3,000 employees were required to continue to work to carry out essential activities. However, the plans diverged in other areas, particularly with respect to the extent and types of visitor access planned for parks in a shutdown, given that the bulk of NPS staff would be furloughed. As of September 21, 2023, NPS had not publicly released any update to its January 2019 contingency plan.

During both recent shutdowns, the NPS policies on visitor access to parks were subjects of debate in Congress and among other stakeholders. Issues included, on the one hand, concerns about economic losses to states, localities, and job sectors dependent on park tourism when parks were inaccessible; and, on the other hand, concerns about damages to park resources and threats to visitor health and safety when parks were accessible but not fully staffed. Other topics of debate in NPS shutdowns have related to the availability of funding outside of annual discretionary appropriations, which could enable limited park operations during a lapse in annual appropriations.

Accessibility of NPS Units in Shutdown

NPS's contingency plan dated January 2019 provided that "parks may still be accessible to visitors" during the shutdown, but that "staffing levels will be based on the assumption that no visitor services will be provided." The plan stated that "park roads, lookouts, trails, and open-air memorials will generally remain accessible to visitors," although "no visitor services will be provided." By contrast, park "facilities" or areas that typically would be "locked or secured during non-business hours" were to be closed "for the duration of the shutdown." Park concessioners (privately owned businesses such as restaurants and hotels in parks) could continue operations at the discretion of park superintendents.

During the 2018-2019 shutdown, some park units consisting solely of buildings and/or other lockable areas were entirely closed. One estimate suggested that roughly one-third of National Park System units may have fallen into this category initially (although certain units later operated with mandatory appropriations; see below). The majority of parks—including units such as Yellowstone National Park, Grand Canyon National Park, Yosemite National Park, the Statue of Liberty National Memorial, and the National Mall in Washington, DC—remained at least partially accessible to visitors throughout the shutdown, with varying levels of services and law enforcement.

Issues were reported in some of the park units that remained accessible during the 2018-2019 shutdown. These included trash buildups, restroom waste problems, and accidental and intentional damage to natural resources, among others. The January 2019 NPS contingency plan provided that "if visitor access becomes a safety, health or resource protection issue (weather, road conditions, resource damage, garbage build-up to the extent that it endangers human health or wildlife, etc.), the area *must* be closed" (emphasis in original). Some parks, and areas within parks, were closed for these reasons as the shutdown continued.

The general accessibility of most national park units during the 2018-2019 shutdown differed from the overall NPS approach in the shutdown of October 2013, when all parks were "closed to public visitation and use." To implement the closures in 2013, NPS required all visitors to leave the parks. All concessions and commercial visitor services were closed (although certain concessioners negotiated with NPS to reopen during the shutdown). Where possible, park roads were closed and access was denied. According to a 2014 NPS report, the 16-day shutdown in 2013 resulted in an overall loss of 7.88 million visits to the parks and a loss of \$414 million in NPS visitor spending in gateway communities across the country. Similar figures are not available for the 2018-2019 shutdown.

Funding for Limited Operations

Like some other agencies, NPS has had access to funding sources outside of annual appropriations that have allowed for limited operations during shutdowns. For example, NPS contingency plans (e.g., in 2013 and 2019) have provided for continuation of "projects obligated from funds that are not subject to lapse, such as multi-year appropriations from prior fiscal years." In addition, NPS has used mandatory appropriations to fund limited operations during shutdowns. Two notable types of mandatory funding have been (1) donations from states and other entities to support services at individual parks, and (2) in the most recent shutdown, recreation fees collected under the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (FLREA; 16 U.S.C. §§6801-6814). The agency has used the donations and fees to cover operating costs that it had planned to fund through annual appropriations.

Donations

NPS has authority at 54 U.S.C. §101101 to accept monetary donations for park system purposes. In NPS shutdowns at least since 1995, some nonfederal entities (primarily states) have donated money to NPS to operate selected parks or park activities, with the aim of fostering public access and ameliorating economic losses to communities from reduced park tourism. For example, during the 2013 shutdown, several states (Arizona, Colorado, New York, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Utah) donated varying sums to reopen national park units using state funding. Following a model used in 1995-1996, these states developed agreements with NPS to donate calculated amounts for park operating costs for a certain number of days, during which time the parks would be open to the public and staffed by NPS employees.

In the 2018-2019 shutdown, several states (including Arizona, New York, and Utah) and the territory of Puerto Rico similarly donated funds for daily operations at individual parks for specified periods. Some localities, park partner groups, and other private entities also made donations for specific activities or services within parks, pursuant to NPS authority and guidance in the contingency plan providing that, "at the superintendent's discretion and with approval of the Regional Director or Director, parks may enter into arrangements with local governments, cooperating associations, and/or other third parties ... for donation of specified visitor services." As one example, donations to Zion National Park in Utah reportedly came from the State of Utah, Washington County, the city of St. George, and nonprofit groups. In addition to monetary donations, nonfederal volunteers reportedly provided inkind services, including maintenance and other types of visitor services, at a number of parks.

Agreements between NPS and states for shutdown donations typically have provided that NPS would refund to the donor any unobligated balances that remain if the shutdown ends before all the donated monies are spent. However, any funding actually used for park operations could be reimbursed only through an act of Congress. For example, after the 2013 shutdown, multiple bills were introduced to reimburse the states for their shutdown donations. These bills were not enacted, so the states were not reimbursed. Some Members of Congress, along with the states themselves, contended that state reimbursement should be prioritized, given that federal appropriations ultimately were provided (retroactively) for the shutdown period. Others took the view that the states had accepted the uncertainty of reimbursement when they agreed to make the donations.

Recreation Fees

NPS charges, collects, and retains recreation fees under FLREA. On January 6, 2019, NPS announced that during that shutdown, the agency would use revenues from recreation fees for certain activities in park units. The activities included maintaining restrooms, collecting trash, operating campgrounds, providing law enforcement and emergency services, maintaining roads, and staffing entrance gates to provide critical safety information. After the announcement, multiple parks began to fund these activities with recreation fees, enabling some staff that previously had been furloughed to return to work on these matters. After the shutdown ended, NPS officials stated that the agency would "fully restore" the FLREA recreation fee account to pre-shutdown levels, by moving obligations made in the shutdown from the FLREA account to NPS's main discretionary account.

Some Members of Congress questioned the legality of using FLREA fees for operations during the 2018-2019 shutdown and restoring the account thereafter. On September 5, 2019, GAO issued a legal opinion (B-330776) stating that the Department of the Interior (DOI) violated the purpose statute (31 U.S.C. §1301(a)) and the Antideficiency Act when it obligated FLREA fees during the shutdown for expenses normally charged to NPS discretionary appropriations. GAO stated that DOI should report its violation of the Antideficiency Act as required by 31 U.S.C. §1351 and take actions to prevent future violations. On September 6, 2019, DOI responded, disputing the GAO opinion in part on the grounds that NPS had used FLREA fees in the past for operating expenses similar to those the fees covered in the shutdown. The extent to and purposes for which FLREA fees could be available in any government shutdown remain in debate.

NPS had an estimated unobligated balance of \$403 million in recreation fees at the end of FY2022, in addition to \$349 million collected during that year. According to a 2022 multi-agency report, 156 of (at that time) 423 NPS units charged an entrance fee and/or "expanded amenity" fee. Under FLREA and NPS policy, generally 80%-100% of fees are retained for use by the collecting unit, with the remaining collections available agency-wide. (The January 2019 contingency plan provided that, during a shutdown, the NPS Director could allocate agency-wide FLREA fees to park units that did not collect fees or had "insufficient balances.") NPS has broad discretion in using fee revenues for purposes specified in FLREA, which include interpretation, visitor services, and facility maintenance, repair, and enhancement related to visitor access and health and safety. Current NPS policy is that parks are to use 55% of fees for deferred or preventative maintenance.

For Further Reading

On federal government shutdowns, see CRS Report R41759, Past Government Shutdowns: Key Resources; CRS Report RL34680, Shutdown of the Federal Government: Causes, Processes, and Effects; CRS Report RS20348, Federal Funding Gaps: A Brief Overview; and CRS Report R41723, Funding Gaps and Government Shutdowns: CRS Experts.

Laura B. Comay, Specialist in Natural Resources Policy

Carol Hardy Vincent, Specialist in Natural Resources Policy

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.